



*Homogeneous Vector Bundles and intertwining  
Operators for Symmetric Domains*

Gadadhar Misra

Indian Institute of Science  
Bangalore  
(joint with A. Korányi and H. Upmeyer)

Geometry of Banach Spaces and Operator Theory

March 27, 2015





## what is a Homogeneous operator?

---

Let  $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ , be the unit disc. The group

$$G_0 := SU(1,1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} : |a|^2 - |b|^2 = 1 \right\}$$

acts on  $\mathbb{D}$ :  $z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{bz+\bar{a}}$ . The Möbius Group  $G$  is the group  $G_0/\{\pm I\}$ . It is the group of holomorphic automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$ .

### Definition

A bounded operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is homogeneous if the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  is contained in the closed unit disc  $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$  and for every  $g \in G$ , there exists a unitary  $U_g$  such that

$$g(T) = U_g^{-1} T U_g.$$





## *what is a Homogeneous operator?*

---

Let  $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ , be the unit disc. The group

$$G_0 := SU(1,1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} : |a|^2 - |b|^2 = 1 \right\}$$

acts on  $\mathbb{D}$ :  $z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{bz+\bar{a}}$ . The Möbius Group  $G$  is the group  $G_0/\{\pm I\}$ . It is the group of holomorphic automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$ .

### *Definition*

*A bounded operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is homogeneous if the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  is contained in the closed unit disc  $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$  and for every  $g \in G$ , there exists a unitary  $U_g$  such that*

$$g(T) = U_g^{-1} T U_g.$$





## *what is a Homogeneous operator?*

---

Let  $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ , be the unit disc. The group

$$G_0 := SU(1,1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} : |a|^2 - |b|^2 = 1 \right\}$$

acts on  $\mathbb{D}$ :  $z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{bz+\bar{a}}$ . The Möbius Group  $G$  is the group  $G_0/\{\pm I\}$ . It is the group of holomorphic automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$ .

### *Definition*

A bounded operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is *homogeneous* if the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  is contained in the closed unit disc  $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$  and for every  $g \in G$ , there exists a unitary  $U_g$  such that

$$g(T) = U_g^{-1} T U_g.$$





## *kernel function*

---

All Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}$  are assumed to be spaces of holomorphic functions  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow V$  taking their values in a finite dimensional Hilbert space  $V$  and possessing a reproducing kernel  $K$ .

A reproducing kernel is a function  $K : \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, V)$  holomorphic in the first variable and anti-holomorphic in the second, such that  $K_w \zeta$  defined by  $(K_w \zeta)(z) := K(z, w) \zeta$  is in  $\mathcal{H}$  for each  $w \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $\zeta \in V$ , and

$$\langle f, K_w \zeta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle f(w), \zeta \rangle_V$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ .

As is well known, if  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is any orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ , then we have

$$K(z, w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n(z) e_n(w)^*$$

with the sum converging pointwise.





## kernel function

---

All Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}$  are assumed to be spaces of holomorphic functions  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow V$  taking their values in a finite dimensional Hilbert space  $V$  and possessing a reproducing kernel  $K$ .

A reproducing kernel is a function  $K : \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, V)$  holomorphic in the first variable and anti-holomorphic in the second, such that  $K_w \zeta$  defined by  $(K_w \zeta)(z) := K(z, w) \zeta$  is in  $\mathcal{H}$  for each  $w \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $\zeta \in V$ , and

$$\langle f, K_w \zeta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle f(w), \zeta \rangle_V$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ .

As is well known, if  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is any orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ , then we have

$$K(z, w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n(z) e_n(w)^*$$

with the sum converging pointwise.





## *kernel function*

---

All Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}$  are assumed to be spaces of holomorphic functions  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow V$  taking their values in a finite dimensional Hilbert space  $V$  and possessing a reproducing kernel  $K$ .

A reproducing kernel is a function  $K : \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, V)$  holomorphic in the first variable and anti-holomorphic in the second, such that  $K_w \zeta$  defined by  $(K_w \zeta)(z) := K(z, w) \zeta$  is in  $\mathcal{H}$  for each  $w \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $\zeta \in V$ , and

$$\langle f, K_w \zeta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle f(w), \zeta \rangle_V$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ .

As is well known, if  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is any orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ , then we have

$$K(z, w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n(z) e_n(w)^*$$

with the sum converging pointwise.



We will be concerned with multiplier representations of the universal cover  $\tilde{G}$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . A **cocycle** is a continuous function  $J : \tilde{G} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, V)$ , holomorphic on  $\mathbb{D}$ , such that

$$J(gh, z) = J(h, z)J(g, hz)$$

for all  $g, h \in \tilde{G}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . For  $g \in \tilde{G}$ , we define  $U(g)$  on  $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}, V)$  by

$$(U(g)f)(z) = J(g^{-1}, z)f(g^{-1}(z)).$$

It is easy to see that the cocycle identity is equivalent to  $U(gh) = U(g)U(h)$ .





We will be concerned with multiplier representations of the universal cover  $\tilde{G}$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . A **cocycle** is a continuous function  $J : \tilde{G} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, V)$ , holomorphic on  $\mathbb{D}$ , such that

$$J(gh, z) = J(h, z)J(g, hz)$$

for all  $g, h \in \tilde{G}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . For  $g \in \tilde{G}$ , we define  $U(g)$  on  $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}, V)$  by

$$(U(g)f)(z) = J(g^{-1}, z)f(g^{-1}(z)).$$

It is easy to see that the cocycle identity is equivalent to  $U(gh) = U(g)U(h)$ .





## *multiplier representations*

---

Suppose that the action  $g \mapsto U(g)$ ,  $g \in \tilde{G}$ , preserves  $\mathcal{H}$  and is unitary on it, then we say that  $U$  is a **multiplier representation** of  $\tilde{G}$ .

Also, if the reproducing kernel  $K$  transforms according to the rule

$$J(g, z)K(g(z), g(w))J(g, w)^* = K(z, w)$$

for all  $g \in \tilde{G}$ ;  $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ , then we say that  $K$  is quasi-invariant.

### *Proposition*

*Suppose  $\mathcal{H}$  has a reproducing kernel  $K$ . Then the multiplier representation  $U$  defined using  $J$  is unitary if and only if  $K$  is quasi-invariant.*





## *multiplier representations*

---

Suppose that the action  $g \mapsto U(g)$ ,  $g \in \tilde{G}$ , preserves  $\mathcal{H}$  and is unitary on it, then we say that  $U$  is a **multiplier representation** of  $\tilde{G}$ .

Also, if the reproducing kernel  $K$  transforms according to the rule

$$J(g, z)K(g(z), g(w))J(g, w)^* = K(z, w)$$

for all  $g \in \tilde{G}$ ;  $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ , then we say that  $K$  is **quasi-invariant**.

### *Proposition*

*Suppose  $\mathcal{H}$  has a reproducing kernel  $K$ . Then the multiplier representation  $U$  defined using  $J$  is unitary if and only if  $K$  is quasi-invariant.*





## *multiplier representations*

---

Suppose that the action  $g \mapsto U(g)$ ,  $g \in \tilde{G}$ , preserves  $\mathcal{H}$  and is unitary on it, then we say that  $U$  is a **multiplier representation** of  $\tilde{G}$ .

Also, if the reproducing kernel  $K$  transforms according to the rule

$$J(g, z)K(g(z), g(w))J(g, w)^* = K(z, w)$$

for all  $g \in \tilde{G}$ ;  $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ , then we say that  $K$  is **quasi-invariant**.

### *Proposition*

*Suppose  $\mathcal{H}$  has a reproducing kernel  $K$ . Then the multiplier representation  $U$  defined using  $J$  is unitary if and only if  $K$  is quasi-invariant.*





## *multiplier representations*

---

Suppose that the action  $g \mapsto U(g)$ ,  $g \in \tilde{G}$ , preserves  $\mathcal{H}$  and is unitary on it, then we say that  $U$  is a **multiplier representation** of  $\tilde{G}$ .

Also, if the reproducing kernel  $K$  transforms according to the rule

$$J(g, z)K(g(z), g(w))J(g, w)^* = K(z, w)$$

for all  $g \in \tilde{G}$ ;  $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ , then we say that  $K$  is **quasi-invariant**.

### *Proposition*

*Suppose  $\mathcal{H}$  has a reproducing kernel  $K$ . Then the multiplier representation  $U$  defined using  $J$  is unitary if and only if  $K$  is quasi-invariant.*





## *construction of homogeneous operators*

---

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a space of functions, say, on the unit disc or the unit circle. Suppose that

the operator  $T$  defined by the rule  $(Tf)(z) = zf(z), f \in \mathcal{H}$  is bounded and that

there is a multiplier representation, say  $U$ , of the group  $G$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$

then the operator  $T$  is homogeneous and  $U$  is the associated representation.





## *construction of homogeneous operators*

---

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a space of functions, say, on the unit disc or the unit circle. Suppose that

the operator  $T$  defined by the rule  $(Tf)(z) = zf(z), f \in \mathcal{H}$  is bounded and that

there is a multiplier representation, say  $U$ , of the group  $G$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$

then the operator  $T$  is homogeneous and  $U$  is the associated representation.





## *construction of homogeneous operators*

---

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a space of functions, say, on the unit disc or the unit circle. Suppose that

the operator  $T$  defined by the rule  $(Tf)(z) = zf(z), f \in \mathcal{H}$  is bounded and that

there is a multiplier representation, say  $U$ , of the group  $G$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$

then the operator  $T$  is homogeneous and  $U$  is the associated representation.







## *construction of homogeneous operators*

---

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a space of functions, say, on the unit disc or the unit circle. Suppose that

the operator  $T$  defined by the rule  $(Tf)(z) = zf(z), f \in \mathcal{H}$  is bounded and that

there is a multiplier representation, say  $U$ , of the group  $G$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$

then the operator  $T$  is homogeneous and  $U$  is the associated representation.





## *discrete series representations of Möb*

---

Holomorphic Discrete Series: Fix a real  $\lambda > 0$ . The group  $\tilde{G}$  acts on the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{A}^{(\lambda)}(\mathbb{D})$ , usually called the weighted Bergman space, which is a space of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  with reproducing kernel  $(1 - z\bar{w})^{-2\lambda}$  via the cocycle  $(g')^\lambda$ . This action is the Discrete representation  $D_\lambda^+$  of the group  $\tilde{G}$ .

The operator  $M^{(\lambda)}$  of multiplication by the coordinate function  $z$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{A}^{(\lambda)}(\mathbb{D})$  is homogeneous with the associated representation  $D_\lambda^+$ .

This is the unilateral shift with weight sequence  $\sqrt{\frac{n+1}{n+\lambda}}$  is homogeneous.





## *discrete series representations of Möb*

---

Holomorphic Discrete Series: Fix a real  $\lambda > 0$ . The group  $\tilde{G}$  acts on the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{A}^{(\lambda)}(\mathbb{D})$ , usually called the weighted Bergman space, which is a space of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  with reproducing kernel  $(1 - z\bar{w})^{-2\lambda}$  via the cocycle  $(g')^\lambda$ . This action is the Discrete representation  $D_\lambda^+$  of the group  $\tilde{G}$ .

The operator  $M^{(\lambda)}$  of multiplication by the coordinate function  $z$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{A}^{(\lambda)}(\mathbb{D})$  is homogeneous with the associated representation  $D_\lambda^+$ .

This is the unilateral shift with weight sequence  $\sqrt{\frac{n+1}{n+\lambda}}$  is homogeneous.





## *the Cowen-Douglas class*

---

### *Definition*

A bounded operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be in the Cowen - Douglas class of the domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  if its eigenspaces  $E_w, w \in \Omega$  are of constant finite dimension.

Cowen and Douglas show that  $E \subseteq \Omega \times \mathcal{H}$  with fiber  $E_w$  is a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle, isomorphism classes of  $E$  correspond to unitary equivalence classes of  $T$ ,  
 $E$  is irreducible as a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle if and only if  $T$  is irreducible.

Important to note here is that  $E$  has a reproducing kernel. Indeed,  $ev_w : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow E_w^*$  induced by the map  $f \mapsto \langle f, \cdot \rangle$  is continuous and hence  $K(z, w) = ev_w^* \circ ev_z$  is a reproducing kernel for  $E^*$ .





## *the Cowen-Douglas class*

---

### *Definition*

A bounded operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be in the Cowen - Douglas class of the domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  if its eigenspaces  $E_w, w \in \Omega$  are of constant finite dimension.

Cowen and Douglas show that  $E \subseteq \Omega \times \mathcal{H}$  with fiber  $E_w$  is a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle,

isomorphism classes of  $E$  correspond to unitary equivalence classes of  $T$ ,

$E$  is irreducible as a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle if and only if  $T$  is irreducible.

Important to note here is that  $E$  has a reproducing kernel. Indeed,  $ev_w : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow E_w^*$  induced by the map  $f \mapsto \langle f, \cdot \rangle$  is continuous and hence  $K(z, w) = ev_w^* \circ ev_z$  is a reproducing kernel for  $E^*$ .





## *the Cowen-Douglas class*

---

### *Definition*

A bounded operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be in the Cowen - Douglas class of the domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  if its eigenspaces  $E_w, w \in \Omega$  are of constant finite dimension.

Cowen and Douglas show that  $E \subseteq \Omega \times \mathcal{H}$  with fiber  $E_w$  is a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle,

isomorphism classes of  $E$  correspond to unitary equivalence classes of  $T$ ,

$E$  is irreducible as a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle if and only if  $T$  is irreducible.

Important to note here is that  $E$  has a reproducing kernel. Indeed,  $ev_w : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow E_w^*$  induced by the map  $f \mapsto \langle f, \cdot \rangle$  is continuous and hence  $K(z, w) = ev_w^* \circ ev_z$  is a reproducing kernel for  $E^*$ .





## *the Cowen-Douglas class*

---

### *Definition*

A bounded operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be in the Cowen - Douglas class of the domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  if its eigenspaces  $E_w, w \in \Omega$  are of constant finite dimension.

Cowen and Douglas show that  $E \subseteq \Omega \times \mathcal{H}$  with fiber  $E_w$  is a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle, isomorphism classes of  $E$  correspond to unitary equivalence classes of  $T$ ,

$E$  is irreducible as a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle if and only if  $T$  is irreducible.

Important to note here is that  $E$  has a reproducing kernel. Indeed,  $ev_w : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow E_w^*$  induced by the map  $f \mapsto \langle f, \cdot \rangle$  is continuous and hence  $K(z, w) = ev_w^* \circ ev_z$  is a reproducing kernel for  $E^*$ .





## *the Cowen-Douglas class*

---

### *Definition*

A bounded operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be in the Cowen - Douglas class of the domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  if its eigenspaces  $E_w, w \in \Omega$  are of constant finite dimension.

Cowen and Douglas show that  $E \subseteq \Omega \times \mathcal{H}$  with fiber  $E_w$  is a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle, isomorphism classes of  $E$  correspond to unitary equivalence classes of  $T$ ,

$E$  is **irreducible** as a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle if and only if  $T$  is irreducible.

Important to note here is that  $E$  has a reproducing kernel. Indeed,  $ev_w : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow E_w^*$  induced by the map  $f \mapsto \langle f, \cdot \rangle$  is continuous and hence  $K(z, w) = ev_w^* \circ ev_z$  is a reproducing kernel for  $E^*$ .







## *the Cowen-Douglas class*

---

### *Definition*

A bounded operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be in the Cowen - Douglas class of the domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  if its eigenspaces  $E_w, w \in \Omega$  are of constant finite dimension.

Cowen and Douglas show that  $E \subseteq \Omega \times \mathcal{H}$  with fiber  $E_w$  is a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle, isomorphism classes of  $E$  correspond to unitary equivalence classes of  $T$ ,

$E$  is **irreducible** as a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle if and only if  $T$  is irreducible.

Important to note here is that  $E$  has a **reproducing kernel**. Indeed,  $ev_w : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow E_w^*$  induced by the map  $f \mapsto \langle f, \cdot \rangle$  is continuous and hence  $K(z, w) = ev_w^* \circ ev_z$  is a reproducing kernel for  $E^*$ .





## *trivialization*

---

Here we will always use trivialization of the bundles with standard Euclidean inner product. The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \text{Hol}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n)$  has a reproducing kernel  $K_w(z) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  such that

$$\langle f, K_w \xi \rangle = \langle f(w), \xi \rangle, f \in \mathcal{H}, \xi \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

The operators in the Cowen-Douglas class can be realized as the adjoint of the multiplication operator  $M$  defined by  $(Mf)(z) = zf(z)$  on a Hilbert space with holomorphic functions possessing a reproducing kernel.

### *Theorem*

*An operator  $T$  in the Cowen-Douglas class is homogeneous if and only if the corresponding holomorphic Hermitian bundle  $E$  is homogeneous under  $\tilde{G}$ .*

**Goal:** Describe all homogeneous holomorphic Hermitian vector bundles!





## *trivialization*

---

Here we will always use trivialization of the bundles with standard Euclidean inner product. The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \text{Hol}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n)$  has a reproducing kernel  $K_w(z) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  such that

$$\langle f, K_w \xi \rangle = \langle f(w), \xi \rangle, f \in \mathcal{H}, \xi \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

The operators in the Cowen-Douglas class can be realized as the adjoint of the multiplication operator  $M$  defined by  $(Mf)(z) = zf(z)$  on a Hilbert space with holomorphic functions possessing a reproducing kernel.

### *Theorem*

*An operator  $T$  in the Cowen-Douglas class is homogeneous if and only if the corresponding holomorphic Hermitian bundle  $E$  is homogeneous under  $\tilde{G}$ .*

**Goal:** Describe all homogeneous holomorphic Hermitian vector bundles!



Here we will always use trivialization of the bundles with standard Euclidean inner product. The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \text{Hol}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n)$  has a reproducing kernel  $K_w(z) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  such that

$$\langle f, K_w \xi \rangle = \langle f(w), \xi \rangle, f \in \mathcal{H}, \xi \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

The operators in the Cowen-Douglas class can be realized as the adjoint of the multiplication operator  $M$  defined by  $(Mf)(z) = zf(z)$  on a Hilbert space with holomorphic functions possessing a reproducing kernel.

*Theorem*

*An operator  $T$  in the Cowen-Douglas class is homogeneous if and only if the corresponding holomorphic Hermitian bundle  $E$  is homogeneous under  $\tilde{G}$ .*

**Goal:** Describe all homogeneous holomorphic Hermitian vector bundles!





## *construction of the cocycles*

---

Let  $((\mathbb{A}^{(\lambda)}(\mathbb{D}), (1 - z\bar{w}))^{-2\lambda})$  be the weighted Bergman space.

This is homogeneous under the multiplier  $(g')^\lambda$  for the  $\tilde{G}$  action. Let  $\mathbb{A}^{(\eta)} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^m d_j \mathbb{A}^{(\eta+j)}$ .

Given  $\eta > 0$  and  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$ , where  $Y_j$  is a  $d_j \times d_j$  complex matrix, define

$$(\Gamma^{(Y, \eta)} f_j)_\ell = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(\ell-j)!} \frac{1}{(2\eta+2j)_{\ell-j}} Y_\ell \cdots Y_{j+1} D^{\ell-j} f_j & \text{if } \ell \geq j \\ 0 & \text{if } \ell < j \end{cases}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}^{(Y, \eta)}$  denote the image of  $\Gamma^{(Y, \eta)}$  in the space of holomorphic functions  $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ . Define a Hilbert space structure on  $\mathcal{H}^{(Y, \eta)}$  by stipulating  $\Gamma^{(Y, \eta)}$  to be unitary. We thus have a reproducing kernel Hilbert space.





## *construction of the cocycles*

---

Let  $((\mathbb{A}^{(\lambda)}(\mathbb{D}), (1 - z\bar{w}))^{-2\lambda})$  be the weighted Bergman space.

This is homogeneous under the multiplier  $(g')^\lambda$  for the  $\tilde{G}$  action. Let  $\mathbb{A}^{(\eta)} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^m d_j \mathbb{A}^{(\eta+j)}$ .

Given  $\eta > 0$  and  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$ , where  $Y_j$  is a  $d_j \times d_j$  complex matrix, define

$$(\Gamma^{(Y, \eta)} f_j)_\ell = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(\ell-j)!} \frac{1}{(2\eta+2j)_{\ell-j}} Y_\ell \cdots Y_{j+1} D^{\ell-j} f_j & \text{if } \ell \geq j \\ 0 & \text{if } \ell < j \end{cases}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}^{(Y, \eta)}$  denote the image of  $\Gamma^{(Y, \eta)}$  in the space of holomorphic functions  $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ . Define a Hilbert space structure on  $\mathcal{H}^{(Y, \eta)}$  by stipulating  $\Gamma^{(Y, \eta)}$  to be unitary. We thus have a reproducing kernel Hilbert space.





## *construction of the cocycles*

---

Let  $((\mathbb{A}^{(\lambda)}(\mathbb{D}), (1 - z\bar{w}))^{-2\lambda})$  be the weighted Bergman space.

This is homogeneous under the multiplier  $(g')^\lambda$  for the  $\tilde{G}$  action. Let  $\mathbb{A}^{(\eta)} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^m d_j \mathbb{A}^{(\eta+j)}$ .

Given  $\eta > 0$  and  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$ , where  $Y_j$  is a  $d_j \times d_j$  complex matrix, define

$$(\Gamma^{(Y, \eta)} f_j)_\ell = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(\ell-j)!} \frac{1}{(2\eta+2j)_{\ell-j}} Y_\ell \cdots Y_{j+1} D^{\ell-j} f_j & \text{if } \ell \geq j \\ 0 & \text{if } \ell < j \end{cases}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}^{(Y, \eta)}$  denote the image of  $\Gamma^{(Y, \eta)}$  in the space of holomorphic functions  $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ . Define a Hilbert space structure on  $\mathcal{H}^{(Y, \eta)}$  by stipulating  $\Gamma^{(Y, \eta)}$  to be unitary. We thus have a reproducing kernel Hilbert space.





## *the intertwining*

---

Transfer the natural  $\tilde{G}$  - action on  $\mathbf{A}^{(\eta)} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^m n_j \mathbb{A}^{(\eta+j)}$  to  $\mathcal{H}^{(Y,\eta)}$ . This action lifts to a multiplier representation on  $\mathcal{H}^{(Y,\eta)}$  with multiplier  $J_g^{(Y,\eta)}(z) = D_g(z) \exp(-cY) D_g(z)$ , where  $D_g(z)$  is the diagonal matrix with  $D_g(z)_{jj} = (cz + d)^{-\frac{1}{2}} I_{d_j}$ .

The reproducing kernel for  $K^{(Y,\eta)}(z, w)$  for the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^{(Y,\eta)}$  is of the form  $J_g^{(Y,\eta)}(z) K(0,0) J_z^{(Y,\eta)*}$  with

$$K^{(\lambda,\eta)}(0,0)_{\ell,\ell} = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \frac{1}{(\ell-j)!} \frac{1}{(2\eta+2j)_{\ell+j}} Y_{\ell} \cdots Y_{j+\ell} Y_{j+\ell}^* \cdots Y_{\ell}^*.$$

### *Theorem*

*These are all the homogeneous holomorphic vector bundles with a reproducing kernel.*







## *the intertwining*

---

Transfer the natural  $\tilde{G}$  - action on  $\mathbf{A}^{(\eta)} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^m n_j \mathbb{A}^{(\eta+j)}$  to  $\mathcal{H}^{(Y,\eta)}$ . This action lifts to a multiplier representation on  $\mathcal{H}^{(Y,\eta)}$  with multiplier  $J_g^{(Y,\eta)}(z) = D_g(z) \exp(-cY) D_g(z)$ , where  $D_g(z)$  is the diagonal matrix with  $D_g(z)_{jj} = (cz + d)^{-\frac{1}{2}} I_{d_j}$ .

The reproducing kernel for  $K^{(Y,\eta)}(z, w)$  for the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^{(Y,\eta)}$  is of the form  $J_g^{(Y,\eta)}(z) K(0,0) J_z^{(Y,\eta)*}$  with

$$K^{(\lambda,\eta)}(0,0)_{\ell,\ell} = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \frac{1}{(\ell-j)!} \frac{1}{(2\eta+2j)_{\ell+j}} Y_{\ell} \cdots Y_{j+\ell} Y_{j+\ell}^* \cdots Y_{\ell}^*.$$

### *Theorem*

*These are all the homogeneous holomorphic vector bundles with a reproducing kernel.*





## *the intertwining*

---

Transfer the natural  $\tilde{G}$  - action on  $\mathbf{A}^{(\eta)} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^m n_j \mathbb{A}^{(\eta+j)}$  to  $\mathcal{H}^{(Y,\eta)}$ . This action lifts to a multiplier representation on  $\mathcal{H}^{(Y,\eta)}$  with multiplier  $J_g^{(Y,\eta)}(z) = D_g(z) \exp(-cY) D_g(z)$ , where  $D_g(z)$  is the diagonal matrix with  $D_g(z)_{jj} = (cz + d)^{-\frac{1}{2}} I_{d_j}$ .

The reproducing kernel for  $K^{(Y,\eta)}(z, w)$  for the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^{(Y,\eta)}$  is of the form  $J_g^{(Y,\eta)}(z) K(0,0) J_z^{(Y,\eta)*}$  with

$$K^{(\lambda,\eta)}(0,0)_{\ell,\ell} = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \frac{1}{(\ell-j)!} \frac{1}{(2\eta+2j)_{\ell+j}} Y_{\ell} \cdots Y_{j+\ell} Y_{j+\ell}^* \cdots Y_{\ell}^*.$$

### *Theorem*

*These are all the homogeneous holomorphic vector bundles with a reproducing kernel.*





---

Thank you!

