Human Scalp EEG Processing: Various Soft Computing Approaches

Kaushik Majumdar, Indian Statistical Institute, 8th Mile, Mysore Road, Bangalore – 560059, India; Email: kmajumdar@isibang.ac.in

Abstract—In this survey various soft computing techniques, such as, neural networks, fuzzy logic, evolutionary computation, statistical discrimination and Bayesian inference in processing human scalp EEG have been discussed. To aid the discussion, physiological basis of scalp EEG has been briefly presented, followed by a discussion on dimensionality reduction of the EEG data. For readability the paper has been kept as little technical as possible. Large number of references have been listed to aid searching the details.

Keywords—Bayesian inference, electroencephalogram (EEG), evolutionary computation, fuzzy clustering, neural networks, neuro-fuzzy techniques, statistical discrimination, support vector machine (SVM).

I. INTRODUCTION

IN EEG analysis, most methods of analysis follow, explicitly or not, a pattern recognition approach [1], [2]. These analyses have important applications in brain computer interface (BCI) [3], [4], [5], epilepsy research [6], [7], sleep studies [8], [9], [10], psychotropic drug research and monitoring patients in critical condition in the ICUs [11], [12]. However automated analysis of EEG data is a huge challenge because of the volume of the data sets and dynamic nature of the signals with high temporal resolutions (in millisecond range). In case of the human scalp EEG signals this challenge has been further augmented by the introduction of high density EEG nets consisting of more than 300 channels [13] and with increasing sample frequency (1000 Hz or more) of dizitization by means of advanced technologies.

Human scalp EEG was born in 1920s when the German physician Hans Berger first measured traces of brain electrical activities on the scalp [14], [15]. Since then the interpretation of patterns in the scalp EEG, in the most part, has remained a challenging issue. Synaptic activity in the pyramidal neurons (85% of excitatory human cortical neurons are of this type) is the principle source of scalp EEG [16] (p. 914). Modulatory dynamical actions of the neural ensembles, both at local and global scales, give rise to patterns in the scalp EEG [17], [18]. With clever quantitative methods it is possible to measure (cognitive) task related integration [19], [20], [21] and differentiation [22] (in some sense) from even the single trial

EEG signals.

The online epoch identification in human scalp EEG signals has a long history [23]. In this classic, the vision propounded for spatio-temporal data reduction and processing by soft computing approaches, like the Bayesian statistics, in order to bring down the computational loads to a manageable limit, are being largely followed even today [24]. For the sake of computational efficacy it is desirable to keep the analysis linear as far as possible. But, then comes the vital issue – are we not overlooking the nonlinear features? It has been argued in [25] that the advantage of a nonlinear analysis, at greater cost, of the multi-channel noisy scalp EEG data is rather marginal over the corresponding linear methods.

Since the early days of the BCI [26] the need for real time analysis of EEG and ERP has been felt. Linear analysis and soft computing techniques are the two most promising approaches in this regard. In contrast to classical approach of exact computation at a greater cost, which may be prohibitive for the complex problems like multidimensional EEG analysis, soft computing strives to achieve tangible results at reasonable cost by allowing inexactness and uncertainty to be parts of the computational models. It includes neural networks, fuzzy logic, statistical discrimination, Bayesian inference and genetic algorithms. This list is of course not exhaustive, but would be sufficient for our purpose in this paper. Here we will be reviewing various soft computing techniques that have been followed for human scalp EEG/ERP processing. Such a review, even if non-exhaustive, would hopefully be useful for the research community.

In the next two sections we will be briefly presenting a physiological overview of scalp EEG and dimensionality reduction of the data respectively. In section 4 we will be reviewing neural network applications on human scalp EEG, in section 5 fuzzy systems applications, in section 6 applications of evolutionary computation, and in sections 7, 8 and 9 applications of statistical discrimination, support vector machine (SVM) and Bayesian inference respectively. Not all these branches have found equal applications on human EEG. In this survey we have tried to be as exhaustive as we could, sacrificing the technical details, which can be seen in the references. This, we hope, will enhance the readability and usefulness of the paper.

II. CORTICAL SOURCE OF SCALP EEG

Excitatory postsynaptic potential (EPSP) at the apical dendritic trees of pyramidal neurons is the principle source of the scalp EEG [15], [16]. When these neurons receive inputs through their apical dendrites EPSPs are generated in the apical dendritic tree. The apical dendritic membrane becomes transiently depolarized and consequently extracellularly electronegative with respect to the cell soma and the basal dendrites. This potential difference causes a current to flow through the volume conductor from the nonexcited membrane of the soma and basal dendrites to the apical dendritic tree sustaining the EPSPs [1], [15].



Fig. 1. Left: EPSPs are generated at the apical dendritic tree of a cortical pyramidal cell. Center: Large cortical pyramidal nerve cells are organized in macro-assemblies with their dendrites normally oriented to the local cortical surface. Right: Functional networks made of these cortical cell assemblies and distributed at possibly multiple brain locations are the main generators of EEG signals. Taken from [15].

Some of the current takes the shortest route between the source and the sink by traveling within the dendritic trunk (primary current in blue in Fig. 1). Conservation of electric charges imposes that the current loop be closed with extracellular currents flowing even through the most distant part of the volume conductor (secondary current in red in Fig. 1). Intracellular currents are commonly called primary currents, while extracellular currents are known as secondary, return, or volume currents. With the spatial arrangement and the simultaneous activation of a large population of the cells, as shown in center of Fig. 1, contribute to the spatio-temporal superposition of the elemental activity of every cell, resulting in a current flow that generates detectable scalp EEG signals [15].

Both primary and secondary current contribute to scalp EEG. Macrocolumns of tens of thousands of synchronously activated large pyramidal cortical neurons are thus believed to be the principle sources of scalp EEG because of the coherent distribution of their large dendritic trunks locally oriented in parallel, and pointing perpendicularly to the cortical surface [27]. The currents associated with the EPSPs generated among their dendrites are believed to be at the source of most of the signals detected in MEG and EEG because they typically last longer than the rapidly firing action potentials traveling along the axons of excited neurons [28], [15].

III. DIMENSIONALITY REDUCTION

Dimension of scalp EEG data at the preprocessing stage is calculated as number of channels \times number of trials (e.g., the way the data representation is made in [29]). For dense array EEG consisting of more than 100 channels, a recording session spanning through hundreds of trials each spanning through several seconds or minutes or even hours (in case of say, epilepsy monitoring) with a sample frequency of 1000 Hz or more, the amount of generated data may be of the order of tens or even hundreds of gigabytes. Without some kind of data reduction it would be impossible even to load the data set into the main memory of most modern day work stations. Dimensionality reduction can be done by selecting appropriate channels [30], [31] or time epochs or trials [32].

Dimension of EEG at the postprocessing stage is calculated usually in terms of the dimension of the feature space. Dimension reduction (also known as *feature extraction*) is achieved either by projection to a lower dimensional space or by selecting a subspace of the original one [30], [33]. In [22] dimensionality reduction has been achieved by projecting EEG from all the channels into a single one dimensional time domain signal. More of it will be discussed in section 7.

IV. NEURAL NETWORKS

This section will be organized in accordance with [34]. Low signal to noise (SNR) in case of scalp EEG is a good reason for using neural networks (NN) to process them [35].

A. Artifact Removal

Eye blinks; movements of eyeballs and tongue; face, head and neck muscle contractions; cardiac rhythms; frequency of the alternating current supply to the equipment (steady state 50 or 60 Hz) are the major sources of artifacts in scalp EEG (for a nice overview see ref. [36]). Some of these may be avoided if the subject follows appropriate guidelines. For the others, automated artifact detection and removal techniques are the most practical solutions. When the patterns of artifacts is different from the patterns of evoked potential artificial NN (ANN) can theoretically be used to separate the artifacts out from the EEG. Some advancement in this direction has been reported in [37] - [46].

Various features of artifacts are extracted and fed into the input of an ANN to train it. At the end of training success rate of an RBF network has been reported to be 75% in artifact detection [43].

B. Source Localization

Interpretation of the clinical EEG almost always involves speculation as to the possible locations of the sources inside the brain that are responsible for the observed activity on the scalp [47]. For excellent reviews see [15], [48] and [49]. However computational cost of most source localization algorithms is prohibitive. An error back propagation NN approach was first proposed to overcome this hurdle in case of dipole source localization [50]. In general dipole source localization problem is an optimization problem – to find

optimum coordinate and orientation of dipoles, and hence suitable for bring solved by artificial NN. It is possible to do away with computation intensive head models if there is sufficient input-output data to train the network.

A general ANN system for EEG source localization is illustrated in Fig. 2. According to [51], the number of neurons in the input layer is equal to the number of electrodes and the features at the input can be directly the values of the measured voltage. The network also consists of one or two hidden layers of N neurons each and an output layer made up of six neurons, 3 for the coordinates and 3 for dipole components. In addition each hidden layer neuron is connected to the output layer with weights equal to one in order to permit a non-zero threshold of the activation function. Weights of inter connections are determined after the training phase where the neural network is trained with predetermined examples from forward modeling simulations [49]. Localization accuracy has been claimed to be less than 5% by various ANN approaches [34], [35], [50] -[57] and high accuracy in case of [58]. Clearly ANN approach is not very practical for distributed source models, where sources may consist of any subset of thousands of cortical mesh points [32].



Fig. 2. Block diagram for source localization by artificial neural networks (ANN). Taken from [49].

C. Sleep Studies

K-complexes are said to be the largest events in healthy human EEG [59]. It is natural that ANN had been tried on them quite early with 90% success rate of identification and 8% false positive [60], also [61]. Sleep spindle identification by ANN also started getting attention at the same time [60], [62]. A simple feed forward ANN was applied on sleep EEG even earlier [63]. 61 to 80% accuracy was achieved in classifying seven different sleep stages in infant EEG (wake, movement, sleep stage 1, sleep stage 2, sleep stage 3/4, paradoxical sleep and artifacts) [64]. A pioneering study was undertaken to distinguish sleep EEG power spectrum patterns under the influence of different sleeping pills using ANN [65]. For a detailed review of early ANN applications on sleep studies see [66] (also see [34] for more references).

Use of ANN for automatic sleep stage scoring has been reported in [67] with an average 87.5% agreement with two human experts. A dominating trend in sleep EEG analysis has

been – first to extract features (such as shape, frequency, power spectrum, etc) by a suitable wavelet transform (in some cases Fourier transform [68]) and then using these features as input to an ANN [67], [69], [70]. Accuracy of recognition runs from as low as 44.44% [69] to around 95% in [70]. Automatic recognition of alertness and drowsiness has been performed by three different NNs with the best performance reported for the learning vector quantization (LVQ) network [71], which is 94.37 \pm 1.95% in agreement with the human experts.

D. Epilepsy

EEG analysis is the most certain way to diagnose and monitor epilepsy and it has a long history [72]. The effort for automatic detection of epileptic activities in prolonged EEG recordings is also quite old [73]. Neural networks started being used for epileptic seizure detection since early nineties [74], [75] followed by others [76] – [86]. In case of neonatal seizure detection by error back propagation NN the average detection rate is from 79.6% to 91% [85], [86]. Feed forward NN and quantum NN have been used to detect neonatal epileptic seizure with moderate specificity (little over 79% by both types of NN) [87]. Elman networks (EN) have also been used for seizure detection [88]. These are a form of recurrent NN which have connections from their hidden layer back to a special copy layer. This means that the function learnt by the network can be based on the current inputs plus a record of the previous state(s) and outputs of the network. The results that EN yields are said to be the best with a single feature fed as the input. The overall reported detection accuracy is about 99.6% [88]. Recurrent NN based seizure prediction has been reported in [89]. For better performance of spike detection by NNs, preprocessing of the EEG has been emphasized in [90]. Recurrent NN has been used for seizure EEG classification in [91]. Scalp EEG of 418 epilepsy patients was classified with an multilayer perceptron (MLP), which matched with two human experts in 89.2% instances [92].

Let us conclude this subsection with a prophetic observation of Alan S. Gevins, "Brain electromagnetic signals can be quite useful for providing corroborating evidence about the presence of a seizure disorder and also for determining the site of seizure origin. Therefore, despite their limited clinical impact to date, efforts at automated "epileptiform" transient detection will undoubtedly continue" [93].

E. Brain Computer Interface

BCI started with the seminal paper of Farwell and Donchin [94]. Soon afterward NN was applied to classify the scalp EEG signals during right and left hand movements in the hope of predicting the side of movements before they occurred [95], [96]. Power spectrum of extended α - band (5 to 16 Hz) band had been used to train and test an hybrid of K-means and back propagation NN to achieve a classification accuracy of 85 to 90% [97]. Cascade NN has been used for the same prediction purpose has shown widely varying results depending on the power spectrum of α EEG [98]. 91% or more classification accuracies were achieved for mere left or right index finger

movements discrimination by employing on ANN for each channel and selecting only the 'best' classification results [99], [100] ([100] also includes right foot movements in addition to the two mentioned earlier).

The performances of a back propagation ANN with four layers have been compared in [101] with two human investigators when both the ANN and the humans were engaged in classifying scalp EEG of six subjects during right middle finger extension tasks. For a cube rotation task in BCI an adaptive NN based algorithm has achieved a 68.3% classification accuracy in [102]. Imagined hand movement in four out of seven subjects is reported to be predictable with 80% accuracy in [103]. In a more recent study fast Fourier transform (FFT) based amplitudes of the EEG have been used as input to a multilayer NN with reported improved accuracy on test sets 80% or more [104]. FFT and NN based EEG classification of intention of right and left elbow movement has been reported in [105], [106]. EEG classification of limb movement imagination by NN based on particle swarm optimization has been reported in [107].

F. Other Patterns

Several studies have been reported pertaining to the analysis of evoked potential (EP) in the scalp EEG using NN [108] – [110]. Some of them are concerned about visual EPs [109] – [122], some about auditory EPs [100], [110], [118], [123] – [134] and some about somatosensory EPs [110], [135] – [139]. For a fundamental treatment of use of NN in the analysis of event related potential (ERP) see ref. [140].

Using EEG recordings several investigators have developed neural network based systems to assess the vigilance level of the subject under investigation [141] - [147]. In [147] a Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) multilayer perceptron (MLP) was used to classify EEG signals from 30 subjects for alertness (success rate 93.6%), drowsiness (96.6%) and sleep (90%) (the LM network has been reported to be performing poorer than the LVQ in [71]). The input to the MLP was obtained by spectral analysis of the EEG through a discrete wavelet transform (DWT).

Analysis of maturation level of neonatal brains (28 to 112 weeks after birth) has been determined using NN on the EEG [148]. NN was used on EEG of 131 children aged between 4 and 16 years to detect possible abnormality in brain [149]. NN was applied on auditory EP of brainstem to detect hearing impairments in newborns [150]. Attention deficit hyper active (ADHA) disorder is a recognized problem in child psychiatry, in which NNs have been used on EEG to identify symptoms with good success [151], [152].

In certain neurological disorders EEG tends to be different from the normal. Tacitly using this fact NN based classification of disordered EEG with respect to the control has been achieved. This was done for headache and migraine [153] – [155], neuroophthalmological disorder [156], head injury [140], multiple sclerosis [39], [157], schizophrenia [158] – [163], Alzheimer disease [164] – [167], Parkinson's disease [167], Huntington's disease [162], [168] – [171], depression [161] and alcoholics [172], [173]. Probabilistic NN has also been used for EEG classification in [174] with moderate success and slightly poorer performance than SVM, but with much better performance in [175]. For some clinical applications of NN on EEG see section IV of [176].

MLP and EN have been used on EEG to determine the depth of anesthesia during surgery with 99% success for the EN [177] (for a survey of applications of NN on EEG during anesthesia see ref. [178]). EN has been shown to perform better on human visual evoked potential (VEP) than the k nearest neighbor (kNN) algorithm [179]. Continuous monitoring of brain state by means of NN application on EEG of the critically ill patients in the intensive care unit (ICU) has been reported in [12], [180]. Use of NN on EEG under the effects of drugs (sedatives) in order to classify the effects due to different drugs has been reported in [181], [182]. Classification of online scalp EEG by NN during three different mental tasks has been performed with 70% accuracy, but with only 5% mis-classification [183]. Convolutional NN has been used in BCI for classifying EEG during different activities with 95% accuracy [184].

V. FUZZY LOGIC

Fuzzy logic based analysis of human scalp EEG started with the pioneering paper [8]. Fuzzy clustering and neuro-fuzzy techniques have remained the most notable methodologies in this regard.

A. Fuzzy Clustering

Cluster analysis is based on partitioning a collection of data points into a number of subgroups, where the objects inside a cluster (a subgroup) show a certain degree of closeness or similarity. Hard clustering assigns each data point (feature vector) to one and only one of the clusters, with a degree of membership equal to one, assuming well defined boundaries between the clusters. This model often does not reflect the description of real data, where boundaries between subgroups might be fuzzy, and where a more nuanced description of the object's affinity to the specific cluster is required [10]. In case of human EEG this was first utilized in [8] (before this fuzzy clustering was applied on sleep EEG of chimpanzee [185]). An efficient human sleep EEG data classification has been reported in [10] by means of unsupervised fuzzy partitionoptimal number of classes (UFP-ONC), which is a combination of fuzzy k-means (FKM) algorithm [186] and fuzzy maximum likelihood estimation. This has been able to decompose the sleep EEG from a single subject into optimum number of distinct classes, which has been treated as a priori unknown [10], [187], [188].

A different fuzzy clustering algorithm was used in [189] for EP identification in low signal to noise ratio (SNR) EEG. In this FKM algorithm has been applied with the number of clusters determined by the criterion proposed in [190]. Trials with prominent (same) EP were grouped together using fuzzy clustering before being averaged for extraction of the EP. Single instances of EP have been reported to be classified up to 95% accuracy. FKM clustering (also known as fuzzy cmeans clustering) was used in conjunction with an ANN to classify epileptic spikes (ES) in scalp EEG [191]. However the performance is not very impressive.

Fuzzy if-then rule-based online classification of a single subject's EEG signal during pain and no pain experiences has been reported in [192] with only 64% overall classification accuracy, which is slightly poorer than the corresponding hidden Markov model (HMM) classification studied on the same data set. A fuzzy classification technique for epilepsy risk level has been proposed in [193].

B. Neuro-Fuzzy Techniques

Combination of NN and fuzzy logic gives a powerful soft computing methodology, which has been applied on human EEG with mixed success. In one of the first applications auditory evoked potential (AEP) from the EEG of a patient under anesthesia was analyzed by an NN. The output of the NN was utilized as input to a fuzzy if-then rule-based controller, which controlled the dosage of the anesthetic drug. The performance was graphically compared with a trained anesthetist during a real surgery [194].



Fig. 3. A simple adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) for infant sleep-wake stage classification. Taken from [195].

About 88.2% infant sleep-wake stage classification on the test EEG data has been achieved by ANFIS-based classifier [195] (Fig. 3). The architecture is in Fig. 3. Layer 1 is the fuzzification layer. X_1 , X_2 , and X_3 are three of the input variables, each with two associated fuzzy concepts (A_i and B_i). Layer 2 generates all the possible rules of the form IF X_1 is A_1 and X_2 is B_2 and X_3 is A_3 , with a T-norm operator (), considering one fuzzy concept per input variable. The output of layer 2 is a strength parameter for each of the rules. Each node at layer 3 performs a linear combination of the rules and uses a sigmoidal function to determine the degree of belonging of the input pattern to each class (C_1 , C_2 , C_3). In another study ANFIS classifiers were used on features extracted from EEG

by wavelet transformations (WT) for classification pertaining to five different classes with a total accuracy of 98.68% [196]. WT on EEG followed by ANFIS could classify normal subjects from epileptic patients with 93.7% and 94.3% respectively, which is slightly higher than that achieved by an MLP [84]. WT followed by ANFIS has been used to analyze EEG pertaining to left and right hand movements [197], state of alertness [198]. Neuro-fuzzy NN has been used to determine the states of fatigue or alertness in drivers [199]. EEG feature extraction by Lyapunov exponent followed by ANFIS classification was used to detect changes in the signal [200]. A comparative study of neuro-fuzzy classifiers with some other classification methods is also available [201]. For a comprehensive treatment of the subject see ref. [202].

Combining adapted resonance theory (ART) NN with fuzzy logic, fuzzy ARTMAP NN was created [203], which has found several applications in human EEG processing [169], [204] – [208], often with classification success rate of 90% or above. Very recently a faster self-organizing fuzzy neural network has been applied in BCI with up to 70% processing time reduction [209].

C. Other Fuzzy Systems

After extracting features from EEG by DWT fuzzy SVM (FSVM) has been applied for the classification [210]. However FSVM is reported to have given poor results on classification of schizophrenic EEG from the control subjects [211]. Features extracted from EEG using wavelet packet have been sorted by fuzzy logic for optimum performance [212]. Fuzzy if-then rules have been used on features extracted by time frequency analysis of EEG in order to determine the depth of anesthesia on 22 patients [213]. Fuzzy rule based detection of α - band activity has been proposed in [214]. EEG based use of a fuzzy controller has been proposed to administer anesthesia in [215].

VI. EVOLUTIONARY COMPUTATION

Signals in medicine, such as EEG, processing is subject to several important constraints. First, the number of signals to be processed is high, and often tightly interdependent. Second, signals are unique, in the sense that the circumstances under which they were obtained are normally not repeatable. Third, given the characteristics of their sources, medical signals are often very noisy. Finally, in some cases information about the signals is required in real time in order to take crucial decisions [216]. Genetic algorithm (GA) was applied on EEG during different mental tasks in order to classify them in task specific categories. The goal was achieved with 76% accuracy [25]. Genetic programming (GP) has been applied on human scalp EEG for epileptic pattern recognition [217] with success rate of 93% or more (in intracranial EEG seizure precursor features have been detected by GP in [218]). GP has been used for normal EEG classification in [219]. Epilepsy risk assessment with GA has been done in [220], [221].

VII. STATISTICAL DISCRIMINATION

Statistical discriminants are standard tools for classification of multidimensional patterns (for a general introduction see ref. [222]). Their need in human scalp EEG classification has long been felt [23]. Using them on scalp EEG, classification of dyslexia patients was performed in [223]. EEG of mild head injury patients was classified (with respect to a group of normal control subjects) by statistical discriminants with more that 90% accuracy in [224], [225]. [226] presents a review of classification of scalp EEG by discriminators in case of traumatic brain injury. Discriminants have been used to classify EEG belonging to subjects with neuropsychiatric disorders [227]. Unfortunately, very little detail is available of the discriminators implemented in [224] – [227].

Scalp EEG of normal human subjects has been classified during rapid serial visual presentation (RSVP) of 'interesting' and 'uninteresting' scenes by statistical discrminants [22], [228], [229]. Discriminant analysis has been performed in single trials on the weighted sum of all the scalp channels, where the optimum weight has been selected by fine tuning a logistic regression (LR) function (for a nice exposition of LR see ref. [230]) with the help of gradient descent method [22]. Then normalized projection of signal from each channel on this average is calculated. Intensity of this projection is used to classify signals between interesting (91.8% classification accuracy) and uninteresting scenes (98.3% classification accuracy) [228].

Although LR is more robust, it is a less efficient classifier and takes more resources to compute compared to the normal statistical discriminators [231]. A study was undertaken to compare performance between Fisher's discriminant (FD, see [232], [233] for description) and LR on the scalp EEG of three subjects (two male and one female, mean age thirty years, all of them left handed). They didn't have any known neurological or vision disorder. The data was collected using 256 channel Hydrocell Geodesic Sensor Net (Electrical Geodesics, Inc., Eugene, OR) during a series of RSVP tasks at a rate of 3 grey level satellite images per second [234]. The analysis was performed on single trials. LR turned out to be good in identifying target, but poor in identifying non-target data (Table 1). On the other hand FD was poor in identifying target data, but much better in identifying non-target data (Table 1). FD was also good in separating various pairs of target EEG data (see Table 2 for an example). The general conclusion was that there is no particular discriminator uniformly suitable for all types of EEG data. Different discriminators perform differently on different data sets [234]. FD was used on EEG after feature extraction by a combination of continuous WT and student t-statistic with the best classification accuracy in the 2003 BCI competition [235]. FD was used for random classification of EEG channels for BCI in [236] with a very moderate accuracy of 56.66%. A comparison of FD and two of its variants with SVM and k nearest neighbor (kNN) algorithm on EEG data before onset of finger movements appears in [237]. The outcomes are presented in

Table 3. For a review of applications of linear discriminant analysis in BCI research see ref. [238].

	LR	FD
Target	0.9752	0.7601
Non-target	0.5768	0.8770
ROC area	0.9311	0.8700

Table 1. Average performance of LR vis-à-vis FD on the EEG of three subjects during RSVP (3 images per second) of three different targets vs. non-target. ROC area means the area under the receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve.

	LR	FD
Tank	0.6186	0.9858
Truck	0.7623	0.9751
ROC area	0.7067	0.9939

Table 2. Average performance of LR vis-à-vis FD on the EEG of three subjects during RSVP (3 images per second) of target tank and target truck in different sessions (each consisting of about 300 trials) in each of which only one type of target images are mixed with non-target images roughly at 1: 4 ratio.

filter	ch's	FD	RFD	SFD	SVM	k-NN
< 5Hz	mc	3.7±2.6	$3.3{\pm}2.2$	$3.3{\pm}2.2$	3.2±2.5	21.6±4.9
< 5Hz	all	3.3±2.5	3.1 ± 2.5	3.4 ± 2.7	3.6 ± 2.5	23.1±5.8
none	mc	18.1 ± 4.8	7.0 ± 4.1	6.4±3.4	8.5 ± 4.3	29.6±5.9
none	all	29.3±6.1	7.5±3.8	7.0±3.9	$9.8{\pm}4.4$	32.2±6.8

Table 3. Test set error (\pm std) for classification at 120ms before keystroke. >mc< refers to the 21 channels over (sensori) motor cortex, >all< refers to all 27 channels. RFD and SFD stand for regularized and sparse FD respectively. ch stands for channel. Reproduced from [237].

LR has been compared with NN on seizure EEG data [83]. Classification accuracy of two different MLPs has been reported to be more than 91% compared to 89% for the LR. Superior performance of NN over LR has been reported in [239], [240]. On an average LR had performed better on the single trial EEG than a conventional spatial pattern (CSP) based classifier [241].

A statistical discriminant was used to classify EEG signals belonging to schizophrenic patients for negative and positive features associated with the symptoms. 78% classification accuracy for schizophrenia was achieved on a test data set (disjoint from the training data) with 85% specificity [242]. Quadratic discriminant function was applied on EEG of 33 subjects to classify among different tasks with 93% accuracy for the training data and 85% accuracy for the testing data [243].

VIII. SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINE

Despite greater difficulty in implementation and longer running time on test data compared to the NN and linear discriminants, SVM has become a popular classification algorithm for the EEG for its usually higher classification accuracy compared to the former. For an excellent tutorial on SVM see ref. [244]. The primary motivation behind SVM is to directly deal with the objective of generalization from training data to testing data with minimization of error and complexity of the learning algorithm [25]. Table 3 shows superior performance of SVM on EEG data. A recent study on classification (vis-à-vis a human expert) of neonatal EEG of six infants has shown that SVM has outperformed the FD and NN (Fig. 4) [245].



Fig. 4. Six infants shown in abscissa. Performance measure is given by area under curve (AUC) of the ROC curve. FLD stands for Fisher's linear discriminant. Reproduced from [245].

Artifacts such as, eye blink potential and electrocardiogram (ECG) have been removed from EEG using SVM [246]. A nonlinear SVM was applied to distinguish P300 EEG epochs from the other EEG signals during visualization of different words with 84.5% accuracy [247]. In another application on P300 based speller classification a self-supervised SVM has been applied to reduce the training efforts [248]. In [249] average P300 classification accuracy by SVM has been reported to be above 95%. Superior performance of SVM than linear discriminant analysis and k nearest neighbor classifier on the EEG of five subjects during limb and tongue movements has been reported in [250]. Better performance of SVM over PNN and multilayer PNN in EEG classification has been reported in [174]. 90% accuracy in EEG classification by SVM during left, right finger movements has been reported in [251]. SVM as part of ensemble classification for EEG has been considered in [252].

IX. BAYESIAN APPROACHES

A. Source Localization

If J_n is an n-dimensional vector of cortical sources and M_p be a p-dimensional measurement of scalp EEG, where n and p are number of sources and number of channels respectively. Then by Bayes theorem

$$p(J_n | M_p) = \frac{p(M_p | J_n) p(J_n)}{p(M_p)},$$
 (1)

where p(A | B) is the conditional probability of event A, given event B. $p(M_p)$ is constant. The configuration J_n for which maximum of (1) will be achieved is the most probable source of M_p . This is called *maximum a posteriori (MAP)* estimation [253], [254]. We can write $p(J_n | M_p) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(-U(J_n)), Z$ is a normalization constant and U is an 'energy' function. Taking logarithm and treating $p(M_p)$ as a constant throughout, we can write $U(J_n) = U_1(J_n) + \lambda U_2(J_n)$, where λ is a constant, and $U_1(J_n)$ and $U_2(J_n)$ are associated with $p(M_p | J_n)$ and $p(J_n)$ respectively. $U_1(J_n) = ||M_p - GJ_n||$, where G is a $p \times n$ mixing matrix made out of the head model of the subject. $U_2(J_n) = U_s(J_n) + U_t(J_n)$, where U_s and U_t are associated with spatial and temporal priors respectively. Five different algorithms were used in [253] to calculate the MAP in (1). In [254] Bayesian MAP has been used to estimate error in the reconstructed sources. Unlike [253], in [254] the prior has been modeled by chi-square distribution function.



Fig. 5. Example of a source used in the simulations (top left) with the corresponding accurate location priors (top right), as well as inaccurate location priors (close, bottom left, and distant, bottom right). Reproduced from [258].

Bayesian model averaging has been applied for EEG source localization, which determined the posterior probability of the sources according to the best available model [255]. Repeated Bayesian estimation of maximum entropy of EEG has been used for the source localization [256]. A hybrid of two source models – equivalent current dipole (ECD) model and distributed source (DS) model has been proposed in [257]. Source reconstruction has been performed under suitable spatial and temporal constraints estimated by Bayesian method. EEG source reconstruction was done in [258] according to both ECD and DS models by formulating the inverse problem as Bayesian inference, like in (1). The forward model was constructed by Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method.

A general framework for Bayesian interpretation of brain images has been proposed in [259]. It has been applied for EEG source localization in [260]. Source localization has been performed with no prior, accurate prior, inaccurate prior, and a mixture of accurate and inaccurate prior. Results obtained on spherical head model with simulated data under different SNR and three different inverse methods subject to Bayesian expectation maximization. A result is shown in Fig. 5. Automatic selection of multiple cortical sources with compact support in a DS model has been achieved in [261] with a new application of [259]. In another application evoked and induced responses with respect to a stimulus has been reconstructed in the cortical surface from scalp EEG data [262]. Bayesian learning has been utilized to identify common sources of EEG and fMRI (functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging) in human subjects in [263].

B. Brain Computer Interface

Bayesian NN was used on EEG to detect imagined finger movements in [264] with a typical accuracy of 75%. A real time BCI was designed with minimum training and using only one channel EEG data with 86.5 \pm 6.9% classification accuracy for cursor movement task in [265]. The minimum training was possible under a Bayesian paradigm. A Bayesian inference scheme to predict continuous cursor movement has been proposed in [266], [267]. A dynamic Bayesian network (DBN) model has been used to predict the movement intention, where the DBN has learned from the EEG and EMG (electromyogram) [268].

Subject	BGN	Neural network	Bayesian	Fisher linear	HMM
1	94.07 ± 2.2	92.48 ± 2.9	93.78 ± 2.8	91.15 ± 2.7	70.18 ± 8.8
3	87.43 ± 3.9	85.04 ± 4.3	89.22 ± 3.5	82.77 ± 4.1	64.10 ± 9.1
5	82.48 ± 2.8	82.61 ± 3.0	86.58 ± 3.4	81.79 ± 3.1	62.43 ± 7.8
6	90.31 ± 2.7	89.39 ± 3.1	92.49 ± 3.2	90.38 ± 3.1	64.61 ± 8.3
Means	88.57 ± 3.0	87.38 ± 3.4	90.51 ± 3.2	86.63 ± 3.3	65.33 ± 8.5

Table 4. The Bayesian graphical network (BGN), neural network, Bayesian quadratic classifier, Fisher linear and hidden Markov model (HMM) are compared for classification of binary combinations of five mental tasks. The results in the table are averaged over ten different possible binary combinations of mental tasks. Reproduced from [269].

A comparative study among Bayesian graphical network, neural network, Bayesian quadratic, Fisher linear and hidden Markov model as classifiers of EEG for BCI applications has been presented in [269] (Table 4). BGN and Bayesian quadratic classifier seem to have performed better than others. Bayesian linear discriminant analysis has been applied for EEG classification in BCI in [270], with a superior performance than SVM and linear discriminant.

C. Bayesian Classification

There are two standard approaches to EEG classification – *discriminative* and *generative*. Bayesian classification falls

under the generative class. For a nice overview see ref. [271]. In a generative approach, we define a model for generating data V belonging to particular mental task $c \in \{1, ..., C\}$ in terms of a distribution p(V | c). Here, V will correspond to a time-series of multi-channel EEG recordings, possibly preprocessed. The class c will be one of the mental tasks. For each class c, we train a separate model p(V | c), with associated parameters Θ_c , by maximizing the likelihood of the observed signals for that class. We then use Bayes rule to assign a novel test signal V^* to a certain class c according tag: $p(c | V^*) = p(V^* | c)p(c)$ That model c with the

to:
$$p(c | V^*) = \frac{p(V | c)p(c)}{p(V^*)}$$
. That model c with the

highest posterior probability $p(c | V^*)$ is designated the predicted class [271].



Fig. 6. BCI system comprising HMM_L for left movement feature selection and HMM_R that for the right. Reproduced from [274].

Input output Hidden Markov model (IOHMM, see ref. [272], and Fig. 7(d) for the architecture) based classification of EEG, which is a special case of Bayesian classification, has been applied in BCI [271]. IOHMM has performed better than HMM, Gaussian mixture model (GMM) and MLP with reduced classification error rate. HMM was applied on whole night EEG of nine subjects for sleep stage classification with accuracy ranging from 26% (rapid eye movement sleep) to 86% (wake stage) [273]. To overcome the problem of nonstationarity in EEG signals HMM has been introduced, which then according to the scheme presented in Fig. 6 determines if the movement intention is on left or right by evaluating the expression $MAX(P_P(V \mid HMM_L), P_P(V \mid HMM_R))$ [274]. The online classification rate occurring in four healthy subjects varied between 75% and 95% [275]. For theory and some applications of HMM see ref. [276], [277]. HMM on EEG was used to classify arousal and sleep states in [278]. Various HMM architectures have been shown in Fig. 7. A comparative study of their performances on human EEG data has been presented in [279]. HMM along with Principle Component Analysis (PCA) and SVM has been applied on EEG to classify

left right movement in [280].



Fig. 7. Various HMM architectures. The empty circles are the hidden states and the shaded ones are observation nodes, the lightly shaded ones (in d) are input nodes. (a) Standard coupled HMMs; (b) Event coupled HMMs; (c) Factorial HMMs; (d) Input-output HMM. Reproduced from [279].

Kernel PCA and HMM are combined to identify mental fatigue features in EEG in [281] with a classification accuracy of 88%. The signal was collected during prolonged viewing at visual display terminal (VDT). HMM has also found applications in designing and validating seizure prediction algorithms [282].

X. CONCLUSION

EEG signals are multidimensional, nonstationary (i.e., statistical properties are not invariant in time), time domain biological signals, which are not reproducible. It is supposed to contain information about what is going on in the ensemble of excitatory pyramidal neuron level, at millisecond temporal resolution scale. Since scalp EEG contains considerable amount of noise and artifacts, and exactly where it is coming from is poorly determined, extracting information from it is extremely challenging. So far the two major paradigms used to understand scalp EEG are - segregation (classification, clustering etc) and integration (synchronization, coherence etc), both of which are computation intensive. The current explosion of interest in BCI, on the other hand, underscores the need of online processing. This is a compelling reason for the popularity of soft computing algorithms in human scalp EEG processing.

The class of soft computing algorithms is not precisely defined. Any algorithm which employs inexact or approximate calculations may fall under this category. But for this paper by a soft computing algorithm we have understood any technique falling under one or more of the following categories: neural networks, fuzzy logic, evolutionary computation, statistical discrimination, support vector machine and Bayesian approaches. From a literature survey it appears that neural networks and Bayesian approaches are the two most popular choices. Linear statistical discriminants are easier to implement, but support vector machines give (many a times marginally) better classification accuracy. It is a choice between cost of implementation and significance of difference in performance. The popularity of fuzzy logic and genetic programming based techniques in human scalp EEG processing are yet to catch up with the remaining four. In general there is no 'good' or 'bad' technique in EEG processing. An 'efficient' technique is to be chosen depending on the data set and processing goal. In this sense, along with more 'exact' computing, the soft computing technique paradigms discussed in this paper constitute major human scalp EEG processing methodologies for the last three decades.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author likes to thank the library of the Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, Florida for allowing online access to their electronic archive as a former staff without, which it would not have been possible to write this article. The author is also thankful to his present employer, the Indian Statistical Institute for liberal work conditions. The data analysis was done when the author was working jointly in the Electrical Geodesics Inc. and the University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, USA under a DARPA grant for the "Neurotechnology for intelligence analysts" project.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Lopes da Silva, "Computer-Assisted EEG Diagnosis: Pattern Recognition and Brain Mapping" in *Electroencephalography: Basic Principles, Clinical Applications, and Related Fields*, 5th ed. E. Niedermeyer and F. H. Lopes da Silva, Ed. London: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2004, pp. 1233–64.
- [2] A. S. Gevins, "Pattern recognition of brain electrical potentials," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 2(5), pp. 383-404, 1980.
- [3] J. R. Wolpaw, N. Birbaumer, W. J. Heetderks, D. J. McFarland, P. H. Peckham, G. Schalk, E. Donchin, L. A. Quatrano, C. J. Robinson, and T. M. Vaughan, "Brain computer interface technology: A review of the first international meeting," *IEEE Trans. Rehabilitation Eng.*, vol. 8(2), pp. 164-173, Jun 2000.
- [4] T. M. Vaughan, W. J. Heetderks, L. J. Trejo, W. Z. Rymer, M. Weinrich, M. M. Moore, A. Kubler, B. H. Dobkin, N. Birbaumer, E. Donchin, E. W. Wolpaw and J. R. Wolpaw, "Brain computer interface technology: A review of the second international meeting," *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehab. Eng.*, vol. 11(2), pp. 94-109, Jun 2003.
- [5] M. A. Lebedev, and M. A. Nicoleis, "Brain-machine interface: Past, present and future," *Trends Neurosci.*, vol. 29(9), pp. 536-546, Sept 2006.
- [6] H. Witte, L. D. Iasemidis, and B. Litt, "Special issue on epileptic seizure prediction," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 50(5), pp. 537-538, May 2003.
- [7] J. Engel Jr., and T. A. Pedley, *Epilepsy: A Comprehensive Text Book*, vol. 1 & 2, 2nd ed., Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, London, 2007.
- [8] A Kumar, "A real-time system for pattern recognition of human sleep stages by fuzzy system analysis," *Pattern Recognition.*, 9 (1), pp. 43-46, 1977.
- [9] B. H. Jansen, and W. K. Cheng, "Classification of sleep patterns by means of Markov modelling and correspondence analysis," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 9(5), pp. 707-710, Sept 1987.
- [10] I. Gath, and A. V. Geva, "Unsupervised optimal fuzzy clustering," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 11(7), pp. 773-780, July 1989.

- [11] K. G. Jordan, "Continuous EEG and evoked potential monitoring in the neuroscience care unit," J. Clin. Neurophysiol., vol. 10, pp. 445-475, 1993.
- [12] R. Agarwal, J. Gotman, D. Flanagan, and B. Rosenblatt, "Automatic EEG analysis during long-term monitoring in ICU," *Electroencephal. Clin. Neurophysiol*, vol. 107(1), pp. 44-58, July 1998.
- [13] R. Oostenveld, and P. Praamstra, "The five percent electrode system for high-resolution EEG and ERP measurements," *Clinical Neurophysiology*, vol. 112, pp. 713-719, 2001.
- [14] H. Berger, "Über das elektroenkephalogramm des menschen," Archiv für Psychiatrie und Nervenkrankheiten, vol. 87, pp. 527-570, 1929.
- [15] S. Baillet, J. C. Mosher, and R. M. Leahy, "Electromagnetic brain mapping," *IEEE Signal Proc. Mag.*, pp. 14-30, Nov 2001.
- [16] E. R. Kandel, J. H. Schwartz and T. M. Jessell, *Principles of Neural Science*, 4th ed., McGraw Hill, NY, 2000.
- [17] P. L. Nunez, *Neocortical Dynamics and Human EEG Rhythms*, Oxford University Press, USA, 1995.
- [18] P. L. Nunez, "Toward a quantitative description of large-scale neocortical dynamic function and EEG," *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, vol. 23, pp. 371-437, 2000.
- [19] A. S. Gevins, J. C. Doyle, B. A. Cutillo, R. E. Schaffer, R. S. Tannenhill, J. H. Ghannam, V. A. Gilcrease, and C. L. Yeager, "Electrical potentials in human brain during cognition: New methods reveals dynamic patterns of correlation," *Science*, vol. 213, pp. 918-922, Aug 1981.
- [20] A. S. Gevins, R. E. Schaffer, J. C. Doyle, B. A. Cutillo, R. S. Tannehill, and S. L. Bressler, "Shadows of thought: Shifting lateralization of human brain electrical patterns during brief visuomotor tasks," *Science*, vol. 220, pp. 97-99, 1983.
- [21] E. Rodriguez, N. George, J.-P. Lachaux, J. Martinerie, B. Renault, and F. J. Varela, "Perception's shadow: Long-distance synchronization of human brain activity," *Nature*, vol. 397, pp. 430-433, Feb 1999.
- [22] L. C. Parra, C. D. Spence, A. D. Gerson, and P. Sajda, "Recipes for linear analysis of EEG," *NeuroImage*, vol. 28, pp. 326-341, 2005.
- [23] J. Vidal, "Real-time detection of brain events in EEG," Proc. IEEE, vol. 65(5), pp. 633-641, May 1977.
- [24] C. Phillips, J. Mattout, M. D. Rugg, P. Maquet, and K. J. Friston, "An empirical Bayesian solution to the source reconstruction problem in EEG," *NeuroImage*, vol. 24, pp. 997-1011, 2005.
- [25] D. Garrett, D. A. Peterson, C. W. Anderson, and M. H. Thaut, "Comparison of linear, nonlinear, and feature selection methods for EEG signal classification," *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehab. Eng.*, vol. 11(2), pp. 141-144, Jun 2003.
- [26] Z. A. Keirn, and J. I. Aunon, "A new mode of communication between man and his surroundings," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 37(12), pp. 1209-1214, Dec 1990.
- [27] P. L. Nunez and R. B. Silberstein, "On the relationship of synaptic activity to macroscopic measurements: Does co-registration of EEG with fMRI make sense?" *Brain Topogr.*, vol. 13, pp. 79-96, 2000.
- [28] P. L. Nunez, Electric Fields of the Brain, New York, Oxford, 1981.
- [29] EEGLAB Open source MATLAB tool box for eletrophysiological research sccn.ucsd.edu/eeglab/, 2007.
- [30] T. Lan, D. Erdogmus, A. Adami, S. Mathan, and M. Pavel, "Channel selection and feature projection for cognitive load estimation using ambulatory EEG," *Comp. Intell. Neurosci.* (online), article ID 74895, <u>http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/picrender.fcgi?artid=2267884&blobt</u> <u>ype=pdf</u>, 2007.
- [31] K. V. R. Ravi, and R. Palaniappan, "A minimal channel set for individual identification with EEG biometric using genetic algorithm," Int. Conf. Comp. Intell. Multimedia Appln., 13-15 Dec 2007, pp. 328-332.
- [32] K. Majumdar, "Constraining minimum-norm inverse by phase synchronization and signal power of the scalp EEG channels," IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng., vol. 56(4), pp. 1228-1235, Apr 2009.
- [33] S. Roweis, and S. Saul, "Nonlinear dimensionality reduction by locally linear embedding," *Science*, vol. 290, pp. 2323-2326, 2000.
- [34] C. Robert, J.-F. Gaudy, and A. Limoge, "Electroencephalogram processing using neural networks," *Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 113, pp. 694-701, 2000.
- [35] A. K. Tun, N. T. Lye, Z. Guanglan, U. R. Abeyratne, and P. Saratchandran, "RBF networks for source localization in quantitative

electrophysiology," Proc. EMBS, pp. 2190-2129, 29 Oct – 1 Nov 1998, Hong Kong.

- [36] S. R. Benbadis, and D. Rielo, "EEG artifacts," *eMedicine*, available at http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/1140247-overview, Sep. 2008.
- [37] P. K. Sadasivan, and D. N. Dutt, "Minimization of EOG artifacts from corrupted EEG signals using a neural network approach," *Compt. Biol. Med.*, vol. 24(6), pp. 441-449, 1994.
- [38] F. Y. Wu, J. D. Slater, and R. E. Ramsey, "Neural network approach in multichannel auditory event-related potential analysis," *Int. J. Biomed. Comput.*, vol. 35, pp. 157-168, 1994.
- [39] J. Wu, E. C. Ifeachor, E. M. Allen, and N. R. Hudson, "A neural network based artifact detection system for EEG signal processing," *Proc. Int. Conf. Neural Networks Expert Syst. Med. Healthcare*, University of Plymouth, 1994, pp. 257-266.
- [40] P. Durka, R. Kiezyk, and K. Blinowska, "Neural networks and wavelet analysis in EEG artifact recognition," available at <u>http://brain.fuw.edu.pl/~durka/papers/medicon98_arif.pdf</u>, 1996.
- [41] E. P. Riddington, J. Wu, E. C. Ifeachor, E. M. Allen, and N. R. Hudson, "Intelligent enhancement and interpretation of EEG signals," *Proc. IEE Colloquium on Attif. Intell. Methods for Biomed. Data Processing*, Savoy Place, London, pp. 11/1 – 11/7, 1996.
- [42] C. Herrmann, "Symbolical reasoning about numerical data: a hybrid approach," *Appl. Intell.*, vol. 7, pp. 339-354, 1997.
- [43] A. Saastamoinen, T. Pietila, A. Varri, M. Lehtokangas, and J. Saarinen, "Waveform detection with RBF network – application to automated EEG analysis," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 20, pp. 1-13, 1998.
- [44] R. Bogacz, U. Markowska-Kaczma, and A. Kozik, "Blinking artifact recognition in EEG signal using artificial neural networks," *Proc. 4th Conf. Neural Networks & Applications*, p. 6, Zakopane, Poland, 1999.
- [45] R. C. Vasko, J. Carrier, P. D. Nowell, J. P. Monahan, J. D. Cashmere, D. J. Buysse, M. E. Thase, and D. J. Kupfer, "A neural network for rejecting artifacts from eyes-open awake EEG," *Proc. 14th Annual APSS Meeting*, Las Vegas, Nevada, 17-20 June, 2000.
- [46] V. Schetinin, "Polynomial neural networks learnt to classify EEG signals," *NIMIA-SC2001*, Crema, Italy, 9-20 Oct 2001, available at http://arxiv.org/ftp/cs/papers/0504/0504058.pdf.
- [47] Z. J. Koles, "Trends in EEG source localization," *Eletroencephal. Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 106, pp. 127–137, Feb. 1998.
- [48] H. Hallez, B. Vanrumste, R. Grech, J. Muscat, W. De Clercq, A. Vergult, Y. D'Asseler, K. P. Camilleri, S. G. Fabri, S. Van Huffel, and I. Lemahieu, "Review on solving the forward problem in EEG source analysis," *J. NeuroEng. Rehab.* (open access), vol. 4, available at <u>http://www.jneuroengrehab.com/ content/4/1/46</u>, 2007.
- [49] R. Grech, T. Cassar, J. Muscat, K. P. Camilleri, S. G. Fabri, M. Zervakis, P. Xanthopoulos, V. Sakkalis, and B. Vanrumste, "Review on solving the inverse problem in EEG source analysis," *J. NeuroEng. Rehab.* (open access), vol. 5, available at http://www.jneuroengrehab.com/ content/5/1/25, 2008.
- [50] U. R. Abeyratne, Y. Kinouchi, H. Oki, J. Okada, F. Shichijo, and K. Matsumoto, "Artificial neural networks for source localization in human brain," *Brain Topography*, vol. 4(1), pp. 3-21, Aug 1991.
- [51] G. Van Hoey, J. De Clercq, B. Vanrumste, R. Van de Walle, I. Lemahieu, M. DHave, and P. Boon, "EEG dipole source localization using artificial neural networks," *Phys. Med. Biol.*, vol. 45, pp. 997-1011, 2000.
- [52] R. Abeyratne, G. Zhang, and P. Saratchandran, "EEG source localization: a comparative study of classical and neural networks methods," *Int. J. Neural Syst.*, vol. 11(4), pp. 349-360, 2001.
- [53] R. J. Sclabassi, M. Sonmez, and M. Sun, "EEG source localization: a neural network approach," *Neurological Research*, vol. 23(5), pp. 457-464, 2001.
- [54] M. Sun, and R. J. Sclabassi, "The forward EEG solution can be computed using artificial neural networks," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 47(8), pp. 1044-1050, 2000.
- [55] A. K. Tun, N. T. Lye, Z. Guanglan, U. R. Abeyratne, and P. Saratchandran, "RBF networks for source localization in quantitative electrophysiology," *Critical Rev. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 28, pp. 463-472, 2000.
- [56] M. Yuasa, Q. Zhang, H. Nagashino, and Y. Kinouchi, "EEG source localization for two dipoles by neural networks," *Proceedings 20th IEEE Annual International Conference IEEE/EMBS*, pp. 2190-2192, 29 Oct to 1 Nov 1998, Hong Kong.

- [57] Q. Zhang, M. Yuasa, H. Nagashino, and Y. Kinoushi, "Single dipole source localization from conventional EEG using BP neural networks," *Proceedings 20th IEEE Annual International Conference IEEE/EMBS*, pp. 2163-2166, 29 Oct to 1 Nov 1998, Hong Kong.
- [58] Z. Li, M. Akutagawa, and Y. Kinouchi, "Brain source localization for two dipoles using a combined method from 32-channel EEGs," *Proc. IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Soc. Conf.*, pp. 4518-4521, 2005.
- [59] S. S. Cash, E. Halgren, N. Dehghani, A. O. Rossetti, T. Thesen, C. Wang, O. Devinsky, R. Kuzniecky, W. Doyle, J. R. Madsen, E. Bromfield, L. Eross, P. Halasz, G. Karmos, R. Csercsa, L. Wittner, and I. Ulbert, "Human K-complex represents an isolated cortical downstate," *Science*, vol. 324, pp. 1084-87, 2009.
- [60] I. S. Bankman, V. G. Sigillito, R. A. Wise, and P. L. Smith, "Featurebased detection of the K-complex wave in the human electroencephalogram using neural networks," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 39(12), pp. 1305-1310, 1992.
- [61] E. Huupponen, A. Varri, S. L. Himanen, J. Hasan, M. Lehtokangas, and J. Saarinen, "Autoassociative MLP in sleep spindle detection," *J Med Syst*, vol. 24(3), pp. 183-193, 2000.
- [62] D. C. Reddy, and D. R. Korrai, "Neural networks for classification of EEG signals," *Medinfo*, vol. 92, pp. 653-658, 1992.
- [63] J. C. Principe, and A. M. P. Tome, "Performance and training strategies in feed forward neural networks: an application to sleep scoring," *Proc IJCNN'89*, pp. 341-346, 1989.
- [64] G. Pfurtscheller, D. Flotzinger, and K. Matuschik, "Sleep classification in infants based on artificial neural networks," *Biomed Tech*, vol. 37, pp. 122-130, 1992.
- [65] A. S. Gevins and N. H. Morgan, "Applications of neural-network (NN) signal processing in brain research," *IEEE Trans. Acoustic Speech and Signal Processing*, vol. 36(7), pp. 1152-1162, July 1988.
- [66] C. Robert, C. Guilpin, and A. Limoge, "A review of neural network application in sleep research," J. Neurosci. Meth., vol. 79, pp. 187-193, 1998.
- [67] N. Schaltenbrand, R. Lengelle, M. Toussaint, R. Luthringer, G. Carelli, A. Jacqumin, E. Lainey, A. Muzet, and J. P. Macher, "Sleep stage scoring using neural network model: comparison between visual and automatic analysis in normal subjects and patients," *Sleep*, vol. 20(1), pp. 26-35, 1996.
- [68] D. Liu, Z. Pang, and S. R. Lloyd, "A neural network method for detection of obstructive sleep apnea and narcolepsy based on pupil size and EEG," *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks*, vol. 19(2), pp. 308-318, Feb 2008.
- [69] R. Lin, R.-G. Lee, C.-L. Tseng, H.-K. Zhou, C.-F. Chao, and J.-A. Jiang, "A new approach for identifying sleep apnea syndrome using wavelet transform and neural networks," *Biomed. Eng. Appln, Basis & Communication*, vol. 18(3), pp. 138-143, Jun 2006.
- [70] R. K. Sinha, "Artificial neural network and wavelet based automated detection of sleep spindles, REM sleep and wake states," *J. Med. Syst.*, vol. 32, pp. 291-299, 2008.
- [71] A. Vuckovic, V. Radivojevic, A. C. N. Chen, and D. Popovic, "Automatic recognition of alertness and drowsiness from EEG by an artificial neural network," *Med. Eng. Phys.*, vol. 24, pp. 349-360, 2002.
- [72] K. H. Finley and J. B. Dynes, "Electroencephalographic studies in epilepsy: a critical analysis," *Brain*, vol. 65, pp. 256-265, 1942.
- [73] J. Gotman, "Automatic recognition of epileptic seizure in the EEG," *Electroencephal. Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 54, pp. 530-540, 1982.
- [74] P. Elo, J. Saarinen, A. Varri, H. Nieminen, and K. Kaski, "Classification of epileptic EEG by using self-organizing maps" In: *Artificial neural networks 2*, I. Aleksander, and J. Taylor, (eds), Elsevier, pp. 1147–1150, 1992.
- [75] A. J. Gabor, and M. Seyal, "Automated interictal EEG spike detection using artificial neural networks" *Electroenceph. Clin. Neurophysiol*, vol. 83, pp. 271-280, 1992.
- [76] G. Jando, R. M. Siegel, Z. Horvath, and G. Buzsaki, "Pattern recognition of the electroencephalogram by artificial neural networks," *Electroenceph. Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 86, pp. 100-109, 1993.
- [77] W. R. S. Webber, B. Litt, K. Wilson, and R. P. Lesser, "Practical detection of epileptiform discharges (Eds) in the EEG using an artificial neural network: a comparison of raw and parameterized EEG data," *Electroenceph. Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 91, pp.194–204, 1994.

- [78] N. Pradhan, P. K. Sadasivan, and G. R. Arunodaya, "Detection of seizure activity in EEG by an artificial neural network: a preliminary study," *Comput. Biomed. Res.*, vol. 29, pp. 303-313, 1996.
- [79] M. Varsta, J. Heikkonen, and J del R Millan, "Epileptic activity detection in EEG with neural networks," *Proc 3rd Int Conf Eng Applications of Neural Networks*, pp. 179-186, Stockholm, Sweden 16– 18 June, 1997.
- [80] A. J. Gabor, "Seizure detection using a self-organizing neural network: validation and comparison with other detection strategies," *Electroenceph Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 107, pp. 27–32, 1998.
- [81] S. Walczack, and W. J. Nowack, "An artificial neural network approach to diagnosing epilepsy using lateralized bursts of theta EEGs" J. Med. Syst., vol. 25(1), pp. 9-20, 2001.
- [82] V. P. Nigam, and D. Graupe, "A neural-network-based detection of epilepsy," *Neurol. Res.*, vol. 26, pp. 55–60, 2004.
- [83] A. Subasi, and E. Ercelebi, "Classification of EEG signals using neural networks and logistic regression," *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, vol. 78, pp. 87-99, 2005.
- [84] A. Subasi, "Application of adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system for epileptic seizure detection using wavelet feature extraction," *Comp. Biol. Med.*, vol. 37, pp. 227-244, 2007.
- [85] A. Aarabi, F. Wallois, and R. Grebe, "Automated neonatal seizure detection: a multistage classification system through feature selection based on relevance and redundancy analysis," *Electroencephal. Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 117(2), pp. 328-340, Feb 2006.
- [86] A. Aarabi, R. Grebe, and F. Wallois, "A multistage knowledge-based system for EEG seizure detection in newborn infants," *Electroencephal. Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 118(12), pp. 2781-2797, Dec 2007.
- [87] N. B. Karayiannis, A. Mukherjee, J. R. Glover, P. Y. Kotnas, J. D. Frost Jr., R. A. Hrachovy, and E. M. Mizrahi, "Detection of pseudosinusoidal epileptic seizure segment in the neonatal EEG by cascading a rule-based algorithm with a neural network," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 53(4), pp. 633-641, Apr 2006.
- [88] V. Srinivasan, C. Eswaran, and N. Sriraam, "Artificial neural network based epileptic detection using time-domain and frequency-domain features," J. Med. Syst., vol. 29(6), pp. 647-660, Dec 2005.
- [89] A. Petrosian, D. Prokhorov, R. Homan, R. Dasheiff, D. I. Wunch, "Recurrent neural network based prediction of epileptic seizure in intraand extracranial EEG," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 30, pp. 201-218, 2000.
- [90] C.-W. Ko, and H.-W. Chung, "Automatic spike detection via an artificial neural network using raw EEG data: effects of data preparation and implications in the limitations of online recognition," *Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 111(3), pp. 477-481, 2000.
- [91] N. F. Guler, E. D. Ubeyli, and I. Guler, "Recurrent neural networks employing Lyapunov exponents for EEG signal classification," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol 29(3), pp. 506-514, Oct 2005.
- [92] C. Sahin, S. N. Ogulata, K. Aslan, and H. Bozdemir, "The applications of neural networks in classification of epilepsy using EEG signals," *LNCS: Advances in Brain, Vision and Artificial Intelligence*, Springer, Heidelberg, 2007, pp. 499-508.
- [93] A. S. Gevins, "Analysis of electromagnetic signals of the human brain: milestones, obstacles, and goals," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 31(12), pp. 833-850, Dec 1984.
- [94] L. A. Farwell and E. Donchin, "Talking off the top of your head: toward a mental prosthesis utilizing event-related brain potentials," *Electroencephal. CLin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 70, pp. 510-523, 1988.
- [95] G. Pfurtscheller, D. Flotzinger, W. Mohl, M. Peltoranta, "Prediction of the side of hand movements from single trial multi-channel EEG data using neural networks," *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 82, pp. 313-315, 1992.
- [96] N. Masic, and G. Pfurtscheller, "Neural network based classification of single-trial EEG data" Artif. Intell. Med., vol. 5, pp. 503-513, 1993.
- [97] M. Peltoranta, and G. Pfurtscheller, "Neural network based classification of nonaveraged event-related EEG responses," *Med. Biol. Eng. Comput.*, vol. 32(2), pp. 189-196, 1994.
- [98] N. Masic, G. Pfurtscheller, and D. Flotzinger, "Neural network-based predictions of hand movements using real and simulated EEG data," *NeuroComputing*, vol. 7(3), pp. 259-274, Apr 1995.
- [99] B. O. Peters, G. Pfurtscheller, and H. Flyvbjerg, "Automatic differentiation of multichannel EEG signals," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 48(1), pp. 111-116, Jan 2001.

- [100]B. O. Peters, G. Pfurtscheller, and H. Flyvbjerg, "Mining multi-channel EEG for its information content: an ANN-based method for a braincomputer interface," *Neural Networks*, vol. 11, pp. 1429-1433, 1998.
- [101]K. S. Fung, F. H. Chan, F. K. Lam, and P. W. poon, "A tracing evoked potential estimator," *Med. Biol. Eng. Comput.*, vol. 37(2), pp. 218-227, Mar 1999.
- [102]F. Babiloni, F. Carducci, S. Cerutti, D. Liberati, P. M. Rossini, A. Urbano, and C. Babiloni, "Comparison between human and artificial neural network detection of Laplacian-derived electroencephalographic activity related to unilateral voluntary movements," *Comput. Biomed. Res.*, vol. 33, pp. 59-74, 2000.
- [103]J del R Millan, J. Mourino, M. G. Marciani, F. Babiloni, F. Topani, I. Canale, J. Heikkonen, and K. Kaski, "Adaptive brain interfaces for physically-disabled people," 20th Ann. Int. Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. & Biol. Soc., Oct 29–Nov 1, Hong Kong, 1998, vol. 4, pp. 2008-2011.
- [104]W. D. Penny, and S. J. Roberts, "EEG-based communication via dynamic neural network models," *Proc Int. Joint Conf. Neural Networks*, vol. 5, pp. 3586-3590, 1999.
- [105]K. Nakayama, Y. Kaneda, and A. Hirano, "A brain computer interface based on FFT and multilayer neural network feature extraction and generalization," *Int. Sym. Intell. Signal Proc. Commun. Syst.*, Nov 28 – Dec 1 2007, pp. 826-829.
- [106]X. Liu, S. Hibino, T. Hanai, T. Imanishi, T. Shirataki, T. Ogawa, H. Honda, and T. Kobayashi, "Construction of an electroencephalogrambased brain-computer interface using an artificial neural network," *IEICE Trans. Inf. Syst.*, vol. E86-D, no. 9, pp. 1879-1886, 2003.
- [107]C.-J. Lin, and M.-H. Hsieh, "Classification of mental task from EEG data using neural networks based on particle swarm optimization," *NeuroComputing*, vol. 72, pp. 1121-1130, Jan 2009.
- [108]D. H. Lange, H. T. Siegelmann, H. Pratt, and G. F. Inbar, "Overcoming selective ensemble averaging: unsupervised identification of eventrelated brain potentials," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 47(6), pp. 822-826, Jun 2000.
- [109]N. Gong, and J. Duchene, "Visual evoked potential classification for clinical use," *Proc. 17th Annu. Conf. IEEE Eng. Biol. Med. Soc.*, 20-23 Sep 1995, vol. 2, pp. 917-918.
- [110]S.-M. Fung, "Fast evoked potential estimation by artificial neural networks," PhD Thesis, University of Hong Kong, 1999, available at http://hub.hku.hk/handle/123456789/31753.
- [111]A. Uncini, M. Marchesi, G. Orlandi, and F. Piazza, "An adaptive neural network filter for evoked potential," *Proc. of ISCAS-90 IEEE Int. Symposium on Circuit and Systems*, New Orleans (USA), pp 1086-1089, May 1990.
- [112]A. Dumitras, A. T. Murgan, and V. Lazarescu, "A quantitative study of evoked potential estimation using feed forward neural network," *Proc. IEEE Workshop on Neural Networks for Signal Processing*, pp. 606-615, 6-8 Sep 1994.
- [113]K. S. M. Fung, F. K. Lam, F. H. Y. Chan, P. W. F. Poon, and J. G. Lin, "Adaptive neural network filter for visual evoked potential estimation," *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Neural Networks*, vol. 5, pp. 2293-2296, Nov/Dec 1995.
- [114]N. Laskaris, S. Fotopoulos, P. Papathanasopoulos, and A. Bezerianos, "Robust moving averages with Hopfield neural network network implementation for monitoring evoked potential signals," *Eletroencephal. Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 104, pp. 151-156, 1997.
- [115]L. Leistritz, K. Hoffmann, M. Galicki, and H. Witte, "Identification of hemifield single trail PVEP on the basi of generalized dynamic neural network classifiers," *Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 110, pp. 1978-1986, 1999.
- [116]T. J. Dasey, and E. Micheli-Tzanakou, "An unsupervised neural network system for visual evoked potential," In: Supervised and Unsupervised Pattern Recognition: Feature Extraction and Computational Intelligence, E. Micheli-Tzanakou (ed), pp. 186-194, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2000.
- [117]K. Hoffmann, L. Leistritz, M. Feucht, F. Benninger, D. Reiter, and H. Witte, "Identification of the stimulated hemiretina in primary school children and adults based on left and right hemifield pattern reversal visual evoked potentials a comparative study," *Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 112(2), pp. 359-368, 2001.
- [118]W. Qiu, K. S. M. Fung, F. H. Y. Chan, F. K. Lam, P. W. F. Poon, and R. P. Hamernik, "Adaptive filtering of evoked potentials with radial-basis-

function neural network prefilter," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 49(3), pp. 225-232, Mar 2002.

- [119]C. J. Du, H. E. Yin, S. C. Wu, X. Y. Ren, Y. J. Zeng, and Y. F. Pan, "Visual evoked potentials estimation by adaptive noise cancellation with neural-network-based fuzzy inference system," 26th Annual Int. Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc., vol. 1, pp. 624-627, 1-5 Sep 2004.
- [120]R. Palaniappan, "Method of identifying individuals using VEP signals and neural network," *IEE Proc. Science Measurement and Technology*, vol. 151(1), pp. 16-20, Jan 2004.
- [121]R. Sivakumar and G. Ravindran, "Automatic discrimination of abnormal subjects using the visual evoked potential spectral components," J. Biomed. Biotechnol., vol. 2004(1), pp. 5-9, Apr 2004, available at <u>http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/picrender.fcgi?artid=545650&blobty pe=pdf</u>
- [122]A. Mghari, M. Himmi, A. Amaloud, and F. Regragui, "Visual evoked potentials discrimination based on adaptive zero-tracking neural network," *Comp. Biol.. Med.*, vol. 36(4), pp. 408-418, 2006.
- [123]I. Bruha, and G. P. Madhavan, "Combined syntax neural net method for pattern recognition of evoked potentials," In: R. Janicki and W. W. Koczkodaj (eds), *Computation and Information*, Elsevier, 1989, pp. 361-369.
- [124]I. Bruha, G. P. Madhavan, and M. S.-K. Chong, "Use of multilayer perceptron for recognition of evoked potential," *Int. J. Patt. Recog. Art. Intell.*, vol. 4(4), pp. 705-716, Dec 1990.
- [125]D. T. Freeman, "Computer recognition of brain stem auditory evoked potential wave V by a neural network," *Proc. Annu. Symp. Comput. Appl. Med. Care*, pp. 305-309, 1991.
- [126]J. B. A. Habraken, M. J. van Gils, and P. J. M. Cluitmans, "Identification of peak V in brainstem auditory evoked potentials with neural networks," *Comput. Biol. Med.*, vol. 23(5), pp. 369-380, 1993.
- [127]D. Alpsan, M. Towsey, O. Ozdamar, and D. N. Ghista, "Determining the hearing threshold from brain stem evoked potential: optimizing a neural network to improve classification performance," *IEEE Eng. Med. Bio. Mag.*, vol. 13(4), pp. 465-471, Aug/Sep 1994.
- [128]A. Nayak, M. S. Roy, and R. J. Roy, "Neural networks for predicting depth of anesthesia from auditory evoked potentials: a comparison of wavelet transform with autoregressive modeling and power spectrum feature extraction methods," *17th Annu. Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc.*, vol. 1, pp. 797-798, 20-25 Sep 1995.
- [129]Y.-Y. Lu, J. W. Huang, and R. J. Roy, "Estimation of depth of anesthesia using the midlatency auditory evoked potentials by means of neural network based multiple classifier system," *Proc. 19th Int. Annu. Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc.*, vol. 3, pp. 1100-1103, 30 Oct – 2 Nov 1997.
- [130]J. K. Backory, D. A. Linkens, and J. E. Peacock, "Neural network based prediction of depth of anesthesia using auditory evoked potentials with a wavelet transform," *Biomed. Eng.: Appl. Basis Commu.*, vol. vol. 10(4), pp. 217-224, 1998.
- [131]U. Hoppe, S. Weiss, R. W. Stewart, and U. Eysholdt, "An automatic sequential recognition method for cortical auditory evoked potentials," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol 48(2), pp. 154-164, Feb 2001.
- [132]X.-S. Zhang, R. J. Roy, D. Schwender, and M. Daunderer, "Discrimination of anesthetic states using mid-latency auditory evoked potential and artificial neural networks," *Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 29(5), pp. 446-453, May 2001.
- [133]E. Thomas, P. S. Sandor, A. Amborsini, and J. Schoenen, "A neural network model of sensitization of evoked cortical responses in migraine," *Cephalalgia*, vol. 22(1), pp. 48-53, Mar 2002.
- [134]A. Guiterrez, and A. Somolinos, "Preprocessing of brain stem auditory evoked potentials for diagnosing multiple sclerosis," *Proc. 2nd IASTED Int. Conf. Adv. Comp. Sc. Tech.*, Puerto Vallarta, Mexico, 2006, pp. 196-201.
- [135]D. Liberati, "A neural network for single sweep brain evoked potential detection and recognition," *Proc. IVth Int. Symp. Biomed. Eng.*, Peniscola, Spain, 1991, pp. 484-485.
- [136]D. B. Smith, and D. F. Lovely, "A neural network based approach to whitening biological noise for somatosensory evoked potential detection," *Proc. 17th Annu. Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc.*, vol. 1, pp. 803-804, 20-25 Sep 1994.
- [137]R. C. W. Grieve, P. A. Parker, and B. Hudgins, "Training neural networks for stimulus artifact reduction in somatosensory evoked

potential," *Proc. 18th Annu. Int. Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol Soc.*, vol. 3, pp. 917-918, 31 Oct – 3 Nov 1996.

- [138]A. C. Merzagora, F. Bracchi, S. Cerutti, L. Rossi, A. M. Bianchi, and A. Gaggiani, "A radial basis function neural network for single sweep detection of somatosensory evoked potential," *Proc. 26th Annu. Int. Conf. IEEE EMBS*, San Francisco, CA, USA, 1-5 Sep 2004, pp. 427-430.
- [139]B. H. Boudreau, K. B. Englehart, A. D. Chan, and P. A. Parker, "Reduction of stimulus artifact in somatosensory evoked potentials: segmented versus subthreshold training," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 51(7), pp. 1187-1195, Jul 2004.
- [140]L. Gupta, D. L. Molfese, and R. Tammana, "An artificial neural network approach to ERP classification," *Brain Cognition*, vol. 27(3), pp. 311-330, Apr 1995.
- [141]G. Belenky, H. C. Sing, M. L. Thomas, Y. Shaham, S. Balwinski, D. P. Redmond, and T. J. Balkin, "Discrimination of rested from sleepdeprived EEG in awake normal humans by artificial neural network," *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Neural Networks*, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 1994, pp. 3521-3524.
- [142]A. Gulati, D. Jacobs, M. N. Silan, and A. T. Pope, "Classification and prediction of electroencephalographic data with hybrid neural networks to analyze human-automation related-effects," *Proc. 7th Int. Conf. Art. Intell. Expert Syst. Appl.*, pp. 449-454, Nov 1995.
- [143]T.-P. Jung, S. Makeig, M. Stensmo, and T. J. Sejnowski, "Estimating alertness from the EEG power spectrum," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 44(1), pp. 60-69, Jan 1997.
- [144]V. Tuulik, A. Raja, A. Meister, and E. Lossmann, "Neural network method to determine the vigilance levels of the central nervous system related to occupational chronic chemical stress," *Technol. Health Care*, vol. 5, pp. 243-251, 1997.
- [145]C. Jouny, "Electrophysiolgie des etats de vigilance chez l'homme," PhD thesis, University of Strasbourg, 1997.
- [146]A. S. Gevins, and M. E. Smith, "Detecting transient cognitive impairment with EEG pattern recognition methods," *Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. vol. 70(10), pp. 1018-1024, 1999.
- [147]A. Subasi, K. Kiymik, M. Akin, and O. Erogul, "Automatic recognition of vigilance state by using wavelet-based artificial neural network," *Neural Computing Appl.*, vol. 14(1), pp. 45-55, Mar 2005.
- [148]K. Holthausen, O. Breidbach, B. Scheidt, and J. Frenzel, "Brain dysmaturity index for automatic detection of high-risk infants," *Pediatric Neurol.*, vol. 22(3), pp. 187-191, 2000.
- [149]L. Moreno, J. D. Pineiro, J. L. Sanchez, S. Manas, J. Merino, L. Acosta, and A. Hamilton, "Brain maturation estimation using neural classifier," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 42(4), pp. 428-432, Apr 1995.
- [150]R. Sanchez, A. Riquenes, and M. Perez-Abalo, "Automatic detection of auditory brainstem responses using feature vectors," *Int. J. Bio-Med. Comput.*, vol. 39(3), pp. 287-297, Jun 1995.
- [151]H. Heinrich, H. Dickhaus, A. Rothenberger, V. Heinrich, and G. H. Moll, "Single-sweep analysis of event-related potentials by wavelet networks – methodological basis and clinical applications," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 46(7), pp. 867-879, Jul 1999.
- [152]H. Heinrich, G. H. Moll, H. Dickhaus, V. Kolev, J. Yordanova, and A. Rothenberger, "Time-on-task analysis using wavelet networks in an event-related potential study on attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder," *Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 112(7), pp. 1280-1287, 2001.
- [153]M. de Tommaso, V. Sciruicchio, R. Bellootti, M. Castellano, P. Tota, M. Guido, G. Sasanelli, and F. M. Puca, "Discrimination between migraine patients normal subjects based on steady state visual evoked potentials: discriminant analysis and artificial neural network classifier," *Funct. Neurol.*, vol. 12(6), pp. 333-338, 1997.
- [154]M. de Tommaso, V. Sciruicchio, R. Bellootti, M. Guido, G. Sasanelli, L. M. Specchio, and F. Puca, "Photic driving response in primary headache: diagnostic value testes by discriminant analysis and neural network classifiers," *Ital. J. Neurol. Sci.*, vol. 20, pp. 23-28, 1999.
- [155]R. Bellotti, F. De Carlo, M. de Tommaso, and M. Lucente, "Migraine detection through spontaneous EEG analysis," *Proc. 29th Annu. Int. Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc.*, pp. 1834-1837, 22-26 Aug 2007.
- [156]S. Kara, and A. Guven, "Neural network-based diagnosis for optic nerve disease from visual-evoked potential," J. Med. Syst., vol. 31(5), pp. 391-396, Jul 2007.

- [157]F. Y. Wu, J. D. Slater, L. S. Honig, and R. Eugene Ramsey, "A neural network design for event-related potential diagnosis," *Comput. Biol. Med.*, vol. 23(3), pp. 251-264, May 1993.
- [158]J. Magdolen, P. Rappelsberger, G. Dorffner, A. Flexer, G. Winterer, "Application of non-linear classifiers to EEG at rest for identifying psychiatric disorders.," *Proc 13th Eur Meeting on Cybernetics and Systems Research (EMCR'96)*, Austrian society for cybernetic studies, Vienna, 2 vols.1996. pp. 592–596.
- [159]G. Papadourakis, M. Vourkas, S. Micheloyannis, and B. Jervis, "Use of artificial neural networks for clinical diagnosis," *Math Comput Simulation*, vol. 40, pp. 623-635, 1996.
- [160]J. R. Sveinsson, J. A. Benediktsson, S. B. Stefansson, and K. Davidsson, "Parallel principal component neural networks for classification of event-related potential waveforms," *Med. Eng. Phys.*, vol. 19(1), pp. 15-20, 1997.
- [161]Y.-J. Li, and F.-Y. Fan, "Classification of schizophrenia and depression by EEG with ANNs," *Proc. 27th Int. Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc.*, pp. 2679-2682, 17-18 Jan 2005.
- [162]G. Papadourakis, M. Vourkas, S. Micheloyannis, and B. Jervis, "Use of artificial networks for clinical diagnosis," *Maths. Comput. Simul.*, vol. 40, pp. 623-635, May 1996.
- [163]B. W. Jervis, M. R. Saatchi, S. Yu, R. F. Harrison, C. P. Lim, and S. Oke, "Application of artificial neural networks to CNV data to investigate," *Psychiatry Res.: Neuroimaging*, vol. 68(2), pp. 166-166, Feb 1997.
- [164]R. Polikar, M. H. Greer, L. Udpa, and F. Keinert, "Multiresolution wavelet analysis of ERPs for the detection of Alzheimer's disease," *Proc. 19th Annu. Int. Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc.*, vol. 3, pp. 1301-1304, 30 Oct – 2 Nov 1997.
- [165]S. Hibino, T. Hanai, E. Nagata, M. Matsubara, K. Fukagawa, T. Shirataki, H. Honda, and T. Kobayashi, "An assessment system of dementia of Alzheimer type using artificial neural networks," In: H. Malmgren, M. Borga, and L. Niklasson, (eds), *Artificial Neural Networks in Medicine and Biology*, pp. 180-185, Springer-Verlag 2000.
- [166] A. A. Petrosian, D. V. Prokhodov, W. Lajara-Nanson, and R. B. Schiffer, "Recurrent neural network-based approach for early recognition of Alzheimer's disease in EEG," *Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 112, pp. 1378–1387, 2001.
- [167]H. T. Kim, B. Y. Kim, E. H. Park, J. W. Kim, E. W. Hwang, S. K. Han, and S. Cho, "Computerized recognition of Alzheimer disease-EEG using genetic algorithms and neural network," *Future Generation Computer System*, vol. 21(7), pp. 1124-1130, Jul 2005.
- [168]B. W. Jervis, M. R. Saatchi, A. Lacey, G. M. Papadourakis, M. Vourkas, T. Roberts, E. M. Allen, N. R. Hudson, and S. Oke, "The application of unsupervised artificial neural networks to the subclassification of subjects at-risk of Huntington's disease," *Proc. IEE Coll. Int. Dec. Sup. Syst. Med.*, pp. 5/1-5/9, 15 Jun 1992.
- [169]B. W. Jervis, T. Garcia, and E. P. Giahnakis, "Probabilistic simplified fuzzy ARTMAP (PSFAM)" *IEE Proc. Sci. Meas. Technol.*, vol. 146(4), pp. 165-169, 1999.
- [170]M. de Tommaso, F. De Carlo, O. Difruscolo, R. Massafar, V. Sciruicchio, and R. Bellotti, "Detection of subclinical brain electrical activity changes in Huntington's disease using artificial neural networks," *Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 114(7), pp. 1237-1245, 2003.
- [171]R. Bellotti, F. De Carlo, R. Massafra, M. de Tommaso, and V. Sciruicchio, "Topographic classification of EEG patterns un Huntington's disease," *Neurol. Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 2004;37, Nov 2004, available at <u>http://www.neurojournal.com/article/view/182/126</u>.
- [172]B. Kloppel, "Classification by neural networks of evoked potentials," *Neuropsychobiol*, vol. 29, pp. 47-52, 1994.
- [173]R. Palaniappan, Screening for chronic alcoholic subjects using multiple gamma band EEG: a pilot study," JCS&T, vol. 7(2), pp. 182-185, Apr 2007.
- [174]I. Guler, and E. D. Ubeyli, "Multiclass support vector machines for EEG-signal classification," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Tech. Biomed.*, vol. 11(2), pp. 117-126, Mar 2007.
- [175]E. D. Ubeyli, "Implementing eigenvector methods/probabilistic neural networks for analysis of EEG signals," *Neural Net.*, vol. 21, pp. 1410-1417, 2008.
- [176]R. Begg, J. Kamaruzzaman, and R. Sarker, Neural Networks in Healthcare: Potential and Challenges, IGI Publishing, USA, 2006.

- [177]V. Lalitha, and C. Eswaran, "Automated detection of anesthetic depth levels using chaotic features with artificial neural networks," J. Med. Syst., vol. 31(6), pp. 445-452, Dec 2007.
- [178]C. Robert, P. Karasinski, C. D. Arreto, and J. F. Gaudy, "Monitoring anesthesia using neural networks: a survey," *J. Clin. Monit. Comput.*, vol. 17(3-4), pp. 259-267, Apr 2002.
- [179]R. Palaniappan, and D. P. Mandic, "Biometrics from brain electrical activity: a machine learning approach," *IEEE Trans. Patt. Anal. Mach. Intel.*, vol. 29(4), pp. 738-742, Apr 2007.
- [180]R. A. Veselis, R. Reinsel, S. Sommer, and G. Carlon, "Use of neural network analysis to classify electroencephalographic patterns against depth of midazolam sedation in intensive care," *J. Clin. Monitoring Comput.*, vol. 7(3), pp. 259-267, Jul 1991.
- [181]A. S. Gevins, R. K. Stone, S. D. Ragsdale, "Differentiating the effects of three benzodiazepines on non-REM sleep EEG spectra," *Neuropsychobiology*, vol. 19, pp. 108-115, 1988.
- [182]R. A. Veselis, R. Reinsel, and M. Wronski, "Analytical methods to differentiate similar electroencephalographic spectra: neural network and discriminant analysis," *J. Clin. Monitoring Comput.*, vol. D.9(4), pp. 257-267, Dec 1992.
- [183]J. R. Millan, J. Mourino, M. Franze, F. Concotti, M. Varsta, J. Heikkonen, and F. Babiloni, "A local neural classifier for the recognition of EEG patterns associated with mental tasks," *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks*, vol. 13(3), pp. 678-686, May 2002.
- [184]H. Cecotti, and A. Graeser, "Convolutional neural network with embedded Fourier transform for EEG classification," *Proc. 19 th Int. Conf. Patt. Recog. ICPR*, 8-11 Dec 2008, pp. 1-4.
- [185]L. Larsen, E. Ruspini, J. McDew, D. Walter, and D. Adey, "A test of sleep staging system in unrestrained chimpanzee," *Brain Res.*, vol. 40, pp. 319-343, 1972.
- [186]J. C. Bezdek, Pattern Recognition with Fuzzy Objective Function Algorithms, Plenum, New York, 1981.
- [187]I. Gath, and E. Bar-On, "Computerized method for scoring of polygraphis sleep recordings," *Comput. Progrogr. Biomed.*, vol. 11, pp. 217-223, 1980.
- [188] I. Gath, C. Feuerstein, and A. Geva, "Unsupervised classification and adaptive definition of sleep patterns," *Pattern Recog. Lett.*, vol. 15(10), pp. 977-984, Oct 1994.
- [189]G. Zouridakis, B. H. Jansen, and N. N. Boutros, "A fuzzy clustering approach to EP estimation," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 44(8), pp. 673-680, Aug 1997.
- [190]X. L. Xie and G. Beni, "A validity measure for fuzzy clustering," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Machine Intell.*, vol. 13(8), pp. 841–847, Aug 1991.
- [191]Z. Hilal Inan, and M. Kuntalp, "A study on fuzzy c-means clusteringbased systems in automatic spike detection," *Compu. Biol. Med.*, vol. 37, pp. 1160-1166, 2007.
- [192]C. Xydeas, P. Angelov, S.-Y. Chiao, and M. Reoullas, "Advances in classification of EEG signals via evolving fuzzy classifiers and dependent multiple HMMs," *Comput. Biol. Med.*, vol. 36, pp. 1064-1083, 2006.
- [193]R. Harikumar, and B. S. Narayanan, "Fuzzy techniques for classification of epilepsy risk level from EEG signals," *Proc .TENCON* 2003, Conf. Conv. Tech. Asia-Pacific Reg., vol. 1, pp. 209-213, 15-17 Oct 2003.
- [194]R. Allen, and D. Smith, "Neuro-fuzzy closed loop control of depth of anesthesia," Art. Intell. Med., vol. 21, pp, 185-191, 2001.
- [195]J. E. Heiss, C. M. Held, P. A. Estevez, C. A. Perez, C. A. Holzman, and J. P. Perez, "Classification of sleep stages in infants: a neuro fuzzy approach," *IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Mag.*, vol. 21(5), pp. 147-151, Sep-Oct 2002.
- [196]I. Guler, and E. D. Ubeyli, "Adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system for classification of EEG signals using wavelet coefficients," *J. Neurosci. Meth.*, vol. 148, pp. 113-121, 2005.
- [197]S. Darvishi, and A. Al-Ani, "Brain-computer interface analysis using continuous wavelet transform and adaptive neuro-fuzzy classifier," *Proc. 29th Int. Annu. Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc.*, 22-26 Aug 2007, pp. 3220-3223.
- [198]A. Yildiz, M. Akin, M. Poyraz, and G. Kirbas, "Application of adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system for vigilance level estimation by using wavelet-entropy feature extraction," *Expert Syst. Appl. Int. J.*, vol. 36(4), pp. 7390-7399, May 2009.

- [199]G. Yang, Y. Lin, and P. Bhattacharya, "Multimodality inferring of human cognitive states based on integration of neuro-fuzzy network and information fusion techniques," *EURASIP J. Adv. Sig. Proc.*, (open access) vol. 2008, Art. ID 371621, 2008, available at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/asp/2008/371621.abs.html.
- [200]E. D. Ubeyli, "Automatic detection of electroencephalographic changes using adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system employing Lyapunov exponent," *Expert Syst. Appl. Int. J.*, vol. 36(5), pp. 9031-9038, Jul 2009.
- [201]N. Sadati, H. R. Mohseni, and A. Maghsoudi, "Epileptic seizure detection using neural fuzzy networks," *IEEE Int. Conf. Fuzzy Syst.*, 2000, pp. 596-600.
- [202]H.-N. L. Teodorescu, A. Kandel and L. C. Jain, Fuzzy and Neuro-Fuzzy Systems in Medicine, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1999.
- [203]G. A. Carpenter, S. Grossberg, N. Markuzon, J. H. Reynolds, and D. B. Rosen, "Fuzzy ARTMAP: a neural network architecture for incremental supervised learning of analog multidimensional maps," *IEEE Trans. Neural Net.*, vol. 3(5), pp. 698-713, Sep 1992.
- [204]R. Palaniappan, P. Raveendran, S. Nishida, and N. Saiwaki, "Evolutionary fuzzy ARTMAP for autoregressive model order classification of EEG signals," *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Syst. Man Cybern.*, 2000, vol. 5, pp. 3682-3686.
- [205]R. Palaniappan, and P. Raveendran, "Cognitive task prediction using parametric spectral analysis of EEG signals," *Malaysian J. Comp. Sc.*, vol. 14(1), pp. 58-67, 2001.
- [206]R. Palaniappan, R. Paramesran, S. Nishida, and N. Saiwaki, "A new brain-computer interface design using fuzzy ARTMAP," *IEEE Neural Syst. Rehab. Eng.*, vol. 10(3), pp. 140-148, Sep 2002.
- [207]R. Palaniappan, and K. V. R. Ravi, "Improved visual evoked potential classification for person recognition using PCA and normalization," *Patt. Recog. Lett.*, vol. 27(7), pp. 726-733, May 2006.
- [208]K. V. R. Ravi, and R. Palaniappan, "Neural network classification of late gamma band electroencephalogram features," *Soft Comp. Fusion Found. Methodol. Appl.*, vol. 10(2), pp. 163-169, 2005.
- [209]D. Coyle, G. Prasad, T. M. McGinnity, "Faster self-organizing fuzzy neural network training and a hyperparameter analysis for braincomputer interface," *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern, Part – B: Cybern.*, to appear.
- [210]Q. Xu, H. Zhou, Y. Wang, and J. Huang, "Fuzzy support vector machine for classification of EEG signal using wavelet-based features," *Med. Eng. Phys.*, in press.
- [211]R. Boostani, K. Sadatnezhad, and M. Sabeti, "An efficient classifier to diagnose of schizophrenia based on EEG signals," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 36(3), pp. 6492-6499, Apr 2009.
- [212]B. Yang, G. Yan, T. Wu, and R. Yan, "Subject-based feature extraction using fuzzy wavelet packet in brain-computer interfaces," *Signal Proc.*, vol. 87, pp. 1569-1574, 2007.
- [213]V. Esmaeili, A. Assareh, M. B. Shamsollahi, M. H. Moradi, and N. M. Arefian, "Estimating the depth of anesthesia using fuzzy soft computation applied to EEG features," *Intell. Data Anal.*, vol. 12, pp. 393-407, 2008.
- [214]E. Huupponen, S.-L. Himanen, A. Varri, J. Hasan, A. Saastamoinen, M. Lehtokangas, and J. Saarinen, "Fuzzy detection of EEG alpha without amplitude thresholding," *Art. Intell. Med.*, vol. 24(2), pp. 133-147, Feb 2002.
- [215]J. Petersen, G. Stockmanns, W. Nahm, "EEG analysis for assessment of depth of anesthesia," In: P. S. Szczepaniak, P. J. G. Lisboa, J. Kacprzyk, (eds), *Fuzzy Systems in Medicine*, Physica-Verlag, 2000, pp. 261-280.
- [216]C. A. Pena-Reyes, and M. Sipper, "Evolutionary computation in medicine: an overview," Art. Intel. Med., vol. 19, pp. 1-23, 2000.
- [217]H. S. Lopes, "Genetic programming for epileptic pattern recognition in electroencephalographic signals," *Appl. Soft Computing*, vol. 7, pp. 343-352, 2007.
- [218]O. Smart, H. Firpi, and G. Vachtsevanos, "Genetic programming of conventional features to detect seizure precursors," *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intel.*, vol. 20(8), pp. 1070-1085, Dec 2007.
- [219]C. Estebanez, J. M. Valls, R. Aler, and I. M. Galvan, "A first attempt at constructing genetic programming expressions for EEG classification," In: *LNCS: Artificial Neural Networks: Biological Inspirations – ICANN* 2005, Springer, Heidelberg, 2005, pp. 665-670.
- [220]R. Harikumar, R. Sukanesh, and P. Arvindan Bharathi, "Genetic algorithm optimization of fuzzy outputs for classification of epilepsy

risk levels from EEG signals," Proc. TECON 2004 Conf. Conv. Tech. Asia-Pacific Reg., vol. 2, pp. 1585-1589, 21-24 Nov 2004.

- [221]R. Sukanesh, and R. Harikumar, "A comparison of genetic algorithm & neural network (MLP) in patient specific classification of epilepsy risk levels from EEG signals," *Eng. Lett.*, vol. 14(1), Feb 2007, available at <u>http://www.engineeringletters.com/issues_v14/issue_1/EL_14_1_18.pdf</u>
- [222]G. J. McLachlan, Discriminant Analysis and Statistical Pattern Recognition, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1992.
- [223]F. H. Duffy, M. B. Denckla, P. H. Bartels, G. Sandini, and L. S. Kiessling, "Dyslexia: automated diagnosis by computerized classification of brain electrical activity," *Annals Neurol.*, vol. 7(5), pp. 421-428, 1980.
- [224]R. W. Thatcher, R. A. Walker, I. Gerson, and F. H. Geisler, "EEG discriminant analyses of mild head trauma," *Electroencephal. Clin. Neurophysiol.*, vol. vol. 73, pp. 94-106, 1989.
- [225]R. W. Thatcher, D. M. North, R. T. Curtin, R. A. Walker, C. J. Biver, J. F. Gomez, and A. M. Salazar, "An EEG severity index of traumatic brain injury," *J. Neuropsychiatry Clin. Neurosci.*, vol. 13, pp. 77-87, Feb 2001.
- [226]M. R. Nuwer, D. A. Hovda, L. M. Schrader, and P. M. Vespa, "Routine and quantitative EEG in mild traumatic brain injury," *Clin,. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 116, pp. 2001-2025, 2005.
- [227]L. S. Prichep, and E. R. John, "QEEG profiles of psychiatric disorders," *Brain Topogr.*, vol. 4(4), pp. 249-247, 1992.
- [228]A. D. Garson, L. C. Parra, and P. Sajda, "Cortical origins of response time variability during rapid discrimination of visual objects," *NeuroImage*, vol. 28(2), pp. 342-353, Nov 2005.
- [229]A. D. Garson, L. C. Parra, and P. Sajda, "Cortically coupled computer vision for rapid image search," *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehab. Eng.*, vol. 14(2), pp. 174-179, Jun 2006.
- [230]D. W. Hosmer, Jr., and S. Lemeshow, *Applied Logistic Regression*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1989.
- [231]B. Efron, "The efficiency of logistic regression compared to normal discriminant analysis," J. Amer. Stat. Assoc., vol. 70, pp. 892-898, Dec 1975.
- [232]R. O. Duda, P. E. Hart, and D. G. Stork, *Pattern Classification*, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2001.
- [233]T. Hastie, R. Tibshirni, and A. Buja, "Flexible discriminant analysis by optimal scoring," AT&T Bell Labs, Murray Hills, NJ, Dec 1993, available at <u>http://utstat.toronto.edu/reports/tibs/fda.ps</u>.
- [234]K. Majumdar, and R. M. Frank, "Three linear discriminators for separating scalp human EEG signals during RSVP tasks," unpublished work, 2006.
- [235]V. Bostanov, "BCI competition 2003 data sets Ib and IIb: feature extraction from evenet related brain potentials with the continuous wavelet transform and the t-value scalogram," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 51(6), pp. 1057-1061, Jun 2004.
- [236]S. Sun, C. Zhang, and Y. Lu, "The random electrode selection ensemble for EEG signal classification," *Patt. Recog.*, vol. 41(5), pp. 1663-1675, May 2008.
- [237]B. Blankertz, G. Curio, K. Muller, "Classifying single trial EEG: towards brain computer interfacing," In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS), MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2002, pp. 157-164.
- [238]F. Lotte, M. Congedo, A. Lecuyer, F. Lamarche, and B. Arnaldi, "A review of classification algorithms for EEG-based brain-computer interface," *J. Neural. Emg.*, vol. 4(2), pp. R1-R13, 2007.
- [239]A. Alkan, E. Koklukaya, and A. Subasi, "Automatic seizure detection in EEG using logistic regression and artificial neural network," J. *Neurosci. Meth.*, vol. 148(2), pp. 167-176, Oct 2005.
- [240]A. Subasi, A. Alkan, E. Koklukaya, and M. K. Kiymik, "Wavelet neural network classification of EEG signals by using AR model with MLE preprocessing," *Neural Networks*, vol. 18(7), pp. 985-997, 2005.
- [241]R. Tomioka, K. Aihara, and K.-R. Muller, "Logistic regression for single trial EEG classification," In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 19*, B. Scholkopf, J. Platt, and T. Hofmann, (eds.), MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2006, pp. 1402-1409.
- [242]M. Gerez, and A. Tello, "Selected quantitative EEG (QEEG) and eventrelated potential (ERP) variables as discriminators for positive and negative schizophrenia," *Biol. Psychiatry*, vol. 38, pp. 34-49, 1995.

- [243]J. C. Lind, Z. J. Koles, P. Flor-Henry, and A. C. K. Soong, "Frequency domain discriminant analysis of electroencephalogram," *Psychophysiol.*, vol. 34(3), pp. 358-364, May 1997.
- [244]C. J. C. Burges, "A tutorial on support vector machines for pattern recognition," *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, vol. 2, pp. 121-167, 1998.
- [245]J. Lofhede, N. Lofgren, M. Thordstein, A. Flisberg, I. Kjellmer, and K. Lindecrantz, "Classification of burst and suppression in the neonatal electroencephalogram," *J. Neural. Eng.*, vol. 5, pp. 402-410, 2008.
- [246]L. Shoker, S. Sanei, and J. Chambers, "Artifact removal from electroencephalograms using a hybrid BSS-SVM algorithm," *IEEE Sig. Proc. Lett.*, vol. 12(10), pp. 721-724, Oct 2005.
- [247]M. Kaper, P. Meinicke, U. Grossekathoefer, T. Lingner, and H. Ritter, "BCI Competition 2003 – data set IIb: support vector machines for the P300 speller paradigm," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 51(6), pp. 1073-1076, Jun 2004.
- [248]Y. Li, C. Guan, H. Li, and Z. Chin, "A self-training semi-supervised SVM algorithm and its application in an EEG-based brain computer interface speller system," *Patt. Recog. Lett.*, vol. 29, pp. 1285-1294, 2008.
- [249]M. Thulasidas, C. Guan, and J. Wu, Robust classification of EEG signal for brain-computer interface," *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehab. Eng.*, vol. 14(1), pp. 24-29, Mar 2006.
- [250]A. Schlogl, F. Lee, H. Bischof, and G. Pfurtscheller, "Characterization of four-class motor imagery EEG data for the BCI-competition 2005," J. *Neur. Eng.*, vol. 2, pp. L14-L22, 2005.
- [251]W. Xu, C. Guan, C. E. Siong, S. Ranganatha, M. Thulasidas, and J. Wu, "High accuracy classification of EEG signal," *Proc. 17th Int. Conf. Patt. Recog. ICPR*, 2004, vol. 2, pp. 391-394.
- [252]S. Sun, C. Zhang, and D. Zhang, "An experimental evaluation of ensemble methods for EEG signal classification," *Patt. Recog.*, vol. 28, pp. 2157-2163, 2007.
- [253]S. Baillet, and L. Garnero, "A Bayesian approach to introducing anatomo-functional priors in the EEG/MEG inverse problem," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 44(5), pp. 374-385, May 1997.
- [254]G. S. Russell, R. Srinivasan, and D. M. Tucker, "Bayesian estimate of error bounds for EEG source imaging," *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging*, vol. 17(6), pp. 1084-1089, Dec 1998.
- [255]N. J. Trujillo-Barreto, E. Aubert-Vazquez, and P. A. Valdes-Sosa, "Bayesian model averaging in EEG/MEG imaging," *NeuroImage*, vol. 21, pp. 1300-1319, 2004.
- [256]D. Khosla, M. Don, and M. Singh, "Iterative Bayesian maximum entropy method for the EEG inverse," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 3033, pp. 147-158, 1997.
- [257]J. Daunizeau, J. MattoutD. Clonda, B. Goulard, H. Benali, J.-M. Lina, "Bayesian spatio-temporal approach for EEG source reconstruction: conciliating ECD and distributed models," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 53(3), pp. 503-516, Mar 2006.
- [258]G. Yildiz, A. D. Duru, A. Ademoglu, and T. Demiralp, "Bayesian EEG dipole source localization using SA-RJMCMC on realistic head model," *Proc. 29th Annu. Int. Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc.*, 22-26 Aug 2007, pp. 4268-4277.
- [259]K J. Friston, W. Penny, C. Phillips, S. Kiebel, G. Hinton, and J. Ashburner, "Classical and Bayesian inference in neuroimaging: theory," *NeuroImage*, vol. 16, pp. 465-483, 2002.
- [260]C. Phillips, J. Mattout, M. D. Rugg, P. Maquet, and K. J. Friston, "An empirical Bayesian solution to the source reconstruction problem in EEG," *NeuroImage*, vol. 24, pp. 997-1011, 2005.
- [261]K. Friston, L. Harrison, J. Daunizeau, S. Kiebel, C. Phillips, N. Trujillo-Barreto, R. Henson, G. Flandin, and J. Mattout, "Multiple sparse priors for M/EEG inverse problem," *NeuroImage*, vol. 39, pp. 1104-1120, 2008.
- [262]K. Friston, R. Henson, C. Phillips, and J. Mattout, "Bayesian estimation of evoked and induced responses," *Hum. Brain. Map.*, vol. vol. 27, pp. 722-735, 2006.
- [263]J. Daunizeau, C. Grova, G. Marrelec, J. Mattout, S. Jbabdi, M. Pelegrini-Issac, J.-M. Lina, and H. Benali, "Symmetrical event-related EEG/fMRI information fusion in a variational Bayesian framework," *NeuroImage*, vol. 36, pp. 69-87, 2007.
- [264]W. D. Penny and S. J. Roberts, "Bayesian neural networks for detection of imagined finger movements from single-trial EEG," *Tech. Report*,

Department of Electrical Engineering, Imperial College of London, 1997, available at <u>www.robots.ox.ac.uk/~sjrob/Pubs/bayes-eeg.ps.gz</u>

- [265]S. J. Roberts, and W. D. Penny, "Real-time brain computer interfacing: a preliminary study using Bayesian learning," *Med. Biol. Eng. Comp.*, vol. 38(1), pp. 56-61, 2000.
- [266]X. Zhu, C. Guan, J. Wu, Y. Cheng, and Y. Wang, "Bayesian method for continuous cursor control in EEG-based brain-computer interface," *Proc. 27th Annu. Int. Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc.*, Sanghai, 17-18 Jan 2006, pp. 7052-7055.
- [267]X. Zhu, J. Wu, Y. Cheng, and Y. Wang, "A unified framework to exploit information in BCI data for continuous prediction," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 71(4-6), pp. 1022-1031, Jan 2008.
- [268]P. Shenoy, and R. P. N. Rao, "Dynamic Bayesian networks for braincomputer interfaces," In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS), vol. 17, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2005, pp. 353-360.
- [269]S. Rezaei, K. Tavakolian, A. M. Nasrabadi, and S. K. Setarehdan, "Different classification techniques considering brain computer interface applications," *J. Neural. Eng.*, vol. 3(2), pp. 139-144, Jun 2006.
- [270]X. Lei, P. Yang, and D. Yao, "An empirical Bayesian framework for brain computer interface," *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehab. Eng.*, to appear.
- [271]S. Chiappa, "Analysis and classification of EEG signals using probabilistic models for brain computer interfaces," PhD Thesis, Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne, Switzerland, 2006, available at <u>http://silviachiappa.swisspowered.net/publications/silviachiappa06phd.</u> pdf.
- [272]Y. Bengio, and P. Frasconi, "Input-output HMM's for sequence processing," *IEEE Trans. Neural Net.*, vol. 7(5), pp. 1231-1249, Sep 1996.
- [273]A. Flexer, P. Sykacek, I. Rezek, and G. Dorffner, "Using hidden Markov models to build an automatic, continuous and probabilistic sleep stager for the SIESTA project," *Proc. IEEE Int. Joint Conf. Neural Net.*, 2000, pp. 3627-3631.
- [274]G. Pfurtscheller, and C. Neuper, "Motor imagery and direct braincomputer communication," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 89(7), pp. 1123-1134, Jul 2001.
- [275]B. Obermaier, C. Guger, C. Neuper, and G. Pfurtscheller, "Hidden Markov models for online classification of single trial EEG data," *Patt. Recog. Lett.*, vol. 22(12), pp. 1299-1309, Oct 2001.
- [276]L. R. Rabiner, and B. H. Juang, "An introduction to hidden Markov models," *IEEE Acou. Speech Signal Proc. Mag.*, vol. 3(1), part 1, pp. 4-16, Jan 1986.
- [277]L. R. Rabiner, "A tutorial on hidden Markov models and selected applications in speech recognition," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 77(2), pp. 257-286, Feb 1989.
- [278]R. S. Huang, C. J. Kuo, L.-L. Tsai, and O. T. C. Chen, "EEG pattern recognition-arousal states detection and classification," *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Neural Netw.*, 3-6 June 1996, vol. 2, pp. 641-646.
- [279]S. Zhong, and J. Ghosh, "HMM and coupled HMMs for multi-channel EEG classification," *Proc. IJCNN'02*, Honolulu, HI, USA, vol. 2, pp. 1154-1159, 2002, available at <u>http://www.ideal.ecc.utexas.edu/papers/zhong_ijcnn2002.pdf</u>.
- [280]H. Lee, and S. Choi, "PCA+HMM+SVM for EEG pattern classification," Proc.7th IEEE Int. Symp. Signal Proc. Appl., 1-4 July 2003, pp. 541-544, available at http://www.postech.ac.kr/~seungjin/publications/isspa03.pdf.
- [281]C. Zhang, C. Zheng, X. Yu, and Y. Ouyang, Estimating VDT mental fatigue using multichannel linear descriptors and KPCA-HMM," *EURASIP J. Adv. Sig. Proc.*, (open access) vol. 2008, article ID 185638, 2008.
- [282]S. Wong, A. B. Gardner, A. M. Krieger, and B. Litt, "A stochastic framework for evaluating seizure prediction algorithms using hidden Markov models," *J. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 97, pp. 2525-2532, 2007.