

What is Brownian motion on a noncommutative manifold?

Uwe Franz (Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté)

KBS Fest, ISI Bangalore, India

12-14 December 2019



What is noncomm. BM?

Several approaches, many answers, e.g.

- BM on Fock spaces (symmetric=Bose, Fermi, q -, free, boolean, monotone, etc., etc.)

What is noncomm. BM?

Several approaches, many answers, e.g.

- BM on Fock spaces (symmetric=Bose, Fermi, q -, free, boolean, monotone, etc., etc.)
- Lévy's characterisation (Junge, Collins, Avsec, ...):
 - $(b_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale
 - $(b_t^2 - t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale
 - (b_t) has a.s. continuous paths

What is noncomm. BM?

Several approaches, many answers, e.g.

- BM on Fock spaces (symmetric=Bose, Fermi, q -, free, boolean, monotone, etc., etc.)
- Lévy's characterisation (Junge, Collins, Avsec, ...):
 - $(b_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale
 - $(b_t^2 - t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale
 - (b_t) has a.s. continuous paths
- Schürmann&Skeide: Inf. gen. on $SU_q(2)$ (1995-1999)

What is noncomm. BM?

Several approaches, many answers, e.g.

- BM on Fock spaces (symmetric=Bose, Fermi, q -, free, boolean, monotone, etc., etc.)
- Lévy's characterisation (Junge, Collins, Avsec, ...):
 - $(b_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale
 - $(b_t^2 - t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale
 - (b_t) has a.s. continuous paths
- Schürmann&Skeide: Inf. gen. on $SU_q(2)$ (1995-1999)
- Sinha&Goswami: Quantum Stochastic Processes and Noncommutative Geometry (2007)

What is noncomm. BM?

Several approaches, many answers, e.g.

- BM on Fock spaces (symmetric=Bose, Fermi, q -, free, boolean, monotone, etc., etc.)
- Lévy's characterisation (Junge, Collins, Avsec, ...):
 - $(b_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale
 - $(b_t^2 - t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale
 - (b_t) has a.s. continuous paths
- Schürmann&Skeide: Inf. gen. on $SU_q(2)$ (1995-1999)
- Sinha&Goswami: Quantum Stochastic Processes and Noncommutative Geometry (2007)
- Banica&Goswami: Dirac operators on NC spheres (2010)

What is noncomm. BM?

Several approaches, many answers, e.g.

- BM on Fock spaces (symmetric=Bose, Fermi, q -, free, boolean, monotone, etc., etc.)
- Lévy's characterisation (Junge, Collins, Avsec, ...):
 - $(b_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale
 - $(b_t^2 - t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale
 - (b_t) has a.s. continuous paths
- Schürmann&Skeide: Inf. gen. on $SU_q(2)$ (1995-1999)
- Sinha&Goswami: Quantum Stochastic Processes and Noncommutative Geometry (2007)
- Banica&Goswami: Dirac operators on NC spheres (2010)
- Das&Goswami: BM on NC manifolds (2012)

What is NC BM? (cont'd)

We tried to combine and develop the NC and CQG approaches and find new (explicit) examples:

- Cipriani&F&Kula: **central** BM on CQG (2014)

What is NC BM? (cont'd)

We tried to combine and develop the NC and CQG approaches and find new (explicit) examples:

- Cipriani&F&Kula: **central** BM on CQG (2014)
- Das&F&Wang: Invariant Markov semigroups on NC spheres (2019)

What is NC BM? (cont'd)

We tried to combine and develop the NC and CQG approaches and find new (explicit) examples:

- Cipriani&F&Kula: **central** BM on CQG (2014)
- Das&F&Wang: Invariant Markov semigroups on NC spheres (2019)
- F&Kula&Lindsay&Skeide: $SU_q(N)$ (Back to **q -deformed** CQG, 2019-2020)

What is NC BM? (cont'd)

We tried to combine and develop the NC and CQG approaches and find new (explicit) examples:

- Cipriani&F&Kula: **central** BM on CQG (2014)
- Das&F&Wang: Invariant Markov semigroups on NC spheres (2019)
- F&Kula&Lindsay&Skeide: $SU_q(N)$ (Back to **q -deformed** CQG, 2019-2020)

The question

What is a NC manifold????

remains interesting.

So far we have limited ourselves to CQG and their homogeneous spaces...

We obtained:

- Classif. of central convolution semigroups on CQG's O_N^+ , S_N^+

We obtained:

- Classif. of central convolution semigroups on CQG's O_N^+ , S_N^+
- Classif. of O_N^\times -invariant Markov semigroups on NC spheres S_{N-1}^\times ,
 $\times \in \{\emptyset, *, +\}$

We obtained:

- Classif. of central convolution semigroups on CQG's O_N^+ , S_N^+
- Classif. of O_N^\times -invariant Markov semigroups on NC spheres S_{N-1}^\times , $\times \in \{\emptyset, *, +\}$
- Laplace and Dirac operators, their spectrum, their spectral dimension

We obtained:

- Classif. of central convolution semigroups on CQG's O_N^+ , S_N^+
- Classif. of O_N^\times -invariant Markov semigroups on NC spheres S_{N-1}^\times , $\times \in \{\emptyset, *, +\}$
- Laplace and Dirac operators, their spectrum, their spectral dimension
- Ultra- and hypercontractivity: F&Hong&Lemeux&Ulrich&Zhang 2017, see also Brannan&Vergnioux&Youn 2019

- 1 *Introduction and motivation*
- 2 *The classical case*
- 3 *Examples of NC manifold (with additional structure): CQG*
- 4 *Lévy processes on CQG*
- 5 *Central and invariant Markov semigroups*
- 6 *Classification via Schürmann triples*
- 7 *Hunt's Formula for $SU_q(N)$*

1

¹This work was supported by the French “Investissements d’Avenir” program, project ISITE-BFC (contract ANR-15-IDEX-03).

What is BM?

What are Lévy processes?

- Stochastic processes with independent and stationary increments. This requires a semigroup structure on the state space.
- Equivalently, time- and space-homogeneous Markov processes. This requires that all points of the state space “look the same”.
- Arise in many models of random phenomena

What is BM?

What are Lévy processes?

- Stochastic processes with independent and stationary increments. This requires a semigroup structure on the state space.
- Equivalently, time- and space-homogeneous Markov processes. This requires that all points of the state space “look the same”.
- Arise in many models of random phenomena

What is Brownian motion?

- A very **nice** Lévy process: continuous paths, isotropic, etc.
- On compact simple connected Lie groups: a Markov process with continuous paths and bi-invariant generator (Laplace-Beltrami operator).
- On a Riemann manifold: A process whose Markov semigroup is generated by the Laplacian (defined via the metric)
- Arise in many models of random phenomena

What is a Compact Quantum Group?

- A possibly noncommutative analog of the algebra of continuous functions on a compact group.
- A **CQG algebra** (ie., a particularly nice involutive bialgebra! (has an antipode and a Haar state, spanned by the coefficients of unitary corepresentations))

The orthogonal group O_N

Theorem (Weyl)

The C^* -algebra $C(O_N)$ of continuous functions on the orthogonal group O_N is the universal **commutative** C^* -algebra generated by

$$x_{jk} \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq N$$

with the relations

$$x_{jk}^* = x_{jk} \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^N x_{j\ell} x_{k\ell} = \delta_{jk} = \sum_{\ell=1}^N x_{\ell j} x_{\ell k}$$

The free orthogonal quantum group O_N^+

Definition (Wang)

The (universal or full) C^* -algebra $C_u(O_N^+)$ (also denoted $A_o(I_N)$ or $A_o(N)$) of “continuous functions” on the **free orthogonal quantum group** O_N^+ is defined as the universal C^* -algebra generated by

$$x_{jk} \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq N$$

with the relations

$$x_{jk}^* = x_{jk} \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^N x_{j\ell} x_{k\ell} = \delta_{jk} = \sum_{\ell=1}^N x_{\ell j} x_{\ell k}$$

Compact Quantum Groups: definition

Definition (Woronowicz)

A **compact quantum group** is a pair $\mathbb{G} = (A, \Delta)$, where A is a unital C^* -algebra, $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is a unital, $*$ -homomorphism which is coassociative (i.e. $(\Delta \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ \Delta = (\text{id}_A \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$) such that the quantum cancellation rules are satisfied

$$\overline{\text{Lin}}((1 \otimes A)\Delta(A)) = \overline{\text{Lin}}((A \otimes 1)\Delta(A)) = A \otimes A.$$

A is called the **algebra of “continuous functions”** on \mathbb{G} and denoted by $C(\mathbb{G})$.

O_N^+ is a compact quantum group

Remark

There exists a unique unital $*$ -algebra homomorphism $\Delta : C_u(O_N^+) \rightarrow C_u(O_N^+) \otimes C_u(O_N^+)$ with

$$\Delta(x_{jk}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^N x_{j\ell} \otimes x_{\ell k}.$$

$O_N^+ = (C_u(O_N^+), \Delta)$ is a compact quantum group.

The Haar state

Theorem (Woronowicz)

Let (A, Δ) be a compact quantum group. There exists unique state (called the **Haar state**) h on A such that

$$a \star h := (h \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta(a) = h \star a = h(a)I, \quad a \in A.$$

In general, h is not a trace. If it is, we say $\mathbb{G} = (A, \Delta)$ is of **Kac type**. h need not be faithful, either.

Two more algebras of “functions” on O_N^+

The reduced C^* -algebra $C_r(O_N^+)$ of “cont. functions” on O_N^+

For $N \geq 3$ the Haar state of O_N^+ is not faithful on $C_u(O_N^+)$. One defines the **reduced C^* -algebra $C_r(O_N^+)$** of “**cont. functions**” on O_N^+ as the image of the GNS representation of $C_u(O_N^+)$ w.r.t. h .

\Rightarrow By construction h is faithful on $C_r(O_N^+)$.

The $*$ -Hopf algebra $\text{Pol}(O_N^+)$ of “polynomials” on O_N^+

$\text{Pol}(O_N^+)$ is the $*$ -subalgebra of $C_u(O_N^+)$ or $C_r(O_N^+)$ generated by x_{jk} , $1 \leq j, k \leq N$. It has a natural $*$ -Hopf algebra structure.

O_N^+ is of **Kac type**, i.e. the Haar state h is a trace and $S^2 = \text{id}$.

Another example: $SU_q(2)$

For $q \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ the universal C^* -algebra generated by α, γ and the relations

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^* \alpha + \gamma^* \gamma &= 1 & \alpha \alpha^* + q^2 \gamma \gamma^* &= 1 \\ \gamma \gamma^* &= \gamma^* \gamma & \alpha \gamma &= q \gamma \alpha & \alpha \gamma^* &= q \gamma^* \alpha\end{aligned}$$

can be turned into a compact quantum group, with the comultiplication

$$\Delta \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\gamma^* \\ \gamma & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

- For $q = 1$: $C(SU_1(2)) = C(SU(2)) = \{\text{continuous functions on the special unitary group } SU(2)\}$;
- $SU_q(2)$ is coamenable, i.e., $C_u(SU(2)) \cong C_r(SU(2))$, type I, etc.

More examples: $SU_q(N)$

For $q \in (0, 1)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the universal unital C^* -algebra $A = C(SU_q(N))$ is generated by $u = (u_{jk})_{j,k=1}^N$ with the relations

a) (unitarity condition):

$$\sum_{s=1}^N u_{js} u_{ks}^* = \delta_{jk} \mathbf{1} = \sum_{s=1}^N u_{sj}^* u_{sk} \quad (\text{U})$$

b) (twisted determinant condition): for all $\tau \in S_N$,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_N} (-q)^{i(\sigma)} u_{\sigma(1), \tau(1)} u_{\sigma(2), \tau(2)} \cdots u_{\sigma(N), \tau(N)} = (-q)^{i(\tau)} \mathbf{1} \quad (\text{TD})$$

($i(\tau)$ = number of inversions) and equipped with the coproduct

$$\Delta(u_{jk}) = \sum_{s=1}^N u_{js} \otimes u_{sk}.$$

Inclusions between these quantum groups

We have

$$SU_q(N-1) \subseteq SU_q(N).$$

i.e. there exist surjective quantum group morphisms

$$C(SU_q(N)) \rightarrow C(SU_q(N-1)).$$

The morphism is $s_{N-1} : C(SU_q(N)) \rightarrow C(SU_q(N-1))$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & \dots & u_{1,N-1} & u_{1N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ u_{N-1,1} & \dots & u_{N-1,N-1} & u_{N-1,N} \\ u_{N1} & \dots & u_{N,N-1} & u_{NN} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & \dots & u_{1,N-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ u_{N-1,1} & \dots & u_{N-1,N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The compact quantum groups $SU_q(N)$ are coamenable, their C^* -algebras are type I.

From conv. semigroups to transl.inv. Markov semigroups

Theorem

Let $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a continuous convolution semigroup of states on $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall s, t \geq 0, \quad \varphi_{s+t} &= \varphi_s \star \varphi_t := (\varphi_s \otimes \varphi_t) \circ \Delta, \\ \forall a \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}), \quad \lim_{t \searrow 0} \varphi_t(a) &= \varphi_0(a) = \varepsilon(a). \end{aligned}$$

The semigroup $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$,

$$T_t = (\text{id} \otimes \varphi_t) \circ \Delta : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$$

extends continuously to $C_u(\mathbb{G})$ and $C_r(\mathbb{G})$.

The T_t are **translation invariant** in the sense that

$$\Delta \circ T_t = (\text{id} \otimes T_t) \circ \Delta.$$

Theorem

Let $\mathbb{G} = (A, \Delta)$ be a compact quantum group and $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a Markov semigroup on $C(\mathbb{G})$.

Then $(T_t|_{\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})})_{t \geq 0}$ is of the form

$$T_t|_{\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})} = (\text{id} \otimes \varphi_t) \circ \Delta$$

if and only if T_t is translation invariant for all $t \geq 0$.

Corollary

One-to-one correspondence between **translation invariant Markov semigroups** on $C_r(\mathbb{G})$ and **convolution semigroups** (and **Lévy processes** in the sense of Schürmann) on $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$.

Lévy processes on compact quantum groups

We have one-to-one correspondences between the following objects:

- **Lévy processes** $(j_{st})_{0 \leq s \leq t}$ on $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$
- Translation invariant **Markov semigroups** $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on $C_r(\mathbb{G})$ or $C_u(\mathbb{G})$
- (Weak-*) cont. **convolutions semigroups** $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of states on $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$
- **Generating functionals** $L : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - $L(\mathbf{1}) = 0$
 - $\forall a \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}), L(a^*) = \overline{L(a)}$
 - $\forall a \in \ker \varepsilon, L(a^*a) \geq 0$

Remark

$$L = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \varphi_t \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \varphi_t = \exp_{\star} tL, \quad t \geq 0$$

Central convolution semigroups

Definition

A linear functional $L \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})'$ is called **central**, if $L \star \phi = \phi \star L$ for all $\phi \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})'$.

Proposition

If \mathbb{G} is of Kac type, then $\mathbb{E} : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})_0$ defined by

$$\mathbb{E}(a) = h(a_{(1)}S(a_{(3)}))a_{(2)}$$

satisfies preserves positivity. Furthermore, it is a conditional expectation onto

$$\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})_0 = \{a \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}); \tau \circ \Delta(a) = \Delta(a)\}.$$

Classifying central convolution semigroups

Important observation

In order to classify central generating functionals on a compact quantum group \mathbb{G} of Kac type, it is sufficient to classify the generating functionals on its algebra $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})_0$ of central polynomial functions.

Example: The free orthogonal quantum group O_n^+

For $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\text{Pol}(O_n^+)_0 \cong \text{Pol}([-n, n]).$$

and $\varepsilon(f) = f(n)$ for $f \in \text{Pol}(O_n^+)_0 \cong \text{Pol}([-n, n])$.

The generating functionals on $\text{Pol}(O_n^+)_0 \cong \text{Pol}([-n, n])$ are of the form

$$L_{b,\nu}f = -bf'(n) + \int_{-n}^n (f(x) - f(n)) \frac{d\nu(x)}{n-x}$$

where $b > 0$ is a real number and ν a finite measure on $[-n, n]$.

Spectrum of the generator

In the case $b = 1$, $\nu = 0$, the eigenvalues of the generator are

$$\lambda_s = -\frac{U'_s(N)}{U_s(N)} \sim -\frac{s}{N} \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This gives **spectral dimension**

$$d_N = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } N = 2, \\ \infty & \text{if } N \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Using norm estimates

$$\|a\|_\infty \leq D(s+1)\|a\|_2 \quad \text{for } a \in V_s$$

due to Vergnioux, we can prove ultra- and hypercontractivity.

See F&Hong&Lemeux&Ulrich&Zhang 2017, or Brannan&Vergnioux&Youn 2019 for lower bounds and improved estimates.

We can define the **free sphere** via its algebra of "continuous functions"

$$C_u(S_+^{N-1}) = C^* \left(x_1, \dots, x_N \mid x_i = x_i^*, \sum_i x_i^2 = 1 \right)$$

It has an action of the free orthogonal group defined by

$$\alpha : C_u(S_+^{N-1}) \rightarrow C_u(O_N^+) \otimes C_u(S_+^{N-1}), \quad \alpha(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^N x_j \otimes x_{ji}.$$

Spectrum for S_+^{N-1}

Similar procedure (use **bi-inv.** functions and functionals instead of central ones) yields

$$\lambda_s = -bq'_s(1) + \int_{-1}^1 \frac{q_s(x) - 1}{x - 1} d\nu(x)$$

for the eigenvalues gen. of inv. Markov semigroups on the free sphere, with $b \geq 0$ and ν a finite measure on $[-1, 1]$. The $(q_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$ are a family of orthogonal polynomials on $[-1, 1]$, for the distribution of x_{11} w.r.t. to the Haar state on O_N^+ .

For $b = 1$, $\nu = 0$, we get

$$d_L = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } N = 2, \\ +\infty & \text{if } N \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

for the spectral dimension.

General approach

To classify Lévy processes, translation invariant Markov semigroups, etc., we can classify

Schürmann triples (π, η, L)

- $\pi : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow L(H)$ is a **unital *-representation** of $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$ on some (pre-)Hilbert space H
- $\eta : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow H$ is a **π - ε -cocycle**, i.e.

$$\eta(ab) = \pi(a)\eta(b) + \eta(a)\varepsilon(b)$$

- $L : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a hermitian linear functional, whose **ε - ε -coboundary** is

$$\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \ni (a, b) \mapsto -\langle \eta(a^*), \eta(b) \rangle$$

i.e.

$$-\langle \eta(a^*), \eta(b) \rangle = \varepsilon(a)L(b) - L(ab) + L(a)\varepsilon(b)$$

Gaussian generating functionals

Definition

A generating functional $L : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called **Gaussian**, if one (and all) of the following equivalent conditions are satisfied:

- $L|_{K_3} = 0$
- $\eta|_{K_2} = 0$
- η is an ε - ε -derivation
- $\pi|_{K_1} = 0$
- $\pi = \varepsilon(\cdot)\text{id}_H$

Here we denote

$$K_n = \text{span}\{a_1 \cdots a_n; a_1, \dots, a_n \in \ker \varepsilon\}.$$

Generating functionals on $SU_q(N)$

General strategy: “ $\pi \rightsquigarrow \eta \rightsquigarrow L$ ”

- **Step 1:** It is not difficult to classify the Gaussian generating functionals on $SU_q(N)$, they correspond to **classical** Gauss processes on the “classical torus” \mathbb{T}^{N-1} , resp.
- **Step 2:** decompose representation and cocycle (π, η, L) according to

$$H_{\text{Gauss}} = \bigcap_{j=1}^N \ker(\pi(u_{jj} - \text{id}_H)), \quad H_N = \ker(\pi(u_{NN} - \text{id}_H))^\perp,$$

$$H = H_{\text{Gauss}} \oplus H_N \oplus H_{\text{Rest}}$$

and show that $\eta_N = P_{H_N} \eta$ can be approximated by coboundaries, and that $\eta - \eta_{\text{Gauss}} - \eta_N$ “lives” on $SU_q(N-1)$, resp.

- **Step 3:** Induction

Generating functionals on $SU_q(N)$

We get a decomposition of the triple

$$(\pi, \eta, L) = (\pi|_{H_N}, \eta_N, L_N) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\pi|_{H_2}, \eta_2, L_2) \oplus (\pi|_{H_{\text{Gauss}}}, \eta_{\text{Gauss}}, L_{\text{Gauss}})$$

where η_N, \dots, η_2 are limits of coboundaries.

Corollary

Any non-Gaussian cocycle on $\text{Pol}(SU_q(N))$ admits a generating functional, i.e., these algebras have the property **NGC** introduced by F&Gerhold&Thom (2015).

- Identify “nice” (ie. **central**) generating functionals on $SU_q(N)$ (\rightsquigarrow Brownian motion, Laplace operator)

We know (in principle) the central generating functionals on $SU_q(2)$, thanks to De Commer&Freslon&Yamashita(2014)’s work on the CCAP

- Do we have similar results for the q -deformation \mathbb{G}_q of the other simple compact Lie groups, e.g., $O_q(N)$ or $Sp_q(N)$ (cf. Rosso, Klimyk&Schmüdgen)?

(We did also $U_q(N)$)

Selected references

- F. Cipriani, U. Franz, A. Kula, Symmetries of Lévy processes, their Markov semigroups and potential theory on compact quantum groups, J. Funct. Anal. 266, pp. 2789-2944, 2014.
- B. Das, U. Franz, X. Wang, Invariant Markov semigroups on quantum homogeneous spaces, to appear in J. Noncomm. Geom.
- U. Franz, A. Kula, M. Lindsay, M. Skeide, Hunt's formula for the compact quantum groups $SU_q(N)$ and $U_q(N)$, 2019-2020
- D. Goswami, K.B. Sinha, *Quantum Stochastic Processes and Noncommutative Geometry*, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- M. Schürmann, *White Noise on Bialgebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1544, Springer 1993.
- S.L. Woronowicz, Compact quantum groups, Les Houches, Session LXIV, 1995, Quantum Symmetries, Elsevier 1998.