

RUDIN'S SUBMODULES OF $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$

B. KRISHNA DAS AND JAYDEB SARKAR

ABSTRACT. Let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of scalars in the open unit disc of \mathbb{C} , and let $\{l_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of natural numbers satisfying $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - l_n |\alpha_n|) < \infty$. Then the joint (M_{z_1}, M_{z_2}) invariant subspace

$$\mathcal{S}_{\Phi} = \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(z_1^n \prod_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-\bar{\alpha}_k}{|\alpha_k|} \frac{z_2 - \alpha_k}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_k z_2} \right)^{l_k} H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \right),$$

is called a Rudin submodule. In this paper we analyze the class of Rudin submodules and prove that

$$\dim(\mathcal{S}_{\Phi} \ominus (z_1 \mathcal{S}_{\Phi} + z_2 \mathcal{S}_{\Phi})) = 1 + \#\{n \geq 0 : \alpha_n = 0\} < \infty.$$

In particular, this answer a question earlier raised by Douglas and Yang (2000) [4].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ denote the Hardy space over the unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. We also say that $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is the *Hardy module* over \mathbb{D} . The Hilbert space tensor product $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is called the *Hardy module* over \mathbb{D}^2 and is denoted by $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. As is well known, every vector in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ can be represented as square summable power series over \mathbb{D}^2 and the multiplication operators by the coordinate functions (M_{z_1}, M_{z_2}) are commuting and doubly commuting isometries (see [7]). We will often identify (M_{z_1}, M_{z_2}) with $(M_z \otimes I_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}, I_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \otimes M_z)$.

A closed subspace \mathcal{S} of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ (or $H^2(\mathbb{D})$) is said to be a *submodule* if \mathcal{S} is invariant under M_{z_1} and M_{z_2} (or M_z). Beurling's (cf. [3]) celebrated result states that a closed subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is a submodule of $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if $\mathcal{S} = \theta H^2(\mathbb{D})$ for some bounded inner function $\theta \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$. This is a fundamental result which has far-reaching consequences. For instance, it readily follows that $\mathcal{S} = \theta H^2(\mathbb{D})$ admits the wandering subspace $\mathcal{S} \ominus z\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{C}\theta$. In particular, $\mathcal{S} \ominus z\mathcal{S}$ is a generating set of \mathcal{S} . The same conclusion also holds when \mathcal{S} is one of the followings: a submodule of the Bergman module [1], a doubly commuting submodule of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ [8] and a doubly commuting submodule of the Bergman space or the Dirichlet space over polydisc [2]. This motivates us to look into the "wandering subspace" $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{S}} := \mathcal{S} \ominus (z_1 \mathcal{S} + z_2 \mathcal{S}) = (\mathcal{S} \ominus z_1 \mathcal{S}) \cap (\mathcal{S} \ominus z_2 \mathcal{S})$, and leads us to ask whether $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a generating set of \mathcal{S} or not, where \mathcal{S} is a submodule of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. In general, however, this question has a negative answer. Rudin [7] demonstrated a negative answer to this question by constructing

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A13, 47A15, 47B38, 46E20, 30H10.

Key words and phrases. Blaschke product, Inner sequence, Hardy space, Dimension of wandering subspace, Rudin's example.

a submodule \mathcal{S} of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ for which $\mathcal{S} \ominus (z_1\mathcal{S} + z_2\mathcal{S})$ is a finite dimensional subspace but not a generating set of \mathcal{S} .

Our motivation in this paper is the following: (1) to study a natural class of submodules, namely “generalized Rudin submodules”, and (2) to compute the wandering dimensions of generalized Rudin submodules. In particular, we are interested in understanding the wandering subspace of Rudin submodules of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Our results, restricted to the case of Rudin’s submodule answers a question raised by Douglas and Yang (see Corollary 3.2). Also these results are one important step in our program to understand the idea of constructing new submodules and quotient modules out of old ones.

Given an inner function $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, for notational simplicity, we set

$$\mathcal{S}_\varphi := \varphi H^2(\mathbb{D}), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q}_\varphi := H^2(\mathbb{D}) \ominus \mathcal{S}_\varphi.$$

We now turn to formulate our definition of generalized Rudin submodule. Let $\Psi = \{\psi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subseteq H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ be a sequence of increasing inner functions and $\Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subseteq H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ be a sequence of decreasing inner functions. Then the *generalized Rudin submodule* corresponding to the inner sequence Ψ and Φ is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi}$, and defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi} = \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{S}_{\psi_n} \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n}).$$

Now let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of points in \mathbb{D} and $\{l_i\}_{n=0}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum(1 - l_i|\alpha_i|) < \infty$, and $\psi_n = z^n$, and $\varphi_n := \prod_{i=n}^\infty b_{\alpha_i}^{l_i}$, $n \geq 0$. Then $\mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi}$ will be denoted by \mathcal{S}_Φ :

$$\mathcal{S}_\Phi = \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{S}_{z^n} \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n}).$$

Here for each non-zero $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$, we denote by b_α the Blaschke factor $b_\alpha(z) := \frac{-\bar{\alpha}}{|\alpha|} \frac{z-\alpha}{1-\bar{\alpha}z}$ and for $\alpha = 0$ we set $b_0(z) := z$.

The sequence of Blaschke products as defined above is called the *Rudin sequence*, and the submodule \mathcal{S}_Φ as defined above is called the *Rudin submodule* corresponding to the Rudin sequence Φ . These submodules are also called inner sequence based invariant subspaces of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$, and was studied by M. Seto and R. Yang [12], Seto [9, 10, 11] and Izuchi et al. [5].

The main result of this paper states that

$$\dim(\mathcal{S}_\Phi \ominus (z_1\mathcal{S}_\Phi + z_2\mathcal{S}_\Phi)) = 1 + \#\{n \geq 0 : \alpha_n = 0\} < \infty.$$

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 collects necessary notations and contains preparatory materials, which are an essential tool in what follows. After this preparatory section, which contain also new results, the main theorems are proved in Section 3.

2. PREPARATORY RESULTS

We begin with the following representations of $\mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi}$ (cf. [5]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi}$ be a generalized Rudin submodule and $\varphi_{-1} := 0$. Then*

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi} = \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_{\psi_n} \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_{\psi_n} \otimes (\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}}).$$

Proof. First note that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\bigoplus_{j=0}^n (\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_j} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{j-1}}) = \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n}.$$

Then the required representation of $\mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi}$ can be obtained from the above identity and the fact that $\mathcal{S}_{\psi_n} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\psi_{n-1}}$, ($n \geq 1$). \square

Keeping the equality $\mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi} \ominus (z_1 \mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi} + z_2 \mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi}) = (\mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi} \ominus z_1 \mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi}) \cap (\mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi} \ominus z_2 \mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi})$ in mind we pass to describe the closed subspace $(\mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi} \ominus z_1 \mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi})$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi}$ be a generalized Rudin's submodule and $\varphi_{-1} := 0$. Then*

$$(\mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi} \ominus z_1 \mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi}) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C} \psi_n \otimes (\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}}).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi} \ominus z_1 \mathcal{S}_{\Psi, \Phi} &= \left(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_{\psi_n} \otimes (\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}}) \right) \ominus z_1 \left(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_{\psi_n} \otimes (\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}}) \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{S}_{\psi_n} \ominus z_1 \mathcal{S}_{\psi_n}) \otimes (\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the result follows from the fact that $\mathcal{S}_{\theta} \ominus z \mathcal{S}_{\theta} = \mathbb{C} \theta$, for any inner $\theta \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. \square

Before proceeding further, we first observe that for $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$ and $m \geq 1$, $\{b_\alpha^j M_z^* b_\alpha\}_{j=0}^{m-1}$ is an orthogonal basis of the quotient module $\mathcal{Q}_{b_\alpha^m}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let θ_1, θ_2 be a pair of inner functions such that $\theta_1 = b_\alpha^m \theta_2$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$ and $m \geq 1$. Then*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1} = \theta_2 \mathcal{Q}_{b_\alpha^m} = \theta_2 (H^2(\mathbb{D}) \ominus b_\alpha^m H^2(\mathbb{D})) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{C} \theta_2 (b_\alpha^k M_z^* b_\alpha).$$

In particular, $\mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}$ is an m -dimensional subspace of $H^2(\mathbb{D})$.

Proof. The proof follows from the fact that M_{θ_2} is an isometry and $\{b_\alpha^j M_z^* b_\alpha\}_{j=0}^{m-1}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\mathcal{Q}_{b_\alpha^m}$. \square

To proceed with our discussion it is useful to compute the matrix representation of the operator $P_{\mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}} M_z^* |_{\mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}}$ with respect to the orthogonal basis $\{\theta_2 b_\alpha^j M_z^* b_\alpha\}_{j=0}^{m-1}$, which will be used in the proof of the main result of this paper. To this end let $v_j = \theta_2 b_\alpha^j M_z^* b_\alpha$ for all $j = 0, \dots, m-1$. Then

$$\langle (P_{\mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}} M_z^*) v_j, v_i \rangle = \langle M_z^* b_\alpha^j M_z^* b_\alpha, b_\alpha^i M_z^* b_\alpha \rangle.$$

Note that

$$M_z^* b_\alpha = -\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{|\alpha|} (1 - |\alpha|^2) \mathbb{S}(\cdot, \alpha),$$

where $\mathbb{S}(\cdot, \alpha)$ is the Szegő kernel on \mathbb{D} defined by $\mathbb{S}(\cdot, \alpha)(z) = (1 - \bar{\alpha}z)^{-1}$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Consequently, for $i = j$, we have

$$\langle (P_{\mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}} M_z^*) v_j, v_i \rangle = \langle M_z^{*2} b_\alpha, M_z^* b_\alpha \rangle = \bar{\alpha} (1 - |\alpha|^2),$$

for $i > j$,

$$\langle (P_{\mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}} M_z^*) v_j, v_i \rangle = \langle M_z^* b_\alpha^j M_z^* b_\alpha, b_\alpha^i M_z^* b_\alpha \rangle = \langle M_z^{*2} b_\alpha, b_\alpha^{i-j} M_z^* b_\alpha \rangle = 0,$$

and for $j > i$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (P_{\mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}} M_z^*) v_j, v_i \rangle &= \langle M_z^* b_\alpha^j M_z^* b_\alpha, b_\alpha^i M_z^* b_\alpha \rangle = \langle M_z^* b_\alpha^{j-i} M_z^* b_\alpha, M_z^* b_\alpha \rangle \\ &= (1 - |\alpha|^2)^2 \langle M_z^* b_\alpha^{j-i} \mathbb{S}(\cdot, \alpha), \mathbb{S}(\cdot, \alpha) \rangle = (-\alpha)^{j-i-1} (1 - |\alpha|^2)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b_\alpha^{j-i} \mathbb{S}(\cdot, \alpha), z \mathbb{S}(\cdot, \alpha) \rangle &= \langle b_\alpha^{j-i} \mathbb{S}(\cdot, \alpha), b_\alpha + \alpha \mathbb{S}(\cdot, \alpha) \rangle = \langle b_\alpha^{j-i} \mathbb{S}(\cdot, \alpha), b_\alpha \rangle + \bar{\alpha} \langle b_\alpha^{j-i} \mathbb{S}(\cdot, \alpha), \mathbb{S}(\cdot, \alpha) \rangle \\ &= \langle b_\alpha^{j-i-1} \mathbb{S}(\cdot, \alpha), \mathbb{S}(\cdot, 0) \rangle + \bar{\alpha} \langle b_\alpha^{j-i} \mathbb{S}(\cdot, \alpha), \mathbb{S}(\cdot, \alpha) \rangle = (b_\alpha^{j-i-1} \mathbb{S}(\cdot, \alpha))(0) + 0 \\ &= (-\alpha)^{j-i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\langle (P_{\mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}} M_z^* |_{\mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}}) v_j, v_i \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j < i \\ \bar{\alpha} (1 - |\alpha|^2) & \text{if } j = i \\ (-\alpha)^{j-i-1} (1 - |\alpha|^2)^2 & \text{if } j \geq i + 1. \end{cases}$$

Finally, since $M_{\theta_2 b_\alpha^i} \in \mathcal{B}(H^2(\mathbb{D}))$ is an isometry for any $0 \leq i \leq m-1$, we have

$$\|v_i\| = \|\theta_2 b_\alpha^i M_z^* b_\alpha\| = \|M_z^* b_\alpha\| = (1 - |\alpha|^2) \|\mathbb{S}(\cdot, \alpha)\| = (1 - |\alpha|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The computations above then show that the matrix representation of $P_{\mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}} M_z^* |_{\mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}}$ with respect to the orthonormal basis $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|\alpha|^2}} v_j\}_{j=0}^{m-1}$ is the upper triangular matrix with diagonal entries $\bar{\alpha}$ and off diagonal entries $(-\alpha)^{j-i-1} (1 - |\alpha|^2)$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{S}_Φ be a Rudin submodule of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Then*

$$\dim(\mathcal{S}_\Phi \ominus (z_1 \mathcal{S}_\Phi + z_2 \mathcal{S}_\Phi)) = 1 + \#\{n \geq 0 : \alpha_n = 0\} < \infty.$$

Proof. Since $\{n \geq 0 : \alpha_n = 0\}$ is a finite set, it is enough to show that the equality holds. First, observe that

$$\mathcal{S}_\Phi \ominus (z_1 \mathcal{S}_\Phi + z_2 \mathcal{S}_\Phi) = (\mathcal{S}_\Phi \ominus z_1 \mathcal{S}_\Phi) \cap (\ker P_{\mathcal{S}_\Phi} M_{z_2}^* |_{\mathcal{S}_\Phi}) = \ker P_{\mathcal{S}_\Phi} M_{z_2}^* |_{\mathcal{S}_\Phi \ominus z_1 \mathcal{S}_\Phi}.$$

Now Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, with $\psi_n = z^n$, $n \geq 0$, and $\varphi_{-1} = 0$ implies that

$$\mathcal{S}_\Phi = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (z^n H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes (\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}})),$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_\Phi \ominus z_1 \mathcal{S}_\Phi = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathbb{C}z^n \otimes (\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}})).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathcal{S}_\Phi} M_{z_2}^* (\mathcal{S}_\Phi \ominus z_1 \mathcal{S}_\Phi) &= P_{\mathcal{S}_\Phi} \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathbb{C}z^n \otimes M_z^* (\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}})) \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathbb{C}z^n \otimes P_{\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}}} M_z^* (\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}})), \end{aligned}$$

where for the last equality we have used the fact that $P_{\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}}} M_z^* (\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}}) = \{0\}$. Therefore,

$$\ker P_{\mathcal{S}_\Phi} M_{z_2}^* |_{\mathcal{S}_\Phi \ominus z_1 \mathcal{S}_\Phi} = (\mathbb{C} \otimes \ker P_{\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_0}} M_z^* |_{\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_0}}) \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathbb{C}z^n \otimes \ker (P_{\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}}} M_z^* |_{\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}}}).$$

For the first term on the right-hand side, we have $\ker P_{\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_0}} M_z^* |_{\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_0}} = \mathbb{C}\varphi_0$, that is,

$$\dim (\ker P_{\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_0}} M_z^* |_{\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_0}}) = 1.$$

On the other hand, the representing matrix of $P_{\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}}} M_z^* |_{\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}}}$ with respect to the orthonormal basis $\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|\alpha_{n-1}|^2}} \varphi_n b_{\alpha_{n-1}}^k M_z^* b_{\alpha_{n-1}} : 0 \leq k < l_n \right\}$, as discussed at the end of the previous section, is an upper triangular matrix with $\bar{\alpha}_{n-1}$ on the diagonal. This matrix is invertible if and only if $\alpha_{n-1} \neq 0$. In the case of $\alpha_{n-1} = 0$, since the upper diagonal entries are 1, the rank of the representing matrix is $l_n - 1$ and hence the kernel is one-dimensional. This completes the proof. \square

As an illustration of the above theorem, we consider an explicit example of Rudin submodule. Let \mathcal{S}_R denotes the submodule of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$, consisting those functions which have zero of order at least n at $(0, \alpha_n) := (0, 1 - n^{-3})$. This submodule was introduced by W. Rudin in the context of infinite rank submodules of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. It is also well known that such \mathcal{S}_R is a Rudin submodule (see [12], [10], [11]), that is, $\mathcal{S}_R = \mathcal{S}_\Phi$ where

$$\varphi_0 = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{\alpha_i}^i, \quad \varphi_n = \frac{\varphi_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=n}^{\infty} b_{\alpha_j}} \quad (n \geq 1).$$

In this case, for all $n \geq 1$, $\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}} = \varphi_n (H^2(\mathbb{D}) \ominus \prod_{j=n}^{\infty} b_{\alpha_j} H^2(\mathbb{D}))$. Set

$$e_k := \left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{\infty} b_{\alpha_j} \right) M_z^* b_{\alpha_k} \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Then $\{\varphi_n e_k\}_{k=n}^{\infty}$ is an orthogonal basis for $\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}}$, for all $n \geq 1$. A similar calculation, as in the end of the previous section, shows that the matrix representation of the operator $P_{\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}}} M_z^* |_{\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}}}$ with respect to $\{\varphi_n e_k\}_{k=n}^{\infty}$ is again an upper triangular infinite matrix with diagonal entries $\bar{\alpha}_k$ ($k \geq n$). Therefore $\ker P_{\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}}} M_z^* |_{\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_n} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_{n-1}}} = \{0\}$ for all $n > 1$. Now for $n = 1$, since $\alpha_1 = 0$ its kernel is one dimensional. By the same argument, as in the proof of the above theorem, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.2. *Let \mathcal{S}_R be the Rudin submodule as above. Then $\mathcal{S}_R \ominus (z_1\mathcal{S}_R + z_2\mathcal{S}_R)$ is a two dimensional subspace given by*

$$\mathcal{S}_R \ominus (z_1\mathcal{S}_R + z_2\mathcal{S}_R) = \mathbb{C} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{\alpha_i}^i \oplus \mathbb{C} \prod_{i=2}^{\infty} b_{\alpha_i}^i.$$

We end this note with an intriguing question, raised by Nakazi [6]: Does there exist a submodule \mathcal{S} of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ with $\text{rank } \mathcal{S} = 1$, such that $\mathcal{S} \ominus (z_1\mathcal{S} + z_2\mathcal{S})$ is not a generating set for \mathcal{S} ? Although we are unable to determine such (counter-)example, however, we do have the following special example: let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ be a sequence of distinct points and $\Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, and $\varphi_n := \prod_{i=n}^{\infty} b_{\alpha_i}^i$. Then, by the main result of this paper, $\mathcal{S}_{\Phi} \ominus (z_1\mathcal{S}_{\Phi} + z_2\mathcal{S}_{\Phi}) = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}\varphi_0$ is a one dimensional, non-generating subspace of \mathcal{S}_{Φ} . In fact it follows from [5] that the rank of \mathcal{S}_{Φ} is 2.

Acknowledgment: The first author is grateful to Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore Centre for warm hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aleman, S. Richter and C. Sundberg, *Beurling's theorem for the Bergman space*, Acta Math. **177** (1996), 275–310.
- [2] A. Chattopadhyay, B.K. Das J. Sarkar and S. Sarkar, *Wandering subspaces of the Bergman space and the Dirichlet space over polydisc*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **79** (2014) no. 4, 567–577.
- [3] R. Douglas and V. Paulsen, *Hilbert Modules over Function Algebras*, Research Notes in Mathematics Series, **47**, Longman, Harlow, 1989.
- [4] R. Douglas and R. Yang, *Operator theory in the Hardy space over the bidisk (I)*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **38** (2000), 207–221.
- [5] K. J. Izuchi, K. H. Izuchi and Y. Izuchi, *Ranks of invariant subspaces of the Hardy space over the bidisk*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **659** (2011), 101–139.
- [6] T. Nakazi, *Szegő's theorem on a bidisk*, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. **328** (1991), 421–432.
- [7] W. Rudin, *Function Theory in Polydiscs*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [8] J. Sarkar, A. Sasane and B. Wick, *Doubly commuting submodules of the Hardy module over polydiscs*, Studia Math. **217** (2013), 179–192.
- [9] M. Seto, *A perturbation theory for core operators of Hilbert-Schmidt submodules*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **70** (2011), 379–394.
- [10] M. Seto, *A new proof that Rudin's module is not finitely generated*, Recent advances in operator theory and applications, 195–197, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., **187**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2009.
- [11] M. Seto, *Infinite sequences of inner functions and submodules in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$* , J. Oper. Theory **61** (2009), 75–86.
- [12] M. Seto and R. Yang, *Inner sequence based invariant subspaces in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007), 2519–2526.

(B. K. DAS) INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, STATISTICS AND MATHEMATICS UNIT, 8TH MILE, MYSORE ROAD, BANGALORE, 560059, INDIA

E-mail address: dasb@isibang.ac.in, bata436@gmail.com

(J. SARKAR) INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, STATISTICS AND MATHEMATICS UNIT, 8TH MILE, MYSORE ROAD, BANGALORE, 560059, INDIA

E-mail address: jay@isibang.ac.in, jaydeb@gmail.com