

# The non-commutative analogue of Korovkin's sets and peak points

P. Shankar

Post-Doc Symposium 2019  
ISI, Bangalore

23.08.2019

# Introduction

The Classical theorems of Korovkin impressed several mathematicians since their discovery for the simplicity and the potential. Positive approximation process play a fundamental role in the approximation theory and it appears in a very natural way in several problems dealing with the approximation of continuous functions and qualitative properties such as monotonicity, convexity, shape preservation and so on. A considerable amount of research extended the Korovkin's theorems to the setting of different function spaces or more general abstract spaces such as Banach spaces, Banach algebras, Banach lattices,  $C^*$ -algebras and so on during last fifty years.

# Korovkin theorem

The classical approximation theorem due to Korovkin in 1953 unified many existing approximation processes

## Theorem

Let  $\{\phi_n : n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  be a sequence of positive linear maps from  $C([a, b])$  to itself. For each function  $g_k(x) = x^k, x \in [a, b], k = 0, 1, 2$ , if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(g_k) = g_k \text{ uniformly on } [a, b], k = 0, 1, 2.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(f) = f \text{ uniformly on } [a, b], \text{ for all } f \text{ in } C[a, b].$$

## Definition

A set  $G$  in  $C([a, b])$  is called a Korovkin set or test set, if for every sequence  $\{\phi_n\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  of positive linear maps from  $C([a, b])$  to itself  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(g) = g$  uniformly on  $[a, b]$  for every  $g \in G$  implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(f) = f$  uniformly on  $[a, b]$  for every  $f \in C([a, b])$ .

Korovkin theorem proves that  $\{1, x, x^2\}$  is a minimal Korovkin set for  $C([a, b])$ .

# Choquet boundary and Saskin's theorem

## Definition

Let  $S \subset C(X)$  containing the constant function 1, where  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space. The Choquet boundary  $\partial S$  of  $S$  is defined as  $\partial S = \{x \in X : \varepsilon_x|_S \text{ has a unique positive linear extension to } C(X), \text{ where } \varepsilon_x \text{ denotes the evaluation functional defined by } \varepsilon_x(f) = f(x), f \in C(X)\}$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $S$  be a subset of  $C(X)$  that separates points of  $X$  and contains constant function. Then  $S$  is a Korovkin set in  $C(X)$  if and only if the Choquet boundary  $\partial G = X$ . Where  $G = \text{linear span}(S)$*

# Peak points

## Definition

Let  $G$  be a closed subspace of  $C(X)$ , separating points and containing the identity  $1_X$  of  $C(X)$ . A point  $x_0 \in X$  is a *peak point* of  $G$  if there exists a  $g \in G$  for which

$$g(x_0) = \|g\|, |g(x)| < \|g\|, x \neq x_0.$$

The set of peak points of  $G$  is denoted by  $P(G)$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $G$  be a closed subspace of  $C(X)$ , separating points and containing the identity  $1_X$  of  $C(X)$ ; then  $P(G) \subset \partial G$ .*

## Theorem

*Let  $G$  be a closed subspace of  $C(X)$ , separating points and containing the identity  $1_X$  of  $C(X)$ ; then  $\partial G \subset \overline{P(G)}$ .*

# Non-commutative Choquet boundary

In 1969 Arveson introduced the notion of boundary representation.

## Definition

Let  $S$  be an operator system in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  such that  $A = C^*(S)$ . A representation  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H)$  of  $A$  is said to have unique extension property (UEP) for  $S$ , if the only unital completely positive (UCP) map  $\phi : A \rightarrow B(H)$  that satisfies  $\phi|_S = \pi|_S$  is  $\phi = \pi$  itself.

## Definition

Let  $S$  be an operator system in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  such that  $A = C^*(S)$ . An irreducible representation  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H)$  of  $A$  is said to be a boundary representation for  $S$  if  $\pi$  has unique extension property (UEP) for  $S$ .

In 2011 Arveson introduced noncommutative analogue of classical Korovkin set as follows.

## Definition

A set  $G$  of generators of an abstract  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is said to be *hyperrigid* if for every faithful representation  $A \subseteq B(H)$  of  $A$  on a Hilbert space and every sequence of unital completely positive maps  $\{\phi_n\}$  from  $B(H)$  to itself,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_n(g) - g\| = 0, \quad \forall g \in G \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_n(a) - a\| = 0, \quad \forall a \in A.$$



# Arveson's hyperrigidity conjecture

## Theorem

*For every separable operator system  $S$  that generates a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A = C^*(S)$ , such that  $S$  is hyperrigid if and only if for every nondegenerate representation  $\pi : C^*(S) \rightarrow B(H_\pi)$  on a separable Hilbert space,  $\pi|_S$  has unique extension property.*

## Theorem

*Let  $S$  be a separable operator system generating a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  such that  $A = C^*(S)$ . If  $S$  is hyperrigid, then every irreducible representation of  $A$  is a boundary representation for  $S$ .*

# Arveson's hyperrigidity conjecture

## Hyperrigidity conjecture

Still, hyperrigidity conjecture is not completely resolved. Hyperrigidity conjecture relates boundary representations of  $C^*$ -algebras for operator systems with hyperrigidity of operator systems. **It states that, if every irreducible representation of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a boundary representation for a separable operator system  $S \subseteq A$ , then  $S$  is hyperrigid.** Arveson proved the conjecture for  $C^*$ -algebras having countable spectrum, while Kleski established the conjecture for all type-I  $C^*$ -algebras.

# Peaking representations

Arveson introduced the notion of *peaking representation*, which is the non-commutative analogue of classical peak points.

## Definition

Let  $S$  be a separable operator system and let  $A = C^*(S)$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $S$ . An irreducible representation  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H)$  is said to be a *peaking representation* for  $S$  if there is an  $n \geq 1$  and an  $n \times n$  matrix  $[s_{ij}]$  over  $S$  such that

$$\|(\pi[s_{ij}])\| > \|(\sigma[s_{ij}])\|$$

for every irreducible representation  $\sigma$  not unitarily equivalent to  $\pi$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $S$  be an operator system that generates a finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(S)$ . An irreducible representation of  $C^*(S)$  is a boundary representation for  $S$  if and only if it is peaking for  $S$ .*

## Theorem

*Let  $S$  be a separable operator system that generates a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(S)$ . Then every peaking representation for  $S$  is a boundary representation for  $S$ .*

# Weak Choquet boundary

## Definition

Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $S$  be an operator system of  $A$  such that  $A = C^*(S)$ -the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $S$ . An irreducible representation  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H_\pi)$  is called *weak boundary representation* for  $S$  of  $A$  if  $\pi|_S$  has a unique UCP map extension of the form  $V^*\pi V$ , namely  $\pi$  itself, where  $V : H_\pi \rightarrow H_\pi$  is an isometry.

The set of all weak boundary representations for  $S$  of  $A$  is called weak Choquet boundary of  $S$  and denoted by  $\partial_W S$ . We can observe that all the boundary representations are weak boundary representations for  $S$ . Thus  $\partial S \subseteq \partial_W S$ .

# Example

Let  $G = \text{linear span}(I, S, S^*)$ , where  $S$  is the unilateral right shift in  $B(H)$  and  $I$  is the identity operator. Let  $A = C^*(G)$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $G$ . We have  $K(H) \subseteq A$ ,  $A/K(H) \cong C(\mathbb{T})$  is commutative, where  $\mathbb{T}$  denotes the unit circle in  $\mathbb{C}$  and the spectrum  $\hat{A}$  of  $A$  can be identified with  $\{Id\} \cup \mathbb{T}$ . We know that  $\varepsilon_t$  is a one dimensional irreducible representation of  $A$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ , therefore  $\varepsilon_t$  is a weak boundary representation for  $G$  of  $A$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ . Note that  $Id|_G$  has more than one UCP extension from the class  $CP(A, Id, H_{Id})$ . Observe that  $S^*Id(\cdot)S$  is also an extension of  $Id|_G$ . Therefore,  $Id$  is not a weak boundary representation.

# Finite representation

Arveson introduced the notion of finite representation in the setting of subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebras. He further proved that any representation  $\pi$  of a subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  is *finite representation* if and only if for every isometry  $V$  in  $B(H)$ , the condition  $V^* \pi(a) V = \pi(a)$  for all  $a$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  implies that  $V$  is unitary.

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $S$  be an operator system in  $A$  such that  $A = C^*(S)$ . Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible representation of  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then  $\pi$  is a finite representation of  $S$  if and only if  $\pi$  is a weak boundary representation for  $S$  of  $A$ .

## Definition

A set  $S$  of generators of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is said to be quasi hyperrigid, if for every nondegenerate representation  $\pi$  of  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $H_\pi$  and for every isometry  $V : H_\pi \rightarrow H_\pi$  the condition  $V^* \pi(s) V = \pi(s)$  for all  $s$  in  $S$  implies that  $V^* \pi(a) V = \pi(a)$  for all  $a$  in  $A$ .

Here we explore the relation between hyperrigidity and quasi hyperrigidity. It is trivial to see that hyperrigid sets are quasi hyperrigid. However, the converse is not true and hence the notion is strictly weaker. We illustrate an example.



# Example

Let  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  denote the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\mathbb{C}$ , where  $n \geq 3$ . Define a unital completely positive map  $\Phi$  on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  as given below. Let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_{2n} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_{3n} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & a_{n3} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

be arbitrary.

# Example

Now define  $\Phi$  on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$

$$\Phi(M) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{22} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_{22} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now let  $M = T$ , where  $a_{21} = 1$  and all other entries equal to 0. Let  $S = \text{span}\{I, T, T^*\}$  and  $A = C^*(S)$ . Consider the sequence of unital completely positive maps  $\{\Phi_n\}$  on  $C^*(S)$  where  $\Phi_n = \Phi$  for all  $n$ . Note that for all  $n$ ,  $\Phi_n(s) = s \forall s \in S$ , but  $\Phi_n(TT^*) \neq TT^*$ . This implies that  $S$  is not a hyperrigid set. However, if  $V$  is any isometry such that  $V^*V = I$ , then  $VV^* = I$ , since  $A$  is finite dimensional. Thus,  $S$  is quasi hyperrigid, but fails to be a hyperrigid set.

## Theorem

*Let  $S$  be a separable operator system and  $A = C^*(S)$ . Then  $S$  is quasi hyperrigid if and only if for every non-degenerate representation  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H_\pi)$  on a separable Hilbert space,  $\pi|_S$  has a unique UCP map extension of the form  $V^* \pi V$ , where  $V : H_\pi \rightarrow H_\pi$  is an isometry.*

## Theorem

*Let  $S$  be a separable operator system generating a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . If  $S$  is quasi hyperrigid, then every irreducible representation of  $A$  is a weak boundary representation for  $S$ .*

## Problem

If every irreducible representation of  $A$  is a weak boundary representation for a separable operator system  $S \subseteq A$ , then is  $S$  quasi hyperrigid?

## Theorem

*Let  $A = C^*(S)$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by a separable operator system  $S$  such that  $A$  has countable spectrum. If every irreducible representation of  $A$  is a weak boundary representation for  $S$  then  $S$  is quasi hyperrigid.*

# Weak unique extension property

## Definition

Let  $S$  be an operator system generating a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H_\pi)$  be a representation, then  $\pi$  is said to have weak unique extension property (WUEP) for  $S$  if  $\pi$  is the only UCP map extension of  $\pi|_S$  of the form  $V^*\pi(\cdot)V$ , where  $V$  is an isometry on  $H_\pi$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $S$  be a separable operator system generating a Type I  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . If every irreducible representation of  $A$  is a weak boundary representation for  $S$ , then for any UCP map  $V^*\pi V : A \rightarrow A''$ , where  $\pi : A \rightarrow A''$  is a representation and  $V \in A''$  is an isometry such that  $V^*\pi(s)V = \pi(s)$  for all  $s \in S$  implies that  $V^*\pi(a)V = \pi(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ .*

## Definition

Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $S$  be an operator system of  $A$  such that  $A = C^*(S)$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $S$ . An element  $\pi$  of  $\hat{A}$  is called a *weak peak point* for  $S$  if there exists  $s \in S$  such that

- (i)  $|\langle \pi(s)\xi_\pi, \xi_\pi \rangle| = \|s\|$  for some  $\xi_\pi \in H_\pi$  with  $\|\xi_\pi\| = 1$ ,
- (ii)  $|\langle \sigma(s)\xi_\sigma, \xi_\sigma \rangle| < \|s\|$  for all  $\xi_\sigma \in H_\sigma$  with  $\|\xi_\sigma\| = 1$ ,

where  $\sigma$  is any irreducible representation not equivalent to  $\pi$ .

We will denote the set of all weak peak points for  $S$  by  $P_w(S)$ .

# Weak boundary representations

We observed that the Choquet boundary of an operator system is contained in weak Choquet boundary of it and this inclusion is strict. So it would be interesting to know which weak Choquet boundary points are Choquet boundary points of an operator system. The following theorem gives partial answer to this query.

## Theorem

*Let  $S$  be an operator system in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A = C^*(S)$ . If  $\pi \in \hat{A}$  is a weak peak point for  $S$ ,  $\pi$  is a weak boundary representation for  $S$  and  $\pi|_S$  is pure, then  $\pi$  is a boundary representation for  $S$ .*

## Example 1

Let the Volterra integration operator  $V$  acting on the Hilbert space  $H = L^2[0,1]$  is given by

$$Vf(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt, \quad f \in L^2[0,1].$$

It is well known that  $V$  generates the  $C^*$ -algebra  $K = K(H)$  of all compact operators. Let  $S = \text{span}(V, V^*, V^2, V^{2*})$  and  $S$  is hyperrigid. Let  $\tilde{S} = S + \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{1}$  be an operator system generating the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\tilde{A} = K + \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{1}$ . The irreducible representations of  $\tilde{A}$  are  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  given by

$$\pi(T + \lambda \mathbf{1}) = T, \quad \text{for } T \in K, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$\rho(T + \lambda \mathbf{1}) = \lambda, \quad \text{for } T \in K, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$$



## Example 1

In fact these are the only two irreducible representations upto unitary equivalence.  $\tilde{S}$  is a hyperrigid operator system implying that  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  are boundary representations for  $\tilde{S}$  of  $\tilde{A}$ . Also,  $\tilde{S}$  is quasi hyperrigid and therefore  $\pi, \rho$  are weak boundary representations for  $\tilde{S}$ .

Let  $V + V^* \in \tilde{S}$  be the projection on the space of constants and let the constant function  $1 \in L^2[0, 1]$ ,  $\|1\| = 1$

$$|\langle \pi(V + V^*)1, 1 \rangle| = 1 = \|V + V^*\|.$$

For all  $\xi_\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\|\xi_\rho\| = 1$

$$|\langle \rho(V + V^*)\xi_\rho, \xi_\rho \rangle| = |\langle 0\xi_\rho, \xi_\rho \rangle| = 0 < \|V + V^*\|.$$

Therefore  $\pi$  is a weak peak point.

## Example 1

Let  $\mathbf{1} \in \tilde{S}$  and  $1 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\|\mathbf{1}\| = 1$

$$|\langle \rho(\mathbf{1})\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \rangle| = 1 = \|\mathbf{1}\|.$$

For all  $\xi_\pi \in L^2[0, 1]$ ,  $\|\xi_\pi\| = 1$

$$|\langle \pi(\mathbf{1})\xi_\pi, \xi_\pi \rangle| = |\langle 0\xi_\pi, \xi_\pi \rangle| = 0 < \|\mathbf{1}\|.$$

Hence  $\rho$  is a weak peak point. Also,  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  restricted to  $\tilde{S}$  are pure.

## Example 2

Let  $G = \text{span}(I, S, S^*, SS^*)$ , where  $S$  is the unilateral right shift in  $B(H)$  and  $I$  the identity operator. Let  $A = C^*(G)$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $G$ . We have,  $K(H) \subseteq A$ .

$A/K(H) \cong C(\mathbb{T})$  is commutative, where  $\mathbb{T}$  denotes the unit circle in  $\mathbb{C}$  and the spectrum  $\hat{A}$  of  $A$  can be identified with  $\{Id\} \cup \mathbb{T}$ . Since  $S$  is an isometry,  $G$  is hyperrigid and this will imply that all the irreducible representations of  $A$  are boundary representations for  $S$ . Clearly  $G$  is quasi hyperrigid, so all the irreducible representations are weak boundary representations for  $S$ .

## Example 2

Now we prove that identity representation  $Id$  of  $A$  is a weak peak point for  $G$ . Let  $e_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$  and let  $E = I - SS^* \in G$  be the rank one projection. We have  $|\langle Id(E)e_1, e_1 \rangle| = 1 = \|E\|$  and for any irreducible representation  $\pi$  which is not equivalent to identity,  $\pi(E) = 0$ . So we have  $|\langle \pi(E)\eta, \eta \rangle| = 0 < \|E\|$  for all unit vectors  $\eta \in H_\pi$ . This proves that  $Id$  is a weak peak point. Also,  $Id|_G$  is pure.

- M. N. N. Namboodiri, S. Pramod, P. Shankar and A. K. Vijayarajan, *Quasi hyperrigidity and weak peak points for non-commutative operator systems*. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 128 (2018), no. 5, Art. 66, 14 pp.

- W. B. Arveson, *Subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Acta Math. **123** (1969), 141-224.
- W. B. Arveson, *Subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebras II*, Acta Math. **128** (1972), no. 3-4, 271–308.
- W. B. Arveson, *The noncommutative Choquet boundary*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (2008), no. 4, 1065-1084.
- W. B. Arveson, *The noncommutative Choquet boundary III: Operator systems in matrix algebras*, Math. Scand **106** (2010), no. 2, 196 - 210.
- W. B. Arveson, *The noncommutative Choquet boundary II: hyperrigidity*, Israel J. Math. **184** (2011), 349-385.
- H. Berens and G.G. Lorentz, *Geometric theory of Korovkin sets*, J. Approx. Theory **15** (1975), no. 3, 161-189.

- K. R. Davidson and M. Kennedy, *The Choquet boundary of an operator system*, Duke Math. J. **164** (2015), 2989-3004.
- K. R. Davidson and M. Kennedy, *Choquet order and hyperrigidity for function systems*, (2016), arXiv:1608.02334v1 (to appear).
- M. Ditschel and S. McCullough, *Boundary representations for families of representations of operator algebras and spaces*, J. Operator Theory **53** (2005), no. 1, 159-167.
- C. Kleski, *Boundary representations and pure completely positive maps*, J. Operator Theory **71** (2014), no. 1, 101-118.
- C. Klesky, *Korovkin-type properties for completely positive maps*, Illinois J. Math. **58** (2014), no. 4, 1107-1116.
- P. P. Korovkin, *Linear Operators and Approximation Theory*, Hindustan publishing corp., Delhi, 1960.

- C. Kleski, *Boundary representations and pure completely positive maps*, J. Operator Theory **71** (2014), no. 1, 101-118.
- C. Klesky, *Korovkin-type properties for completely positive maps*, Illinois J. Math. **58** (2014), no. 4, 1107-1116.
- P. P. Korovkin, *On convergence of linear positive operators in the space of continuous functions*, (Russian) Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) **90** (1953), 961-964.
- P. P. Korovkin, *Linear Operators and Approximation Theory*, Hindustan publishing corp., Delhi, 1960.
- Y. A. Saskin, *Korovkin systems in spaces of continuous functions*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **54** (1966), no. 2, 125-144.
- R. Clouatre, *Unperforated pairs of operator spaces and hyperrigidity of operator systems*, Canad. J. Math. **70** (2018), no. 6, 1236–1260.

- R. Clouatre and M. Hartz, *Multiplier algebras of complete Nevanlinna-Pick spaces: dilations, boundary representations and hyperrigidity*, J. Funct. Anal. 274 (2018), no. 6, 1690–1738.
- A. Dor-On and G. Salomon, *Full Cuntz-Krieger dilations via non-commutative boundaries*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 98 (2018), no. 2, 416–438.
- E. Katsoulis and C. Ramsey, *The hyperrigidity of tensor algebras of  $C^*$ -correspondences*, Preprint arXiv:1905.10332v2.
- M. Kennedy, O. M. Shalit, *Essential normality, essential norms and hyperrigidity*, J. Funct. Anal. 268 (2015), no. 10, 2990–3016.
- G. Salomon, *Hyperrigid subsets of graph  $C^*$ -algebras and the property of rigidity at zero*, J. Operator Theory 81 (2019), no. 1, 61–79.



# Thank You