We will need the following two characterisations of continuity. The proofs of which are elementary and have been done in class.

**Proposition 1** Let  $\Phi: \Theta \to P(S)$  be compact valued correspondence. Then,  $\Phi$  is use at  $\theta \in \Theta$  if and only if for all sequences  $\theta_k \to \theta \in \Theta$  and for all sequences  $s_k \in \Phi(\theta_k)$ , there is a subsequence  $s_{k_l} \to s$  for some  $s \in \Phi(\theta)$ .

**Proposition 2** Let  $\Phi: \Theta \to P(S)$  be any correspondence. Suppose  $\Phi$  is lower semi continuous at  $\theta$  and  $s \in \Phi(\theta)$ . Then for all sequences  $\theta_m \to \theta$ , there is a sequence  $s_m \in \Phi(\theta_m)$  and  $s_m \to s$ 

**Theorem 1** (Maximum Theorem) Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^l$ . Let  $f: S \times \Theta \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function, and  $\mathcal{D}: \Theta \to P(S)$  be a compact-valued, continuous correspondence. Let  $f^*: \Theta \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^*: \Theta \to P(S)$  be defined by

$$f^*(\theta) = \max\{f(x,\theta) \mid x \in \mathcal{D}(\theta)\}\$$
  
$$\mathcal{D}^*(\theta) = \{x \in \mathcal{D}(\theta) \mid f(x,\theta) = f^*(\theta)\}\$$

Then  $f^*$  is a continuous function on  $\Theta$  and  $\mathcal{D}^*$  is a compact-valued, upper-semicontious correspondence on  $\Theta$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\theta_m, \theta \in \Theta$ , so that  $\theta_m \to \theta$ . Let  $x_m \in \mathcal{D}^*(\theta_m)$ , which implies that  $x_m \in \mathcal{D}(\theta_m)$ . As  $\mathcal{D}$  is continuous (in particular usc) and compact valued, Proposition 1 will imply that there exists a subsequence  $x_{m_k} \to x$  for some  $x \in \mathcal{D}(\theta)$ .

Claim:  $f(x, \theta) = f^*(\theta)$ .

**Proof of Claim:** Suppose there is a  $z \in \mathcal{D}(\theta)$  such that  $f(z, \theta) > f(x, \theta)$ .

 $\mathcal{D}$  is lower semi-continuous at  $\theta$  and  $\theta_{m_k} \to \theta$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{D}(\theta)$ . So by Proposition 2 we have that  $\exists z_{m_k} \to z$ . Therefore by continuity of f, we have that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} f(z_{m_k}, \theta_{m_k}) = f(z, \theta) > f(x, \theta) = \lim_{k \to \infty} f(x_{m_k}, \theta_{m_k})$$

Consequently for large enough k, we have that  $f(z_{m_k}, \theta_{m_k}) > f(x_{m_k}, \theta_{m_k})$ . This is a contradiction to the fact that  $x_{m_k} \in \mathcal{D}^*(\theta_{m_k})$ .

Once we have the claim, the proof follows easily:

(a) Then by continuity of f,  $f^*(\theta_{m_k}) = f(x_{m_k}, \theta_{m_k}) \to f(x, \theta) = f^*(\theta)$ . Since the above argument can be repeated for any subsequence of  $\theta_m$  we have effectively shown that every subsequence of  $f^*(\theta_m)$  has a further subsequence that converges to  $f^*(\theta)$ . This implies continuity of  $f^*$ .

(b) We have established that for every  $\theta_m \to \theta$ , and for any sequence  $x_m \in \mathcal{D}^*(\theta_m)$ , it has a subsequence that converges to x which is in  $\mathcal{D}^*(\theta)$  by the claim. Hence  $\mathcal{D}^*$  is upper semicontinous by the characterisation proven in class.