Watershed Transformation: Morphological Segmentation Algorithm

Bhabatosh Chanda

Electronics and Communication Sciences Unit Indian Statistical Institute Kolkata 700108



- Introduction
- Preliminaries
 - Binary Morphological operators
 - Grayscale Morphological operators
 - Distance transforms
- Watershed transformation
 - Algorithm and results
- Conclusion



































































Reconstruction by dilation Geodesic dilation of A w.r.t. B $(A \subset B)$ by X of size one: $\delta_x^1(A,B) = (A \oplus X) \cap B$ Geodesic dilation of any size: $\delta_x^i(A,B) = (\delta_x^{(i-1)}(A,B) \oplus X) \cap B$ There exists an n such that $\delta_x^n(A,B) = \delta_x^{(n+1)}(A,B)$ then $\delta^{(rec)}(A,B) = \delta^n(A,B)$ In case of functions f and g (f < g), reconstruction R(f,g) is done in a similar way, where *intersection* is replaced by *infimum* or *minimum*.























- Let *A* be any set (marker) included in set *X*.
- Geodesic distance of any point *x* of *X* from *A* is the minimum of distance of *x* from any point a of *A*, i.e.,

$$d(x,A) = \min_{a} \{ d(x,a) \mid a \in A \}$$

• If *X* contains two markers: *A* and *B*. IZ of *A* is a set of points *x* of *X*, which are closer to *A* than *B*, i.e.

$$IZ(A) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, A) < d(x, B)\}$$























Reconstruction by erosion Geodesic erosion of A w.r.t $B (A \supseteq B)$ by X of size one: $\varepsilon_x^1(A, B) = (A \Theta X) \cup B$ Geodesic dilation of any size: $\varepsilon_X^i(A, B) = (\varepsilon_X^{(i-1)}(A, B)\Theta X) \cup B$ There exists a n such that $\varepsilon_X^n(A, B) = \varepsilon_X^{(n+1)}(A, B)$ then $\varepsilon^{(rec)}(A, B) = \varepsilon^n(A, B)$ In case of functions f and g (f > g), reconstruction R'(f,g) is

In case of functions f and g (f > g), reconstruction R'(f,g) is done similar way and *union* is replaced by *maximum*.



• Now the minima or *markers* are defined as

 $M = \{M_i\}$

where $M_i = \{x \mid m(x) = 1\}$

27 March 2015

60/160





























































Conclusion

- Watershed transformation does not need threshold value for edge detection.
- Watershed in its basic approach usually results in over-segmentation due to presence of many local minima.
- Over-segmentation may be controlled by smoothing as well as marker selection.
- Computationally expensive unless special data structure is used.

References

- Serra, J., Image Analysis and Mathematical Morphology, Academic Press, London, 1982.
- Dougherty, E.R. and Giardina, C.R., Morphological Methods in Image and Signal Processing, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1988.
- Gonzalez, R.C. and Woods, R. E., Digital Image Processing, 3rd ed., Prentice Hall, New York, 2008.
- Soille, P., Morphological Image Analysis: Principles and Applications, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- Chanda, B. and DuttaMajumder, D., Digital Image Processing and Analysis, *Prentice Hall of India*, New Delhi, 2000.
- Beucher, S. and Meyer, F., The morphological approach to segmentation: the watershed transformation. In *Mathematical Morphology in Image Processing* (Ed. E.R. Dougherty), 1993.

27 March 2015

Thank You.



