Power Watersheds A unifying graph-based optimization framework

Camille Couprie¹, Leo Grady², **Laurent Najman**¹, Hugues Talbot¹

¹LIGM, UPE-MLV ²Siemens Corporate Research

Workshop honouring Professor Jean Serra Indian Statistical Institute, October 25-26, 2010



- A new graph-based optimization framework
- Application to image segmentation
- Deblurring with anisotropic-diffusion

What does all those algorithms have in common?

Graph cuts





Shortest paths



Random walker







Watersheds



Power Watersheds : An energy minimization framework



Algorithms optimizing this energy :

Power Watersheds : An energy minimization framework



Algorithms optimizing this energy :

p finite, q = 1: Graph cuts [Boykov-Joly 2001 (only for 2 labels y)]

Power Watersheds : An energy minimization framework



Algorithms optimizing this energy : p finite, q = 2 : Random walker [Grady 2006]

Power Watersheds : An energy minimization framework



Algorithms optimizing this energy : $p = q \rightarrow \infty$: Shortest paths [Sinop *et al* 2007]

Power Watersheds : An energy minimization framework



Algorithms optimizing this energy : $p \rightarrow \infty, q$ finite : Power watershed [Couprie *et al* 2009]

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Power watershed for image segmentation



Segmentation



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}{}^{p} |x_{i} - x_{j}|^{q}}_{\text{Smoothness term}} + \underbrace{\sum_{v_{i} \in V} w_{i}{}^{p} |x_{i} - y_{i}|^{q}}_{\text{Data term}}$$

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Power watershed for image segmentation



• Vertices = pixels, edges between neighboring pixels

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}{}^{p} |x_{i} - x_{j}|^{q}}_{\text{Smoothness term}} + \underbrace{\sum_{v_{i} \in V} w_{i}{}^{p} |x_{i} - y_{i}|^{q}}_{\text{Data term}}$$

- Vertices = pixels, edges between neighboring pixels
- Pairwise weights *w_{ij}* inversely (nonlinear) function of image gradient

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}{}^{p} |x_{i} - x_{j}|^{q}}_{\text{Smoothness term}} + \underbrace{\sum_{v_{i} \in V} w_{i}{}^{p} |x_{i} - y_{i}|^{q}}_{\text{Data term}}$$

- Vertices = pixels, edges between neighboring pixels
- Pairwise weights *w_{ij}* inversely (nonlinear) function of image gradient



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}{}^{p} |x_{i} - x_{j}|^{q}}_{\text{Smoothness term}} + \underbrace{\sum_{v_{i} \in V} w_{i}{}^{p} |x_{i} - y_{i}|^{q}}_{\text{Data term}}$$

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}{}^{p} |x_{i} - x_{j}|^{q}}_{\text{Smoothness term}} + \underbrace{\sum_{v_{i} \in V} w_{i}{}^{p} |x_{i} - y_{i}|^{q}}_{\text{Data term}}$$
seeds enforced by $y : y_{i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_{i} \in F, \\ 0 & \text{if } v_{i} \in B. \end{cases}$

Image segmentation

Graph







Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Power watershed for image segmentation





Graph



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Power watershed for image segmentation





Graph



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Power watershed for image segmentation





Graph



Laurent Najman

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Power watershed for image segmentation

 Simplification for algorithms comparison : only seeds used in the data fidelity term

$$\min_{x} \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}{}^{p} |x_i - x_j|^q$$

s.t. $x(F) = 1$, $x(B) = 0$

• Result : segmentation *s* defined $\forall i$ by $s_i = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } x_i \geq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0 \text{ if } x_i < \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Algorithms deriving from values of p et q

Recall the energy function : $\min_x \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}^p |x_i - x_j|^q$

q p	0	finite	∞
1	Reduction to seeds	Graph cuts	Max Spanning Forest (watershed) [Allène et al. 07]
2	ℓ₂-norm Voronoi	Random walker	Power watershed [Couprie et al. 09]
∞	ℓ_1 -norm Voronoi	ℓ1-norm Voronoi	Shortest Path [Sinop et al. 07]

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Algorithms deriving from values of p et q

Recall the energy function : $\min_x \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}^p |x_i - x_j|^q$

q p	0	finite	∞
1	Reduction to seeds	Graph cuts	Max Spanning Forest (watershed) [Allène et al. 07]
2	ℓ₂-norm Voronoi	Random walker	Power watershed [Couprie et al. 09]
∞	ℓ_1 -norm Voronoi	ℓ1-norm Voronoi	Shortest Path [Sinop et al. 07]

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Graph Cuts

$$x = \arg\min\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}^{p=1} |x_i - x_j|^{q=2}$$

- Min cut / Max flow duality
- Max Flow algorithm



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Graph Cuts

• Problem : compute *x*

$$x = \arg\min\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij} |x_i - x_j|$$

- Min cut / Max flow duality
- Max Flow algorithm



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Graph Cuts

• Problem : compute x

$$x = \arg\min\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij} |x_i - x_j|$$

- Min cut / Max flow duality
- Max Flow algorithm



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Graph Cuts

• Problem : compute *x*

$$x = \arg\min\sum_{e_{ij}\in E} w_{ij}|x_i - x_j|$$

- Min cut / Max flow duality
- Max Flow algorithm



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Graph Cuts : example

- favors small boundaries
- robust to seed placement



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Graph Cuts : example

- favors small boundaries
- robust to seed placement





Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Algorithms deriving from values of p et q

Recall the energy function : $\min_x \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}^p |x_i - x_j|^q$

q p	0	finite	∞
1	Reduction to seeds	Graph cuts	Max Spanning Forest (watershed) [Allène et al. 07]
2	ℓ₂-norm Voronoi	Random walker	Power watershed [Couprie et al. 09]
∞	ℓ_1 -norm Voronoi	ℓ1-norm Voronoi	Shortest Path [Sinop et al. 07]

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Algorithms deriving from values of p et q

Recall the energy function : $\min_x \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}^p |x_i - x_j|^q$

q p	0	finite	∞
1	Reduction to seeds	Graph cuts	Max Spanning Forest (watershed) [Allène et al. 07]
2	ℓ₂-norm Voronoi	Random walker	Power watershed [Couprie et al. 09]
∞	ℓ_1 -norm Voronoi	ℓ1-norm Voronoi	Shortest Path [Sinop et al. 07]

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Random Walker

• Discrete version of the Dirichlet problem

$$x = \arg\min\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}^{p=1} (x_i - x_j)^{q=2} \quad \leftarrow \quad u = \arg\min\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 d\Omega$$





• Random walker analogy



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Random Walker

• Discrete version of the Dirichlet problem

$$x = \arg\min\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij} (x_i - x_j)^2 \quad \leftarrow \quad u = \arg\min \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 d\Omega$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 3^{-3} 0 8^{-4} \\ 1 \\ 0 2^{-1} 0 7^{-3} 0 8 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 2^{-2} 0 3 \end{bmatrix}$$





• Random walker analogy



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Random Walker

• Discrete version of the Dirichlet problem

$$x = \arg \min \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij} (x_i - x_j)^2 \quad \leftarrow \quad u = \arg \min \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 d\Omega$$



Stricly convex problem \Rightarrow unique optimal solution x^*

Potentials analogy



• Random walker analogy



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Random Walker : example



Corresponding probability/potential x



Corresponding segmentations (threshold of x)



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Algorithms deriving from values of p et q

Recall the energy function : $\min_x \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}^p |x_i - x_j|^q$

q p	0	finite	∞
1	Reduction to seeds	Graph cuts	Max Spanning Forest (watershed) [Allène et al. 07]
2	ℓ₂-norm Voronoi	Random walker	Power watershed [Couprie et al. 09]
∞	ℓ_1 -norm Voronoi	ℓ1-norm Voronoi	Shortest Path [Sinop et al. 07]

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Algorithms deriving from values of p et q

Recall the energy function : $\min_x \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}^p |x_i - x_j|^q$

q p	0	finite	∞
1	Reduction to seeds	Graph cuts	Max Spanning Forest (watershed) [Allène et al. 07]
2	ℓ₂-norm Voronoi	Random walker	Power watershed [Couprie et al. 09]
∞	ℓ_1 -norm Voronoi	ℓ1-norm Voronoi	Shortest Path [Sinop et al. 07]
Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Shortest path forest

- take the inverse of the weights
- the shortest path starting from each node to reach a seed node is computed
- Dijsktra algorithm
- [Sinop et al. 07] : optimizes

$$\min_{x} \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}^{p=q \to \infty} (x_i - x_j)^{q \to \infty}$$



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Shortest paths

- take the inverse of the weights
- the shortest path starting from each node to reach a seed node is computed
- Dijsktra algorithm
- [Sinop et al. 07] : optimizes

$$\min_{x} \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}^{p=q \to \infty} (x_i - x_j)^{q \to \infty}$$



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Shortest path : example



• Very sensitive to seeds placement

Corresponding segmentations







Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Algorithms deriving from values of p et q

q p	0	finite	∞
1	Reduction to seeds	Graph cuts	Max Spanning Forest (watershed) [Allène et al. 07]
2	ℓ₂-norm Voronoi	Random walker	Power watershed [Couprie et al. 09]
∞	ℓ_1 -norm Voronoi	ℓ1-norm Voronoi	Shortest Path [Sinop et al. 07]

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Algorithms deriving from values of p et q

q p	0	finite	∞
1	Reduction to seeds	Graph cuts	Max Spanning Forest (watershed) [Allène et al. 07]
2	ℓ₂-norm Voronoi	Random walker	Power watershed [Couprie et al. 09]
∞	ℓ_1 -norm Voronoi	ℓ1-norm Voronoi	Shortest Path [Sinop et al. 07]

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed by Maximum Spanning Forest (MSF)

- maximize the sum of weights over the edges of a forest spanning the graph
- different labeled nodes have to belong to different trees
- Kruskal, Prim algorithms



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed by Maximum Spanning Forest (MSF)

- maximize the sum of weights over the edges of a forest spanning the graph
- different labeled nodes have to belong to different trees
- Kruskal, Prim algorithms



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed by Maximum Spanning Forest (MSF)

- maximize the sum of weights over the edges of a forest spanning the graph
- different labeled nodes have to belong to different trees
- Kruskal, Prim algorithms



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed by Maximum Spanning Forest (MSF)

- maximize the sum of weights over the edges of a forest spanning the graph
- different labeled nodes have to belong to different trees
- Kruskal, Prim algorithms



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed by Maximum Spanning Forest (MSF)

- maximize the sum of weights over the edges of a forest spanning the graph
- different labeled nodes have to belong to different trees
- Kruskal, Prim algorithms



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed by Maximum Spanning Forest (MSF)

- maximize the sum of weights over the edges of a forest spanning the graph
- different labeled nodes have to belong to different trees
- Kruskal, Prim algorithms



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed by Maximum Spanning Forest (MSF)

- maximize the sum of weights over the edges of a forest spanning the graph
- different labeled nodes have to belong to different trees
- Kruskal, Prim algorithms



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed by Maximum Spanning Forest (MSF)

- maximize the sum of weights over the edges of a forest spanning the graph
- different labeled nodes have to belong to different trees
- Kruskal, Prim algorithms



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed by Maximum Spanning Forest (MSF)

- maximize the sum of weights over the edges of a forest spanning the graph
- different labeled nodes have to belong to different trees
- Kruskal, Prim algorithms



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed by Maximum Spanning Forest (MSF)

- maximize the sum of weights over the edges of a forest spanning the graph
- different labeled nodes have to belong to different trees
- Kruskal, Prim algorithms



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed by Maximum Spanning Forest (MSF)

- maximize the sum of weights over the edges of a forest spanning the graph
- different labeled nodes have to belong to different trees
- Kruskal, Prim algorithms



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed by Maximum Spanning Forest (MSF)

- maximize the sum of weights over the edges of a forest spanning the graph
- different labeled nodes have to belong to different trees
- Kruskal, Prim algorithms



Prim algorithm

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed by Maximum Spanning Forest (MSF)

- maximize the sum of weights over the edges of a forest spanning the graph
- different labeled nodes have to belong to different trees
- Kruskal, Prim algorithms



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed by Maximum Spanning Forest (MSF)

- maximize the sum of weights over the edges of a forest spanning the graph
- different labeled nodes have to belong to different trees
- Kruskal, Prim algorithms



Prim algorithm

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed by Maximum Spanning Forest (MSF)

- maximize the sum of weights over the edges of a forest spanning the graph
- different labeled nodes have to belong to different trees
- Kruskal, Prim algorithms



Prim algorithm

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed by Maximum Spanning Forest (MSF)

- maximize the sum of weights over the edges of a forest spanning the graph
- different labeled nodes have to belong to different trees
- Kruskal, Prim algorithms



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Maximum Spanning Forest (MSF) : example

- robust to small seeds : no bias toward small objects
- leaking effect



Corresponding segmentations



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed and Maximum Spanning Forest equivalence

• Watershed cut : edges where a drop of water could flow toward different catchment bassins [Cousty *et al.* 07].



Theorem

If seeds are the minima of the weight function, Equivalence between cuts by flooding and watershed cuts [Cousty et al 07]

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed and Maximum Spanning Forest equivalence

• Watershed cut : edges where a drop of water could flow toward different catchment bassins [Cousty *et al.* 07].



Theorem

If seeds are the minima of the weight function,

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed and Maximum Spanning Forest equivalence

• Watershed cut : edges where a drop of water could flow toward different catchment bassins [Cousty *et al.* 07].



Theorem

If seeds are the minima of the weight function,

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed and Maximum Spanning Forest equivalence

• Watershed cut : edges where a drop of water could flow toward different catchment bassins [Cousty *et al.* 07].



Theorem

If seeds are the minima of the weight function,

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Watershed and Maximum Spanning Forest equivalence

• Watershed cut : edges where a drop of water could flow toward different catchment bassins [Cousty *et al.* 07].



Theorem

If seeds are the minima of the weight function,

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Example of segmentation by flooding/Prim algorithm



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Algorithms deriving from values of p et q

q p	0	finite	∞
1	Reduction to seeds	Graph cuts	Max Spanning Forest (watershed) [Allène et al. 07]
2	ℓ₂-norm Voronoi	Random walker	Power watershed [Couprie et al. 09]
∞	ℓ_1 -norm Voronoi	ℓ1-norm Voronoi	Shortest Path [Sinop et al. 07]

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Algorithms deriving from values of p et q

q p	0	finite	∞
1	Reduction to seeds	Graph cuts	Max Spanning Forest (watershed) [Allène et al. 07]
2	ℓ₂-norm Voronoi	Random walker	Power watershed [Couprie et al. 09]
∞	ℓ_1 -norm Voronoi	ℓ1-norm Voronoi	Shortest Path [Sinop et al. 07]

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Algorithms deriving from values of p et q

q p	0	finite	∞
1	Reduction to seeds	Graph cuts	Max Spanning Forest (watershed) [Allène et al. 07]
2	ℓ₂-norm Voronoi	Random walker	Power watershed [Couprie et al. 09]
∞	ℓ_1 -norm Voronoi	ℓ1-norm Voronoi	Shortest Path [Sinop et al. 07]

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Algorithms deriving from values of p et q

q p	0	finite	∞
1	Reduction to seeds	Graph cuts	Max Spanning Forest (watershed) [Allène et al. 07]
2	ℓ₂-norm Voronoi	Random walker	Power watershed [Couprie et al. 09]
∞	ℓ_1 -norm Voronoi	ℓ1-norm Voronoi	Shortest Path [Sinop et al. 07]

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Convergence of RW when $p \rightarrow \infty$ toward PW

Input seeds



PowerWatershed q = 2



Random Walker p = 1...30



Random Walker p = 30



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Algorithm for the case $p \to \infty$, variable q

• Compute *x* minimizing

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}{}^p |x_i - x_j|^q$$

subject to boundary conditions.

• We construct an MSF outside of plateaus, and optimize

$$\sum_{e_{ij} \in \text{plateau}} |x_i - x_j|^q$$

on the plateaus.

• We call this algorithm "Power watershed"

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Properties

Theorem

The cut obtained by the power watershed algorithm is a MSF cut.

Theorem

When q > 1, the solution x^* to the minimization of

$$\min_{x} \lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}{}^{p} |x_i - x_j|^q$$

is unique. Thus, when q > 1, the solution x obtained by the power watershed algorithm is unique.

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Power watershed algorithm

- Choose an edge with maximal weight e_{max}. Let S the set of edges connected to e_{max} with the same weight as e_{max}.
- If S does not contain vertices that have different labels, merge the nodes of S into one node, otherwise minimize E_{1,q} on S.
- Repeat steps 1 and 2 until all vertices are labeled.


Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

- Choose an edge with maximal weight e_{max}. Let S the set of edges connected to e_{max} with the same weight as e_{max}.
- If S does not contain vertices that have different labels, merge the nodes of S into one node, otherwise minimize E_{1,q} on S.
- Repeat steps 1 and 2 until all vertices are labeled.



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

- Choose an edge with maximal weight e_{max}. Let S the set of edges connected to e_{max} with the same weight as e_{max}.
- If S does not contain vertices that have different labels, merge the nodes of S into one node, otherwise minimize E_{1,q} on S.
- Repeat steps 1 and 2 until all vertices are labeled.



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

- Choose an edge with maximal weight e_{max}. Let S the set of edges connected to e_{max} with the same weight as e_{max}.
- If S does not contain vertices that have different labels, merge the nodes of S into one node, otherwise minimize E_{1,q} on S.
- Repeat steps 1 and 2 until all vertices are labeled.



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

- Choose an edge with maximal weight e_{max}. Let S the set of edges connected to e_{max} with the same weight as e_{max}.
- If S does not contain vertices that have different labels, merge the nodes of S into one node, otherwise minimize E_{1,q} on S.
- Repeat steps 1 and 2 until all vertices are labeled.



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

- Choose an edge with maximal weight e_{max}. Let S the set of edges connected to e_{max} with the same weight as e_{max}.
- If S does not contain vertices that have different labels, merge the nodes of S into one node, otherwise minimize E_{1,q} on S.
- Repeat steps 1 and 2 until all vertices are labeled.



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

- Choose an edge with maximal weight e_{max}. Let S the set of edges connected to e_{max} with the same weight as e_{max}.
- If S does not contain vertices that have different labels, merge the nodes of S into one node, otherwise minimize E_{1,q} on S.
- Repeat steps 1 and 2 until all vertices are labeled.



$$\min_{x} \lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}^{p} |x_i - x_j|^{q}$$

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

- Choose an edge with maximal weight e_{max}. Let S the set of edges connected to e_{max} with the same weight as e_{max}.
- If S does not contain vertices that have different labels, merge the nodes of S into one node, otherwise minimize E_{1,q} on S.
- Repeat steps 1 and 2 until all vertices are labeled.



$$\min_{x} \lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}^{p} |x_i - x_j|^{q}$$

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

- Choose an edge with maximal weight e_{max}. Let S the set of edges connected to e_{max} with the same weight as e_{max}.
- If S does not contain vertices that have different labels, merge the nodes of S into one node, otherwise minimize E_{1,q} on S.
- Repeat steps 1 and 2 until all vertices are labeled.



$$\min_{x} \sum_{e_{ij} \in \text{plateau}} |x_i - x_j|^q$$

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

- Choose an edge with maximal weight e_{max}. Let S the set of edges connected to e_{max} with the same weight as e_{max}.
- If S does not contain vertices that have different labels, merge the nodes of S into one node, otherwise minimize E_{1,q} on S.
- Repeat steps 1 and 2 until all vertices are labeled.



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

- Choose an edge with maximal weight e_{max}. Let S the set of edges connected to e_{max} with the same weight as e_{max}.
- If S does not contain vertices that have different labels, merge the nodes of S into one node, otherwise minimize E_{1,q} on S.
- Repeat steps 1 and 2 until all vertices are labeled.



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

- Choose an edge with maximal weight e_{max}. Let S the set of edges connected to e_{max} with the same weight as e_{max}.
- If S does not contain vertices that have different labels, merge the nodes of S into one node, otherwise minimize E_{1,q} on S.
- Repeat steps 1 and 2 until all vertices are labeled.



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

- Choose an edge with maximal weight e_{max}. Let S the set of edges connected to e_{max} with the same weight as e_{max}.
- If S does not contain vertices that have different labels, merge the nodes of S into one node, otherwise minimize E_{1,q} on S.
- Repeat steps 1 and 2 until all vertices are labeled.



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

- Choose an edge with maximal weight e_{max}. Let S the set of edges connected to e_{max} with the same weight as e_{max}.
- If S does not contain vertices that have different labels, merge the nodes of S into one node, otherwise minimize E_{1,q} on S.
- Repeat steps 1 and 2 until all vertices are labeled.



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Power watershed (q=2) : example

- robust in case of small seeds
- less leaking than with standard Maximum Spanning Forest

Corresponding probability x



Corresponding segmentations (threshold of x)



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Power watershed (q=2) : example





Prim (MSF, watershed by flooding)

Power watershed (q = 2)





Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Power watershed (q=2) : example





Prim (MSF, watershed by flooding)







Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Algorithms behavior on plateaus



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Algorithms behavior on plateaus



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Algorithms behavior on plateaus



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Algorithms comparison

- Evaluation on GrabCut database
- Ground truths
- 2 sets of seeds to study robustness to seeds centering :
 - seeds well centered around boundaries
 - seeds less centered around boundaries

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Quantitative Results

Mean errors between ground truths and the algorithms results on GrabCut database with the seeds centered around boundaries.

	BE	RI	GCE	Vol	Average
					rank
Shortest paths	2.821	0.972	0.233	0.204	1
Random walker	2.957	0.971	0.0234	0.0204	2.5
MSF (Prim)	2.859	0.971	0.0244	0.209	3
Power wshed	2.873	0.971	0.0245	0.210	3.25
(q = 2)					
Graph cuts	3.122	0.970	0.0249	0.212	5

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Examples

Input seeds



Shortest Paths



Graph Cuts



Max Spanning Forests



Random Walker



Power Watersheds q = 2



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Quantitative Results

Mean errors between ground truths and the algorithms results on GrabCut database with the seeds less centered around boundaries.

	BE	RI	GCE	Vol	Average
					rank
Graph cuts	4.691	0.953	0.0380	0.284	1
Power wshed	4.928	0.951	0.0407	0.297	2.5
(q = 2)					
Random walker	5.124	0.950	0.0398	0.294	2.75
MSF (Prim)	5.111	0.950	0.0408	0.298	3.5
Shortest paths	5.330	0.947	0.0426	0.308	5

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Examples

Input seeds



Shortest Paths



Graph Cuts



Max Spanning Forests



Random Walker



Power Watersheds q = 2



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Computation time 2D



Computation times 2D

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

3D example



Foreground seeds

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

3D example



Powerwatershed result

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut **Comparison of results in segmentation** Extension of the framework

3D example



Powerwatershed result

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

3D example



Graph-cut result

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut **Comparison of results in segmentation** Extension of the framework

3D example



Graph-cut result (detail)

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

3D example



Random-walker result

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut **Comparison of results in segmentation** Extension of the framework

3D example



Random-walker result (detail)

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

3D example



Shortest-path result

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut **Comparison of results in segmentation** Extension of the framework

3D example



Shortest-path result (detail)

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

3D example



MSF-Watershed result

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut **Comparison of results in segmentation** Extension of the framework

3D example



MSF-Watershed result (detail)
Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

3D example



Powerwatershed result

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut **Comparison of results in segmentation** Extension of the framework

3D example



Powerwatershed result (detail)

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Computation time 3D



Computation times 3D

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Unseeded segmentation

• Possibility to add unary terms to the energy function

$$\min_{x} \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}^{p} |x_i - x_j|^q$$



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Unseeded segmentation

• Possibility to add unary terms to the energy function

$$\min_{x} \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}^{p} |x_{i} - x_{j}|^{q} + \sum_{v_{i}} w_{F_{i}}^{p} |x_{i} - 1|^{q} + \sum_{v_{i}} w_{B_{i}}^{p} |x_{i}|^{q}$$



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Unseeded segmentation

• Possibility to add unary terms to the energy function

$$\min_{x} \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}^{p} |x_{i} - x_{j}|^{q} + \sum_{v_{i}} w_{F_{i}}^{p} |x_{i} - 1|^{q} + \sum_{v_{i}} w_{B_{i}}^{p} |x_{i}|^{q}$$

Maximum Spanning forest in the resulting graph





Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Unseeded segmentation

$$\min_{x} \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} w_{ij}^{p} |x_{i} - x_{j}|^{q} + \sum_{v_{i}} w_{F_{i}}{}^{p} |x_{i} - 1|^{q} + \sum_{v_{i}} w_{Bi}{}^{p} |x_{i}|^{q}$$

Image

Graph Cuts



Watershed



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Unseeded segmentation

This is the first time that we show how to incorporate data unary terms into watershed computation.

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Optimal multilabels segmentation

- More than 2-labels segmentation : NP-hard for Graph cuts
- Exact $n \ge 2$ labels segmentation for the other algorithms :
- *n* solutions $x^1, x^2, ..., x^n$ computed
- x^k computed by enforcing $\begin{cases} x^k(n^k) = 1 \\ x^k(n^q) = 0 \text{ for all } q \neq k. \end{cases}$
- Each node *i* is affected to the label for which x_i^k is maximum :

$$s_i = \arg\max_k x_i^k$$



Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Segmentation : which algorithm to use?

- Graph Cuts :
 - robust to seeds placement for 2D image segmentation with 2 labels only
 - too slow for 3D segmentation
- Shortest Paths : fast but requires well centered seeds around boundaries
- Random Walker :
 - efficient with uncentered seeds around boundaries
 - defined behavior on plateaus
- Watershed :
 - better segmentations than Shortest paths with uncentered seeds around boundaries
 - fast \rightarrow 3D segmentation

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

Segmentation : which algorithm to use?

- Power watershed q = 2 :
 - Watershed properties (fast, multiseeds)
 - Random walker properties on plateaus and interacting plateaus
 - Unique solution
 - Less sensitive to leaking than standard watershed

Review of algorithms Energy and watershed cut Comparison of results in segmentation Extension of the framework

What else can be done?

• This efficient watershed algorithm can be used with data unary terms

Question

Can we apply watershed to other vision (optimization) problems?

Anisotropic diffusion [Perona-Malik 1990]

• Optimization procedure blurring objects while preserving contours



Anisotropic diffusion

- f : original image
- x : denoised image
- Perona-Malik algorithm

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} e^{-\alpha(x_i - x_j)^2} (x_i - x_j)^2$$

• Black et al. energy

$$E(x) = \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \sigma(x_i - x_j)$$



• Perona-Malik algorithm is a gradient descent minimization of the Black *et al.* energy.

Anisotropic diffusion

- Robust error function σ • x : denoised image • Perona-Malik algorithm $x_i^{k+1} = x_i^k + dt \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} e^{-\alpha (x_i^k - x_j^k)^2} (x_i^k - x_j^k)$
- Black et al. energy

$$E(x) = \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \sigma(x_i - x_j)$$

• Perona-Malik algorithm is a gradient descent minimization of the Black *et al.* energy.

Anisotropic diffusion and ℓ_0 norm

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \sigma(x_i - x_j)}_{\text{smoothness term}} + \underbrace{\lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} (x_i - f_i)^2}_{\text{data fidelity term}}$$

Anisotropic diffusion and ℓ_0 norm

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \sigma(x_i - x_j)}_{\text{smoothness term}} + \underbrace{\lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} \sigma(x_i - f_i)}_{\text{data fidelity term}}$$

Anisotropic diffusion and ℓ_0 norm

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \sigma(x_i - x_j)}_{\text{data fidelity term}} + \underbrace{\lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} \sigma(x_i - f_i)}_{\text{data fidelity term}}$$

 $\alpha \to \infty$: approximation of $\boldsymbol{\ell}_0$ norm



Anisotropic diffusion and ℓ_0 norm

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \sigma(x_i - x_j)}_{\text{data fidelity term}} + \underbrace{\lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} \sigma(x_i - f_i)}_{\text{data fidelity term}}$$

 $\alpha \to \infty$: approximation of $\boldsymbol{\ell}_0$ norm



Anisotropic diffusion and ℓ_0 norm

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \sigma(x_i - x_j)}_{\text{data fidelity term}} + \underbrace{\lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} \sigma(x_i - f_i)}_{\text{data fidelity term}}$$

 $\alpha \to \infty$: approximation of $\boldsymbol{\ell}_0$ norm



Anisotropic diffusion and ℓ_0 norm

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \sigma(x_i - x_j)}_{\text{data fidelity term}} + \underbrace{\lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} \sigma(x_i - f_i)}_{\text{data fidelity term}}$$

 $\alpha \to \infty$: approximation of $\boldsymbol{\ell}_0$ norm



• high gradient $x_i - x_j \Rightarrow \sigma = 1$

Anisotropic diffusion and ℓ_0 norm

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \sigma(x_i - x_j)}_{\text{data fidelity term}} + \underbrace{\lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} \sigma(x_i - f_i)}_{\text{data fidelity term}}$$

 $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$: approximation of $\boldsymbol{\ell}_0$ norm



• high gradient $x_i - x_j \Rightarrow \sigma = 1$

• no gradient
$$\Rightarrow \sigma = 0$$

Anisotropic diffusion and ℓ_0 norm

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \sigma(x_i - x_j)}_{\text{data fidelity term}} + \underbrace{\lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} \sigma(x_i - f_i)}_{\text{data fidelity term}}$$

 $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$: approximation of ℓ_0 norm



- high gradient $x_i x_j \Rightarrow \sigma = 1$
- no gradient $\Rightarrow \sigma = 0$
- Finite α , low gradient \Rightarrow $0 < \sigma < 1$ Piecewise smooth result

Anisotropic diffusion and ℓ_0 norm

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \sigma(x_i - x_j)}_{\text{data fidelity term}} + \underbrace{\lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} \sigma(x_i - f_i)}_{\text{data fidelity term}}$$

 $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$: approximation of $\boldsymbol{\ell}_0$ norm



- high gradient $x_i x_j \Rightarrow \sigma = 1$
- no gradient $\Rightarrow \sigma = 0$
- Finite α , low gradient \Rightarrow $0 < \sigma < 1$ Piecewise smooth result
- $\alpha \to \infty$, low gradient $\Rightarrow \sigma = 1$ Piecewise constant result

Anisotropic diffusion using power watershed

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \sigma(x_i - x_j)}_{\text{smoothness term}} + \underbrace{\lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} \sigma(x_i - f_i)}_{\text{data fidelity term}}$$

Anisotropic diffusion using power watershed

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \sigma(x_i - x_j)}_{\text{smoothness term}} + \underbrace{\lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} \sigma(x_i - f_i)}_{\text{data fidelity term}}$$

• Nonconvex energy

Anisotropic diffusion using power watershed

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \sigma(x_i - x_j)}_{\text{smoothness term}} + \underbrace{\lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} \sigma(x_i - f_i)}_{\text{data fidelity term}}$$

- Nonconvex energy
- Set the gradient of this energy to zero

Anisotropic diffusion using power watershed

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \sigma(x_i - x_j)}_{\text{smoothness term}} + \underbrace{\lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} \sigma(x_i - f_i)}_{\text{data fidelity term}}$$

- Nonconvex energy
- Set the gradient of this energy to zero
- Fixed point iteration scheme with energy at step *k* :

Anisotropic diffusion using power watershed

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \sigma(x_i - x_j)}_{\text{smoothness term}} + \underbrace{\lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} \sigma(x_i - f_i)}_{\text{data fidelity term}}$$

- Nonconvex energy
- Set the gradient of this energy to zero
- Fixed point iteration scheme with energy at step *k* :



$$E_{k+1} = \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} e^{-\alpha (x_i^k - x_j^k)^2} (x_i^{k+1} - x_j^{k+1})^2 + \lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} e^{-\alpha (x_i^k - f_i)^2} (x_i^{k+1} - f_i)^2$$

Laurent Najman

Anisotropic diffusion using power watershed

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \sigma(x_i - x_j)}_{\text{smoothness term}} + \underbrace{\lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} \sigma(x_i - f_i)}_{\text{data fidelity term}}$$

- Nonconvex energy
- Set the gradient of this energy to zero
- Fixed point iteration scheme with energy at step *k* :



$$E_{k+1} = \sum_{e_j \in E} e^{-\alpha (x_i^k - x_j^k)^2} (x_i^{k+1} - x_j^{k+1})^2 + \lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} e^{-\alpha (x_i^k - f_i)^2} (x_i^{k+1} - f_i)^2$$

Laurent Najman

Anisotropic diffusion using power watershed

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \sigma(x_i - x_j)}_{\text{smoothness term}} + \underbrace{\lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} \sigma(x_i - f_i)}_{\text{data fidelity term}}$$

- Nonconvex energy
- Set the gradient of this energy to zero
- Fixed point iteration scheme with energy at step *k* :



$$E_{k+1} = \sum_{e_{ij} \in E} \left(e^{-(x_i^k - x_j^k)^2} \right)^{\alpha} (x_i^{k+1} - x_j^{k+1})^2 + \lambda \sum_{v_i \in V} \left(e^{-(x_i^k - f_i)^2} \right)^{\alpha} (x_i^{k+1} - f_i)^2$$

Laurent Najman

Graph construction and algorithm

algoruled

Data: An image f, an initial solution x^0 ,

 $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$

Result: A filtered image x^k

Set k = 0. Build the graph on the right

repeat

Generate the pairwise weights $exp - (x_j^k - x_i^k)^2$, and unary weights $exp - (x^k - f)^2$. Use PW with y = f to obtain x^{k+1} . k = k + 1; until $||x^{k+1} - x^k||_2 < \epsilon$;



Results

Leads to piecewise constant results

Original image



PW result



Results





PW result 6 iterations, 1.78 sec.



Results





PW result 6 iterations, 1.78 sec.



Segmentation by thresholds



Comparison with Perona-Malik results



Original image



Noisy image, PSNR = 24.24dB
Comparison with Perona-Malik results



Original image



Noisy image, PSNR = 24.24dB



Perona-Malik PSNR = 34.03dB



Perona-Malik PSNR = 30.46dB

Comparison with Perona-Malik results



Original image



Noisy image, PSNR = 24.24dB



Perona-Malik PSNR = 34.03dB



Perona-Malik PSNR = 30.46dB



Power watershed $x^0 = GF(f)$ PSNR = 31.40dB



Power watershed $x^0 = MF(f)$ PSNR = 31.54dB

Conclusion and future work

• New framework unifying Graph Cuts, Random Walker, Shortest paths and Watershed.

Conclusion and future work

- New framework unifying Graph Cuts, Random Walker, Shortest paths and Watershed.
- The $p \rightarrow \infty$, q = 2 algorithm shows segmentation improvement while retaining watershed speed.

Conclusion and future work

- New framework unifying Graph Cuts, Random Walker, Shortest paths and Watershed.
- The $p \rightarrow \infty$, q = 2 algorithm shows segmentation improvement while retaining watershed speed.
- Unary terms formulation makes power watershed useful beyond segmentation, for example anisotropic diffusion.

Conclusion and future work

- New framework unifying Graph Cuts, Random Walker, Shortest paths and Watershed.
- The $p \rightarrow \infty$, q = 2 algorithm shows segmentation improvement while retaining watershed speed.
- Unary terms formulation makes power watershed useful beyond segmentation, for example anisotropic diffusion.
- Efficient robust error minimization with ℓ_0 norm

Conclusion and future work

- New framework unifying Graph Cuts, Random Walker, Shortest paths and Watershed.
- The $p \rightarrow \infty$, q = 2 algorithm shows segmentation improvement while retaining watershed speed.
- Unary terms formulation makes power watershed useful beyond segmentation, for example anisotropic diffusion.
- \bullet Efficient robust error minimization with ℓ_0 norm

Future work

- Caracterize the different energies that can be minimized in this framework
- Apply the power watershed algorithm to other computer vision problems





Reference books

- Leo Grady and Jonathan R. Polimeni, "Discrete Calculus : Applied Analysis on Graphs for Computational Science", Springer, 2010.
- Laurent Najman and Hugues Talbot, "Mathematical morphology : from theory to applications", ISTE-Wiley, 2010.

Source code for segmentation available from:

http://sourceforge.net/projects/powerwatershed/

References

Bibliography

- Couprie, C., Grady, L., Najman, L. and Talbot, H. : Power Watersheds : A unifying graph-based optimization framework In *PAMI 2010*
- Couprie, C., Grady, L., Najman, L. and Talbot, H. :

Power watersheds : A new image segmentation framework extending graph cuts, random walker and optimal spanning forest.

In Proc. of ICCV 2009

Couprie, C., Grady, L., Najman, L. and Talbot, H. : Anisotropic Diffusion Using Power Watersheds. In *Proc. of ICIP 2010*

References

Bibliography

A. K. Sinop, L. Grady

A Seeded Image Segmentation Framework Unifying Graph Cuts and Random Walker Which Yields a New Algorithm In ICCV 2007

C. Allène, J-Y. Audibert, M. Couprie, R. Keriven Some links between min cuts, optimal spanning forests and watersheds

In Image and Vision Computing 2010

J. Cousty, G. Bertrand, L. Najman, M. Couprie. Watershed cuts : minimum spanning forests, and the drop of water principle.

In *PAMI, 2009*

Properties

Definition

Let s be the segmentation defined by a thresholding of the labels

$$x = \arg\min\sum_{e_{ij}\in E} w_{ij}^{p} |x_i - x_j|^q.$$

The set of edges e_{ij} that verify $s_i \neq s_j$ constitute a q-cut for w^p .

Properties

Definition

Let s be the segmentation defined by a thresholding of the labels

$$x = \arg\min\sum_{e_{ij}\in E} w_{ij}^{p} |x_i - x_j|^q.$$

The set of edges e_{ij} that verify $s_i \neq s_j$ constitute a q-cut for w^p .

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.

Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Theorem and proof illustration

Theorem

If seeds correspond to maxima of the weight function, then any q-cut $(q \ge 1)$ when $p \to \infty$ is an MSF cut.



Example where RW with $p \rightarrow \infty$ is not a MSF



Figure: Example of graph where the *q*-cut computed by the minimization of $E_{p,q}$ is not a MaxSF cut. (a) weighted seeded graph, (b) Random walker result (q=2) when the weights are at the power p=5. The *q*-cut is in the center of the graph. (c) power watershed result (q=2) corresponding to the limit of the Random walker result (q=2) when the power of the weights converges toward infinity.