

**Theorem 1 (Kuhn and Tucker)** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq l$ ,  $h_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $C^1$  functions. Suppose  $x^*$  is a local maximum of  $f$  on

$$D = U \cup \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h_i(x) \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, l\},$$

where  $U$  is an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $E \subset \{1, \dots, l\}$  denote the set of effective constraints at  $x^*$  and let  $h_E = (h_i)_{i \in E}$ . Suppose  $\text{rank}(Dh_E(x^*)) = |E|$ , then there exists a vector  $\lambda^* = (\lambda_1^*, \dots, \lambda_l^*)$ ,  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the following conditions are met:

$$\lambda_i^* \geq 0 \text{ and } \lambda_i^* h_i(x^*) = 0, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, l \quad (0.1)$$

$$Df(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^* Dh_i(x^*) = 0 \quad (0.2)$$

**Proof.** WLOG assume that  $E = \{1, \dots, k\}$ . For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ , define

$$V_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h_i(x) > 0\}, \text{ and } V = \cup_{i=1}^k V_i.$$

Then  $V$  is open (why?). Let  $D^* \subset D$  be such that,

$$D^* = U \cap V \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h_i(x) = 0, i = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

Clearly  $x^*$  is a local maximum of  $f$  in  $D^*$  (why?) and  $\text{rank}(Dh_E(x^*)) = |E| = k$ . Consequently, (why?) there exists a vector  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ ,  $\mu_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$Df(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i Dh_i(x^*) = 0.$$

For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ , define

$$\lambda_i^* = \begin{cases} \mu_i & i = 1, 2, \dots, k \\ 0 & i = k + 1, \dots, l. \end{cases}$$

The proof will be complete if we are able to show that  $\mu_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  (why?). We will now show that  $\mu_1 \geq 0$ , the proof for the rest is similar.

Note that  $\exists, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $Dh_1(x^*)y = 1, Dh_2(x^*)y = 0, Dh_3(x^*)y = 0, \dots, Dh_k(x^*)y = 0$  (why?). Now for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , define a function  $g_i : \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g_i \left( \begin{bmatrix} z \\ \eta \end{bmatrix} \right) = h_i(x^* + \eta y + Dh_E(x^*)^T z) - \eta Dh_i(x^*)y,$$

with  $z \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_k)$ , we have  $g \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 0$  and suppose  $A = Dg(0, 0)$ ,

Then  $A_x = Dh_E(x^*) Dh_E(x^*)^T$ , is invertible (why?).

By the Implicit function theorem, we have

$$\exists N \text{ open in } \mathbb{R} : 0 \in N, \text{ and } \xi : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k : \xi(0) = 0 \text{ and } g(\xi(\eta), \eta) = 0 \quad (0.3)$$

$$D\xi(0) = 0 \text{ ( why ? )} \quad (0.4)$$

Define  $\tau : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\tau(\eta) = x^* + \eta y + Dh_E(x^*)\xi(\eta).$$

Note the following properties of  $\tau$

- (i)  $\tau(0) = x^*$ .
- (ii)  $h_1(\tau(\eta)) = \eta$  and  $h_i(\tau(\eta)) = 0$  for  $i = 2, \dots, k$ . So  $h_i(\tau(\eta)) \geq 0$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  if  $\eta \geq 0$ .
- (iii)  $D(\tau(0)) = y$ .

Therefore, (why ?),

$$Df(x^*)y = Df(\tau(0))D(\tau(0)) = \lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \frac{f(\tau(\eta)) - f(\tau(0))}{\eta} \leq 0. \quad (0.5)$$

Also

$$Df(x^*)y = -\left(\sum_i = 1^l \lambda_i^* Dh_i(x^*)\right)y = -\mu_1 \quad (0.6)$$

Matching (0.6) and (0.5) we are done. □