isibang/ms/2005/17
February 28th, 2005
http://www.isibang.ac.in/~statmath/eprints

The heat kernel transform for the Heisenberg group

Bernhard Krötz, Sundaram Thangavelu and Yuan Xu

Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore Centre
8th Mile Mysore Road, Bangalore, 560059 India

THE HEAT KERNEL TRANSFORM FOR THE HEISENBERG GROUP

BERNHARD KRÖTZ, SUNDARAM THANGAVELU AND YUAN XU

Abstract. The heat kernel transform $\mathcal{H}_t$ is studied for the Heisenberg group in detail. The main result shows that the image of $\mathcal{H}_t$ is a direct sum of two weighted Bergman spaces, in contrast to the classical case of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and compact symmetric spaces, and the weight functions are found to be (surprisingly) not non-negative.

1. Introduction

Over the last decade one could observe interesting developments on the *heat kernel transform* for various types of homogeneous Riemannian manifolds X. Complete results have been obtained for compact Lie groups (cf. [2, 3]) and, more generally, for compact symmetric spaces (cf. [6]). For non-compact spaces X the situation seems to be more complicated and little research has been undertaken in this direction: There is the well understood Euclidean case (e.g. $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, cf. [1]) and some partial results have been obtained for non-compact Riemannian symmetric spaces (cf. [5]). The objective of this paper is to give a complete and self-contained discussion for the Heisenberg group.

Our concern is with the $(2n+1)$-dimensional Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}$ and its universal complexification $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$. For $t > 0$ we write $k_t : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ for the heat kernel on $\mathbb{H}$. Contemplating on the spectral resolution of k_t, it is not hard to see that k_t admits an analytic continuation to a holomorphic function $k_t^\sim : \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$. Consequently, for every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{H})$ the convolution $f * k_t$ continues holomorphically to $H_\mathbb{C}$ and we obtain a map

$$\mathcal{H}_t : L^2(\mathbb{H}) \to \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}), \quad f \mapsto (f * k_t)^\sim .$$

We refer to $\mathcal{H}_t$ as the *heat kernel transform* on $\mathbb{H}$ with parameter $t > 0$. The map $\mathcal{H}_t$ is injective, left $\mathbb{H}$-equivariant and becomes continuous if $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ is equipped with its natural Fréchet topology of compact convergence. It follows that $\operatorname{im} \mathcal{H}_t$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space. Standard abstract arguments readily yield an expression for the kernel function in terms of $k_{2t}^\sim$ (see (3.1.2) below).

In all known cases (e.g. X a compact symmetric space or $X = \mathbb{R}^n$) the image of the heat kernel transform has been a weighted Bergman space $X_\mathbb{C}$ with regard to a postive weight function. It came to our surprise that the Heisenberg group deviates from this pattern. The main result of this paper asserts that

$$\operatorname{im} \mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{B}_t^+(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathcal{B}_t^-(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}) \tag{1.1}$$

is a direct sum of two weighted Bergman spaces on $H_\mathbb{C}$. Most interestingly, the weight functions $W_t^\pm$ for $\mathcal{B}_t^\pm(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ have an oscillatory nature and attain positive and *negative* values. This fact forces the use of a certain exhaustion $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C} = \bigcup_{R>0} K_R$ to define the inner product a suitable dense subspace $\mathcal{V}_t^\pm(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ of $\mathcal{B}_t^\pm(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \lim_{R\to\infty} \int_{K_R} f(z)\overline{g(z)} W_t^\pm(z)\, dz \qquad (f, g \in \mathcal{V}_t^\pm(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}))\,,$$

Date: April 6, 2005.

BK was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0097314 and YX was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0201669. ST wishes to thank BK and YX for the warm hospitality during his stay in Eugene.

quite reminiscent to the familiar notion of principal value.

Let us now describe the contents of this paper in more detail. In Section 2 we introduce our notation and recall some facts on the heat kernel k_t on $\mathbb{H}$ and its analytic continuation to $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Subsequently in Section 3 we define the heat kernel transform and give a discussion of its general nature.

For the remainder it is useful to identify $\mathbb{H}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$. In Section 4 we introduce for each spectral parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ a partial heat kernel transform

$$H_t^\lambda : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$$

and show that $\operatorname{im} H_t^\lambda$ is a weighted Bergman space $\mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ associated to an explicitly given positive weight function $W_t^\lambda : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. With these results we prove in Section 5 that there is a natural left $\mathbb{H}$-equivariant equivalence

$$L^2(\mathbb{H}) \simeq \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times}^{\oplus} \mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})\, e^{2t\lambda^2} d\lambda\,. \tag{1.2}$$

Moreover, within the identification (1.2) the heat kernel transform $\mathcal{H}_t$ becomes the diagonal operator $(H_t^\lambda)_\lambda$.

In Section 6 we combine all previously obtained results to establish our main result (1.1). It turns out that the global weight functions $W_t^\pm$ admit an integral representation in terms of the partial weight functions W_t^λ. Finally, in the appendix we derive explicit expansions of $W_t^\pm$ by Hermite polynomials and explain their oscillatory behavior.

Acknowledgement: We would like to express our sincere gratitude to the referee: he read the manuscript very carefully and pointed out several inaccuracies, gaps and mistakes.

2. The heat kernel on the Heisenberg group

2.1. **Notation.** Let $\mathfrak{h}$ denote the $(2n+1)$-dimensional Heisenberg algebra with generators, say,

$$X_1, \ldots, X_n, U_1, \ldots, U_n, Z$$

and relations $[X_j, U_j] = Z$. In the sequel we will often identify $\mathfrak{h}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1} = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. For that let $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \xi)$ with $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ denote the canonical coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$. Then the map

$$\mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \to \mathfrak{h}, \quad (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \xi) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n x_j X_j + \sum_{j=1}^n u_j U_j + \xi Z$$

is a linear isomorphism providing us with suitable coordinates for $\mathfrak{h}$.

Let $\mathbb{H}$ denote a simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$, the *Heisenberg group*. We will identify $\mathbb{H}$ with $\mathfrak{h}$ through the exponential function $\exp = \mathrm{id} : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathbb{H}$. As $\mathbb{H}$ is two step, the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula provides the group law

$$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \xi)(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u}', \xi') = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}', \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}' - \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}') + \xi + \xi')\,.$$

Here $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u} = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j u_j$, as usual, denotes the standard pairing on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We notice in particular that

$$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \xi)^{-1} = (-\mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{u}, -\xi)\,. \tag{2.1.1}$$

Write dh for a Haar measure on $\mathbb{H}$. We can and will normalize dh in such a way that it coincides with the product of Lebesgue measures, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}} f(h)\, dh = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \xi)\, d\mathbf{x}\, d\mathbf{u}\, d\xi$$

for all $f \in C_c(\mathbb{H})$.

Write $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$ for the universal complexification of $\mathbb{H}$. Of course we can identify $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ and we will often do so. We will write $(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w},\zeta)$ for the coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ where $\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{x}+i\mathbf{y}$, $\mathbf{w}=\mathbf{u}+i\mathbf{v}$ and $\zeta=\xi+i\eta$.

For any simply connected nilpotent Lie group H the polar mapping

$$H\times\mathfrak{h}\to H_\mathbb{C},\quad (h,X)\mapsto h\exp(iX)$$

is a homeomorphism. Furthermore the Haar measure on $H_\mathbb{C}$ decomposes as

$$\int_{H_\mathbb{C}} f(g)\,dg=\int_H\int_\mathfrak{h} f(h\exp(iX))\,dX\,dh \tag{2.1.2}$$

for all $f\in C_c(H_\mathbb{C})$.

For the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}$, the polar mapping is explicitly given by

$$((\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u},\xi),(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{u}',\xi'))\mapsto(\mathbf{x}+i\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{u}+i\mathbf{u}',\frac{i}{2}(\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{u}'-\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{x}')+\xi+i\xi')$$

where $h=(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u},\xi)$ and $X=(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{u}',\xi')$. In particular the Haar measure on $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$ can be chosen as the product of Lebesgue measures $d\mathbf{x}\,d\mathbf{y}\,d\mathbf{u}\,d\mathbf{v}\,d\xi\,d\eta$.

For integrable functions f,g on $\mathbb{H}$ we define their convolution by

$$(f*g)(x)=\int_\mathbb{H} f(h)g(h^{-1}x)\,dh\qquad(x\in\mathbb{H})\,.$$

In coordinates this is explicitly given by

$$(f*g)(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u},\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} f(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{u}',\xi')g\left((-\mathbf{x}',-\mathbf{u}',-\xi')(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u},\xi)\right)\,d\mathbf{x}'\,d\mathbf{u}'\,d\xi'\,.$$

2.2. **The heat kernel.** Write $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ for the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{h}$ and define the Laplace element in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ by

$$\mathcal{L}=\sum_{j=1}^n X_j^2+\sum_{j=1}^n U_j^2+Z^2\,.$$

For $X\in\mathfrak{h}$ we write $\tilde{X}$ for the left invariant vector field on $\mathbb{H}$, i.e.,

$$(\tilde{X}f)(h)=\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}f(h\exp(tX))$$

for f a function on $\mathbb{H}$ which is differentiable at $h\in\mathbb{H}$. Write ρ for the right regular representation of $\mathbb{H}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{H})$, i.e.

$$(\rho(h)f)(x)=f(xh)$$

for $h,x\in\mathbb{H}$ and $f\in L^2(\mathbb{H})$. With $d\rho$ the derived representation we then have $d\rho(X)=\tilde{X}$ for all $X\in\mathfrak{h}$. In particular if

$$\Delta=\sum_{j=1}^n\tilde{X}_j^2+\sum_{j=1}^n\tilde{U}_j^2+\tilde{Z}^2$$

denotes the Laplace operator on $\mathbb{H}$, then $d\rho(\mathcal{L})=\Delta$.

Set $\mathbb{R}^+=(0,\infty)$. Our concern will be with the heat equation on $\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{R}^+$

$$\partial_t u(h,t)=\Delta u(h,t)$$

for appropriate functions $u(h,t)$ on $\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{R}^+$. The fundamental solution is given by the heat kernel $k_t(h)$ which can be computed as follows:

$$k_t(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u},\xi)=c_n\int_\mathbb{R} e^{-i\lambda\xi}e^{-t\lambda^2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\sinh\lambda t}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{4}\lambda(\coth t\lambda)(\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u})}\,d\lambda \tag{2.2.1}$$

with $c_n=(4\pi)^{-n}$ (this follows from a slight modification of [7, Theorem 2.8.1].) It satisfies the usual property of $k_t*k_t=k_{2t}$ (see, for example, [7, (2.87) and Corollary 2.3.4]).

If f is an analytic function on $\mathbb{H}$ which holomorphically extends to $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$, then we write $f^{\sim}$ for this holomorphic extension. The explicit formula (2.2.1) now implies that k_t has a holomorphic continuation to $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ which is given by

$$k_t^{\sim}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w},\zeta) = c_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda\zeta} e^{-t\lambda^2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\sinh \lambda t}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{4}\lambda(\coth t\lambda)(\mathbf{z}\cdot\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{w}\cdot\mathbf{w})}\, d\lambda \tag{2.2.2}$$

for $(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w},\zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$. It follows from (2.1.1) and (2.2.2) that

$$k_t^{\sim}(z) = k_t^{\sim}(z^{-1}) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})\,. \tag{2.2.3}$$

Furthermore, as $k_t \geq 0$ is real, we record

$$\overline{k_t^{\sim}(z)} = k_t^{\sim}(\overline{z}) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}) \tag{2.2.4}$$

Here, as usual, $z \mapsto \overline{z}$ denotes the complex conjugation of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to the real form $\mathbb{H}$.

3. The heat kernel transform

3.1. Definition and basic properties. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a compact subset. Then it follows from (2.2.2) that

$$\sup_{z\in C} \int_{\mathbb{H}} |k_t^{\sim}(h^{-1}z)|^2\, dh < \infty\,. \tag{3.1.1}$$

Fix $t > 0$. Then (3.1.1) implies that $f * k_t$ has an analytic continuation to $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{H})$. In particular we obtain a linear map

$$\mathcal{H}_t : L^2(\mathbb{H}) \to \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}), \quad f \mapsto (f * k_t)^{\sim};\ \mathcal{H}_t(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}} f(h) k_t^{\sim}(h^{-1}z)\, dh\ .$$

We will call $\mathcal{H}_t$ the *heat kernel transform*.

In the sequel we wish to consider $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ as a Fréchet space – the topology being the one of compact convergence. If $h \in H$ and f is a function on $\mathbb{H}$ or $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$, then we write $\tau(h)f = f(h^{-1}\cdot)$. The following properties of $\mathcal{H}_t$ are immediate:

- $\mathcal{H}_t$ is continuous (because of (3.1.1))
- $\mathcal{H}_t$ is injective (note that $\mathcal{H}_t(f) = e^{t\Delta} f$ and Δ is a negative definite operator).
- $\mathcal{H}_t$ is $\mathbb{H}$-equivariant, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_t \circ \tau(h) = \tau(h) \circ \mathcal{H}_t$ for all $h \in \mathbb{H}$ (this is a general fact for the convolution on a locally compact group).

We will endow $\operatorname{im} \mathcal{H}_t$ with the Hilbert topology induced from $L^2(\mathbb{H})$. As $\mathcal{H}_t$ is continuous we see that $\operatorname{im} \mathcal{H}_t$ is an $\mathbb{H}$-invariant Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$. As such $\operatorname{im} \mathcal{H}_t$ has continuous point evaluations, i.e. for all $z \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the map

$$\operatorname{im} \mathcal{H}_t \to \mathbb{C}, \quad f \mapsto f(z)$$

is continuous. Hence $f(z) = \langle f, \mathcal{K}_z^t \rangle$ for a unique element $\mathcal{K}_z^t \in \operatorname{im} \mathcal{H}_t$. We then obtain a positive definite kernel function

$$\mathcal{K}^t : \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C};\ \mathcal{K}^t(z,w) = \langle \mathcal{K}_w^t, \mathcal{K}_z^t \rangle = \mathcal{K}_w^t(z)$$

which is holomorphic in the first and anti-holomorphic in the second variable. Moreover, the $\mathbb{H}$-invariance of $\operatorname{im} \mathcal{H}_t$ translates into $\mathcal{K}^t(hz,hw) = \mathcal{K}^t(z,w)$ for all $h \in \mathbb{H}$ and $z, w \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let us compute $\mathcal{K}^t$. Fix $w \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $g \in \operatorname{im} \mathcal{H}_t$. Then $g = \mathcal{H}_t(f)$ for some $f \in L^2(\mathbb{H})$ and

$$\langle g, \mathcal{K}_w^t \rangle = g(w) = \mathcal{H}_t(f)(w) = (f * k_t)^{\sim}(w) = \int_{\mathbb{H}} f(h) k_t^{\sim}(h^{-1}w)\, dh\,.$$

As this holds for all $g \in \operatorname{im} \mathcal{H}_t$, we thus conclude that

$$\mathcal{H}_t^{-1}(\mathcal{K}_w^t)(h) = \overline{k_t^{\sim}(h^{-1}w)} = k_t^{\sim}(\overline{w}^{-1}h) \qquad (h \in \mathbb{H})$$

where for the last equality we used the facts (2.2.3-4). ¿From this we now get for all $w, z \in \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_w^t(z) &= \mathcal{H}_t(k_t^\sim(\overline{w}^{-1}\cdot))(z) = \int_{\mathbb{H}} k_t^\sim(\overline{w}^{-1}h)k_t^\sim(h^{-1}z)\, dh \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{H}} k_t(h)k_t^\sim(h^{-1}\overline{w}^{-1}z)\, dh \\ &= (k_t * k_t)^\sim(\overline{w}^{-1}z) \\ &= k_{2t}^\sim(\overline{w}^{-1}z).\end{aligned}$$

We have thus shown that the kernel function is given by

$$\mathcal{K}^t(z,w) = k_{2t}^\sim(\overline{w}^{-1}z) \qquad (z, w \in \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})\,. \tag{3.1.2}$$

3.2. **General remarks on integral transforms and Bergman spaces.** The setup for this Section is as follows: We let N be a positive integer and G be a Lie group which acts on $\mathbb{R}^N$ in a measure preserving manner. We assume that the action of G extends to an action on $\mathbb{C}^N$ by measure preserving biholomorphisms. Our next data is a continuous (integral) transform

$$\Phi : L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^N)$$

which we assume to be G-equivariant. In this way $\operatorname{im}\Phi$ becomes a G-invariant Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^N$. We write $\mathcal{K} : \mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}$ for the corresponding kernel function.

Example 3.1. (a) The heat kernel transform $\mathcal{H}_t : L^2(\mathbb{H}) \to \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ meets the general assumptions from above. In fact, for $N = 2n+1$ we may identify $\mathbb{H}$ with $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{C}^N$. Furthermore the group $G = \mathbb{H}$ acts from the left on $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^N$ in a measure preserving manner.
(b) The partial heat kernel transforms $H_t^\lambda : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ introduced in Section 4 below satisfy the general assumptions made above. □

For the remainder we will assume that $\operatorname{im}\Phi = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^N, W)$ is a weighted Bergman space for some measurable weight function $W : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{R}$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^N, W) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{C}^N} |f(z)|^2\ |W(z)|\, dz < \infty\}$$

Hilbert structure given by

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(z)\overline{g(z)}W(z)dz \tag{3.2.1}$$

As the action of G on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^N, W)$ is unitary, the weight function W should be left G-invariant, i.e.

$$W(g.z) = W(z) \qquad (g \in G, z \in \mathbb{C}^N)\,. \tag{3.2.2}$$

What we cannot expect however is that W is non-negative. It might then be a surprise that (3.2.1) still defines a Hilbert structure. As the following example shows, this is a phenomenon which already appears in one variable.

Example 3.2. We consider the unit disk $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. For a measurable subset $A \subseteq D$ write $\mathbf{1}_A$ for its characteristic function. Define a weight function W on D by

$$W = \mathbf{1}_{\{\frac{1}{2} \leq |z| < 1\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{|z| < \frac{1}{2}\}}\,.$$

With W we form the weighted Bergman space

$$\mathcal{B}^2(D, W) := \{f \in \mathcal{O}(D) : \int_D |f(z)|^2\ |W(z)|\, dx\, dy < \infty\}$$

and endow it with the sesquilinear bracket

$$\langle f,g\rangle = \int_D f(z)\overline{g(z)}\; W(z)\,dx\,dy\,.$$

We will show that $(\mathcal{B}^2(D,W),\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ is a Hilbert space. For that we first observe that $\{z^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an orthogonal system in $\mathcal{B}^2(D,W)$. This is because W is rotationally invariant. Next we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\langle z^n,z^n\rangle &= 2\pi\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} r^{2n+1}\,dr - 2\pi\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{2n+1}\,dr\\ &= \frac{\pi}{n+1}\left[1-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n+1}\right] > 0\end{aligned}$$

for any $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Thus if $f=\sum_n a_n z^n\in\mathcal{B}^2(D,W)$ is an arbitrary element, then

$$\langle f,f\rangle = \sum_n |a_n|^2\frac{\pi}{n+1}\left[1-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n+1}\right]\geq 0 \tag{3.2.1}$$

and $\langle f,f\rangle=0$ if and only if $f=0$. This shows that $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ defines a pre Hilbert structure on $\mathcal{B}^2(D,W)$. Next notice that

$$\int_D |f(z)|^2\;|W(z)|\,dx\,dy = \sum_n |a_n|^2\frac{\pi}{n+1}\,. \tag{3.2.2}$$

It follows from identiies (3.2.1) and (3.2.2) that $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and the Hilbert bracket $(f|g)=\int_D f(z)\overline{g(z)}\;|W(z)|\,dx\,dy$ induce equivalent norms. Hence $(\mathcal{B}^2(D,W),\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ is a Hilbert space.

Finally we note that W is uniquely characterized by the Hilbert norm on $\mathcal{B}^2(D,W)$, i.e. $\mathcal{B}^2(D,W)=\mathcal{B}^2(D,W')$ if and only if $W=W'$ almost everywhere (use Stone-Weierstraß). □

We conclude this section with some general remarks on how to obtain the weight function W. Define a subspace of $\operatorname{im}\Phi$ by

$$(\operatorname{im}\Phi)_0 = \operatorname{span}\{\mathcal{K}_x : x\in\mathbb{R}^N\}\,.$$

Since a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^N$ which vanishes on $\mathbb{R}^N$ is identically zero, we conclude that $(\operatorname{im}\Phi)_0$ is dense in $\operatorname{im}\Phi$. Hence $\operatorname{im}\Phi=\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^N,W)$ will hold precisely if

$$\mathcal{K}(x,x') = \langle\mathcal{K}_{x'},\mathcal{K}_x\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}^N}\mathcal{K}_{x'}(z)\overline{\mathcal{K}_x(z)}W(z)\,dz \tag{3.2.3}$$

for all $x,x'\in\mathbb{R}^N$. The formula (3.2.3) is actually quite helpful and will be applied in Section 4 below.

4. The λ-twisted heat-kernel transform

For $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$, $\lambda\neq 0$, we will introduce a λ-twisted heat kernel transform $H_t^\lambda : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})\to\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$. We will show that the image of H_t^λ is a weighted Bergman space $\mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Further we provide an inversion formula for H_t^λ.

The results of this section are the building blocks for our general discussion of the heat kernel transform $\mathcal{H}_t : L^2(\mathbb{H})\to\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ in the following sections.

4.1. **Notation.** Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \neq 0$. For suitable functions F on $\mathbb{H}$ we define a function F^λ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ by

$$F^\lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda\xi} F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \xi)\, d\xi\,.$$

For $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ the λ-*twisted convolution* is defined by

$$(f *_\lambda g)(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} f(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u}') g(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}') e^{-i\frac{\lambda}{2}(\mathbf{x}'\cdot\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{u}')}\, d\mathbf{x}'\, d\mathbf{u}'.$$

Notice that we have for Schwartz functions $F, G \in S(\mathbb{H}) = S(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$ that

$$(F * G)^\lambda = F^\lambda *_\lambda G^\lambda\,. \tag{4.1.1}$$

Let $\Delta_{\mathrm{sub}} = d\rho\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (\tilde{X}_j^2 + \tilde{Y}_j^2)\right)$ denote the sublaplacian on $\mathbb{H}$. The heat kernel for Δ_{sub} is denoted by p_t and its inverse Fourier transform in the central variable is explicitly given by

$$p_t^\lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = c_n \left(\frac{\lambda}{\sinh t\lambda}\right)^n e^{-\frac{\lambda}{4}\coth(\lambda t)(|\mathbf{x}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}|^2)} \tag{4.1.2}$$

with $c_n = (4\pi)^{-n}$.

For all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ the twisted convolution $f *_\lambda p_t^\lambda$ has an analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$. In particular, there is a λ-*twisted heat kernel transform*

$$H_t^\lambda : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{2n}), \quad f \mapsto (f *_\lambda p_t^\lambda)^\sim\,.$$

In coordinates we have

$$H_t^\lambda(f)(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} f(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u}') p_t^\lambda(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{u}') e^{-\frac{i}{2}\lambda(\mathbf{x}'\cdot\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{u}'\cdot\mathbf{z})}\, d\mathbf{x}'\, d\mathbf{u}'\,.$$

We define a unitary representation τ^λ of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ by

$$(\tau^\lambda(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})f)(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = e^{-\frac{i\lambda}{2}(\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{x})} f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{b})$$

for $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Likewise τ^λ defines an action of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ via

$$(\tau^\lambda(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})f)(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = e^{-\frac{i\lambda}{2}(\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{z})} f(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{b})$$

where $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ and $(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$.

As for functions $F, G \in L^1(\mathbb{H})$ we have $\tau(h)F * G = \tau(h)(F * G)$ for all $h \in \mathbb{H}$, it is immediate from (4.1.1) that H_t^λ becomes $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$-equivariant, i.e

$$H_t^\lambda(\tau^\lambda(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})f) = \tau^\lambda(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})(H_t^\lambda(f)) \tag{4.1.3}$$

for all $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

Remark 4.1. For the proofs in the sequel it is notationally convenient to prove the assertions for the "essential case" $\lambda = 1$ only. Whenever we do so we will use a simplified notation: we write $f \times g$ instead of $f *_1 g$ for the 1-twisted convolution; further we will drop all sub- and superscripts involving $\lambda = 1$, i.e. p_t^1 becomes p_t, H_t^1 becomes H_t etc.

4.2. **Determination of the weight function.** Our objective is to find a non-negative weight function W_t^λ on $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} |H_t^\lambda(f)(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})|^2 W_t^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\, d\mathbf{z}\, d\mathbf{w} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})|^2\, d\mathbf{x}\, d\mathbf{u} \tag{4.2.1}$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

Proposition 4.1. *A weight function W_t^λ which satisfies* (4.2.1) *is given by*

$$W_t^\lambda(\mathbf{x}+i\mathbf{y},\mathbf{u}+i\mathbf{v}) = 4^n e^{\lambda(\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{x})} p_{2t}^\lambda(2\mathbf{y},2\mathbf{v}). \tag{4.2.2}$$

Remark 4.2. The weight function W_t^λ is unique in the sense that is the unique measurable function $W_t^\lambda : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ which satisfies (4.2.1). This will be shown in Lemma 4.7 below.

Proof. We restrict our attention to the case $\lambda = 1$. As mentioned earlier we will write now p_t and W_t in place of p_t^λ and W_t^λ, respectively, and write $f \times g$ for the 1−twisted convolution of f and g. Via H_t we can transfer the Hilbert space structure of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ to $\operatorname{im} H_t$ and make it into Hilbert space of holomorphic functions. Write $K^t(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w};\mathbf{z}',\mathbf{w}')$ for the corresponding reproducing kernel. Arguing as in Subsection 3.2, the inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_t$ on the image is uniquely determined by the equality

$$K^t(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b};\mathbf{a}',\mathbf{b}') = \langle K^t_{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}, K^t_{(\mathbf{a}',\mathbf{b}')}\rangle_t \tag{4.2.3}$$

for all real pairs $(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}),(\mathbf{a}',\mathbf{b}') \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

As the heat kernel transform $f \to H_t(f) = (f \times p_t)^\sim$ commutes with the twisted translation (see equation (4.1.3)), we may assume $(\mathbf{a}',\mathbf{b}') = 0$ in (4.2.3). As $p_t \times p_t = p_{2t}$, arguing as in Subsection 3.1 readily yields

$$K^t_{(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w}) = p_{2t}(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{a},\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{b})e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{z})}.$$

In particular, $K^t_{(0,0)} = p_{2t}$ and $K^t(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b},0,0) = p_{2t}(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})$. Thus (4.2.3) translates into

$$p_{2t}(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n}\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} p_{2t}(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{a},\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{b})e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{z})}\overline{p_{2t}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})}W_t(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\, d\mathbf{z}\, d\mathbf{w}.$$

This is established in Lemma 4.2 below. □

Lemma 4.2. *For* $\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n}\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} p_{2t}^\lambda(\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{a},\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{b})e^{\frac{i\lambda}{2}(\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{z})}\overline{p_{2t}^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})}W_t^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\, d\mathbf{z}\, d\mathbf{w} = p_{2t}^\lambda(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}).$$

Proof. We will prove the assertion for $\lambda = 1$. Further, by the product nature of the functions involved, we may assume in addition that $n = 1$.

Expanding out and simplifying we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_{2t}(x+a+iy,u+b+iv)\overline{p_{2t}(x+iy,u+iv)} &= (4\pi)^{-2}(\sinh 2t)^{-2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\coth 2t)(x^2+u^2)} \\ &\quad \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{4}(\coth 2t)(a^2+b^2)}e^{\frac{1}{2}(\coth 2t)(y^2+v^2)}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\coth 2t)(a(x+iy)+b(u+iv))}. \end{aligned}$$

We can combine the terms $e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\coth 2t)(x^2+u^2)}$ and

$$e^{(uy-vx)} = e^{(\coth 2t)(uy\tanh(2t)-xv\tanh(2t))}$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} &p_{2t}(x+a+iy,u+b+iv)\overline{p_{2t}(x+iy,u+iv)}e^{(uy-vx)} \\ &\quad = (4\pi)^{-2}(\sinh 2t)^{-2}e^{-\frac{1}{4}(\coth 2t)(a^2+b^2)}e^{\frac{1}{2}(\coth 2t+\tanh 2t)(y^2+v^2)} \\ &\qquad \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\coth 2t)\left((x+v\tanh(2t))^2+(u-y\tanh(2t))^2\right)}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\coth 2t)(a(x+iy)+b(u+iv))}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the identity $\tanh 2t + \coth 2t = 2\coth 4t$ and simplifying further we get

$$\begin{aligned} &p_{2t}(z+a, w+b)e^{\frac{i}{2}(aw-bz)}\overline{p_{2t}(z,w)}W_t(z,w) \\ &\quad = 4^{-2}\pi^{-3}(\sinh 2t)^{-3}e^{-\frac{1}{8}\coth 2t(a^2+b^2)}e^{(\coth 4t-\coth 2t)(y^2+v^2)} \\ &\qquad \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\coth 2t)\left((x+\frac{a}{2}+\tanh(2t)v)^2+(u+\frac{b}{2}-y\tanh(2t))^2\right)}e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\coth 2t)(ay+bv)}e^{\frac{i}{2}(au-bx)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $z = x+iy$ and $w = u+iv$.

First consider the integral

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{i}{2}(au-bx)}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\coth 2t)\left((x+\frac{a}{2}+v\tanh(2t))^2+(u+\frac{b}{2}-y\tanh(2t))^2\right)}\,dx\,du \\ &\quad = e^{\frac{i}{2}(\tanh 2t)(ay+bv)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{i}{2}(au-bx)}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\coth 2t)(x^2+u^2)}\,dx\,du \\ &\quad = 2\pi(\tanh 2t)e^{\frac{i}{2}(\tanh 2t)(ay+bv)}e^{-\frac{1}{8}(\tanh 2t)(a^2+b^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Up to an explicit factor the remaining integral is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\coth 2t-\tanh 2t)(ay+bv)}e^{-(\coth 2t-\coth 4t)(y^2+v^2)}\,dy\,dv.$$

As $\coth 2t - \tanh 2t = 2(\sinh 4t)^{-1}$ and $\coth 2t - \coth 4t = (\sinh 4t)^{-1}$ the above integral reduces to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i(\sinh 4t)^{-1}(ay+bv)}e^{-(\sinh 4t)^{-1}(y^2+v^2)}\,dy\,dv = \pi(\sinh 4t)e^{-\frac{1}{4}(\sinh 4t)^{-1}(a^2+b^2)}.$$

Combining results yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{C}^2} p_{2t}(z+a,w+b)e^{\frac{i}{2}(aw-bz)}\overline{p_{2t}(z,w)}W_t(z,w)\,dz\,dw \\ &= 8^{-1}\pi^{-1}(\sinh 2t)^{-3}(\tanh 2t)(\sinh 4t)e^{-\frac{1}{8}(\coth 2t+\tanh 2t)(a^2+b^2)}e^{-\frac{1}{4}(\sinh 4t)^{-1}(a^2+b^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally using the identities $\coth 2t + \tanh 2t = 2\coth 4t$ and $\coth 4t + (\sinh 4t)^{-1} = \coth 2t$ and simplifying we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{C}^2} p_{2t}(z+a,w+b)e^{\frac{i}{2}(aw-bz)}\overline{p_{2t}(z,w)}W_t(z,w)\,dz\,dw \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{4\pi}(\sinh 2t)^{-1}e^{-\frac{1}{4}\coth 2t(a^2+b^2)} = p_{2t}(a,b)\,. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

4.3. **The twisted Bergman space and surjectivity of H_t^λ.** For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \neq 0$, we define the *λ-twisted Bergman space* by

$$\mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n}) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{2n}) : \|f\|_\lambda^2 = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C}^n} |f(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})|^2 W_t^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\,d\mathbf{z}\,d\mathbf{w} < \infty\}\,.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ is a Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$. It follows from Proposition 4.1 that $H_t^\lambda : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to \mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ is an isometric embedding.

Our goal for this subsection is to show that H_t^λ is onto. We begin with a description of a useful orthonormal basis for $\operatorname{im} H_t^\lambda$ in terms of the special Hermite functions $\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})$ (see [7, Section 2.3]). For each $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, let us consider

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w}) = (2\pi)^{-n}e^{-(2|\beta|+n)|\lambda|t}\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})$$

where $\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})$ is the extension of $\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})$ to $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$. The functions $\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})$ satisfy the orthogonal relation

$$(\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda *_\lambda \Phi_{\mu,\nu}^\lambda)(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u}) = \delta_{\beta,\mu}\Phi_{\alpha,\nu}^\lambda(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})\,.$$

Lemma 4.3. *The set* $\{\widetilde{\Phi}^\lambda_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ *is an orthonormal basis for* $\operatorname{im} H_t^\lambda$.

Proof. It is enough to prove it for $\lambda = 1$ and we drop the superscript when $\lambda = 1$. As the heat kernel $p_t(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})$ is given by

$$p_t(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u}) = (2\pi)^{-n} \sum_\mu e^{-(2|\mu|+n)t} \Phi_{\mu,\mu}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u}) \ ,$$

we obtain the relation

$$(\Phi_{\alpha,\beta} \times p_t)(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u}) = (2\pi)^{-n} e^{-(2|\beta|+n)t} \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})\,.$$

Thus $H_t(\Phi_{\alpha,\beta})(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w}) = \widetilde{\Phi}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})$ and, therefore, using Proposition 4.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\overline{\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mu,\nu}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})} W_t(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\, d\mathbf{z}\, d\mathbf{w} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} H_t(\Phi_{\alpha,\beta})(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\overline{H_t(\Phi_{\mu,\nu})(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})} W_t(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\, d\mathbf{z}\, d\mathbf{w} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})\overline{\Phi_{\mu,\nu}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})}\, d\mathbf{x}\, d\mathbf{u}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{\widetilde{\Phi}_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ is an orthonormal system in $\operatorname{im} H_t$.

To show that it is an orthonormal basis for $\operatorname{im} H_t$, we only need to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} H_t(f)(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\overline{\widetilde{\Phi}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})} W_t(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\, d\mathbf{z}\, d\mathbf{w} = 0$$

for all α,β implies $f \equiv 0$. But the above simply means, by Proposition 4.1, that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})\overline{\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})}\, d\mathbf{x}\ d\mathbf{u} = 0$$

for all α,β and we know that $\{\Phi_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Hence $f \equiv 0$ and the proof is complete. $\square$

We will show that $\{\widetilde{\Phi}^\lambda_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ is also an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$. Clearly this implies that $H_t^\lambda : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to \mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ is onto.

Note that $\widetilde{\Phi}^\lambda_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ for any $t > 0$ and $\{\widetilde{\Phi}^\lambda_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ will be an orthonormal basis for any $\mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$.

As $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{v}$, we note that $\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{x} = \Im(\mathbf{z}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{w}})$ is the symplectic form on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Thus $\Im(\sigma\mathbf{z}\cdot\overline{\sigma\mathbf{w}}) = \Im(\mathbf{z}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{w}})$ for $\sigma \in U(n)$.

We introduce the *twisted Fock space* $\mathcal{F}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n}) = \{&G \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{2n}) : \\
&\|G\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C}^n} |G(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})|^2 e^{\lambda\Im(\mathbf{z}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{w}})} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(\coth 2t\lambda)(|\mathbf{z}|^2+|\mathbf{w}|^2)}\, d\mathbf{z}\, d\mathbf{w} < \infty\}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the prescription

$$U(n) \times \mathcal{F}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n}) \to \mathcal{F}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n}), \quad (\sigma, G) \mapsto G^\sigma;\ G^\sigma(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w}) = G(\sigma\mathbf{z},\sigma\mathbf{w})$$

defines a unitary representation of $U(n)$ on $\mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$.

The Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ and $\mathcal{F}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ are related through

$$F(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w}) \in \mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n}) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad F(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w}) e^{\frac{\lambda}{4}(\coth 2t\lambda)(\mathbf{z}\cdot\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{w}\cdot\mathbf{w})} \in \mathcal{F}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})\,. \tag{4.3.1}$$

Let $T \simeq (\mathbb{S}^1)^n$ be the diagonal subgroup of $U(n)$. We write the elements of T as $\sigma = (e^{i\varphi_1}, \dots, e^{i\varphi_n})$. For each $n-$tuple of integers $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$ let $\chi_{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma)$ be the character of T defined by $\chi_{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma) = e^{i\sum_{j=1}^n m_j\varphi_j}$. For each $G \in \mathcal{F}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ define

$$G_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \int_T G(\sigma\mathbf{z}, \sigma\mathbf{w})\overline{\chi_{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma)}\, d\sigma\,.$$

As G is holomorphic it is clear that $G_{\mathbf{m}} = 0$ unless $\mathbf{m}$ is a multi-index in $\mathbb{N}_0^n$. By the Fourier expansion

$$G(\sigma\mathbf{z}, \sigma\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n} G_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})\chi_{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma)$$

and by the Plancherel theorem we have

$$\int_T |G(\sigma\mathbf{z}, \sigma\mathbf{w})|^2 d\sigma = \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n} |G_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})|^2\ . \tag{4.3.2}$$

Note that the functions $G_{\mathbf{m}}$ satisfy the homogeneity condition

$$G_{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma\mathbf{z}, \sigma\mathbf{w}) = \chi_{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma)G_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})\ .$$

For any $G \in \mathcal{F}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ we observe that, as $\Im(\mathbf{z}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{w}}) = \Im(\sigma\mathbf{z}\cdot\sigma\overline{\mathbf{w}})$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} G(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})e^{\lambda\Im(\mathbf{z}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{w}})}e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(\coth 2t\lambda)(|\mathbf{z}|^2+|\mathbf{w}|^2)}d\mathbf{z}\, d\mathbf{w}\\ &\qquad = \int_T\int_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} G(\sigma\mathbf{z}, \sigma\mathbf{w})e^{\lambda\Im(\mathbf{z}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{w}})}e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(\coth 2t\lambda)(|\mathbf{z}|^2+|\mathbf{w}|^2)}d\mathbf{z}\, d\mathbf{w}\, d\sigma.\end{aligned}$$

In view of this and the homogeneity condition we arrive at the orthogonality relations

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} G_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})\overline{G_{\mathbf{m}'}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})}e^{\lambda\Im(\mathbf{z}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{w}})}e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(\coth 2t\lambda)(|\mathbf{z}|^2+|\mathbf{w}|^2)}d\mathbf{z}\, d\mathbf{w} = 0\,,$$

whenever $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{m}'$ are different. We also note that each $G_{\mathbf{m}}$ has an expansion of the form

$$G_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{\alpha+\beta=\mathbf{m}} c_{\alpha,\beta}\mathbf{z}^\alpha\mathbf{w}^\beta\,.$$

Hence each $G_{\mathbf{m}}$ is a polynomial.

Lemma 4.4. *The linear span of $P_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{z}^\alpha\mathbf{w}^\beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, is dense in $\mathcal{F}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$.*

Proof. If $G \in \mathcal{F}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ is orthogonal to all $P_{\alpha,\beta}$ then

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} G(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})\overline{G_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})}e^{\lambda\Im(\mathbf{z}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{w}})}e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(\coth 2t\lambda)(|\mathbf{z}|^2+|\mathbf{w}|^2)}d\mathbf{z}\, d\mathbf{w} = 0$$

for any $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. In view of the homogeneity property of $G_{\mathbf{m}}$ this means that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} |G_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})|^2 e^{\lambda\Im(\mathbf{z}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{w}})}e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(\coth 2t\lambda)(|\mathbf{z}|^2+|\mathbf{w}|^2)}d\mathbf{z}\, d\mathbf{w} = 0\ .$$

Hence $G_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = 0$ for every $\mathbf{m}$ and so $G = 0$ in view of (4.3.2). □

It follows from Lemma 4.4 and (4.3.1) that every $F \in \mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ has the orthonormal expansion

$$F(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}}\sum_{\alpha+\beta=\mathbf{m}} c_{\alpha,\beta}P_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})e^{-\frac{\lambda}{4}(\coth 2t\lambda)(\mathbf{z}\cdot\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{w}\cdot\mathbf{w})}\,. \tag{4.3.3}$$

The functions

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{\alpha+\beta=\mathbf{m}} c_{\alpha,\beta}P_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})e^{-\frac{\lambda}{4}(\coth 2t\lambda)(\mathbf{z}\cdot\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{w}\cdot\mathbf{w})}$$

are orthogonal in $\mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ but not orthogonal in any other $\mathcal{B}_s^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ when $s \neq t$. Another crucial property of these functions is proved in the next lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *All the functions* $\Psi^{\mathbf{m}}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w}) = P_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})e^{-\frac{\lambda}{4}(\coth 2t\lambda)(\mathbf{z}\cdot\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{w}\cdot\mathbf{w})}$ *belong to the image* $\operatorname{im} H_t^\lambda$ *of the heat kernel transform.*

Proof. We may restrict ourselves to the case of $\lambda = 1$. It will suffice to show that for each pair $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ there exists a function $f_{\alpha,\beta} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ such that

$$H_t(f_{\alpha,\beta})(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w}) = (f_{\alpha,\beta} \times p_t)^\sim(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{z}^\alpha \mathbf{w}^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{4}(\coth 2t)(\mathbf{z}^2+\mathbf{w}^2)}\,.$$

As both sides are holomorphic it is enough to prove this for $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u}$ where $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus we need to solve the equation

$$(f_{\alpha,\beta} \times p_t)(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{u}^\beta p_{2t}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})\,. \tag{4.3.4}$$

In the sequel it will be convenient to identify $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with $\mathbb{C}^n$ via $z = \mathbf{x}+i\mathbf{u}$. Then $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{u}^\beta = 2^{-|\alpha|}(2i)^{-|\beta|}(z+\overline{z})^\alpha(z-\overline{z})^\beta$. It is then sufficient to solve the equation

$$(f_{\alpha,\beta} \times p_t)(z) = z^\alpha \overline{z}^\beta p_{2t}(z)$$

where $p_t(z) = p_t(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})$. We solve this equation using properties of the Weyl transform.

Recall that the Weyl transform $\mathbb{W}(f)$ of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{C}^n)$, is defined to be the bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by

$$\mathbb{W}(f)\varphi(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(z)\pi(z)\varphi(\xi)\,dz \qquad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$

where $\pi(z) = \pi_1(z,0)$ and π_1 is the Schrödinger representation of the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}$ with parameter $\lambda = 1$ (see [7, Section 2.2]). Then for $f \in L^1 \bigcap L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathbb{W}(f)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator and $\mathbb{W}$ extends to $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ as an isometry onto the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators. Moreover $\mathbb{W}(f \times g) = \mathbb{W}(f)\mathbb{W}(g)$ and $\mathbb{W}(p_t) = e^{-tH}$. Here H denotes the Hermite operator

$$H = (-\Delta + |\xi|^2) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n (A_jA_j^* + A_j^*A_j),$$

in which $A_j = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} + \xi_j$ and $A_j^* = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} + \xi_j$ are the creation and annihilation operators. The eigenfunctions of H are the Hermite functions Φ_α. They satisfy

$$A_j\Phi_\alpha = (2\alpha_j+2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Phi_{\alpha+e_j}, \qquad A_j^*\Phi_\alpha = (2\alpha_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Phi_{\alpha-e_j}$$

where e_j are the coordinate vectors. Given a bounded linear operator T on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, define the derivations

$$\delta_j T = [A_j^*, T] = A_j^*T - TA_j^*, \qquad \overline{\delta}_j T = [T, A_j] = TA_j - A_jT\,.$$

Then it can be shown that (see [8])

$$\mathbb{W}(z_j f) = \delta_j \mathbb{W}(f), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{W}(\overline{z}_j f) = \overline{\delta}_j \mathbb{W}(f)\,.$$

By iteration we obtain

$$\mathbb{W}(z^\alpha \overline{z}^\beta f) = \delta^\alpha \overline{\delta}^\beta \mathbb{W}(f)$$

where $\delta^\alpha \overline{\delta}^\beta$ are defined in an obvious way.

Returning to our equation (4.3.4), we take the Weyl transform on both sides and obtain that

$$\mathbb{W}(f_{\alpha,\beta})e^{-tH} = \delta^\alpha \overline{\delta}^\beta e^{-2tH}\,.$$

Testing against the Hermite basis it is easy to see that the densely defined operator

$$T = (\delta^\alpha \overline{\delta}^\beta e^{-2tH})e^{tH}$$

extends to the whole $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Hence, $T = \mathbb{W}(f_{\alpha,\beta})$ for some $f_{\alpha,\beta} \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Theorem 4.6. *Let $t > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \neq 0$. Then the λ-twisted heat kernel transform $H_t^\lambda : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to \mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ is an isometric isomorphism. Moreover, $\{\widetilde{\Phi}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$.*

Proof. As usual we restrict our attention to the case $\lambda = 1$. All what is left to show is that H_t is onto. Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{B}_t(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ is orthogonal to all $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\alpha,\beta}$. We have to verify that $F \equiv 0$. The function

$$G(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = F(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) e^{\frac{1}{4}(\coth 2t)(\mathbf{z}\cdot\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{w}\cdot\mathbf{w})}$$

is orthogonal in $\mathcal{F}_t$ to all functions of the form

$$f \times p_t(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) e^{-\frac{1}{4}(\coth 2t)(\mathbf{z}\cdot\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{w}\cdot\mathbf{w})}.$$

In view of Lemma 4.5, G is orthogonal to all $P_{\alpha,\beta}$. Hence by Lemma 4.4 we get $G = 0$ and so $F = 0$ as desired. □

We conclude this subsection with a proof of the uniqueness of the weight function W_t^λ.

Lemma 4.7. *W_t^λ is the unique non-negative measurable weight function for the λ-twisted Bergman space $\mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$.*

Proof. In view of (4.3.1), the statement is equivalent to the assertion that

$$\mathcal{W}_t^\lambda(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}) = e^{\lambda \Im(\mathbf{z}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{w}})} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(\coth 2t\lambda)(|\mathbf{z}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2)} \tag{4.3.5}$$

is the unique weight function for the twisted Fock space $\mathcal{F}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$. This will be verified in the sequel.

We may restrict ourselves to the notationally convenient case $n = 1$, $\lambda = 1$ and drop all sub and superscripts involving λ. Let $\mathcal{U}_t : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a measurable function such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^2} f(z,w)\overline{g(z,w)}\ \mathcal{W}_t(z,w)\, dz\, dw = \int_{\mathbb{C}^2} f(z,w)\overline{g(z,w)}\ \mathcal{U}_t(z,w)\, dz\, dw \tag{4.3.6}$$

holds for all $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{C}^2)$. We have to show that $\mathcal{W}_t = \mathcal{U}_t$ almost everywhere. Recall from Lemma 4.5 that all polynomials $z^m w^n$ lie in $\mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{C}^2)$. In particular the constant function belongs to $\mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{C}^2)$ and (4.3.6) implies that $\mathcal{U}_t$ is integrable.

Let us introduce polar coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^2$ by $(z, w) = (re^{i\phi}, se^{i\theta})$. Consider the Fourier expansions of $\mathcal{W}_t$ and $\mathcal{U}_t$ given by

$$\mathcal{W}_t(re^{i\phi}, se^{i\theta}) = \sum_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{m,n}(r,s) e^{im\phi} e^{in\theta}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_t(re^{i\phi}, se^{i\theta}) = \sum_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}} b_{m,n}(r,s) e^{im\phi} e^{in\theta}\,.$$

Identity (4.3.6) applied to $f = g = z^k w^l$ yields the estimates

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty r^{2k+1} s^{2l+1} |a_{m,n}(r,s)|\, dr\, ds \leq \|z^k w^l\|_{\mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{C}^2)}^2 \tag{4.3.7}$$

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty r^{2k+1} s^{2l+1} |b_{m,n}(r,s)|\, dr\, ds \leq \|z^k w^l\|_{\mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{C}^2)}^2 \tag{4.3.8}$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We finish the proof and show $c_{m,n} = a_{m,n} - b_{m,n} = 0$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In fact for $f = z^{m_1} w^{n_1}$ and $g = z^{m_2} w^{n_2}$ for $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we obtain from (4.3.6) that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty r^{m_1+m_2+1} s^{n_1+n_2+1} c_{m_2-m_1, n_2-n_1}(r,s)\, dr\, ds = 0\,. \tag{4.3.9}$$

Note that the integral on the left is absolutely convergent by (4.3.7)-(4.3.8). Fix now $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Reformulating (4.3.9) reads

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty r^{|m|+2k+1} s^{|n|+2l+1} c_{m,n}(r,s)\, dr\, ds = 0 \tag{4.3.10}$$

for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In view of (4.3.7)-(4.3.8), we have the estimate

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty r^{|m|+2k+1} s^{|n|+2l+1} |c_{m,n}(r,s)|\, dr\, ds \leq 2\|z^{|m|+k} w^{|n|+l}\|^2_{\mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{C}^2)} + C \tag{4.3.11}$$

with $C = \int_{|z|<1, |w|<1} (\mathcal{W}_t(z,w) + \mathcal{U}_t(z,w))\, dz\, dw > 0$ a constant independent of m, n.

Denote by $\mathcal{R}_+ = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : \Re\zeta > 0\}$ the right halfplane. Let us recall the elementary fact that a bounded holomorphic function $f : \mathcal{R}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$ which vanishes on $\alpha + \beta\mathbb{N}_0$ for some $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta > 0$ is identically zero (see [4], Lemma A.1 for a proof).

The explicite formula for $\mathcal{W}_t$ in (4.3.5) yields a crude but sufficient estimate for the norm of monomials: there exists constants $c, \gamma > 0$ such that for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ one has

$$\|z^k w^l\|^2 \leq c \cdot e^{\gamma(k+l)}\,. \tag{4.3.12}$$

Now define the function

$$\begin{aligned} F_{m,n} :& \mathcal{R}_+ \times \mathcal{R}_+ \to \mathbb{C}, \\ & (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \mapsto e^{-3\gamma(\zeta_1+\zeta_2)} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty r^{|m|+2\zeta_1+1} s^{|n|+2\zeta_2+1} c_{m,n}(r,s)\, dr\, ds\,. \end{aligned}$$

It is a consequence of (4.3.11) and (4.3.12) that $F_{m,n}$ is bounded and holomorphic on $\mathcal{R}_+ \times \mathcal{R}_+$. As $F_{m,n}|_{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}} = 0$ by (4.3.10), we conclude that $F_{m,n} = 0$. But then $c_{m,n} = 0$ by the properties of the Mellin transform. □

4.4. **The inversion formula for H_t^λ.** We conclude this section by proving a formula for the inverse map of the λ-twisted heat kernel transform $H_t^\lambda : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to \mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$. It is in the nature of the problem that $(H_t^\lambda)^{-1}$ can only be defined nicely on a dense subspace of $\mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$. The precise statement is as follows:

Theorem 4.8. *The inverse of $H_t^\lambda : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to \mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ is given by*

$$(H_t^\lambda)^{-1}(F) = \lim_{s\to 0^+} F_s \qquad (F \in \mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n}))\,,$$

where

$$F_s(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} F(\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{b}) e^{\frac{i\lambda}{2}(\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{z})} \overline{p_{t+s}^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})} W_t^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\, d\mathbf{z}\, d\mathbf{w}\,.$$

Proof. As before we only need to handle the case of $\lambda = 1$.

Let $F \in \mathcal{B}_t(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$. Since the space $\mathcal{B}_t(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ is twisted-translation invariant, it is clear that the function

$$(\tau(-\mathbf{a},-\mathbf{b})F)(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w}) = F(\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{b}) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{z})}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{B}_t(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$. Hence, by Cauchy-Schwarz ineqaulity, the integral defining F_s converges. According to Theorem 4.6 we have $F = H_t(f) = (f \times p_t)^\sim$ for some $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. It is easy to see that $F_s \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$

and that F_s converges to f. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_s(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} (\tau(-\mathbf{a},-\mathbf{b})H_t(f))(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\overline{H_t(p_s)(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})}W_t(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\,d\mathbf{z}\,d\mathbf{w} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} H_t(\tau(-\mathbf{a},-\mathbf{b})f)(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\overline{H_t(p_s)(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})}W_t(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\,d\mathbf{z}\,d\mathbf{w} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} (\tau(-\mathbf{a},-\mathbf{b})f)(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})p_s(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})\,d\mathbf{x}\,d\mathbf{u}\,. \end{aligned}$$

As $(p_s)_{s>0}$ is a Dirac sequence, it therefore follows that

$$F_s(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}) \to (\tau(-\mathbf{a},-\mathbf{b})f)(0,0) = f(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})$$

for $s \to 0^+$. This proves the theorem. ☐

5. The image of $\mathcal{H}_t$ as a direct integral

The goal of this section is to give a natural $\mathbb{H}$-equivariant identification of the image of the heat kernel transform $\mathcal{H}_t : L^2(\mathbb{H}) \to \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ with a direct integral of twisted Bergman-spaces.

We set $\mathbb{R}^\times = \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$. For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ we write $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_\lambda$ for the inner product on $\mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$. Recall the orthonormal basis $\{\tilde{\Phi}^\lambda_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ of $\mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ from Theorem 4.6.

We now introduce a measurable structure on $\coprod_{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^\times}\mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$. By a *section* s of $\coprod_{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^\times}\mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ we understand an assignment

$$s : \mathbb{R}^\times \to \coprod_{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^\times}\mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n}), \quad \lambda \mapsto s_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})\,.$$

We declare a section $s = (s_\lambda)$ to be *measurable* if for all $\alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ the map

$$\mathbb{R}^\times \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \lambda \mapsto \langle s_\lambda, \tilde{\Phi}^\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}\rangle_\lambda$$

is measurable. With that we can define a direct integral of Hilbert spaces by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times}^\oplus \mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})\,e^{2t\lambda^2}d\lambda = \{s : \mathbb{R}^\times \to \coprod_{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^\times}\mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n}) : s \text{ measurable}\,, \\ \|s\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times}\|s_\lambda\|_\lambda^2\,e^{2t\lambda^2}d\lambda < \infty\}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the unitary representation τ^λ of $\mathbb{H}$ on $\mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ from Subsection 4.1. We then obtain a unitary representation $\int_{\mathbb{R}^\times}\tau^\lambda\,d\lambda$ on $\int_{\mathbb{R}^\times}^\oplus \mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})\,e^{2t\lambda^2}d\lambda$ by

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^\times}\tau^\lambda\,d\lambda\right)(h)(s) = (\tau^\lambda(h)s_\lambda)_\lambda$$

for $h \in \mathbb{H}$ and $s = (s_\lambda)$ a square integrable section.

In our next step we will identify $\operatorname{im}\mathcal{H}_t$ with our direct integral from above. For that let $f \in S(\mathbb{H})$ be a Schwartz function. Then $\mathcal{H}_t(f) = (k_t * f)^\sim$ and from $(f * k_t)^\lambda = e^{-t\lambda^2}f^\lambda *_\lambda p_t^\lambda$ it hence follows that

$$(\mathcal{H}_t(f))^\lambda = e^{-t\lambda^2}H_t^\lambda(f^\lambda)\,. \tag{5.1}$$

Theorem 5.1. *Let $t > 0$. The map*

$$\mathcal{J}_t : S(\mathbb{H}) \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times}^\oplus \mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})\,e^{2t\lambda^2}d\lambda, \quad f \mapsto \left((\mathcal{H}_t(f))^\lambda\right)_\lambda$$

extends to an $\mathbb{H}$-equivariant unitary equivalence

$$(\tau, L^2(\mathbb{H})) \simeq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^\times}\tau^\lambda\,d\lambda\,, \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times}^\oplus \mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n})\,e^{2t\lambda^2}d\lambda\right)\,.$$

Proof. Let $f \in S(\mathbb{H})$. Then

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} |f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \xi)|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\mathbf{u} \, d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f^\lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\mathbf{u} \, d\lambda.$$

By Theorem 4.6 we have for each λ that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |f^\lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\mathbf{u} = \|H_t^\lambda(f^\lambda)\|^2 \, .$$

Thus it follows from (5.1) that $\mathcal{J}_t$ extends to an isometric embedding

$$\mathcal{J}_t : L^2(\mathbb{H}) \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times}^{\oplus} \mathcal{B}_t^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{2n}) \, e^{2t\lambda^2} d\lambda \, ,$$

denoted by the same symbol. The discussion leading up to (4.1.3) shows that $\mathcal{J}_t$ is $\mathbb{H}$-equivariant.

It remains to show that $\mathcal{J}_t$ is onto. For that observe if

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \xi) = F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \varphi(\xi)$$

for Schwartz functions $F \in S(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, $\varphi \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$(\mathcal{H}_t(f))^\lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = \hat{\varphi}(\lambda) e^{-t\lambda^2} H_t^\lambda(F)(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \, .$$

¿From that the surjectivity of $\mathcal{J}_t$ easily follows. □

6. The image of $\mathcal{H}_t$ as a sum of weighted Bergman spaces

In this section we prove the main result of this paper: $\operatorname{im} \mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{B}_t^+(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathcal{B}_t^-(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ is a direct sum of two weighted Bergman spaces. Very surprisingly, the corresponding weight functions W_t^+ and W_t^- attain also negative values (see the phenomenon explained in Example 3.1).

We will begin our discussion by showing that $\operatorname{im} \mathcal{H}_t$ is not a weighted Bergman space corresponding to a non-negative weight function. This will lead naturally to the definition of the partial weight functions W_t^+ and W_t^- and to a proof of the main theorem.

6.1. **Non-existence of a non-negative weight function.** The goal of this subsection is to discuss the non-existence of a non-negative weight function W_t on $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}} |\mathcal{H}_t(f)(z)|^2 W_t(z) \, dz \tag{6.1.1}$$

holds for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{H})$. In other words, $\operatorname{im} \mathcal{H}_t$ is not a weighted Bergman space corresponding to a non-negative weight function W_t. Subject to the natural assumption that W_t is $\mathbb{H}$-invariant, this will be established in Theorem 6.2 below.

Recall that we identify $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$. If $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{v}, \zeta = \xi + i\eta$ then $(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, \zeta) = he^{iX}$ with $X = (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{v}, \eta + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{y}))$ and $h = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \xi)$.

Suppose that (6.1.1) holds. As $\mathcal{H}_t$ is $\mathbb{H}$-equivariant, it is natural to assume that $W_t(he^{iX}) = W_t(e^{iX})$ for all $h \in \mathbb{H}$. In coordinates $(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, \zeta)$ this means that

$$W_t(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{v}, \xi + i\eta) = W_t\left(i\mathbf{y}, i\mathbf{v}, i\eta + \frac{i}{2}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{y})\right) . \tag{6.1.2}$$

Thus the weight function is uniquely determined by its restriction to $(i\mathbf{y}, i\mathbf{v}, i\eta)$. Furthermore W_t is independent of the ξ variable. Hence (6.1.1) reads as

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}} |\mathcal{H}_t(f)(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, \zeta)|^2 W_t(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, i\eta) \, d\mathbf{z} \, d\mathbf{w} \, d\zeta \tag{6.1.3}$$

Proposition 6.1. *Let $W_t(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w},i\eta)$ be a non-negative measurable function on $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$. If (6.1.3) holds for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{H})$, then it is necessary that W_t satisfies*

$$W_t^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w}) = e^{-2t\lambda^2}\int_\mathbb{R} e^{2\lambda\eta} W_t(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w},i\eta)\, d\eta \tag{6.1.4}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ and W_t^λ the function given in (4.2.2).

Proof. Write

$$\mathcal{W}_t^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w}) = e^{-2t\lambda^2}\int_\mathbb{R} e^{2\lambda\eta} W_t(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w},i\eta)\, d\eta\ .$$

We have to show that $W_t^\lambda = \mathcal{W}_t^\lambda$.

It follows from (2.2.2) that

$$\int_\mathbb{R} k_t^\sim(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w},\xi+i\eta)e^{i\lambda\xi}\, d\xi = e^{\lambda\eta}e^{-t\lambda^2}p_t^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\ .$$

An easy calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\mathbb{R} \mathcal{H}_t(f)(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w},\xi+i\eta)e^{i\lambda\xi}\, d\xi &= e^{\lambda\eta}e^{-t\lambda^2}(f^\lambda *_\lambda p_t^\lambda)(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w}) \\ &= e^{\lambda\eta}e^{-t\lambda^2}H_t^\lambda(f^\lambda)(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\ .\end{aligned} \tag{6.1.5}$$

Therefore, upon applying Plancherel theorem in the ξ-variable, the equation (6.1.3) becomes

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_\mathbb{R}\int_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}}\int_\mathbb{R} |H_t^\lambda(f^\lambda)(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})|^2 e^{-2t\lambda^2}e^{2\lambda\eta}W_t(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w},i\eta)\, d\eta\, d\mathbf{x}\, d\mathbf{u}\, d\mathbf{y}\, d\mathbf{v}\, d\lambda\ .$$

Here we applied Fubini's theorem which is justified as W_t is by assumption non-negative. Employing the definition of $\mathcal{W}_t$ we therefore get

$$\int_\mathbb{R}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |f^\lambda(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})|^2\, d\mathbf{x}\, d\mathbf{u}\, d\lambda = \int_\mathbb{R}\int_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} |H_t^\lambda(f^\lambda)(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})|^2 \mathcal{W}_t^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\, d\mathbf{x}\, d\mathbf{u}\, d\mathbf{y}\, d\mathbf{v}\, d\lambda.$$

Let now φ be a Schwartz class function on $\mathbb{R}$ with unit L^2-norm and define f by $f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u},\xi) = \widehat{\varphi}(\xi)F(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})$ with $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Then $f^\lambda(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u}) = \varphi(\lambda)F(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})$ and $H_t^\lambda(f^\lambda) = \varphi(\lambda)H_t^\lambda(F)$. For such f the above displayed equation becomes

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |F(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})|^2\, d\mathbf{x}\, d\mathbf{u} = \int_\mathbb{R}\int_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} |\varphi(\lambda)|^2 |H_t^\lambda(F)(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})|^2 \mathcal{W}_t^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\, d\mathbf{x}\, d\mathbf{u}\, d\mathbf{y}\, d\mathbf{v}\, d\lambda. \tag{6.1.6}$$

¿From (6.1.6) it is easy to see that for every $\lambda \neq 0$ and all $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |F(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})|^2\, d\mathbf{x}\, d\mathbf{u} = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} |H_t^\lambda(F)(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})|^2 \mathcal{W}_t^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\, d\mathbf{x}\, d\mathbf{u}\, d\mathbf{y}\, d\mathbf{v}\ .$$

By Lemma 4.7, the weight function $\mathcal{W}_t^\lambda$ is given by (4.2.2). □

Theorem 6.2. *There is no non-negative left $\mathbb{H}$-invariant weight function W_t for which (6.1.3) holds for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{H})$, i.e. $\operatorname{im}\mathcal{H}_t$ is not a weighted Bergman spaces corresponding to a left $\mathbb{H}$-invariant non-negative weight function.*

Proof. By (6.1.2), W_t is uniquely determined by its restriction to $(i\mathbf{y},i\mathbf{v},i\eta)$. By (4.2.2) and (6.1.4),

$$\int_\mathbb{R} e^{2\lambda\eta}W_t(i\mathbf{y},i\mathbf{v},i\eta)\, d\eta = e^{2t\lambda^2}p_{2t}^\lambda(2\mathbf{y},2\mathbf{v}) \qquad (\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times)\,.$$

If W_t were non-negative, then for fixed $\mathbf{y},\mathbf{v}$ and λ the function $\eta \mapsto e^{2\lambda\eta}W_t(i\mathbf{y},i\mathbf{v},i\eta)$ would belong to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Consequently, we would have

$$\int_\mathbb{R} e^{2(\lambda+is)\eta}W_t(i\mathbf{y},i\mathbf{v},i\eta)\, d\eta = e^{2t(\lambda+is)^2}p_{2t}^{\lambda+is}(2\mathbf{y},2\mathbf{v})\,. \tag{6.1.7}$$

The left hand side of (6.1.7) would be holomorphic in $\lambda + is$ since for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|\eta|^n e^{2\lambda\eta} W_t(i\mathbf{y}, i\mathbf{v}, i\eta) \le e^{2\lambda\eta+\varepsilon|\eta|} W_t(i\mathbf{y}, i\mathbf{v}, i\eta)$. However, the right side of (6.1.7) is holomorphic only for $\lambda \neq 0$. If $\lambda = 0$, it becomes

$$p_{2t}^{is}(2\mathbf{y}, 2\mathbf{v}) = c_n \left(\frac{s}{\sin(2st)}\right)^n e^{-s(\cot 2st)(\mathbf{y}^2+\mathbf{v}^2)},$$

which has an essential singularity at the points $s \in \mathbb{Z}^\times(\pi/t)$. Therefore there is no non-negative W_t that will satisfy (6.1.4) or (6.1.3). □

6.2. **The partial weight functions W_t^+ and W_t^-.** Recall the twisted weight function W_t^λ from (4.2.2).

Let $\lambda > 0$ and define a function W_t^+ on $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$ by

$$W_t^+(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, \zeta) = \int_\mathbb{R} e^{2t(\lambda+\frac{i}{2}s)^2} e^{-2\eta(\lambda+\frac{i}{2}s)} W_t^{\lambda+\frac{i}{2}s}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})\, ds. \tag{6.2.1}$$

It is easy to see that W_t^+ is well-defined. Notice that W_t^+ does not depend on ξ. In Proposition 6.3 below we will show that W_t^+ is independent of the choice of $\lambda > 0$.

Proposition 6.3. *The function W_t^+ satisfies the following properties:*
(i) *W_t^+ is independent of the choice of $\lambda > 0$. In particular,*

$$W_t^+(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, \zeta) = \lim_{\lambda\to 0^+} \int_\mathbb{R} e^{2t(\lambda+\frac{i}{2}s)^2} e^{-2\eta(\lambda+\frac{i}{2}s)} W_t^{\lambda+\frac{i}{2}s}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})\, ds.$$

(ii) *Let $a > 0$ and $Q \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be a compact set. Then there exists a constant $C = C(Q, a) > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in [a^{-1}, a]$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\sup_{(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\in Q} \int_\mathbb{R} \left|e^{2\varepsilon\eta} W_t^+(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, \xi + i\eta)\right| d\eta \le C\,.$$

(iii) *W_t^+ satisfies (6.1.4) with $\lambda > 0$, i.e.*

$$W_t^\lambda(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = e^{-2t\lambda^2} \int_\mathbb{R} e^{2\eta\lambda} W_t^+(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, i\eta)\, d\eta \tag{6.2.2}$$

for $\lambda > 0$.
(iv) *W_t^+ is real valued and left $\mathbb{H}$-invariant.*

Proof. (i) Let $\lambda > 0$. We have to show that

$$W_t^+(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, \zeta) = \int_\mathbb{R} e^{2t(\lambda+\frac{i}{2}s)^2} e^{-2\eta(\lambda+\frac{i}{2}s)} W_t^{\lambda+\frac{i}{2}s}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})\, ds$$

is independent of the choice of $\lambda > 0$. This will be a consequence of Cauchy's theorem. Indeed, let us denote the right hand side by $I(\lambda)$. For $R > 0$ and $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1 > 0$, let Γ_R be the contour consisting four lines, $\Gamma_R(\lambda_1) := \{\lambda_1 + is/2 : -2R < s < 2R\}$, $\gamma_{-R} = \{\lambda - iR : \lambda_1 \le \lambda \le \lambda_2\}$, $\Gamma_R(\lambda_2) = \{\lambda_2 + is/2 : -2R < s < 2R\}$ and $\gamma_R = \{\lambda + iR : \lambda_1 \le \lambda \le \lambda_2\}$, going counterclockwise. As $R \to \infty$, the integral on $\Gamma_R(\lambda)$ becomes $I(\lambda)$. Cauchy's theorem shows that

$$\int_{\Gamma_R} e^{-2\eta z} e^{2tz^2} W_t^z(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})\, dz = 0.$$

It is easy to see that $|\sinh(\lambda + iR)t| \ge \sinh(\lambda t)$ and $|\cosh(\lambda + iR)t| \le \cosh(\lambda t)$. Thus,

$$|p_{2t}^{\lambda\pm iR}(2\mathbf{y}, 2\mathbf{v})| \le \left(\frac{\lambda + R}{\sinh \lambda t}\right)^n e^{(\lambda+R)\coth(\lambda t)(|\mathbf{y}|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)}.$$

Together with $|e^{2t(\lambda\pm iR)^2}| = e^{2t\lambda^2} e^{-2tR^2}$, this shows that the integrals on γ_{-R} and on γ_R go to zero as $R \to +\infty$. Thus, taking $R \to \infty$ shows that $I(\lambda_1) = I(\lambda_2)$. This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) It follows from (i) that W_t^+ satisfies the bound

$$|W_t^+(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w},\xi+i\eta)| \le e^{-2\eta\lambda}e^{2t\lambda^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}ts^2}\left|W_t^{\lambda+\frac{i}{2}s}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\right| ds\ .$$

for any $\lambda > 0$. Notice that the integral on the right is independent of η. Thus if we let $\lambda > \epsilon$ if $\eta > 0$ and $\lambda < \epsilon$ if $\eta < 0$, we see that $\eta \mapsto e^{2\varepsilon\eta}W_t^+(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w},\xi+i\eta)$ is integrable. This implies (ii).

(iii) This is immediate from the definition (6.2.1) and Fourier inversion (which is justified by (ii)). In fact, we have

$$W_t^+(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w},\zeta) = e^{-2\eta\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\eta s}e^{2t(\lambda+\frac{i}{2}s)^2}W_t^{\lambda+\frac{i}{2}s}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\, ds$$

and so

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\lambda\eta}W_t^+(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w},\xi+i\eta)e^{i\eta s}\, d\eta = e^{2t(\lambda+\frac{i}{2}s)^2}W_t^{\lambda+\frac{i}{2}s}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\ .$$

Setting $s=0$ gives the the stated result.

(iv) We first show that W_t^+ is real valued. In fact, taking the conjugate of the integral (6.2.1) and then changing variable $s \to -s$ shows that the weight function W_t^+ is real. Finally, the fact that W_t^λ is twisted-translation invariant forces that W_t^+ is left $\mathbb{H}$-invariant. □

The function W_t^+ has a natural counterpart W_t^-. For $\lambda < 0$ we define W_t^- by

$$W_t^-(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w},\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2t(\lambda+\frac{i}{2}s)^2}e^{-2\eta(\lambda+\frac{i}{2}s)}W_t^{\lambda+\frac{i}{2}s}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\, ds. \tag{6.2.3}$$

It is more or less obvious that W_t^- satisfies the same properties as W_t^+ listed in Proposition (6.3), i.e. W_t^- is independent of the choice of $\lambda < 0$ etc. In fact, a simple change of variable in the integral and the fact that $p_t^\lambda(2\mathbf{y},2\mathbf{v})$ is even in λ leads to the relation

$$W_t^+(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w},i\eta) = W_t^-(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w},-i\eta).$$

We refer to W_t^+ and W_t^- as the *partial weight functions*. Their importance will become clear in the next subsection.

Remark 6.1. We will show in the appendix that both W_t^+ and W_t^- attain positive and negative values. In addition we shall discuss their oscillatory behaviour. A more heuristic explanation of these phenomena might be the following: Both $W_t^+(i\mathbf{y},i\mathbf{v},i\eta)$ and $W_t^-(i\mathbf{y},i\mathbf{v},i\eta)$ satisfy the differential equation

$$2\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U = \left(\Delta + (1-|\mathbf{y}|^2-|\mathbf{v}|^2)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\eta^2}\right)U. \tag{6.2.4}$$

Indeed, this follows from a straightforward computation starting from

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}p_t^\lambda(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{v}) = \left(\Delta - \frac{\lambda^2}{4}(|\mathbf{y}|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)\right)p_t^\lambda(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{v})$$

for all $\lambda \neq 0$ (see [7]). We note that the differential equation (6.2.4) is parabolic only for $|\mathbf{y}|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2 < 1$. If $|\mathbf{y}|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2 > 1$, then the right hand side of (6.2.4) resembles a wave equation which in turn might explain the oscillatory behaviour of W_t^+ and W_t^- on the large scale.

6.3. **The image of the heat kernel transform.** The objective of this section is to prove our main theorem: $\operatorname{im}\mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{B}_t^+(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathcal{B}_t^-(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ is a sum of two weighted Bergman spaces.

To exhibit the Bergman structure of the spaces $\mathcal{B}_t^+(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{B}_t^-(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ needs some preparation.

First we define subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{H})$ by

$$L^2_+(\mathbb{H}) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{H}) : f^\lambda = 0, \quad \lambda \le 0\}$$

and

$$L^2_-(\mathbb{H}) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{H}) : f^\lambda = 0, \quad \lambda \ge 0\}.$$

Notice that both subspaces are $\mathbb{H}$-invariant and

$$L^2(\mathbb{H}) = L^2_+(\mathbb{H}) \oplus L^2_-(\mathbb{H}).$$

Next we recall some facts on the heat kernel transform on the real line. The heat kernel on $\mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$q_t(x) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}} \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Define a weighted Bergman space on $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$\mathcal{B}_t(\mathbb{C}) = \{g \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}) : \|g\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{C}} |g(x+iy)|^2 e^{-\frac{y^2}{2t}}\, dx\, dy < \infty\}$$

and recall that the mapping

$$h_t : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{B}_t(\mathbb{C}), \quad g \mapsto (f * q_t)^\sim$$

is (up to scale) an $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant isometric isomorphism.

Set $\mathbb{R}^+ = (0,\infty)$ and $\mathbb{R}^- = (-\infty, 0)$. With $L^2_+(\mathbb{R}) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \operatorname{supp}\hat{f} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+\}$ and $L^2_-(\mathbb{R}) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \operatorname{supp}\hat{f} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^-\}$ we have $L^2(\mathbb{R}) = L^2_+(\mathbb{R}) \oplus L^2_-(\mathbb{R})$. Finally, let us write $\mathcal{B}_t^\pm(\mathbb{C}) = h_t(L^2_\pm(\mathbb{R}))$. Clearly we have $\mathcal{B}_t(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{B}_t^+(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathcal{B}_t^-(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $R > 0$. Denote by B_R the open ball centered at 0 with radius R in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Further define $K_R = B_R \times B_R \times \mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$ and note that $\bigcup_{R>0} K_R = \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$.

We define $\mathcal{V}_t^+(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ as the vector space consisting of all holomorphic functions F on $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$ such that

- $F|_{K_R} \in L^2(K_R, |W_t^+| dz)$ for all $R > 0$,
- $\lim_{R\to\infty} \int_{K_R} |F(z)|^2 W_t^+(z)\, dz < \infty$,
- $F(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, \cdot) \in \mathcal{B}_t^+(\mathbb{C})$ for all $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

We endow $\mathcal{V}_t^+(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ with a sesquilinear bracket

$$\langle F, G\rangle_+ = \lim_{R\to\infty} \int_{K_R} F(z)\overline{G(z)} W_t^+(z)\, dz\,, \tag{6.3.1}$$

for $F, G \in \mathcal{V}_t^+(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$. Similarly one defines $\mathcal{V}_t^-(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_-$.

Remark 6.2. One might ask if one cannot define $\mathcal{V}_t^\pm(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ in a simpler manner: avoid the exhaustion $\bigcup_{R>0} K_R = \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$ and just require $|F|^2 W_t^\pm$ to be absolutely integrable on $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$. However, this will not work, and the reason for this is the bad oscillatory behaviour of $W_t^\pm$ (see the appendix).

A priori it is not clear that $\langle F, F\rangle_\pm \geq 0$. This will be shown next.

Lemma 6.4. *The bracket $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_\pm$ induces on $\mathcal{V}_t^\pm(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ a pre Hilbert space structure.*

Proof. It is sufficient to treat the case "+" only. All what is left to show is that $\langle F, F\rangle_+ \geq 0$ and $\langle F, F\rangle_+ = 0$ if and only if $F = 0$.

Fix $F \in \mathcal{V}_t^+(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$. Then $F(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, \cdot) \in \mathcal{B}_t^+(\mathbb{C})$ implies the existence of a function $g(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, \cdot) \in L^2_+(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$F(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, \zeta) = h_t(g(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, \cdot))(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, s) q_t(\zeta - s)\, ds\,.$$

Therefore, up to an irrelevant constant only depending on t, the following equality holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, \xi + i\eta) e^{i\lambda\xi}\, d\xi = e^{\lambda\eta} e^{-t\lambda^2} g^\lambda(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})\ .$$

Consequently, as W_t^+ is independent of ξ,

$$\int_{K_R} |F(z)|^2 W_t^+(z)\, dz = \int_{B_R^2} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g^\lambda(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})|^2 e^{2\lambda\eta} e^{-2t\lambda^2} W_t^+(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, i\eta)\, d\eta\, d\lambda\, d\mathbf{z}\, d\mathbf{w}\ .$$

In view of (6.2.2) we thus get

$$\int_{K_R} |F(z)|^2 W_t^+(z)\, dz = \int_{B_R}\int_{B_R}\int_0^\infty |g^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})|^2 W_t^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\, d\lambda\, d\mathbf{z}\, d\mathbf{w}\ .$$

But $W_t^\lambda \geq 0$ and so

$$\langle F, F\rangle_+ = \lim_{R\to\infty}\int_{B_R}\int_{B_R}\int_0^\infty |g^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})|^2 W_t^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\, d\lambda\, d\mathbf{z}\, d\mathbf{w} \geq 0$$

and $\langle F, F\rangle_+ = 0$ if and only if $g^\lambda = 0$ for all λ, i.e. $F = 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Let us write $\mathcal{H}_t^\pm$ for the heat kernel transform when restricted to $L^2_\pm(\mathbb{H})$. Define Hilbert spaces of holomorphic functions by $\mathcal{B}_t^\pm(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}) = \operatorname{im}\mathcal{H}_t^\pm$ and note that

$$\operatorname{im}\mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{B}_t^+(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathcal{B}_t^-(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})\,.$$

Let us remark that this decomposition can be also achieved using the Hilbert transform in the last variable.

Theorem 6.5. *Let $t > 0$. Then $\mathcal{B}_t^\pm(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ is the Hilbert completion of $(\mathcal{V}_t^\pm(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}), \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_\pm)$ with $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_\pm$ given by (6.3.1).*

Proof. We restrict ourselves to the "+"-case. Define a dense subspace of $L^2_+(\mathbb{H})^0$ of $L^2_+(\mathbb{H})$ by

$$L^2_+(\mathbb{H})^0 = \{f \in L^2_+(\mathbb{H}) : \lambda \mapsto f^\lambda \ \text{ compactly supported in } (0,\infty)\}$$

We claim that $\mathcal{H}_t(L^2_+(\mathbb{H})^0) \subset \mathcal{V}_t^+(\mathbb{H})$. Let $f \in L^2_+(\mathbb{H})^0$ and set $F = \mathcal{H}_t^+(f)$. Choose $a > 0$ such that $f^\lambda = 0$ for λ outside of (a^{-1}, a). Proceeding as in Lemma 6.4 and using the estimate Proposition 6.3 (ii) we see that $\mathcal{H}_t^+(f)$ satisfies the first condition in the definition of $\mathcal{V}_t^+(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$. Furthermore (6.1.5) implies that

$$\int_{K_R} |F(z)|^2 W_t^+(z)\, dz = \int_{B_R}\int_{B_R}\int_0^\infty |H_t^\lambda(f^\lambda)(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})|^2 W_t^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})\, d\lambda\, d\mathbf{z}\ d\mathbf{w}\,.$$

As $W_t^\lambda \geq 0$, it hence follows that $\int_{K_R} |F(z)|^2 W_t^+(z)\, dz$ is increasing in R. Similar reasoning as in (6.1.6) now shows that

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\int_{K_R} |F(z)|^2 W_t^+(z)\, dz = \|f\|^2 < \infty\ .$$

Furthermore, for fixed $(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})$ we have $F(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w},\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}_t(\mathbb{C})$ as a quick inspection of (6.1.5) shows. This proves our claim.

As a byproduct of our reasoning above we have shown that $\mathcal{H}_t^+ : L_2^+(\mathbb{H})^0 \to \mathcal{V}_t^+(\mathbb{H})$ is an isometric map. It remains to verify that each function $F \in \mathcal{V}_t^+(\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ can be written as $\mathcal{H}_t^+(f)$ for some $f \in L^2_+(\mathbb{H})$. Let $g^\lambda(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})$ be the function associated to F as in the proof of Lemma 6.4. Then for almost all λ there exists an $f^\lambda \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ such that $g^\lambda = H_t^\lambda(f^\lambda)$. It is easy to check that the prescription

$$f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u},\xi) = \int_\mathbb{R} e^{-i\lambda\xi} f^\lambda(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})\, d\lambda$$

defines a function in $L^2_+(\mathbb{H})$ such that $\mathcal{H}_t^+(f) = F$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

7. Appendix: The oscillatory behaviour of the partial weight functions

This appendix is devoted to a closer study of the partial weight functions $W_t^{\pm}$. In particular we will detect "good" and "bad" directions for $W_t^{\pm}$, meaning rays in $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ on which $W_t^{\pm}$ stays positive resp. starts to oscillate. It is no loss of generality to treat the case of W_t^+ only.

We start with an expicit formula for the function W_t^+. Recall that the kernel p_t^λ admits an expansion of the type [7, p. 85]

$$p_t^\lambda(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{v}) = (2\pi)^{-n}\lambda^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-(2k+n)|\lambda|t} L_k^{n-1}(\frac{|\lambda|}{2}(|\mathbf{y}|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2))e^{-\frac{|\lambda|}{4}(|\mathbf{y}|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)},$$

where L_k^{n-1} is the Laguerre polynomial of degree k with parameter $n-1$, which can be extended analytically to $\lambda+is$ for $\lambda\neq 0$. Let $H_k(x)$ denote the Hermite polynomial, which can be defined by Rodrigue's formula $H_k(x) = (-1)^k e^{x^2}\frac{d^k}{dx^k}e^{-x^2}$.

Proposition 7.1. *For $n=1$ and $\beta := (y^2+v^2)$,*

$$W_{t/2}^+(iy,iv,i\eta) = c\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{4}\mu_k^2}$$
$$\times\left[\mu_k\sum_{j=0}^{k}\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^j H_j(-\mu_k\sqrt{t})\binom{k}{j} + \frac{\beta}{t}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^j H_j(-\mu_k\sqrt{t})\binom{k}{j+1}\right]$$

where $\mu_k = (2k+1+(2\eta+\beta)/t)/2$.

Proof. The integral formula of W_t^+ shows that, for a fixed $\lambda>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{t/2}^+(iy,iv,i\eta) &= c\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{t(\lambda+is)^2}e^{-2\eta(\lambda+is)}p_t^{\lambda+is}(2y,2v)\,ds\\
&= c\,e^{-(t+2\eta)\lambda+t\lambda^2-\lambda\beta}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}e^{-2kt\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\lambda+is)e^{-ts^2}L_k^{n-1}(2\lambda\beta+2is\beta)\\
&\qquad\qquad\times e^{-ist(2\lambda-2k-\beta-2\eta/t)}e^{-is\beta}\,ds\\
&= c\,e^{-(t+2\eta)\lambda+t\lambda^2-\lambda\beta}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}e^{-2kt\lambda}(\lambda+\partial_\alpha)L_k^{n-1}(2\beta(\lambda+\partial_\alpha))\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{i\alpha s}e^{-ts^2}\,ds\\
&= c\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}}e^{-(t+2\eta)\lambda+t\lambda^2-\lambda\beta}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}e^{-2kt\lambda}(\lambda+\partial_\alpha)L_k^{n-1}(2\beta(\lambda+\partial_\alpha))e^{-\frac{1}{4t}\alpha^2}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = t(2\lambda-2k-1-(2\eta+\beta)/t)$ and $\partial_\alpha = \partial/\partial_\alpha$.

Using the Rodrigue's formula of the Hermite polynomials and the explicit formula of L_k^γ, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
L_k^{n-1}(2\beta(\lambda+\partial_\alpha))e^{-\frac{1}{4t}\alpha^2} &= \sum_{l=0}^{k}\frac{(-k)_l}{l!l!}(2\beta)^l(\lambda+\partial_\alpha)^l e^{-\frac{1}{4t}\alpha^2}\\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{k}\frac{(-k)_l}{l!l!}(2\beta)^l\sum_{j=0}^{l}\binom{l}{j}\frac{1}{(2\sqrt{t})^j}(-1)^j e^{-\frac{1}{4t}\alpha^2}H_j\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{t}}\right)\\
&= e^{-\frac{1}{4t}\alpha^2}\sum_{j=0}^{k}\frac{1}{j!}\frac{\beta}{(\sqrt{t})^j}H_j\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{t}}\right)L_{k-j}^j(2\beta\lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

upon changing summations, simplifying and using the explicit formula of L_k^j. Let α be fixed. It turns out that the generating function of the above quantity is given by

$$e^{-\frac{1}{4t}\alpha^2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k}\frac{1}{j!}\frac{\beta}{(\sqrt{t})^j}H_j\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{t}}\right)L_{k-j}^j(2\beta\lambda)\right)s^k=\exp\left[-\frac{2\beta s\mu}{1-s}-\frac{\beta s}{(1-s)\sqrt{t}}\right]$$

where $\alpha=2t(\lambda-\mu)$. Since the generating function is independent of λ, this shows that the inner sum is in fact independent of λ. We can, in particular, set $\lambda=0$ in the inner sum and set $\mu=(2k+1+(2\eta+\beta)/t)/2$. Recall that $L_{k-j}^j(0)=\binom{k}{j}$. The change of variable from α to μ also leads to $\partial_\alpha=-\frac{1}{2t}\partial_\mu$. A simple computation then leads to

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda+\partial_\alpha)L_k^{n-1}(2\beta(\lambda+\partial_\alpha))e^{-\frac{1}{4t}\alpha^2}&=e^{-t(\lambda-\mu)^2}\\ \times\Bigg[\mu\sum_{j=0}^{k}\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^j H_j(-\sqrt{t}\,\mu)\binom{k}{j}&+\frac{\beta}{t}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^j H_j(-\sqrt{t}\,\mu)\binom{k}{j+1}\Bigg]\end{aligned}$$

from which the stated formula follows readily. □

We note that the formula proved above shows explicitly that W_t^+ is independent of λ without using the contour integral and Cauchy's theorem.

Proposition 7.2. *The function W_t^+ is positive in a neighborhood of $(0,0,0)$. Furthermore, $W_t^+(0,0,i\eta)$ is non-negative for all η.*

Proof. Setting $\beta=0$ in the explicit formula of W_t^+ gives

$$W_{t/2}^+(0,0,i\eta)=c\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}e^{-\frac{1}{4}(2k+1+2\eta/t)^2}\left(2k+1+\frac{2\eta}{t}\right),$$

which is clearly positive if $\eta\geq 0$. Furthermore, if $\eta/t=-m$ for $m\in\mathbb{N}$ then the sum can be written as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}e^{-\frac{t}{4}(2k+1-2m)^2}(2k+1-2m)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}e^{-\frac{t}{4}(2k+1)^2}(2k+1)-\sum_{k=1}^{m}e^{-\frac{t}{4}(2k-1)^2}(2k-1)$$

which is strictly positive. Similarly, the sum is strictly positive if $\eta/t=-m-1/2$. Hence, we are left with the case of $2\eta/t=-2m-1+r$, where $0<r<1$. In this case, the sum becomes

$$S_m:=2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}e^{-(k-m+r/2)^2t}(k-m+r/2)=2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}e^{-(k+s)^2t}(k+s)-\sum_{k=1}^{m}e^{-(k-s)^2t}(k-s)$$

where $0<s=r/2<1/2$. Set $g_k(s)=e^{-(k+s)^2t}(k+s)-e^{-(k+1-s)^2t}(k+1-s)$. It is easy to see that $g_k'(s)>0$ for $0<s<1$. Hence g_k is increasing. It follows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}e^{-\frac{t}{4}(2k+1)^2}(2k+1)-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}e^{-\frac{t}{4}(2k-1)^2}(2k-1)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}g_k(s)\geq\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}g_k(0)=0,$$

from which the stated result follows. □

However, the weight function $W_t^+(iy,iv,i\eta)$ is not non-negative for all (y,v,η). In fact, if $2\eta=-(y^2+v^2)$, then $2\eta+\beta=0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}W_{t/2}^+(iy,iv,i\eta)=c\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}e^{-(k+\frac{1}{2})^2}\Bigg[&(k+\frac{1}{2})\sum_{j=0}^{k}\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^j H_j(-\sqrt{t}(k+\frac{1}{2}))\binom{k}{j}\\ &+\frac{\beta}{t}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^j H_j(-\sqrt{t}(k+\frac{1}{2}))\binom{k}{j+1}\Bigg].\end{aligned}$$

For each fixed t, this is a function of β and it appears to be oscillatory. The graph for $t = 1$ is shown below.

The function oscillates in growing intervals and increasing amplitudes. To demonstrate the oscillatory nature of the function, what we have shown above is the function $W(iy, iv, -i\beta/2)/\log(2+\beta^2)$ without the factor $c\sqrt{\pi}$. It is a function of β, where $\beta = y^2 + v^2$.

References

[1] V. Bargmann, *On a Hilbert space of analytic functions and an associated integral transform*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **14** (1961), 187–214

[2] B. Hall, *The Segal-Bargmann "coherent state" transform for compact Lie groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **122** (1994), no. **1**, 103-151

[3] B. Hall, *The inverse Segal-Bargmann transform for compact Lie groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **143** (1997), no. **1**, 98-116

[4] B. Krötz, *Formal dimension for semisimple symmetric spaces*, Compositio Math. **125** (2001), no. **2**, 155–191

[5] B. Krötz, and R.J. Stanton, *Holomorphic extension of representations (II): geometry and harmonic analysis*, GAFA, to appear

[6] M. Stenzel, *The Segal-Bargmann transform on a symmetric space of compact type*, J. Funct. Anal. **165** (1999), no. **1**, 44-58

[7] S. Thangavelu, *An Introduction to the Uncertainty Principle*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.

[8] S. Thangavelu, *Harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg group*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998.

Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403-1222.
E-mail address: kroetz@math.uoregon.edu

Stat-Math Division, Indian Statistical Institute, 8th Mile, Mysore Road, Bangalore-560 059, India.
E-mail address: veluma@isibang.ac.in

Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403-1222.
E-mail address: yuan@math.uoregon.edu