

On an Example of Jacobson Author(s): B. Sury Source: *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 106, No. 7, (Aug. - Sep., 1999), pp. 675-676 Published by: Mathematical Association of America Stable URL: <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/2589499</u> Accessed: 16/06/2008 12:01

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=maa.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit organization founded in 1995 to build trusted digital archives for scholarship. We enable the scholarly community to preserve their work and the materials they rely upon, and to build a common research platform that promotes the discovery and use of these resources. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

On an Example of Jacobson

B. Sury

In Vol. III of Nathan Jacobson's celebrated book [2], there appears the following exercise on p. 49:

Let \mathbb{F}_p be the field with p elements, and $P = \mathbb{F}_p(x, y)$ where x, y are indeterminates. Let E be the subfield $\mathbb{F}_p(x^p - x, y^p - x)$. Show that $[P : E] = p^2$, that P/E is not separable, and that P/E contains no purely inseparable element.

Now, it is seen immediately that Jacobson's example is really a nonexample. Surprisingly, none of the other standard graduate texts seem to give an example, although one can be found in [1, Ex. 17, Ch. V]. Here is another:

Example. Let $P = \mathbb{F}_p(x, y)$ and let E be the subfield $\mathbb{F}_p(x^p - x, y^p x)$. Then

- (i) $[P:E] = p^2$,
- (ii) P/E is not separable, and
- (iii) P/E contains no purely inseparable element over E except those contained in E.

Recall that an element x in an algebraic closure \overline{K} of a field K is *separable* if its minimal polynomial f(T) in K[T] has all roots (in \overline{K}) simple.

It is said to be *purely inseparable* over K if it is fixed by all K-automorphisms of \overline{K} . More generally, an algebraic extension L of K is said to be *purely inseparable* if the only elements of L that are separable over K are the elements of K itself. Any algebraic extension L of K is built in two stages: $K \subset L_{sep} \subset L$, where L_{sep} is separable over K, and L is purely inseparable over L_{sep} .

One has as a consequence of this definition:

Let $x \in \overline{K}$, and let f(T) be its minimal polynomial over K. Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- (*i*) x is not separable over K;
- (ii) The derivative f'(T) is the zero polynomial; and
- (iii) *K* is of characteristic p > 0, and $f(T) \in K[T^p]$.

Under any of these equivalent hypotheses, if n is the smallest integer such that $x^{p^n} \in K$, then the minimal polynomial over K is $f(T) = T^{p^n} - x^{p^n}$.

We return to our example now.

 $P = \mathbb{F}_p(x, y) \supset E = \mathbb{F}_p(a, b)$ where $a = x^p - x$, $b = y^p x$. Then, over E, y satisfies the polynomial $g(T) = T^{p^2} + rT^{p(p-1)} - s$, where r = b/a and $s = b^p/a$. Also, P = E(y).

We note:

(a) x is separable over E and y is inseparable over E.

The separability of x follows from the preceding remarks by looking at the polynomial $T^p - T - (x^p - x)$. This is a polynomial over E satisfied by x. In fact, the Artin-Schreier Theorem [3, Ch. 8] shows that this polynomial is irreducible and is the minimal polynomial of x over E. However, we do not need this fact for the proof.

The inseparability of y is a consequence of the observation that $T^p - y^p$ is the minimal polynomial of y over the field E(x).

(b) y is not purely inseparable over E.

As $y^p \notin \in E$, one has also $y^{p^2} \notin \in E$; otherwise, from g(y) = 0 one concludes $y^{p(p-1)} \in E$, which would lead to the erroneous conclusion $y^p \in E$.

(c) x is not a p-th power in P.

This is easy to check by a simple comparison of like powers of x in view of the algebraic independence of x and y over \mathbb{F}_{p} .

Suppose $t \in P \setminus E$ is purely inseparable over *E*. Then $t^p \in E$ (because if $t^{p^n} \in E$ for some $n \ge 2$, then since the degree of P = E(y) over *E* is at most p^2 , $n \le 2$. But, if $n \ne 1$, then *P* would be purely inseparable, a contradiction).

Look at $P \supset E(t) \supset E$. Now, the minimal polynomial of t over E is $T^p - t^p$, and [E(t): E] = p. Note that $\alpha^p \in E$ for all $\alpha \in E(t)$.

Let [P: E(t)] = l, say. If the minimal polynomial of y over E(t) is $f(T) = \sum_{i=0}^{l} a_i T^i$, then y satisfies the polynomial $f(T)^p = \sum_{i=0}^{l} a_i^p T^{ip}$. As this is the minimal polynomial of y over E, $f(T)^p$ divides g(T). If $f(T)^p \sum u_i T^i = T^{p^2} + rT^{p(p-1)} - s$, one gets $u_i = 0$ if $i \neq 0 \mod p$. Renaming $u_{i/p}$ as v_i , the equation $\sum_{i=0}^{l} a_i^p T^{ip} \sum_{i=0}^{p-l} v_i T^{ip} = T^{p^2} + rT^{p(p-1)} - s$ gives inductively that $v_i = sb_i^p$ for some $b_i \in P$. Therefore, comparing the coefficients of $T^{p(p-1)}$ on both sides, we see $r = sv^p$ for some $v \in P$. This means that x is a p-th power in P, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, P has no purely inseparable elements outside of E.

Remarks. As a consequence of the proof, it is clear that $T^{p^2} + rT^{p(p-1)} - s$ is the minimal polynomial of y over E. The extension P of E is built up in two steps $P \supset E(x) \supset E$ with P purely inseparable of degree p over E(x) and E(x) separable of degree p over E.

REFERENCES

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai 400005, India. sury@math.tifr.res.in

^{1.} N. Bourbaki, Algebre, Actualites Scientifiques et Industrielles 1102, Hermann, Paris, 1950.

^{2.} N. Jacobson, Lectures in abstract algebra, Vol. III, Van Nostrand, 1964.

^{3.} S. Lang, Algebra, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Mass., 1965.