

Shift Operators

Jayadeb Sarkar

Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore.

`jay@isibang.ac.in`

June 4, 2014

This set of notes are based on the lectures given at the Instructional School for Lecturers (ISL) held at Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore. The present draft is not perfect (and far from complete) and certainly suffers from obvious flaws. All of them are the authors sole responsibility, including mathematical mistakes and typos.

I am very grateful to Tiju Cherian John, ISI Bangalore, for typing the draft in Tex format. I am also thankful to him for reading the entire manuscript, providing me with comments and corrections.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Shift Operator on the Hardy Space H^2	3
3	Isometries	7
4	Beurling's Theorem and some Consequences	11
5	Bergman space and more	14

1 Introduction

In these lectures we will try to explore the paper written by A. Beurling in 1948 titled "On 2-problems concerning linear operators on Hilbert spaces".

Let us first explain the various terms in the title.

1. Hilbert Spaces under consideration are the *Hardy Space* $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ or the *square summable sequence space* $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ where

$$H^2(\mathbb{D}) = \left\{ f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, a_n \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

and $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ is the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and

$$l^2(\mathbb{N}) = \left\{ \{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

Now onwards we use the following notations

$$H^2(\mathbb{D}) := H^2$$

$$l^2(\mathbb{N}) := l^2$$

Exercise: l^2 is a Hilbert space with inner product defined as

$$\langle \{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \bar{b}_n$$

and $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ forms an orthonormal basis for l^2 where e_n is the sequence with 1 in the n^{th} position and zero elsewhere. We state without proof that $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is a Hilbert Space with the inner product defined as

$$\left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n \right\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \bar{b}_n \quad (1.1)$$

For a proof please refer Duren [3] and Halmos [1].

That H^2 is a Hilbert space also follows readily from the following simple exercise.

Exercise: Show that the mapping

$$X : l^2 \rightarrow H^2$$

$$\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

is well defined and one to one and onto. Prove that H^2 is a Hilbert space with the inner product defined as in (1.1) (Note that under this isomorphism $e_n \mapsto z^n$ and thus $\{1, z, z^2, \dots\}$ forms an orthonormal basis of H^2).

2. The Linear Operator under consideration is the *shift*, S on l^2 or the shift M_z on H^2 (see Definition 2.1).

3. 2-Problems mentioned are

- Take $f \in H^2/l^2$. When does $\overline{S^n f} = H^2$ (or l^2)?
- For $f \in H^2/l^2$. When does $C_f := \overline{(S^*)^n f}$ is generated by the eigenvectors?

Here $\overline{}$ denotes the span closure and that S^* is the adjoint of S

2 Shift Operator on the Hardy Space H^2

2.1 Definition. Define shift S on l^2 by

$$S(\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty) = \{0, a_0, a_1, \dots\},$$

for all $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in l^2$.

2.2 Remarks.

1. S is a linear isometry on l^2 , that is,

$$\|S(\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty)\| = \|\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty\|.$$

2. For any $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in l^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S^*(\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty), \{b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \rangle &= \langle \{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, S(\{b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty) \rangle \\ &= \langle \{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{0, b_1, b_2, \dots\} \rangle \\ &= \langle \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}, \{b_0, b_1, \dots\} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow S^*(\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty) = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}.$$

3. $\ker S^* = \{\{a_0, 0, 0, \dots\} : a_0 \in \mathbb{C}\} \cong \mathbb{C}$. In particular, $\dim[\ker S^*] = 1$

4. $S^*S = I$ but

$$SS^* = I - P_{\ker S^*} = I - P_{\mathbb{C}},$$

where $P_{\ker S^*}$ is the orthogonal projection of H^2 onto $\ker S^*$. Therefore, that S is not *normal*.

2.3 Definition. Let H be a Hilbert space and $T \in L(H)$.

1. T is said to be C_0 if $T^{*n} \rightarrow 0$ in strong operator topology (that is, $\|T^{*n}h\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $h \in H$).
2. A closed subspace $M \subseteq H$ is said to be *invariant subspace* of $T \in L(H)$ (or, T -invariant) if $T(M) \subseteq M$.
3. A closed subspace $M \subseteq H$ is said to be *co-invariant subspace* of $T \in L(H)$ (or, T^* -invariant) if $T^*(M) \subseteq M$.
4. A closed subspace M is said to be *T -reducing* if $T(M), T^*(M) \subseteq M$.
5. $T \in L(H)$ is said to be *irreducible* if T has no reducing subspace except $\{0\}$ and H .

2.4 Definition. Define shift M_z on H^2 by

$$M_z(f) = zf, \quad \forall f \in H^2.$$

Note that for all $f \in H^2$, zf is a function in H^2 defined by

$$(zf)(w) = wf(w),$$

for all $w \in \mathbb{D}$.

2.5 Remarks.

1. $M_z^* M_z = I_{H^2}$
2. $M_z M_z^* = I_{H^2} - P_{\mathbb{C}}$ where $P_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the orthogonal projection of H^2 onto the subspace of all constant functions, denoted by \mathbb{C} .
3. $M_z(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^{n+1}$
4. $M_z^*(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}$
5. $M_z^{*n} \rightarrow 0$ in strong operator topology.
6. M_z on H^2 is irreducible.
7. $H^2 \ominus M_z H^2 = \mathbb{C}$.
8. That $H^2 \ominus M_z H^2 = \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the following relation:

$$\overline{\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n (H^2 \ominus M_z H^2)} = \overline{\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \mathbb{C}} = H^2.$$

HW: Let $M \subseteq H^2$ be a M_z^* -invariant subspace. Then $M_z|_M \in L(H)$ is in C_0 . [What is the conclusion if that M is M_z -invariant?]

Example: Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and $M_n = \overline{\bigvee \{z^n, z^{n+1}, \dots\}}$. Then M_n is invariant under M_z but not under M_z^* . Also, $Q_n = \bigvee \{1, z, z^2, \dots, z^{n-1}\}$ is M_z^* invariant but not M_z invariant.

Questions:

- (1) Is it true that $M_n = z^n H^2$?
- (2) Is it true that $Q_n = (z^n H^2)^\perp$?

2.6 Definition. Magic/ kernel Vectors: For each $w \in \mathbb{D}$ define $k_w : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$k_w(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \overline{w}^n z^n.$$

2.7 Remarks.

1. $k_w \in H^2, \forall w \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\|k_w\| = (1 - |w|^2)^{1/2}$.
2. Let $f \in H^2$ with $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n$ then

$$\left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{w}^n z^n \right\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n w^n.$$

Consequently, for all $f \in H^2$ and $w \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$f(w) = \langle f, k_w \rangle.$$

Hence, that k_w reproduce the value of $f \in H^2$ at each $w \in \mathbb{D}$.

3. $k_w \in \ker(M_z^* - \bar{w})$, $\forall w \in \mathbb{D}$ because,

$$\begin{aligned} M_z^*(k_w) &= M_z^*(1 + \bar{w}z + \bar{w}^2z^2 + \dots) \\ &= \bar{w} + \bar{w}^2z + \bar{w}^3z^2 + \dots \\ &= \bar{w}k_w. \end{aligned}$$

4. **Evaluation Functional:** Define $ev_w : H^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, for all $w \in \mathbb{D}$ by

$$ev_w(f) = f(w).$$

Note that $|ev_w(f)| = |f(w)| = |\langle f, k_w \rangle| \leq \|f\| \|k_w\|$.
What is $\|ev_w\|$?

5. The *Szego kernel* over \mathbb{D} is the function, $k : \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$k(\lambda, w) := (1 - \lambda\bar{w})^{-1},$$

for all w, λ in \mathbb{D} .

Note that

$$k(\lambda, w) = \langle k_w, k_\lambda \rangle, \forall \lambda, w \in \mathbb{D}$$

and that k is holomorphic in the first variable and anti-holomorphic in the second variable.

6. Prove that $k(z, w) = ev_z \circ ev_w^*$.

7. Prove that $\{k_w : w \in \mathbb{D}\} \subseteq H^2$ is a total set, that is,

$$\overline{\bigvee \{k_w : w \in \mathbb{D}\}} = H^2.$$

To proceed further we recall the following important notion.

Let H be a Hilbert space and $T \in L(H)$ then the *spectrum* of T , $\sigma(T)$ is defined as

$$\sigma(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not invertible}\}.$$

We recall that a bounded linear operator T on H is invertible if and only if that T is bounded below (that is, there exists $c > 0$ such that $\|Tf\| > c\|f\|$ for all $f(\neq 0) \in H$) and of dense range (that is $\overline{\text{ran}T} = H$).

The *approximate point spectrum* of T , $\sigma_a(T)$ is defined as

$$\sigma_a(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \exists f_n \subseteq H \ni \|f_n\| = 1, \|(T - \lambda)f_n\| \rightarrow 0\},$$

and the *point spectrum*, $\sigma_p(T)$ is defined as

$$\sigma_p(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : Tf = \lambda f \text{ for some } f \neq 0\}.$$

Finally, the *compression spectrum*, $\Pi(T)$ is defined as

$$\Pi(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \overline{\text{ran}(T - \lambda I)} \subsetneq H\}.$$

2.8 Theorem. $\partial\sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma_a(T)$.

Proof. See Problem 78 in [1]. ■

2.9 Theorem.

(i) $\forall w \in \mathbb{D}, \ker(M_z^* - \bar{w}) = \text{span}\{k_w\} = \mathbb{C} \cdot k_w.$

In particular, $\dim[\ker(M_z^ - \bar{w})] = 1.$*

(ii) $\sigma_p(M_z^*) = \mathbb{D}.$

(iii) $\sigma(M_z^*) = \overline{\mathbb{D}} = \sigma_a(M_z^*).$

Proof. We know that $k_w \in \ker(M_z^* - \bar{w})$. Let $f \in H^2$ be such that $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$ and $M_z^* f = \bar{w} f$. This implies

$$a_1 + a_2 z + a_3 z^2 + \dots = \bar{w}(a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \dots)$$

Therefore, $a_1 = \bar{w} a_0$, $a_2 = \bar{w} a_1 = \bar{w}^2 a_2, \dots$, and $a_n = \bar{w}^n a_0$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence, $f = a_0 k_w$. Consequently, $\ker(M_z^* - \bar{w}) = \text{span}\{k_w\}$. This completes the proof of part (i).

Since $\|M_z^*\| = 1$, we have that $\sigma(M_z^*) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Also by part (i), $\mathbb{D} \subseteq \sigma(M_z^*)$ and hence $\sigma(M_z^*) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Since $\sigma_a(M_z^*) \supseteq \sigma_p(M_z^*) \supseteq \mathbb{D}$, by the fact above this theorem, we conclude that $\partial\sigma_a(M_z^*) = \mathbb{T}$. Therefore, $\sigma_a(M_z^*) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. This completes the proof of (iii).

Finally, it is easy to see that if $f \in H^2$ and $M_z^* f = \lambda f$ for some $|\lambda| = 1$ then that $f = 0$. Therefore, $\sigma_p(M_z^*) = \mathbb{D}$. ■

2.10 Remarks.

1. $\sigma_p(M_z) = \emptyset.$
2. For $T \in L(H)$, $\sigma_p(T) = \overline{\Pi(T^*)}.$
3. (1) and (2) $\Rightarrow \Pi(M_z^*) = \emptyset.$
4. $\forall w \in \mathbb{D}$, $\text{ran}(M_z^* - \bar{w}) = H^2$. [$\overline{\text{range}}$ is not required here because $\forall w \in \mathbb{D}$, $\dim(\ker(M_z^* - \bar{w}I)) = 1$ and $\dim(\ker(M_z - wI)) = 0$. This yields that $M_z^* - \bar{w}I$ is *Fredholm* and $\text{ind}(M_z^* - \bar{w}I) = 1$ for all $w \in \mathbb{D}$.]

2.11 Definition. Let \mathcal{E} be a Hilbert space. Define

$$H_{\mathcal{E}}^2 := \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{E}) : a_n \in \mathcal{E}, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|a_n\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Here by $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{E})$ we denote the set of all \mathcal{E} -valued holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D} .

Also, $l_{\mathcal{E}}^2$ is defined as the set of all square-summable \mathcal{E} -valued sequences with the natural inner product

$$\langle \{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle a_n, b_n \rangle_{\mathcal{E}},$$

for all $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in l_{\mathcal{E}}^2$.

Note that $H_{\mathcal{E}}^2$ is isomorphic to $l_{\mathcal{E}}^2$ in the following sense:

Fact: The map $U : H_{\mathcal{E}}^2 \rightarrow H^2 \otimes \mathcal{E}$ defined by

$$z^n \eta \xrightarrow{U} z^n \otimes \eta,$$

for all $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$ and $n \geq 0$, is an isometric isomorphism onto $H^2 \otimes \mathcal{E}$ and

$$UM_z = (M_z \otimes I)U.$$

Exercises.

1. Determine M_z -reducing subspace of $H_{\mathcal{E}}^2$.
2. Prove that $M_z^{*n} \rightarrow 0$ in strong operator topology.
3. $M_z^* M_z = I_{H_{\mathcal{E}}^2}$
4. $M_z M_z^* = I - P_{\mathcal{E}}$, where $P_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the projection of $H_{\mathcal{E}}^2$ onto the \mathcal{E} -valued constant functions.
5. $H_{\mathcal{E}}^2 \ominus M_z H_{\mathcal{E}}^2 = \mathcal{E}$

3 Isometries

Let $T \in L(H)$ and \mathcal{W} be a closed subspace of H . Then \mathcal{W} is said to be a *wandering subspace* of V if

$$T^n \mathcal{W} \perp \mathcal{W},$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

HW:

(1) Prove that a closed subspace \mathcal{W} of H is wandering for an isometry V on H if and only if $V^n \mathcal{W} \perp V^m \mathcal{W}$ for all $m, n \geq 0$ and $m \neq n$.

(2) Let $T \in L(H)$ and $\mathcal{W}_T := H \ominus TH = \ker T^* \neq \{0\}$. Prove that \mathcal{W}_T is a wandering subspace of T .

Given operator $T \in L(H)$, the general question of interest is the following:

What are the wandering subspaces of T ?

The above question is related to the invariant subspace problem for operators on Hilbert spaces. For instance, if \mathcal{W} is a wandering subspace of T on H then

$$\bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n \mathcal{W},$$

is an invariant subspace of T .

Another possible formulation of the wandering subspace problem is the following:

Let $T \in L(H)$ and $H = \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n \mathcal{W}_T$, where $\mathcal{W}_T := H \ominus TH$ is the wandering subspace of T . Now consider a non-trivial invariant subspace \mathcal{S} of H and define $R := T|_{\mathcal{S}} \in L(\mathcal{S})$. Does it follow that

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n \mathcal{W}_R \quad (= \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} R^n \mathcal{W}_R),$$

where $\mathcal{W}_R := \mathcal{S} \ominus R\mathcal{S} (= \mathcal{S} \ominus T\mathcal{S})$ is the wandering subspace of R .

The more general question now arises:

For which $T \in L(H)$, one has $H = \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n(H \ominus TH)$?

The above questions are mostly unknown for many "friend" operators on "friend" Hilbert spaces. However, one has a complete answer for the class of isometries.

Exercise. Let $V \in L(H)$ and $V^*V = I$. Consider $\mathcal{W}_V = H \ominus V(H)$ (assume $\mathcal{W}_V \neq \{0\}$, that is, V is non-unitary). Then $V^n\mathcal{W}_V \perp V^m\mathcal{W}_V$, for all $n \neq m \in \mathbb{N}$.

3.1 Definition. An isometry $V \in L(H)$ is said to be shift of multiplicity $\dim \mathcal{W}$ if

$$\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^n \mathcal{W}_V = H.$$

3.2 Examples.

1. M_z on H^2 is a shift of multiplicity 1.
2. M_z on $H_{\mathcal{E}}^2$ is a shift of multiplicity $\dim \mathcal{E}$.

3.3 Theorem. Let $U \in L(H)$ and $V \in L(K)$ be a pair of shift operators. Then U is unitarily equivalent to V if and only if $\dim(\mathcal{W}_U) = \dim(\mathcal{W}_V)$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) There exists $\Phi : H \rightarrow K$ such that $\Phi^*V\Phi = U$. Therefore, $\text{Ker } U^*$ is isomorphic to $\text{Ker } V^*$.

(\Leftarrow) There exists unitary $\varphi : \mathcal{W}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_V$. Define $\Phi : H \rightarrow K$ by

$$\Phi \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} u^n h_n \right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} V^n \varphi h_n; \quad h_n \in \mathcal{W}_U$$

Now check that, $\Phi U = V\Phi$ and that Φ is unitary. ■

In particular, the multiplicity of a shift operator is well defined.

3.4 Corollary. Let $V \in L(H)$ be a shift. Then V is unitarily equivalent to M_z on $H_{\mathcal{E}}^2$ where $\dim \mathcal{E} = \text{multiplicity of } V$.

3.5 Corollary. If V is a shift, then $V^{*n} \rightarrow 0$ in strong operator topology.

3.6 Theorem (Wold Decomposition). Let $V \in L(H)$ is an isometry. Then there exists V -reducing subspaces H_U and H_S such that $H = H_U \oplus H_S$ and $V|_{H_U}$ is unitary and $V|_{H_S}$ is a shift.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{W}_V := H \ominus V(H)$ and $H_S := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^n \mathcal{W}_V$. Note that H_S is reducing. Define $H_U := H \ominus H_S$. We claim that $H_U = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} V^n H$

$$\begin{aligned} f \perp H_S &\Leftrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=0}^l V^n \mathcal{W}_V; \forall l \geq 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow f \perp \mathcal{W}_V \oplus V\mathcal{W}_V \oplus \dots \oplus V^l \mathcal{W}_V \\ &\Leftrightarrow f \perp H \ominus V^{l+1}H \\ &\Leftrightarrow f \in V^{l+1}H, \forall l \geq 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow f \in \bigcap_{n \geq 0} V^n H \\ &\Rightarrow H_U = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} V^n H \end{aligned}$$

■

3.7 Corollary. Any isometry is of the form $\begin{bmatrix} \text{unitary} & 0 \\ 0 & M_z \end{bmatrix}$.

3.8 Definition. Define $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$l^2(\mathbb{Z}) = \{(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : \sum |a_n|^2 < \infty\}.$$

3.9 Definition. The bilateral shift U on $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$ is defined by $Ue_n = e_{n+1}$, where e_n is the sequence with 1 in the n^{th} position and zero elsewhere for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that $U^*e_n = e_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and therefore

$$UU^* = U^*U = I.$$

Let \mathbb{T} be the unit circle in the complex plane. By $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ we denote the familiar collection of the square integrable functions on \mathbb{T} with respect to the normalized lebesgue measure dm on \mathbb{T} . Define

$$\begin{aligned} X : L^2(\mathbb{T}) &\longrightarrow l^2(\mathbb{Z}) \\ f &\longmapsto \hat{f} \end{aligned}$$

where \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of f which is defined by $\hat{f}(n) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta})e^{-in\theta} d\theta$. **Fact:** X defined above is unitary and $XM_{e^{i\theta}} = UX$. Hence, that $M_{e^{i\theta}}$ is the bilateral shift.

3.10 Definition. Define $L_+^2 := \overline{\bigvee_{n \geq 0} e^{in\theta}} \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{T})$.

We observe that $M_{e^{i\theta}}L_+^2 \subseteq L_+^2$. If $V = M_{e^{i\theta}}|_{L_+^2}$ then $V^*V = I_{L_+^2}$. Check that V is a shift of multiplicity 1 and hence, V on L_+^2 is unitarily equivalent to M_z on H^2 (follows from Corollary 3.4).

3.11 Definition. Define $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ by

$$H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) = \{\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) : \hat{\varphi}(n) = 0, \forall n < 0\} = L^\infty \cap H^2.$$

3.12 Theorem. $\{M_{e^{i\theta}}\}' = \{M_\varphi : \varphi \in L^\infty\}$.

Proof. See Halmos. ■

3.13 Theorem. Let $\varphi \in L^\infty$. Then $\varphi H^2 \subseteq H^2$ if and only if $\varphi \in H^\infty$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) $\varphi 1 = \varphi = f$ for some $f \in H^2$. Consequently, $\varphi \in H^\infty$.

(\Leftarrow) We claim that $\varphi H^2 \subseteq H^2$. Indeed, fix $l \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\varphi z^l}(n) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(e^{i\theta})e^{il\theta}e^{-in\theta} d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(e^{i\theta})e^{-i(n-l)\theta} d\theta \\ &= \widehat{\varphi}(n-l) \\ &= 0 \text{ if } n < 0 \text{ and } l \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\varphi p \in H^2$, for every $p \in \mathbb{C}[Z]$. Since the polynomials are dense in H^2 we have that $\varphi H^2 \subseteq H^2$. ■

3.14 Definition. For $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, define $T_\varphi := M_\varphi|_{H^2}$, and is called *Toeplitz Operator* with holomorphic symbol φ .

3.15 Theorem. $\{M_z\}' = \{T_\varphi : \varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})\}$.

Proof. See Halmos.

3.16 Theorem. $\mathcal{M} \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{T})$ is $M_{e^{i\theta}}$ -reducing if and only if

$$\mathcal{M} = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}) : f = 0 \text{ a.e. on } X\}$$

for some m -measurable set $X \subseteq \mathbb{T}$.

Proof. (\Leftarrow) is trivial.

(\Rightarrow) Let $P_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ onto $\mathcal{M} \subseteq L^2$. That \mathcal{M} is $M_{e^{i\theta}}$ -reducing yields that

$$P_{\mathcal{M}}M_{e^{i\theta}} = M_{e^{i\theta}}P_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

That is, $P_{\mathcal{M}} \in \{M_{e^{i\theta}}\}'$ and hence, $P_{\mathcal{M}} = M_\varphi$ for some $\varphi \in L^\infty$. But $P_{\mathcal{M}} = P_{\mathcal{M}}^2 = P_{\mathcal{M}}^* \Rightarrow \text{range}(\varphi) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$ Let $\text{range}(\varphi) = \{0, 1\}$ implies $\varphi = \chi_X$ where $X = \{e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T} : \varphi(e^{i\theta}) = 0\}$. This implies $\text{range}(P_{\mathcal{M}}) = \mathcal{M} = \text{range}(M_\varphi) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}) : f = 0 \text{ a.e. on } X\}$. \blacksquare

3.17 Theorem. Let $\mathcal{M}(\neq \{0\}) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{T})$. Then \mathcal{M} is a non-reducing invariant subspace of $M_{e^{i\theta}}$ if and only if $\mathcal{M} = \varphi H^2$ for some $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ with $|\varphi| = 1$ a.e.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{M} \ominus e^{i\theta}\mathcal{M}$. Choose $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$ with $\|\varphi\| = 1$ (note that $\mathcal{W} = \{0\}$ is equivalent to the condition that \mathcal{M} is reducing). Since $e^{in\theta}\mathcal{M} \subseteq e^{i\theta}\mathcal{M}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \perp e^{i\theta}\mathcal{M} &\Rightarrow \varphi \perp e^{in\theta}\mathcal{M}, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \varphi \perp e^{in\theta}\varphi, \quad \forall n \geq 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi(e^{i\theta})|^2 e^{-in\theta} d\theta = 0, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \widehat{|\varphi|^2}(n) = 0 \quad \forall n \neq 0 \\ &\Rightarrow |\varphi|^2 = \text{constant a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\|\varphi\|_\infty = 1,$$

and hence

$$|\varphi| = 1 \text{ a.e.}$$

Claim: $\varphi H^2 = \mathcal{M}$.

Note that M_φ is unitary. Therefore $\{\varphi e^{in\theta} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{T})$. This means

$$L^2(\mathbb{T}) = \overline{\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \{\varphi e^{in\theta}\}},$$

and

$$\varphi H^2 = \overline{\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\varphi e^{in\theta}\}}.$$

Now $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ implies $e^{in\theta}\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$, for $n \geq 0$, and this further implies $\varphi H^2 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Now we proceed to prove $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \varphi H^2$. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}$. Claim: $f \perp \varphi e^{in\theta}$, $\forall n < 0$. Now for $n > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \varphi e^{-in\theta} \rangle &= \langle f, M_{e^{in\theta}}^* \varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle M_{e^{in\theta}}^n f, \varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle e^{in\theta} f, \varphi \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The converse part is trivial. ■

3.18 Theorem. (Uniqueness of φ) If \mathcal{M} is as in Theorem 3. 16, then

$$\mathcal{M} \ominus e^{i\theta} \mathcal{M} = \varphi \cdot \mathbb{C}.$$

In particular, $\dim(\mathcal{M} \ominus e^{i\theta} \mathcal{M}) = 1$ and hence, that φ is unique (up to a scalar multiplier of length one).

Proof. First, check that if $\mathcal{M} = \psi H^2$ for some $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ with $\|\varphi\|_\infty = 1$, then that $\psi \in \mathcal{M} \ominus e^{i\theta} \mathcal{M}$. Now let

$$\mathcal{M} = \varphi H^2 = \psi H^2,$$

for $\varphi, \psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ with $\|\varphi\|_\infty = \|\psi\|_\infty = 1$. Then

$$\varphi(f) = \psi,$$

and

$$\psi g = \varphi,$$

for some $f, g \in H^2$. Consequently, $\overline{\varphi}\psi = f$. Also, $\overline{\psi}\varphi = g$. Therefore,

$$\overline{f} = g.$$

Thus, f is a constant, $f = c$ (say) with $|c| = 1$. ■

4 Beurling's Theorem and some Consequences

4.1 Theorem (Beurling's Theorem). Let $\mathcal{M} \neq \{0\}$ be a closed subspace of H^2 . Then, \mathcal{M} is M_z -invariant if and only if $\mathcal{M} = \varphi H^2$ for some $\varphi \in H^\infty$ and

$$|\varphi(e^{i\theta})| = 1 \text{ a.e.}$$

Moreover, that φ is unique up to a scalar multipliers of length one.

Proof. (\Rightarrow)

$$\begin{aligned} M_z(\varphi f) &= M_z T_\varphi f \\ &= T_\varphi(zf) \in \varphi H^2, \end{aligned}$$

since $T_\varphi M_z = M_z T_\varphi$.

(\Leftarrow): Let $\mathcal{M} \neq \{0\}$ be a M_z -invariant subspace. In particular, \mathcal{M} is $M_{e^{i\theta}}$ -invariant. This means, $\mathcal{M} = \varphi H^2$ for $\varphi \in L^\infty$ and $|\varphi| = 1$ a.e. Now, $\varphi \cdot 1 \in \mathcal{M}$ which implies that φ is holomorphic, that is, $\varphi \in H^\infty$.

Uniqueness of φ follows from Theorem 3.18. ■

4.2 Corollary. If \mathcal{M} is a M_z -invariant subspace of H^2 , then $M_z|_{\mathcal{M}} \in L(\mathcal{M})$ is an isometry with multiplicity $1 (= \dim(\mathcal{M} \ominus z\mathcal{M}))$.

4.3 Definition. A function $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is inner if $|\varphi(e^{i\theta})| = 1$ a.e.

Fact. Let $\varphi \in H^\infty$. Then $T_\varphi : H^2 \rightarrow H^2$ ($f \mapsto \varphi f$ for all $f \in H^2$) is an isometry if and only if φ is inner. (see Halmos)

4.4 Definition. A function $f \in H^2$ is outer if $\overline{\bigvee_{n=0}^\infty z^n f} = H^2$.

Fact. If f is outer, then, $f(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

[If not, then there exists $w \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $f(w) = 0$ for all $f \in H^2$ - a contradiction.]

The following result also follows directly from Corollary 4.2.

4.5 Corollary. Let $\{0\} \neq \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq H^2$ be M_z -invariant. Then, $M_z|_{\mathcal{M}_1}$ (on \mathcal{M}_1) is unitarily equivalent to $M_z|_{\mathcal{M}_2}$ (on \mathcal{M}_2).

Proof. Set $\mathcal{M}_1 = \varphi_1(H^2)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 = \varphi_2(H^2)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} X : \mathcal{M}_1 &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2 \\ \varphi_1 f &\mapsto \varphi_2 f, \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in H^2$. Check that X is unitary and $X(M_z|_{\mathcal{M}_1}) = (M_z|_{\mathcal{M}_2})X$. ■

4.6 Corollary. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq H^2$ be an M_z -invariant subspace. Then, $M_z|_{\mathcal{M}}$ on \mathcal{M} has a cyclic vector.

Proof. First, note that $(M_z|_{\mathcal{M}})^n = M_z^n|_{\mathcal{M}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\mathcal{M} = \varphi H^2 = \bigvee_{n \geq 0} z^n \varphi = \bigvee_{n \geq 0} (M_z|_{\mathcal{M}})^n \varphi.$$

Thus φ is the required cyclic vector. ■

4.7 Corollary. Let $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$ be a pair of non-zero M_z -invariant subspaces of H^2 . Then, $\mathcal{M}_1 \cap \mathcal{M}_2 \neq \{0\}$.

Proof. Set $\mathcal{M}_i = \varphi_i H^2$. Then, $(\varphi_1 \varphi_2) H^2 \subseteq \varphi_1 H^2, \varphi_2 H^2$. ■

4.8 Corollary. (Riesz Brother's theorem) Let $f \in H^2$ and $E := \{e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T} : f(e^{i\theta}) = 0\}$. Then, $m(E) = 0$.

Proof. Let E be a measurable subspace and let

$$\mathcal{M}_E := \{g \in H^2 : g = 0 \text{ on } E \text{ a.e.}\}.$$

Note that for all $g \in \mathcal{M}_E$ and $w \in E$,

$$(zg)(w) = wg(w) = 0.$$

Therefore, \mathcal{M}_E is shift-invariant. Thus, $\mathcal{M}_E = \varphi H^2$ and $|\varphi| = 1$ a.e. It follows that $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_E$, which implies that $\varphi = 0$ on E a.e. contradicting the fact that $|\varphi| = 1$ a.e. unless that $m(E) = 0$. ■

4.9 Corollary. (Inner-outer Factorization) Let $0 \neq f \in H^2$. Then, $f = \varphi_i \varphi_0$ where φ_i is inner and φ_0 is outer. Furthermore, this representation is unique up to scalar multipliers of length one.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}_f = \overline{\bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n f}$. Since that \mathcal{M}_f is M_z -invariant, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_f = \varphi_i H^2,$$

for some inner function $\varphi_i \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. We are done if $\mathcal{M}_f = \varphi_i H^2 = H^2$. Therefore, we assume that $\varphi_i H^2 \subsetneq H^2$. But, $f \in \mathcal{M}_f = \varphi_i H^2$. Thus

$$f = \varphi_i \varphi_0,$$

for some $\varphi_0 \in H^2$. We claim that φ_0 is outer, that is,

$$\overline{\bigvee z^n \varphi_0} = H^2.$$

If not, by M_z -invariance of $\overline{\bigvee z^n \varphi_0}$, we have

$$\overline{\bigvee z^n \varphi_0} = \psi H^2,$$

for some inner function $\psi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i H^2 &= \overline{\bigvee z^n f} \\ &= \overline{\bigvee z^n \varphi_i \varphi_0} \\ &= \varphi_i \overline{\bigvee z^n \varphi_0} \\ &= \varphi_i \psi H^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness part of Beurling's theorem, $\varphi_i = c \varphi_i \psi$ for some c such that $|c| = 1$. Thus, $\psi = \bar{c}$ and φ_0 is outer: $\overline{\bigvee z^n \varphi_0} = \psi H^2 = H^2$.

Uniqueness part is left to the reader. ■

4.10 Example. Let $w \in \mathbb{D}$ and consider $\mathcal{M}_w = \{f \in H^2 : f(w) = 0\}$. Then, \mathcal{M}_w is closed, being the kernel of the evaluation functional ev_w . Also, \mathcal{M}_w is shift-invariant.

Now, $\mathcal{M}_w = \varphi H^2$ and $\varphi(w) = 0$. Also, $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$. Then,

$$\varphi_w(z) = e^{i\phi} \frac{z - w}{1 - \bar{w}z} \text{ for some } \phi$$

We claim that φ is inner:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{e^{i\theta} - w}{1 - e^{i\theta} \bar{w}} \right| &= |e^{i\theta}| \left| \frac{1 - e^{-i\theta} w}{1 - e^{i\theta} \bar{w}} \right| \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

since the denominator of the last fraction is the conjugate of the numerator. Thus, $\mathcal{M}_w = \varphi_w H^2$.

4.11 Theorem. Let $w_i \in \mathbb{D}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then,

$$\mathcal{M}_{w_1 \dots w_n} := \{f \in H^2 : f(w_i) = 0\} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_{w_i} \right) H^2$$

5 Bergman space and more

Let $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ (see [5]) be the space of all square integrable (with respect to the area measure) holomorphic functions on the open unit disc \mathbb{D} , that is,

$$L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) = \{f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^2 dA < \infty\},$$

where dA is the normalized area measure on \mathbb{D} . Then $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ is a Hilbert space with

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{D}} f \bar{g} dA,$$

for all $f, g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$.

One checks that the ring of polynomials $\mathbb{C}[z] \subseteq L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ and

$$\|z^n\| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n+1}},$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Another way to represent the Bergman space is based on the weighted-square summability condition:

$$L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) = \{f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{n+1} < \infty\},$$

with

$$\left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n \right\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \bar{b}_n}{n+1},$$

for all $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$.

It is easy to see that the multiplication operator $M_z : L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ defined by $f \mapsto zf$ (for all $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$) is bounded. Moreover, for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$, the function

$$k_w : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D},$$

defined by

$$k_w(z) = (1 - z\bar{w})^{-2}, \quad (\forall z \in \mathbb{D})$$

is in the Bergman space. Also these functions (magic/kernel) reproduces the values of functions of $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ in the following sense:

$$f(w) = \langle f, k_w \rangle. \quad (\forall f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D}), w \in \mathbb{D})$$

The *Bergman kernel* on the open unit disc \mathbb{D} is defined by

$$k(z, w) = (1 - z\bar{w})^{-2},$$

for all $(z, w) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$.

Some surprising facts:

- (1) Beurling type representations of M_z -invariant subspaces of $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ fails.
- (2) If $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ be a pair of M_z -invariant subspaces and that

$$M_z|_{\mathcal{M}_1} \cong M_z|_{\mathcal{M}_2},$$

then, $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_2$.

(3) For a M_z -invariant subspace \mathcal{M} of $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$, the dimension of $\mathcal{M} \ominus z\mathcal{M}$ could be any natural number $1, 2, \dots$, even ∞ .

(4) However, M_z -invariant subspaces of the Bergman space obeys the wandering subspace theorem. That is, for any non-zero closed M_z -invariant subspace \mathcal{M} of $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$, one has

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n(\mathcal{M} \ominus z\mathcal{S}).$$

(5) For the weighted Bergman spaces, the above result is still not known.

(6) In several variables, situation is more complicated.

(7) The fact in (2) is known as the *rigidity* property. It seems that except the Hardy space on the unit disc and some pathological examples, all known reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces enjoy the rigidity property!

References

- [1] Halmos, P R, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics vol. 19, Springer.
- [2] Radjavi H, Rosenthal P, *Invariant Subspaces*, Springer-Verlag.
- [3] Duren, P L, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Dover.
- [4] Douglas R G, *Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 179, Springer-Verlag.
- [5] P. L. Duren and A. Schuster, *Bergman Spaces*, AMS SURV 100.