

# Central Extensions of a $p$ -adic Division Algebra

B. Sury

Statistics and Mathematics Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 8th Mile Mysore Road,  
Bangalore 560 059, India  
e-mail: sury@isibang.ac.in

**Subject Classification:** 20 G 25

## 1. Introduction

Let  $k$  be a finite extension of the field  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  of  $p$ -adic numbers and suppose  $D$  is a finite-dimensional central division algebra over  $k$ .

Then, the group  $G = SL_1(D)$  consisting of elements of reduced norm 1 in  $D$  acquires a topology from  $k$  and is a compact, totally disconnected (i.e., a profinite) group. We are interested in finding the possible (topological) central extensions

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1.$$

General nonsense tells us that the set of central extensions is determined by a group, denoted  $H^2(G, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ , which, in this case, is known to be finite by some deep work of Raghunathan on the congruence subgroup problem ([R]). It is expected (although unknown as yet) that, barring a handful of exceptions,  $H^2(G, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mu(k)_p$ , the finite cyclic group of  $p$ -th power roots of unity in  $k$ . In 1988, Gopal Prasad & M. S. Raghunathan proved ([PR]) that  $H^2(G, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$  is a finite cyclic group containing an isomorphic copy of  $\mu(k)_p$  and is trivial if  $\mu(k)_p$  is trivial.

These results were sufficient for their original motivation to solve the so-called metaplectic problem which comes up in the congruence subgroup problem. However, the general computation of  $H^2$  is still open.

Our aim here is to stretch the method of [PR] and study the  $p^2$ -torsion in  $H^2(G, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$  with a view to proving that if  $H^2$  has an element of order  $p^2$ , then  $k$  contains a primitive  $p^2$ -th root of unity. The computations are rather cumbersome, and we carry them out fully only in a special case when  $p = 3$  and  $D$  is the quaternion division algebra although we have partial results in more generality.

One probably needs new ideas along with the work of [PR] if one wants to compute  $H^2$  in general. Perhaps, on the other hand, the seminal work of Lazard ([L]) on compact  $p$ -adic Lie groups has not been exploited sufficiently enough.

## 2. Basic structure of $D$

The structure of  $p$ -adic division algebras had been investigated by C. Riehm in [Ri]. Let us briefly recall some details.

$D$  contains  $R$ , its maximal compact subring which, in turn, contains a unique (two-sided) maximal ideal  $P$ . The group  $G = SL(1, D)$  of elements of reduced norm 1 in  $D$ , is a profinite group which is normal in  $D^*$ .

$G$  admits a filtration  $G_i = \{g \in G : g \equiv 1 \pmod{P^i}\}$  for  $i \geq 1$ . In fact,  $G_i$  are all normal in  $D^*$ .  $G_1$  is a pro- $p$  group and  $G/G_1$  is a finite, cyclic group of order prime to  $p$ .

For  $i, j \geq 1$ , we have  $[G_i, G_j] \subseteq G_{i+j}$ . In particular,  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is an abelian group for  $i \geq 1$ .

By local class field theory, there exists a uniformising parameter  $\pi$  in  $P$  which normalises the maximal unramified extension  $K$  of degree  $d$  over  $k$ . Also, the automorphism of  $K$  given by the conjugation by  $\pi$  generates the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(K/k) \cong \text{Gal}(F/f)$  where  $F, f$  are the residue fields of  $K, k$  respectively.

Moreover, the non-zero elements  $F^*$  can be identified with  $\mu(K)_{\text{tame}}$ , the cyclic group of prime-to- $p$  roots of unity in  $K$ .

Each element  $g \in R$  can be uniquely expressed as  $g = g_0 + \sum_{n \geq 1} g_n \pi^n$  with  $g_n \in \mu(K)_{\text{tame}} \cup \{0\}$ .

A little computation shows also that the abelian group  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  can be identified via the map  $\rho_i : 1 + \sum_{n \geq i} g_n \pi^n \mapsto g_i$  with  $F(i)$  which is either  $E := \{x \in F : \text{Tr}_{F/f}(x) = 0\}$  or the whole of  $F$  according as  $d|i$  or  $d \nmid i$ .

It is also quite easy to show that  $G = G_1(G \cap \mu(K)_{\text{tame}})$ .

Note that each  $F(i)$  is a module for  $G \cap \mu(K)_{\text{tame}}$  under the action  $\phi \cdot x = \frac{\phi}{\sigma^i(\phi)}x$  where we have identified  $\mu(K)_{\text{tame}}$  with  $F \setminus \{0\}$ . A consequence of Hilbert's theorem 90 is that there is a nontrivial homomorphism (of modules) from  $F(i)$  to  $F(j)$  if, and only if,  $i \equiv j \pmod{d}$ .

### 3. Conditions for roots of unity

It is easy to write down necessary and sufficient conditions for  $k$  to contain a primitive  $p^2$ -th root of unity.

Recall that  $k \subset K \subset D$  and  $\pi$  is a uniformising parameter in  $D$  normalising  $K$ . Let  $e$  denote the ramification index of  $k$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $d$  denote the degree of  $D$  over  $k$ . Then  $\pi^d$  is a uniformising parameter for  $k$  and, one can expand  $p$  over  $k$  as

$$p = \theta \pi^{de} + \theta_d \pi^{de+d} + \dots$$

for some  $\theta$ 's in  $f$ .

Now, well-known properties of the  $p$ -th power map (see [M], P. 167–168) tells us that  $k$  has a primitive  $p^2$ -th root of unity if, and only if,  $p(p-1)$  divides  $e$  and there exists some  $Y = 1 + Y_{de/p(p-1)} \pi^{de/p(p-1)} + Y_{de/p(p-1)+d} \pi^{de/p(p-1)+d} + \dots$  such that  $Y_{de/p(p-1)} \neq 0$  and such that  $Y^{p^2} \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^{de/p(p-1)+de+d}}$ .

This gives (using the expression of  $p$ ) certain polynomial equations in the  $Y_i$ 's with coefficients as some  $\theta$ 's. We get finitely many polynomials over  $f$ .

Our aim is, therefore, to deduce the simultaneous solvability of these equations by somehow getting information over  $f$  that can be derived from the assumption that  $H^2$  has  $p^2$ -torsion.

It should be pointed out that the corresponding calculation with  $p$  in place of  $p^2$  is much easier and was carried out in [PR].

#### 4. Strategy of studying $H^2$ (after [PR])

In this section, we recall the basic method as well as the results of Prasad & Raghunathan from [PR] which we shall be using.

*Fact 1.* Using the fact that  $G$  is profinite, it is easy to deduce that

$H^2(G, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(G, J)$  where  $J$  is the subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  consisting of  $p$ -power order (considered with the discrete topology).

We shall be using the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence for the situation  $G_{i-1}/G_i \leq G/G_i$  for  $i > 1$ .

A very useful property is that given a central extension  $C \subseteq E \rightarrow A$  where  $A$  is abelian is that one has a lifted ‘commutator’ map; if  $a, b \in A$ , then for arbitrary lifts  $x, y$  of  $a, b \in E$ , the commutator  $[x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$  lands inside  $C$  and, is independent of the lifts. One often writes  $[a, b]$  for this element of  $C$ . When  $C$  is a divisible group (like our  $J$ ), the extension is ‘trivial’ if, and only if,  $E$  itself is abelian.

In our case, we shall use it for  $G_i/G_j$  which is abelian if  $2i \geq j$ .

*Fact 2.*  $H^2(G, J) \xrightarrow{\lim} H^2(G/G_i, J)$  and, the ‘inflation’ maps  $\text{inf}(i) : H^2(G/G_i, J) \rightarrow H^2(G, J)$  are injective if, and only if,  $d \nmid i$ . Moreover,  $H^2(G, J)$  is the union of the images  $H^2(G)_i$  over all  $i$  under the inflation maps;  $H^2(G)_i$  is an increasing filtration.

If  $d \mid i$ , then there is a natural identification of  $\text{Ker } \text{inf}(i)$  with the vector space  $E$  of elements of trace zero in  $F$  over  $f$ .

Thus, one needs to compare  $H^2(G)_i$  and  $H^2(G)_{i-1}$  for various  $i$ .

*Fact 3.* If  $d \nmid i$ ,  $H^2(G)_i = H^2(G)_{i-1}$ .

In fact, one can show that the same equality holds if  $dp \nmid i$ ; this uses some commutator identities due to P. Hall which are valid in any group.

(P. Hall) In any group  $G$ , for elements  $a, b, c$  one has

$$[[a, b], {}^b c][[b, c], {}^c a][[c, a], {}^a b] = 1.$$

Look at the inflation maps

$$H^2(G/G_{r-1}, J) \rightarrow H^2(G/G_r, J) \rightarrow H^2(G, J).$$

If  $d \mid r$ , since the inflation  $\text{inf}(r)$  is not injective, it is necessary to know when some  $c \in H^2(G/G_r, J)$  inflates in  $H^2(G, J)$  to an element which comes from  $H^2(G/G_{r-1}, J)$ . This happens if  $c$  restricts to the trivial extension over the subgroup  $G_{r-1}/G_r$ . More precisely, using the Hochschild-Serre sequence corresponding to  $G_{r-1}/G_r \triangleleft G/G_r$ , we see that:

*Fact 4.*  $c$  comes from  $H^2(G/G_{r-1}, J)$  if, and only if, it is in  $\text{Ker}(H^2(G/G_r, J) \rightarrow H^2(G_{r-1}/G_r, J)) \cap E_\infty^{1,1}$ .

This is understood better as follows.

For any  $c$  in  $H^2(G/G_r, J)$ , let  $J \subseteq E \rightarrow G/G_r$  denote the corresponding central extension. The  $E_2^{1,1}$ -term is

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(G/G_{r-1}, H^1(G_{r-1}/G_r, J)) &= H^1(G_1/[G_1, G_1], \text{Hom}(F(r-1), J))^{G/G_1} \\ &= \text{Hom}(F(1), \text{Hom}(F(r-1), J))^{G \cap \mu(K)_{\text{tame}}}. \end{aligned}$$

As we noted, this is nontrivial only if  $d|r$ . If  $d|r$ , this is just the set of all (equivariant) bimultiplicative maps from  $F(1) \times F(r-1)$  to  $f_0$  where we shall write  $f_0$  for the prime field  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ .

In fact, it is easy to write down all its elements. These are the maps  $F(1) \times F(r-1) \rightarrow f_0$ ;  $(X, Y) \mapsto Tr_{F/f_0}(\lambda X \sigma(Y))$  for some  $\lambda \in F$ . With this identification, it follows that  $c$  comes from the previous level if, and only if, the corresponding  $\lambda$  has trace zero over  $f$  i.e., we get:

*Fact 5.*  $c$  in  $H^2(G/G_r, J)$  is the inflation of an element of  $H^2(G/G_{r-1}, J)$  if, and only if, there exists  $\lambda \in F$  of trace zero over  $f$  such that  $c$  can be ‘regarded’ as (this is the image in the  $E_2^{1,1}$ -term) the map from  $F(1) \times F(r-1)$  to  $f_0 = \mathbb{Z}/p$  given by

$$\wedge^c(X, Y) = Tr_{F/f_0}(\lambda X \sigma(Y)) \quad \forall X \in F(1), Y \in F(r-1).$$

It should be noted that the map above is induced by the ‘commutator’ map from  $E_1 \times E_{r-1}$  to  $J$  where  $E_i$  is the inverse image of  $G_i/G_r$  in  $E$ .

More generally, from P. Hall’s identity, one can easily show that  $E_i$  commutes with  $E_{r-i+1} \quad \forall i \leq r$ . Thus the central extension splits over  $G_i/G_r$  whenever  $2i > r$ . Thus:

*Fact 6.* If  $r > 2$  and  $\epsilon < \frac{r}{2}$  then the restriction map  $H^2(G/G_r, J) \rightarrow H^2(G_{r-\epsilon}/G_r, J)$  is the zero map.

Once again, if  $\epsilon < \frac{r}{2}$ , we can consider the Hochschild-Serre sequence corresponding to  $G_{r-\epsilon}/G_r \triangleleft G/G_r$ . By the above, ( $E_\infty^{0,2}$ -term is zero and so) we have a homomorphism

$$H^2(G/G_r, J) \rightarrow E_\infty^{1,1} \subseteq E_2^{1,1} = H^1(G/G_{r-\epsilon}, \text{Hom}(G_{r-\epsilon}/G_r, J)).$$

One can show that the above-mentioned image in the  $E_2^{1,1}$ -term actually comes from  $(H^1(G/G_{\epsilon+1}, \text{Hom}(G_{r-\epsilon}/G_r, J)))$ . More precisely, :

*Fact 7.* With  $\epsilon < r/2$ , the image in  $E_2^{1,1}$  is contained in the image of

$$\text{infl} : H^1(G/G_{\epsilon+1}, \text{Hom}(G_{r-\epsilon}/G_r, J)) \rightarrow E_2^{1,1}.$$

A key point (discovered in [PR]) is that one can describe these 1-cocycles very explicitly. We describe this now.

*Fact 8.* Let  $\epsilon, s, t$  be positive integers s.t.  $\epsilon \leq \min.(de, \frac{1}{2}dt)$  and  $s \geq \epsilon + 1$ . Let  $f = \lceil \frac{\epsilon-1}{d} \rceil$ . For  $(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_f) \in F^{f+1}$ ,

$$Z_{(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_f)}(a)(b) = Tr_{F/f_0} \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq u \leq f} \sum_{\ell+m \leq \epsilon-du} \lambda_u(\ell) a_\ell \sigma^\ell(a'_m) \sigma^{\ell+m}(b_{dt-du-\ell-m}) \right\}$$

(here  $a = \sum a_\ell \pi^\ell \in D_1/D_s$ ,  $b = \sum b_m \pi^m \in D_{dt-\epsilon}/D_{dt}$ ) is a  $F$ -invariant 1-cocycle on  $D_1/D_s$  with values in  $\text{Hom}(D_{dt-\epsilon}/D_{dt})$ ; these restricted to  $G_1/G_s \times G_{dt-\epsilon}/G_{dt}$  and then extended to  $G/G_s \times G_{dt-\epsilon}/G_{dt}$  by defining them to be zero on  $(G \cap F) \times G_{dt-\epsilon}/G_{dt}$ , give all the cohomology classes in  $H^1(G/G_s, \text{Hom}(G_{dt-\epsilon}/G_{dt}, J))$ .

Also, here  $\lambda(l)$  stands for  $\lambda + \sigma(\lambda) + \dots + \sigma^{l-1}(\lambda)$ .

We shall be using this only for  $\epsilon = de, s = de + 1$  and  $t = \frac{ep}{p-1} + e$ .

Finally, let us note:

*Fact 9.*  $H^2(G)_r = 0$  for  $r < \frac{dep}{p-1}$  and  $H^2(G)_{dep/(p-1)}$  constitutes the elements of order at most  $p$  in  $H^2(G, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ .

Moreover, if  $H^2(G, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$  has an element of order  $p^2$ , then  $r = \frac{dep}{p-1} + de$  where  $H^2(G)_r$  is the earliest where an element of order  $p^2$  shows up.

This is because the  $p$ -th power gives  $G_{\frac{dep}{p-1}} \cong G_{\frac{dep}{p-1} + de}$  and an element  $c$  of  $H^2(G)_{\frac{dep}{p-1} + i}$  is of order  $p^j \Leftrightarrow c^p$  in  $H^2(G)_{\frac{dep}{p-1} + i - de}$  is of order  $p^{j-1}$ . Also, we have  $p|e$  because cohomology ‘pops up’ at stages which are multiples of  $pd$ . Thus,  $pd/de$  i.e.  $p|e$ .

## 5. Outline of proof

Here is how we obtain conditions over  $f$  using the assumption that  $H^2$  has  $p^2$ -torsion. We look at the corresponding element in

$$H^1(G/G_{1+de}, H^1(G_{dep/(p-1)}/G_{dep/(p-1)+de}, J)).$$

We consider the abelian subgroup  $A = K \cap G$  of  $G$  and, as elements of the above cohomology group, we may write down the equations  $[X, Y^{p^2}] = 0 \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the image of  $A$  in  $G/G_{\frac{dep}{p-1} + de}$ . These commutators are computed with the help of the above explicit expressions for  $\epsilon = de, dt = \frac{dep}{p-1} + de, s = de + 1$  as written down in fact 8.

We note that the triviality of these commutators is due to their bilinearity. Thus we get equations over  $f$  from which we try to deduce the required equations (for  $p^2$ th root to exist in  $k$ ) in  $f$ . Note that in the computation of commutators in the central extension corresponding to  $c$ , the  $\lambda_0$  which figures is such that  $T_{r_{f/J}}(\lambda_0) \neq 0$  since  $\frac{dep}{p-1} + de$  is the smallest level where  $p^2$ -torsion occurs.

## 6. The prime $p = 3$

We carry out the computations only in the following special case.

We assume  $p = 3, d = 2, e = p(p-1) = 6$ .

As  $\pi^2$  is a uniformising parameter for  $k$ , we can write  $\frac{3}{\pi^{12}} = \theta + \theta_2\pi^2 + \theta_4\pi^4 + \dots$  where  $\theta, \theta_2, \dots \in f$ .

For a  $p^2$ -th root of 1 to exist in  $k$ , it is necessary and sufficient that  $\exists Y \in U_{\frac{de}{p(p-1)}}$  such that  $Y^{p^2} \in U_{\frac{dep}{p-1} + de + 1}$  and such that  $Y \notin U_{\frac{de}{p-1}}$  i.e.  $Y$  is not a  $p$ -th root.

In our case, we want  $Y \in U_2 \setminus U_6$  such that  $Y^9 \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^{31}}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta Y_2^3 + Y_2^9 &= 0 \\ \theta_2 &= 0 \\ \theta_4 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta(Y_4 + Y_2^2)^3 + \theta_6 Y_2^3 &= 0 \\
\theta^2 Y_2 + \theta_8 Y_2^3 &= 0 \\
\theta^2(Y_4 + Y_2^2) + \theta_{10} Y_2^3 &= 0 \\
\theta^2 Y_6 + \theta Y_6^3 + 2(\theta^2 Y_2 Y_4 + \theta Y_2^3 Y_4^3) + \theta_6(Y_4^3 + Y_2^6) + \theta_{12} Y_2^3 &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We can find such a  $Y$  if, and only if, the following ‘compatibility’ conditions hold

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_2 &= 0 \\
\theta_4 &= 0 \\
\theta + X^2 = 0 &\text{ has a solution } \mu \text{ in } f \\
\theta_8^3 &= \theta^5 \\
\theta_{10}^3 + \theta^4 \theta_6 &= 0 \\
X^9 + \theta^3 X^3 = \theta_6^3 + \theta_6^6 \mu^{-9} - \theta_6 \mu^6 + \theta_{12}^3 \mu^{-3} &\text{ has a solution over } f.
\end{aligned}$$

If these hold, then the solutions for  $Y$  are:

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_2 &= \text{A solution of } Y_2^6 + \theta = 0 \\
Y_4 &= \text{A solution of } Y_4^3 = \theta_6 Y_2^{-3} - Y_2^6 \\
Y_6 &= \text{A solution of } Y_6^9 + \theta^3 Y_6^3 = \theta_6^3 + \frac{\theta_6^6}{Y_2^{27}} + \theta^3 \theta_6 + \frac{\theta_{12}}{Y_2^9}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us expand the relevant powers of  $Y$  now using the expression of  $p$  in  $k$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
Y &= 1 + Y_2 \pi^2 + Y_4 \pi^4 + Y_6 \pi^6 + \dots \in U_2 \\
Y^3 &= 1 + (\theta \pi^{12} + \theta_2 \pi^{14} + \theta_4 \pi^{16} + \dots)(Y_2 \pi^2 + Y_4 \pi^4 + Y_6 \pi^6 + \dots) \\
&\quad + (\theta \pi^{12} + \theta_2 \pi^{14} + \dots)(Y_2^2 \pi^4 + 2Y_2 Y_4 \pi^6 + (Y_4^2 + 2Y_2 Y_6) \pi^8 + \dots) \\
&\quad + (Y_2^3 \pi^6 + Y_4^3 \pi^{12} + Y_6^3 \pi^{18} + \dots) \\
&= 1 + Y_2^3 \pi^6 + Y_4^3 \pi^{12} + \theta Y_2 \pi^{14} + (\theta Y_2^2 + \theta Y_4 + \theta_2 Y_2) \pi^{16} \\
&\quad + (Y_6^3 + 2\theta Y_2 Y_4 + \theta Y_6 + \theta_2 Y_2^2 + \theta_2 Y_4 + \theta_4 Y_2) \pi^{18} + \dots \\
Y^9 &= 1 + (\theta \pi^{12} + \theta_2 \pi^{14} + \dots)(Y_2^3 \pi^6 + Y_4^3 \pi^{12} + \theta Y_2 \pi^{14} + (\theta Y_2^2 + \theta Y_4 + \theta_2 Y_2) \pi^{16} \\
&\quad + (Y_6^3 + 2\theta Y_2 Y_4 + \theta Y_6 + \theta_2 Y_2^2 + \theta_2 Y_4 + \theta_4 Y_2) \pi^{18} + \dots) \\
&\quad + (\theta \pi^{12} + \theta_2 \pi^{14} + \dots)(Y_2^6 \pi^{12} + 2Y_2^3 Y_4^3 \pi^{18} + \dots) + (Y_2^9 \pi^{18} \bmod \pi^{36}) \\
&= 1 + (\theta Y_2^3 + Y_2^9) \pi^{18} + \theta_2 Y_2^3 \pi^{20} + \theta_4 Y_2^3 \pi^{22} + (\theta Y_2^6 + \theta Y_4^3 + \theta_6 Y_2^3) \pi^{24} \\
&\quad + (\theta^2 Y_2 + \theta_2 Y_4^3 + \theta_2 Y_2^6 + \theta_8 Y_2^3) \pi^{26}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + (\theta^2 Y_2^2 + \theta^2 Y_4 + 2\theta\theta_2 Y_2 + \theta_4 Y_2^6 + \theta_4 Y_4^3 + \theta_{10} Y_2^3) \pi^{28} \\
 & + (\theta^2 Y_6 + \theta Y_6^3 + 2(\theta^2 Y_2 Y_4 + \theta Y_2^3 Y_4^3) + \theta_6 (Y_4 + Y_2^2)^3 \\
 & + \theta_{12} Y_2^3 + 2\theta\theta_2 Y_2^2 + 2\theta\theta_2 Y_4 + 2\theta\theta_4 Y_2 + \theta_2^2 Y_2) \pi^{30} + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Now  $H^1(G/G_{1+de}, \text{Hom}(G \frac{dep}{p-1} / G \frac{dep}{p-1} + de, J)) = H^1(G/G_{13}, \text{Hom}(G_{18}/G_{30}, J))$ . Consider  $X, Y \in K^1$  such that  $Y^9 \in G_{18}/G_{30}$ .

One knows then that  $[X, Y^9] = [X, Y]^9 = 1$  and  $[X, Y^9]$  can be calculated from our knowledge of  $H^1(G/G_{13}, \text{Hom}(G_{18}/G_{30}, J))$ .

In fact, if  $X = 1 + \sum_1^6 X_{2i} \pi^{2i}$  and  $Y = 1 + \sum Y_{2i} \pi^{2i}$ , if  $Y^9 = 1 + \sum_9^{14} b_{2i} \pi^{2i}$ , then

$$[X, Y^9] = \text{Tr}_{F/f_0} \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq u \leq 5} \sum_{\ell+m \leq 12-2u} \lambda_u(\ell) X_\ell \bar{X}_m b_{30-2u-\ell-m} \right\}. \quad (1)$$

Here  $X^{-1} = 1 + \sum \bar{X}_{2i} \pi^{2i}$ . Since  $Y^9 \in G_{18}/G_{30}$ , we have  $b_i = 0$  for  $i \neq 18, 20, 22, 24, 26$  or  $28$ .

Contributions to the right side of (1) are as follows:

For  $u = 5$ , it is

$$\text{Tr}_{F/f_0} \{ \lambda_5(2) X_2 b_{18} \} = \text{Tr}_{F/f_0} \{ \text{Tr}_{F/f}(\lambda_5) X_2 (\theta Y_2^3 + Y_2^9) \}.$$

For  $u = 4$ , it is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Tr}_{F/f_0} \{ \lambda_4(2) (X_2 b_{20} + X_2 \bar{X}_2 b_{18}) + \lambda_4(4) X_4 b_{18} \} \\
 & = \text{Tr}_{F/f_0} \{ \text{Tr}_{F/f}(\lambda_4) [X_2 \theta_2 Y_2^3 + (2X_4 + X_2 \bar{X}_2) (\theta Y_2^3 + Y_2^9)] \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $u = 3$ , it is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Tr}_{F/f_0} \{ \lambda_3(2) (X_2 b_{22} + X_2 \bar{X}_2 b_{20} + X_2 \bar{X}_4 b_{18}) \\
 & \quad + \lambda_3(4) (X_4 b_{20} + X_4 \bar{X}_2 b_{18}) + \lambda_3(6) X_6 b_{18} \} \\
 & = \text{Tr}_{F/f_0} [ \text{Tr}_{F/f}(\lambda_3) \{ X_2 \theta_4 Y_2^3 + (2X_4 + X_2 \bar{X}_2) \theta_2 Y_2^3 \\
 & \quad + (2X_4 \bar{X}_2 + X_2 \bar{X}_4) (\theta Y_2^3 + Y_2^9) \} ].
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\lambda_3(6) = 3 \text{Tr}_{F/f}(\lambda_3) = 0$ .

For  $u = 2$ , it is

$$\text{Tr}_{F/f_0} \left\{ \text{Tr}_{F/f}(\lambda_2) \left[ X_2 (\theta Y_2^6 + \theta Y_4^3 + \theta_6 Y_2^3) + (2X_4 + X_2 \bar{X}_2) \theta_4 Y_2^3 \right. \right. \\
 \left. \left. + (2X_4 \bar{X}_2 + X_2 \bar{X}_4) \theta_2 Y_2^3 + (X_8 + 2X_4 \bar{X}_4 + X_2 \bar{X}_6) (\theta Y_2^3 + Y_2^9) \right] \right\}.$$

For  $u = 1$ , it is

$$Tr_{F/f_0} \left\{ Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_1) \begin{bmatrix} X_2(\theta^2 Y_2 + \theta_2 Y_4^3 + \theta_2 Y_2^6 + \theta_8 Y_2^3) \\ + (2X_4 + X_2 \bar{X}_2)(\theta Y_2^6 + \theta Y_4^3 + \theta_6 Y_2^3) \\ + (2X_4 \bar{X}_2 + X_2 \bar{X}_4) \theta_4 Y_2^3 + (X_8 + 2X_4 \bar{X}_4 + X_2 \bar{X}_6) \theta_2 Y_2^3 \\ + (2X_{10} + X_8 \bar{X}_2 + 2X_4 \bar{X}_6 + X_2 \bar{X}_8)(\theta Y_2^3 + Y_2^9) \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

For  $u = 0$ , it is

$$Tr_{F/f_0} \left\{ Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_0) \begin{bmatrix} X_2(\theta^2 Y_2^2 + \theta^2 Y_4 + 2\theta \theta_2 Y_2 + \theta_4 Y_2^6 + \theta_4 Y_4^3 + \theta_{10} Y_2^3) \\ + (2X_4 + X_2 \bar{X}_2)(\theta^2 Y_2 + \theta_2 Y_4^3 + \theta_2 Y_2^6 + \theta_8 Y_2^3) \\ + (2X_4 \bar{X}_2 + X_2 \bar{X}_4)(\theta Y_2^6 + \theta Y_4^3 + \theta_6 Y_2^3) \\ + (X_8 + 2X_4 \bar{X}_4 + X_2 \bar{X}_6) \theta_4 Y_2^3 \\ + (2X_{10} + X_8 \bar{X}_2 + 2X_4 \bar{X}_6 + X_2 \bar{X}_8) \theta_2 Y_2^3 \\ + (2X_{10} \bar{X}_2 + X_8 \bar{X}_4 + 2X_4 \bar{X}_8 + X_2 \bar{X}_{10})(\theta Y_2^3 + Y_2^9) \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

We also see (since  $X$  is of norm 1 and  $p = 3$ ) that

$$\begin{aligned} 2X_4 + X_2 \bar{X}_2 &= -(X_4 + X_2^2) \\ 2X_4 \bar{X}_2 + X_2 \bar{X}_4 &= X_2^3 \\ 2X_{10} \bar{X}_2 + X_8 \bar{X}_4 + 2X_4 \bar{X}_8 + X_2 \bar{X}_{10} &= -(X_4^3 + X_2^6). \end{aligned}$$

We have  $0 = [X, Y^9] =$  Sum of these 6 terms corresponding to the values  $u = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ .

Now, we start proving the compatibility conditions hold good.

Define  $\tilde{X}$  by changing  $X_{10}$  to  $\tilde{X}_{10} = X_{10} + \mu$  for some  $\mu$  of trace 0. Then, we can have  $\tilde{X} \in K^1$  with  $\tilde{X}_i = X_i$  for  $i < 10$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [\tilde{X}, Y^9] - [X, Y^9] \\ &= Tr_{F/f_0} \left\{ Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_1) 2\mu(\theta Y_2^3 + Y_2^9) + Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_0) 2\mu \theta_2 Y_2^3 \right\} \\ &= 4Tr_{f/f_0} \left\{ Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_1) \mu(\theta Y_2^3 + Y_2^9) + Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_0) \mu \theta_2 Y_2^3 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have used the fact that when  $d = 2$ ,  $E \cdot E = f$ .

For  $Y_2 \neq 0$ , since  $\mu Y_2^3$  could be any arbitrary element of  $f$ , not depending on  $Y_2^3$ , we must have

$$Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_1)(\theta + Y_2^6) + Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_0)\theta_2 = 0 \forall Y_2 \in E.$$

If we take  $\tilde{Y}_2$  whose square is not  $Y_2^2$ , then we get, on subtraction,

$$Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_1)(\tilde{Y}_2^6 - Y_2^6) = 0 \text{ i.e. } Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_1) = 0. \quad (2)$$



Therefore (as the existence of  $p^2$ -torsion implies that  $Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_0) \neq 0$ ), we obtain

$$\theta_2 = 0.$$

Then, the first compatibility condition for the existence of a primitive  $p^2$ -th root of unity in  $k$  is proved.

Let us do the same with  $X_8$  now i.e. call  $\tilde{X} = 1 + X_2\pi^2 + X_4\pi^4 + X_6\pi^6 + (X_8 + \mu)\pi^8 + (X_{10} + \mu X_2)\pi^{10} + \dots \in K^1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [\tilde{X}, Y^9] - [X, Y^9] \\ \Rightarrow 0 &= Tr_{f/f_0} \{ Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_2)\mu(\theta Y_2^3 + Y_2^9) + Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_0)\mu\theta_4 Y_2^3 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Changing  $\mu$  to  $\alpha\mu$  for any  $\alpha \in f$ , we get

$$0 = Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_2)(Y_2^9 + \theta Y_2^3) + Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_0)\theta_4 Y_2^3 \quad \forall Y_2 \in E.$$

Again, as before, we will get

$$Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_2) = 0 \tag{3}$$

and therefore,

$$\theta_4 = 0. \tag{4}$$

This is the 2nd compatibility condition.

Now, putting  $X_2 = 0$  in the original formula (which now consists of four terms since  $Tr(\lambda_1) = 0 = Tr(\lambda_2)$ ), we get for all  $X, Y \in E$

$$Tr_{f/f_0} \{ Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_4)X(Y^9 + \theta Y^3) + Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_0)[X(\theta^2 Y + \theta_8 Y^3) + X^3(\theta Y^3 + Y^9)] \} = 0. \tag{5}$$

Again putting  $X_4 = -X_2^2$  in the original formula, we get

$$Tr_{f/f_0} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_5)X_2(Y_2^9 + \theta Y_2^3) + Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_3)X_2^3(Y_2^9 + \theta Y_2^3) \\ &+ Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_0)[X_2(\theta^2 Y_2^2 + \theta^2 Y_4 + \theta_{10} Y_2^3) + X_2^3(\theta Y_2^6 + \theta Y_4^3 + \theta_6 Y_2^3)] \end{aligned} \right\} = 0.$$

Putting  $Y_2 = 0$  will give

$$Tr_{f/f_0} \{ Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_0)(\theta^2 XY + \theta X^3 Y^3) \} = 0 \quad \forall X, Y \in E. \tag{6}$$

Since  $Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_0) \neq 0$  and since  $Z \mapsto \theta^2 Z + \theta Z^3$  is an endomorphism of  $f$ , therefore, it follows from (6) that  $\exists Z \neq 0$  in  $f$  such that  $\theta^2 Z + \theta Z^3 = 0$ . Thus, the 3rd compatibility condition has been proved.

In the above, instead of putting  $Y_2 = 0$ , let us, instead, put  $Y_4 = -Y_2^2$  which will give  $\forall X, y \in E$

$$Tr_{f/f_0} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_5)X(\theta Y^3 + Y^9) + Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_3)X^3(\theta Y^3 + Y^9) \\ &+ Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_0)(\theta_{10}XY^3 + \theta_6X^3Y^3) \end{aligned} \right\} = 0. \tag{7}$$

We shall use this later in the proof of the fifth compatibility condition.

Next our aim is to prove  $\theta_8^3 = \theta^5$  which is the 4th condition. Now since  $F$  is a quadratic extension of  $f$  and  $E$  is the trace zero elements in  $F$ , we have  $E = f \cdot \alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in F$  such that  $\alpha^2 \in f^* \setminus (f^*)^2$ . Let us expand (5) by putting  $X = x\alpha$ ,  $Y = y\alpha$  where  $x, y \in f$ . We get

$$0 = Tr_{f/f_0} \{ \alpha^4 Tr(\lambda_4) Zy^2 (\theta + y^6 \alpha^6) + \alpha^2 Tr(\lambda_0) [Z(\theta^2 + \theta_8 y^2 \alpha^2) + \alpha^4 Z^3 (\theta + y^6 \alpha^6)] \} \quad (8)$$

where we have put  $Z = xy$  and the traces inside are  $Tr_{F/f}$ .

Replacing  $y$  by  $y + 1$  and subtracting, we get

$$0 = Tr_{f/f_0} \left\{ \alpha^4 Tr(\lambda_4) Z [(1-y)\theta + \alpha^6 ((y+1)^8 - y^8)] + \alpha^2 Tr(\lambda_0) [Z\theta_8 \alpha^2 (1-y) + \alpha^{10} Z^3 (1-y^3)] \right\}.$$

Again, putting  $y + 1$  for  $y$  and subtracting,

$$0 = Tr_{f/f_0} \left\{ \alpha^4 Tr(\lambda_4) Z (-\theta - \alpha^6 (1 + y^2 + y^4 + y^6)) + \alpha^2 Tr(\lambda_0) (-Z\theta_8 \alpha^2 - Z^3 \alpha^{10}) \right\}.$$

Assuming that  $\#f$  is large enough so that  $\exists y_1, y_2$  s.t.  $1 + y_1^2 + y_1^4 + y_1^6 \neq 1 + y_2^2 + y_2^4 + y_2^6$ , we will have

$$0 = Tr_{f/f_0} \{ \alpha^{10} Tr(\lambda_4) Z (y_1^2 + y_1^4 + y_1^6 - y_2^2 - y_2^4 - y_2^6) \} \forall Z \in f$$

and so

$$Tr_{F/f}(\lambda_4) = 0. \quad (9)$$

The equation (8) becomes

$0 = Tr_{f/f_0} \{ \alpha^2 Tr(\lambda_0) [Z(\theta^2 + \theta_8 y^2 \alpha^2) + \alpha^4 Z^3 (\theta + y^6 \alpha^6)] \}$ . Putting  $y + 1$  for  $y$  and subtracting,

$$0 = Tr_{f/f_0} \{ \alpha^2 Tr(\lambda_0) [Z\theta_8 \alpha^2 (1-y) + Z^3 \alpha^{10} (1-y^3)] \}.$$

Putting  $-y$  for  $y$  and adding

$$0 = Tr_{f/f_0} \{ \alpha^2 Tr(\lambda_0) [Z\theta_8 \alpha^2 + Z^3 \alpha^{10}] \}.$$

This makes the previous equation

$$0 = Tr_{f/f_0} \{ \alpha^2 Tr(\lambda_0) [Z\theta_8 \alpha^2 y + Z^3 \alpha^{10} y^3] \}.$$

Replace  $y$  by  $y^2$  and substitute in (8) to get

$$0 = Tr_{f/f_0} \{ \alpha^2 Tr(\lambda_0) (Z\theta^2 + Z^3 \alpha^4 \theta) \} \forall Z \in f.$$

Adding the last 2 equations

$$0 = Tr_{f/f_0} \{ \alpha^2 Tr(\lambda_0) (Z\theta^2 + Z\theta_8 \alpha^2 y + Z^3 \alpha^4 \theta + Z^3 \alpha^{10} y^3) \}.$$

Write  $xZ$  in place of  $Z$  and then write  $y = Z^2\alpha^{-2}$  to get

$$0 = \text{Tr}_{f/f_0}\{\alpha^2 \text{Tr}(\lambda_0)[x(\theta^2 Z + \theta_8 Z^3) + x^3(Z^3\alpha^4\theta + Z^9\alpha^4)]\} \forall x, Z.$$

For each  $Z \in f$ ,  $x \mapsto x(\theta^2 Z + \theta_8 Z^3) + x^3(Z^3\alpha^4\theta + Z^9\alpha^4)$  is an endomorphism of  $f$ . Therefore,  $\forall Z \in f$ ,  $\exists$  a corresponding  $x \neq 0$  for which it vanishes. Take  $Z$  such that  $\theta Z^3 + Z^9 = 0$  which is possible by the validity of the 3rd compatibility condition. The corresponding  $x$  satisfies

$$x(\theta^2 Z + \theta_8 Z^3) = 0 \text{ i.e. } \theta^2 Z + \theta_8 Z^3 = 0.$$

The 2 equations  $\theta Z^3 + Z^9 = 0$  and  $\theta^2 Z + \theta_8 Z^3 = 0$  give  $\theta_8^3 = \theta^5$  which is the 4th compatibility condition.

Before proving the 5th condition, note that any other uniformising parameter of  $K$  is  $\pi^2$  times some unit  $u$  of  $K$  and so, a uniformising parameter of  $k$  is  $\pi^2$  times the norm of  $u$ . But,  $\text{Norm}(u)$  runs over all units of  $k$  as  $K$  is unramified. An easy computation shows that, by changing  $\pi^2$ , we may assume that  $\theta_6 = \theta_{12} = 0$ . The 6th compatibility condition then just reduces to the 3rd one. Also, the fifth condition becomes then  $\theta_{10} = 0$ .

To prove this holds, we start with (7) and proceed exactly as we did with (5). We get quite easily that  $\text{Tr}_{F/f}(\lambda_5) = 0$  and  $\text{Tr}_{F/f}(\lambda_3) = \frac{-\theta_6}{\theta} \text{Tr}_{F/f}(\lambda_0) = 0$ . Therefore, (7) reduces to

$$0 = \text{Tr}_{f/f_0}\{\text{Tr}(\lambda_0)(\theta_{10}XY^3)\} \forall X, Y \in E$$

which easily gives  $\theta_{10} = 0$ , the 5th condition.

Hence, we have proved:

**Theorem.** *Let  $k$  be an extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_3$  whose ramification index is 6. Also, assume that the residue field  $f$  of  $k$  is so large that there exist  $a, b \in f$  such that  $(1 + a^2)(1 + a^4) \neq (1 + b^2)(1 + b^4)$ . Let  $D$  be the quaternion division algebra over  $k$ . Suppose  $H^2(SL(1, D), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$  has an element of order 9. Then  $k$  contains a primitive 9-th root of 1.*

## References

- [L] M. Lazard, Groupes analytiques  $p$ -adiques, *Publ. Math. IHES*, Vol. **26** (1965) 5–219
- [M] J. Milnor, *Introduction to algebraic K-theory*, Princeton University Press (1971)
- [PR] G. Prasad and M. S. Raghunathan, Topological central extensions of  $SL(1, D)$ , *Invent. Math.* Vol. **92** (1988) 645–689
- [R] M. S. Raghunathan, On the congruence subgroup problem, *Publ. Math. IHES*, Vol. **46** (1976) 107–161
- [Ri] C. Riehm, *Amer. J. Math.* Vol. **92** (1970) 499–523