

Being Curious on Specific Surfaces	291
The Algebraic Topology of the	306
A Geometric Approach to Pascal's	320
On Certain Dense, Uncountable	339
NOTES	
An Improved Dirichlet's	347
Subgroups of the	365
A Geometric Approach to	376
The Error in an	390
Elementary Identities and	395
PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS	
REVIEWS	
Viewing Mathematics with	379
MAA, is not	

ISSN: 0002-9890 (Print) 1930-0972 (Online) Journal homepage: <http://maa.tandfonline.com/loi/uamm20>

## A Dedekind Domain with Nontrivial Class Group

Vaibhav Pandey, Sagar Shrivastava & Balasubramanian Sury

To cite this article: Vaibhav Pandey, Sagar Shrivastava & Balasubramanian Sury (2018) A Dedekind Domain with Nontrivial Class Group, The American Mathematical Monthly, 125:4, 356-359, DOI: [10.1080/00029890.2018.1424429](https://doi.org/10.1080/00029890.2018.1424429)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00029890.2018.1424429>



Published online: 22 Mar 2018.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

---

# A Dedekind Domain with Nontrivial Class Group

---

Vaibhav Pandey, Sagar Shrivastava, and Balasubramanian Sury

---

**Abstract.** We show that the ring of real-analytic functions on the unit circle is a Dedekind domain with class number two.

**1. RINGS THAT ENGAGE ANALYSTS.** Analytic properties of function spaces over the real and the complex fields are in some ways different. This is strongly reflected in these spaces' algebraic properties. For instance, the ring of real-valued continuous functions on a closed interval such as  $[0, 1]$  behaves similarly to the corresponding ring of complex-valued functions; they depend only on the topology of  $[0, 1]$ . The ring of real-valued polynomial functions on the unit circle can be identified with the ring of all real trigonometric polynomials. It is not a unique factorization domain (UFD) as is demonstrated by the following equation:

$$\cos^2(t) = (1 + \sin(t))(1 - \sin(t)).$$

In fact, the above ring is  $\mathbf{R}[X, Y]/(X^2 + Y^2 - 1)$  and the equation  $Y^2 = (1 + X)(1 - X)$  that holds in the quotient ring gives two different decompositions of  $Y^2$  into irreducible elements  $Y, 1 + X, 1 - X$ . On the other hand, the ring  $\mathbf{C}[X, Y]/(X^2 + Y^2 - 1) \cong \mathbf{C}[X + iY, 1/(X + iY)]$  is a principal ideal domain (PID). Again, the rings of convergent power series (over either of these fields) with radius of convergence larger than some positive real number  $\rho$  is a Euclidean domain (and hence, a principal ideal domain)—this can be seen by using the function that counts zeros (with multiplicity) in the disk  $|z| \leq \rho$  as a Euclidean “norm” function (see [3]).

In this note, we consider the rings  $C_{an}(S^1; \mathbf{R})$  of analytic functions on the unit circle  $S^1$  that are real-valued and the corresponding ring  $C_{an}(S^1; \mathbf{C})$  of analytic functions that are complex-valued. We will see that the latter is a principal ideal domain, while the former is a Dedekind domain, which is not a principal ideal domain—the class group having order 2.

**2. MAXIMAL IDEALS ARE POINTS.** The proof of the fact alluded to is exactly the same as the corresponding proof (that is, well known) for the ring of continuous functions on a closed interval.

**Lemma 1.** *Maximal ideals of  $C_{an}(S^1, \mathbf{R})$  and of  $C_{an}(S^1, \mathbf{C})$  are points.*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the compactness of  $S^1$ . Indeed, for each point  $p \in S^1$ , the ideal

$$\mathfrak{m}_p := \{f : f(p) = 0\}$$

is maximal as the quotient is isomorphic to a field. Let us observe that every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is of the form  $\mathfrak{m}_p$  for some  $p$  in  $S^1$ . If not, then we can find functions  $f_i$  in  $\mathfrak{m}$  that do not vanish in a neighborhood of  $p_i$  for each  $p_i$  in  $S^1$  by continuity. These

---

doi.org/10.1080/00029890.2018.1424429  
MSC: Primary 13F05

neighborhoods cover  $S^1$ . By compactness of  $S^1$ , finitely many of these neighborhoods cover it. Call these  $f_1, \dots, f_n$ . Then the function  $\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{f}_i f_i$  lies in  $\mathfrak{m}$  and is a unit (as it does not vanish anywhere). This is a contradiction as maximal ideals are proper. ■

**Lemma 2.** *The ring  $C_{an}(S^1, \mathbf{C})$  of complex-valued analytic functions on  $S^1$  is a PID.*

*Proof.* Clearly, the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_p$  is the principal ideal generated by  $z - p$ . Hence, every finite product

$$\mathfrak{m}_{p_1}^{a_1} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_{p_k}^{a_k}$$

is principal. We show that every nonzero ideal is such a finite product. Any nonzero analytic function has only finitely many zeros as zeros are isolated and  $S^1$  is compact. Hence, if  $I$  is any nonzero ideal, it has only finitely many common zeros, say  $p_1, \dots, p_k$ . Let  $a_i$  be the smallest positive integer such that every element of  $I$  has a zero of order at least  $a_i$  at  $p_i$ . Hence, for each  $0 \neq f \in I$ , we have  $f = \left(\prod_{i=1}^k (z - p_i)^{a_i}\right)g$  for some analytic function  $g$ . In other words,  $I$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{m}_{p_1}^{a_1} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_{p_k}^{a_k}$ . Then

$$J := \left\{ f / \prod_{i=1}^k (z - p_i)^{a_i} : f \in I \right\}$$

is an ideal. If  $J$  were a proper ideal, it would be contained in some  $\mathfrak{m}_p$ . If  $p \notin \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ , then  $I \subset \mathfrak{m}_p$ , which contradicts the fact that  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  are the only common roots of  $I$ . Hence,  $p = p_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . But if  $f_i \in I$  has order exactly  $a_i$  at  $p_i$ , then  $f_i / \prod_{j=1}^k (z - p_j)^{a_j}$  cannot vanish at  $p_i$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $J$  is the unit ideal and so

$$I = \mathfrak{m}_{p_1}^{a_1} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_{p_k}^{a_k}.$$

Hence,  $I$  is principal. So,  $C_{an}(S^1, \mathbf{C})$  is a PID (and hence a Dedekind domain). ■

**3. IDEALS IN  $C_{an}(S^1; \mathbf{R})$ .** Let us recall that a real-analytic function in  $C_{an}(S^1; \mathbf{R})$  is a function such that  $f \circ g_1$  and  $f \circ g_{-1}$  are analytic where  $g_1(x) = e^{2i\pi x}$  on  $(0, 2\pi)$  and  $g_{-1}(x) = e^{2i\pi x}$  on  $(-\pi, \pi)$ . Recall we observed that maximal ideals are points.

**Lemma 3.** *The product of any two maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_{p_1}, \mathfrak{m}_{p_2}$  (including the case  $p_1 = p_2$ ) is principal.*

*Proof.* In fact, it is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{m}_{p_1}\mathfrak{m}_{p_2}$  can be generated by the analytic function  $f_{p_1, p_2}(x) = \cos\left(x - \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{p_1 - p_2}{2}\right)$ . To clarify this further, note that when  $p_1 \neq p_2$ , the function  $f_{p_1, p_2}$  has simple zeros at  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  and no other zeros (consider the derivative). If  $p_1 = p_2 = p$ , then the function  $f_{p, p}(x) = 2\sin^2\left(\frac{x-p}{2}\right)$  has a double root at  $p$  and no other roots. In either case, it follows that any element  $f \in \mathfrak{m}_{p_1}\mathfrak{m}_{p_2}$  satisfies the property that  $\frac{f}{f_{p_1, p_2}}$  is analytic. This completes the proof. ■

This immediately implies the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** *An ideal  $I = \mathfrak{m}_{p_1}^{a_1}\mathfrak{m}_{p_2}^{a_2} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_{p_n}^{a_n}$  is principal if  $a_1 + \cdots + a_n$  is even.*

**Lemma 4.** *An ideal  $I = \mathfrak{m}_{p_1}^{a_1}\mathfrak{m}_{p_2}^{a_2} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_{p_n}^{a_n}$  is not principal if  $a_1 + \cdots + a_n$  is odd.*

*Proof.* We first show that maximal ideals in the ring  $C_{an}(S^1; \mathbf{R})$  are not principal. This is obvious because identifying  $S^1$  with  $\mathbf{R}/2\pi\mathbf{Z}$ , the number of zeros of any analytic function on  $S^1$  counted with multiplicity is even—this is simply because of the intermediate value theorem. Now, if  $I = \mathfrak{m}_{p_1}^{a_1} \mathfrak{m}_{p_2}^{a_2} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_{p_n}^{a_n}$  with  $a_1 + \cdots + a_n$  odd, then  $I = \mathfrak{m}_{p_1}(g)$  by the even case. If  $I = (f)$ , then  $f \in \mathfrak{m}_{p_1} \subset (g)$  so that  $f/g$  is analytic. But then  $\mathfrak{m}_{p_1} = (f/g)$ , which is a contradiction, as  $f/g$  has an even number of zeros counting multiplicity, while  $\mathfrak{m}_{p_1}$  has only a common zero at  $p_1$ . ■

Finally, we have the following factorization result.

**Theorem 1.** *Every nonzero proper ideal in the ring  $C_{an}(S^1; \mathbf{R})$  is of the form  $\mathfrak{m}_{p_1}^{a_1} \mathfrak{m}_{p_2}^{a_2} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_{p_n}^{a_n}$  for points  $p_1, \dots, p_n$ .*

Before proving this theorem, we observe the following very interesting fact.

**Corollary 2.**  *$C_{an}(S^1; \mathbf{R})$  is a Dedekind domain that has class number 2.*

*Proof.* This immediately follows from [Theorem 1](#), [Corollary 1](#), and [Lemma 4](#). ■

**Remarks on Dedekind domains and class groups.** Let us recall briefly the role of Dedekind domains in number theory. Dedekind domains are precisely the class of integral domains in which the fractional ideals are invertible. The rings of algebraic integers in finite extension fields of  $\mathbb{Q}$  are natural examples of Dedekind domains. Moreover, any PID is a Dedekind domain. The class group of a Dedekind domain is the group of fractional ideals modulo the principal fractional ideals. A Dedekind domain is a UFD if and only if the class group of fractional ideals is trivial. Many subtleties involved in solving Diophantine equations arise from the fact that many rings of algebraic integers arising in their study have nontrivial class group. The Fermat equation cannot be studied by elementary algebraic methods due to the (amazing) fact that the ring of integers in the field generated by the  $p$ th roots of unity for a prime  $p$  is not a UFD for any prime  $p \geq 23$ . By a theorem of Claborn (see [\[2\]](#)), every abelian group can be realized as the class group of some Dedekind domain; the analogous problem is open for rings of algebraic integers. In other words, it is expected but still unknown whether every finite abelian group can be realized as the class group of a ring of integers in an algebraic number field.

Finally, let us prove [Theorem 1](#).

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Consider any proper, nonzero ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ . Let  $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  be the common zeros of  $\mathcal{I}$ —as we observed above, this is finite, as every nonzero analytic function on  $S^1$  has only finitely many zeros. For each  $k \leq n$ , let  $a_k$  be minimal among the orders of zeros of elements of  $\mathcal{I}$  at  $p_k$ . Then it is clear that

$$\mathcal{I} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{p_1}^{a_1} \mathfrak{m}_{p_2}^{a_2} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_{p_n}^{a_n}.$$

We will show that  $\mathcal{I} = \prod_{k=1}^n \mathfrak{m}_{p_k}^{a_k}$ .

Let us first assume that  $a_1 + \cdots + a_n$  is even.

Let  $f$  be an element of  $\mathcal{I}$  whose order of zero at  $p_k$  is  $a_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Such an  $f$  exists since  $\mathcal{I}$  contains elements  $f_k$  vanishing at  $p_k$  with order  $a_k$ , and we may consider a suitable linear combination  $g_1 f_1 + \cdots + g_n f_n$ . This function  $f$  may have other zeros different from  $p_k$ ; we wish to change  $f$  such that the new element is in  $\mathcal{I}$ , has zeros of order  $a_k$  at  $p_k$ , and has no other zeros. This is accomplished as follows.

As we observed in the beginning, every analytic function changes signs an even number of times by the intermediate value theorem. Let us write  $0 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_n < 2\pi$ . In some of the intervals  $[p_i, p_{i+1}]$  (among  $[p_1, p_2], [p_2, p_3], \dots, [p_n, p_1]$ ), the function  $f$  changes sign an even number of times and in others, it changes sign an odd number of times. The latter happens in an even number of intervals  $[p_i, p_{i+1}]$ . If we select some analytic function  $g$  that has simple zeros at some interior point of each of these latter intervals and no other zeros, then the function  $fg \in \mathcal{I}$  and has the property that  $fg$  vanishes at each  $p_i$  exactly to the order  $a_i$ , and has an even number of sign changes in each interval  $(p_1, p_2), (p_2, p_3), \dots, (p_n, p_1)$ . It also changes signs at an even number of the  $p_i$ 's. At these even numbers of  $p_i$ 's, there is an analytic function  $h$  with simple zeros and no other roots. We may multiply the analytic function  $h$  by an element  $\phi \in \mathcal{I}$  that has no zeros in any of the open intervals  $(p_i, p_{i+1})$  (we may square and assume the value of  $\phi$  is positive in each of these open intervals). By changing the sign of  $h$  if necessary, we may assume it has the same sign as  $f$  around each  $p_i$ . Then  $h\phi \in \mathcal{I}$  has simple zeros at the  $p_i$ 's, no other zeros, and has the sign of  $f$  in each open interval  $(p_i, p_{i+1})$ . Since continuous (hence analytic) functions are bounded on a compact set, therefore, for a large constant  $c$ , the function  $fg + ch\phi$  is in  $\mathcal{I}$  and has zeros of order  $a_i$  at  $p_i$  and no other zeros. Hence,  $\mathcal{I} \supseteq \prod_{i=1}^n m_{p_i}^{a_i}$ , which shows that these ideals are equal.

Now assume that  $a_1 + \dots + a_n$  is odd. Let  $f \in m_{p_1}^{a_1} m_{p_2}^{a_2} \dots m_{p_n}^{a_n}$ . Since it must have an even number of zeros (counting multiplicity), it must have a zero  $q \notin \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  or it has a zero of order greater than  $a_k$  at some  $p_k$  (in which case we put  $q = p_k$ ). Let  $\mathcal{J} = \{g \in \mathcal{I} | g(q) = 0\}$  (in case  $q = p_k$ , we take  $g$  to have zeros at  $p_k$  with multiplicity greater than  $a_k$ ). By the even case treated already,  $\mathcal{J} = m_{p_1}^{a_1} m_{p_2}^{a_2} \dots m_{p_n}^{a_n} m_q$ . Thus  $f$  belongs to the right-hand side. Thus  $f \in \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}$ . This completes the proof. ■

We end with a remark that is relevant to the fact that the ring of real analytic functions on  $S^1$  has class number 2. Carlitz proved (in [1]) that Dedekind domains with class number 2 are *half-factorial domains*; viz., different irreducible factorizations of elements must have the same length. Finally, we mention that we are interested in generalizations of the above result to compact manifolds.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** The second author was a project student at the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India, when this note was written. The authors would like to thank the two referees for suggestions to rewrite some parts more clearly. Interestingly, one of the referees brought the authors' attention to a link [math.stackexchange.com/questions/154605/ring-of-analytic-functions-on-the-circle](https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/154605/ring-of-analytic-functions-on-the-circle) that contains a discussion of the same example studied in this note.

## REFERENCES

- 
- [1] Carlitz, L. (1960). A characterization of algebraic number fields with class number two. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 11: 391–392.
  - [2] Claborn, L. (1966). Every abelian group is a class group. *Pac. J. Math.* 18: 219–222.
  - [3] Jensen, C. U. (1985). Some curiosities of rings of analytic functions. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra.* 38: 277–283.

*Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, 1015, Barbara Place, Apt-3, Salt Lake City, UT 84102, USA*  
[pandey@math.utah.edu](mailto:pandey@math.utah.edu)

*School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India*  
[sagars@math.tifr.res.in](mailto:sagars@math.tifr.res.in)

*Statistics & Mathematics Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 8th Mile Mysore Road, Bangalore 560059, India*  
[surybang@gmail.com](mailto:surybang@gmail.com)