**Theorem 1** (Lagrange) Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be  $C^1$  functions. Suppose  $x^*$  is a local maximum of f on

$$D = U \cup \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_i(x) = 0, i = 1, \dots l \},\$$

where U is an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose  $rank(Dg(x^*) = k$ , then there exists a vector  $\lambda^* = (\lambda_1^*, \ldots, \lambda_l^*), \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the following conditions are met:

$$Df(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^* Dg_i(x^*) = 0$$
(0.1)

**Proof.** WLOG, assume that the principal  $k \times k$  matrix of  $Dg(x^*)$  is invertible. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be thought of as  $x = \begin{bmatrix} w \\ z \end{bmatrix}$ , with  $w \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . So if  $A = Dg(x^*)$  then  $A_w$  is invertible (why ?). By Implicit Function Theorem,

 $\exists W \text{ open in } \mathbb{R}^{n-k} : z^* \in W, \text{ and } h : W \to \mathbb{R}^k : h(z^*) = w^* \text{ and } g(h(z^*), z^*) = 0$ 

$$Dh(z^*) = -A_w^{-1}A_z (0.2)$$

Let 
$$B = Df(x^*)$$
. We need a  $\lambda^* = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^* \\ \lambda_2^* \\ \dots \\ \lambda_k^* \end{bmatrix}$ , such that  
$$B_w + (\lambda^*)^T A_w = 0$$
(0.3)

$$B_z + (\lambda^*)^T A_y = 0. (0.4)$$

(0.5)

Lets define  $\lambda^*$  from (0.3) and show that (0.4) is satisfied. Hence take  $\lambda^* = (-B_w A_w^{-1})^T$ . Now, taking this definition and using (0.2),

$$(\lambda^*)^T A_y + B_z = -B_w A_w^{-1} A_y + B_z = B_w Dh(z^*) + B_z = DF(z^*),$$

where  $F: W \to \mathbb{R}$ , such that F(z) = f(h(z), z). But  $DF(z^*) = 0$  (why ?), so we are done.