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## Composition of polynomials

## B. SURY

## Introduction

The motivation to write this paper arose out of the following problem which was posed in a recent mathematical olympiad:

Given a polynomial $P(X)$ with integer coefficients, show that there exist non-zero polynomials $Q(X), R(X)$ with integer coefficients such that $P(X) Q(X)$ is a polynomial in $X^{2}$ and $P(X) R(X)$ is a polynomial in $X^{3}$.
For instance, if $P(X)=2-5 X+3 X^{2}+12 X^{3}$, then we notice that $Q(X)=2+5 X+3 X^{2}-12 X^{3}$ serves the purpose for the first part, viz.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(X) Q(X)=\left(2-5 X+3 X^{2}+12 X^{3}\right)\left(2+5 X+3 X^{2}-12 X^{3}\right) \\
& =\left(\left(2+3 X^{2}\right)-\left(5 X-12 X^{3}\right)\right)\left(\left(2+3 X^{2}\right)+\left(5 X-12 X^{3}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(2+3 X^{2}\right)^{2}-\left(5 X-12 X^{3}\right)^{2}=\left(2+3 X^{2}\right)^{2}-X^{2}\left(5-12 X^{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

A moment's thought makes it fairly evident that this trick easily solves the first part of the problem for a general polynomial $P(X)$. For example, since $P(X)$ factorises over the complex numbers, we can write $P(X)=c \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X-\alpha_{i}\right)$ and choose . $Q(X)=c \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X+\alpha_{i}\right) \quad$ so that $P(X) Q(X)=c^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X^{2}-a_{i}^{2}\right)$. That both $Q(X)$ and $P(X) Q(X)$ have integer coefficients follows from the observation that $Q(X)=P(-X)$.

What about the second part, or more generally, does the assertion hold good if we replace $X^{2}, X^{3}$ by any $X^{k+1}$ ? As a matter of fact, it turns out that we can retain the elementary level of the original problem and still give a proof for $X^{k}$ which carries over to the general situation where $X^{k}$ is replaced by an arbitrary, non-constant polynomial $f(X)$. At the end, we indicate a multi-variable generalisation which is at a slightly higher level of sophistication. We mention in passing that the decomposability of polynomials in one variable as a composition of polynomials of smaller degree, has come to be studied in depth in the past decade or so, in relation to solving Diophantine equations of the form $f(X)=g(Y)$ where $f, g$ are integer polynomials in independent variables $X, Y$.

## 1. The original problem for $X^{2}, X^{3}$

Let us first solve the original problem. Given $P(X)$, consider the polynomial $Q(X)=P(-X)$. In other words, if $P(X)=a_{0}+a_{1} X+\ldots+a X^{n}$, then

$$
P(X)=\left(a_{0}+a_{2} X^{2}+\ldots\right)+X\left(a_{1}+a_{3} X^{2}+\ldots\right)=f\left(X^{2}\right)+X g\left(X^{2}\right)
$$

for certain polynomials $f, g$ with integer coefficients.

Taking $Q(X)=P(-X)=f\left(X^{2}\right)+X g\left(X^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
P(X) Q(X)=f\left(X^{2}\right)^{2}-X g\left(X^{2}\right)^{2} .
$$

This answers the first part.
One may adopt a similar approach for the second part. Write $P(X)=P_{0}(X)+X P_{1}(X)+X^{2} P_{2}(X)$ where, for example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{0}(X)=a_{0}+a_{3} X^{3}+\ldots=f\left(X^{3}\right), \\
& P_{1}(X)=a_{1}+a_{4} X^{3}+\ldots=g\left(X^{3}\right), \\
& P_{2}(X)=a_{2}+a_{5} X^{3}+\ldots=h\left(X^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the cube roots of unity $1, \omega, \omega^{2}$. If

$$
Q_{1}(X)=P_{0}(X)+\omega X P_{1}(X)+\omega^{2} X^{2} P_{2}(X)
$$

and

$$
Q_{2}(X)=P_{0}(X)+\omega^{2} X P_{1}(X)+\omega X^{2} P_{2}(X),
$$

then, using $1+\omega+\omega^{2}=0$, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(X)=Q_{1}(X) Q_{2}(X) & =P_{0}(X)^{2}+X^{2} P_{1}(X)^{2}+X^{4} P_{2}(X)^{2} \\
& -X P_{0}(X) P_{1}(X)-X^{2} P_{0}(X) P_{2}(X)-X^{3} P_{1}(X) P_{2}(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a polynomial with integer coefficients.
Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(X) R(X)=\left(P_{0}(X)+X P_{1}(X)+X^{2} P_{2}(X)\right) \\
& \times\left(P_{0}(X)+\omega X P_{1}(X)+\omega^{2} X^{2} P_{2}(X)\right) \\
& \times\left(P_{0}(X)+\omega^{2} X P_{1}(X)+\omega X^{2} P_{2}(X)\right) \\
&=P_{0}(X)^{3}+X^{3} P_{1}(X)^{3}+X^{6} P_{2}(X)^{3}-3 X^{3} P_{0}(X) P_{1}(X) P_{2}(X),
\end{aligned}
$$

using the identity

$$
(l+m+n)\left(l^{2}+m^{2}+n^{2}-l m-m n-n l\right)=l^{3}+m^{3}+n^{3}-3 l m n .
$$

Thus, since $P_{i}(X)$ are polynomials are in $X^{3}$ for $i=0,1,2$, we have solved the problem completely.

## 2. The polynomial $X^{k}$ for general $k$

The above elementary argument indicates that the case of $X^{k}$ for general $k$ in place of $X^{2}, X^{3}$ may be cumbersome to approach in this fashion. In this section, we give an elementary proof for the case $X^{k}$ which is different from the one above for $k=2,3$. Following that, we give another less elementary proof for the same and show in the next section that this argument carries over to show, for any non-constant polynomial $f$ in one
variable over the integers and for a given polynomial $P(X)$ with integer coefficients, there exist non-zero polynomials $Q(X), R(X)$ with integer coefficients such that $P(X) Q(X)$ is the polynomial $R(f(X))$.

Lemma 1: Let $k$ be a positive integer. Then, for each polynomial $P(X)$ with integer coefficients, there exist non-zero $Q(X), R(X)$ with integer coefficients such that $P(X) Q(X)=R\left(X^{k}\right)$. One has an analogous statement where coefficients are allowed to be rational numbers instead of the integers.

It suffices to prove the version for polynomials over the rational numbers for, if $P(X)$ has integer coefficients and, if we get $Q, R$ with rational coefficients satisfying $P(X) Q(X)=R\left(X^{k}\right)$, then we may multiply out $Q(X), R(X)$ by a suitable integer to get corresponding integral polynomials.

We first give a linear algebraic proof which is illustrated by the following example.

Example: Let $P(x)=1+7 x+x^{2}$ and suppose we wish to find a non-zero $Q(x)$ with integer coefficients such that $P(x) Q(x)$ is of the form $R\left(x^{3}\right)$.

Suppose we try to find rational $b_{i}$ so that

$$
Q(x)=b_{0}+b_{1} x+b_{2} x^{2}+b_{3} x^{3}+b_{4} x^{4}+b_{5} x^{5}+b_{\theta} x^{6}
$$

works. Then, the coefficients of $x^{8}, x^{7}, x^{5}, x^{4}, x^{2}, x$ in $P(x) Q(x)$ are zero. These conditions become the following linear equations for the $b_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
b_{6}=0 \\
b_{5}=0 \\
7 b_{4}+b_{3}=0 \\
b_{4}+7 b_{3}+b_{2}=0 \\
b_{2}+7 b_{1}+b_{0}=0 \\
b_{1}+7 b_{0}=0
\end{array}
$$

One non-trivial solution for these 6 equations in 7 variables can be obtained recursively as follows:

$$
b_{6}=0, b_{5}=0
$$

Put $b_{4}=1$; then $b_{3}=-7, b_{2}=48$. Hence $7 b_{1}+b_{0}=-48, b_{1}+7 b_{0}=0$ which gives $b_{1}=-7, b_{0}=1$. Therefore,

$$
Q(x)=1-7 x+48 x^{2}-7 x^{3}+x^{4}
$$

Note that $P(x) Q(x)=1+322 x^{3}+x^{6}$.

First (linear algebra) proof of Lemma 1
Let $P(X)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} X^{i}$ be a polynomial of degree $n$ with rational coefficients. Take an arbitrary non-zero polynomial $Q(X)=\sum_{j=0}^{m} b_{j} X^{j}$ which is a prospective candidate. The $b_{j}$ are rational numbers (not all zero) to be determined. Now, expanding out $P(X) Q(X)$, if the coefficients of $X^{\top}$ for $r \leqslant m+n$ with $k \nmid r$ vanish, then it is a polynomial in $X^{\star}$. Thus, putting the coefficient of $X^{r}$ in $P(X) Q(X)$ to be zero for each $r$ which is not a multiple of $k$, there is a homogeneous system of linear equations in the $m+1$ variables $b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}$. Since the number of terms $X^{r}$ with $k \mid r$ is $[(m+n) / k]+1$, while the total number of terms is $m+n+1$, the number of equations is $m+n-[(m+n) / k]$. If the number of variables $m+1$ is larger than $m+n-[(m+n) / k]$, the system is over-determined and has a non-trivial solution for rational $b_{i}$. Note that $m+1>m+n-[(m+n) / k]$ if, and only if, $[(m+n) / k]+1>n$; this happens if $\frac{m+n}{k}>n$.

By choosing $m$ large enough (for example, $m=k n$ ), it is clear that one has a nontrivial solution for the $b_{j}$.

Remark: We note that the above proof gives a polynomial $Q(X)$ of degree at the most $k n$. Thus, it gives $Q(X)$ of degree at the most $2 n$ for the case $X^{2}$ and degree at the most $3 n$ for the case $X^{3}$, whereas the argument in the previous section gave polynomials of degrees $n$ and $2 n$ respectively.

## Second (theory of equations) proof of Lemma 1

Consider a polynomial $P(X)=a_{0}+a_{1} X+\ldots+a_{n} X^{n}$ of degree $n$ with rational coefficients. Write $P(X)=a_{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X-\alpha_{i}\right)$ where $\alpha_{i}$ are the (complex) roots of $P(X)$. Therefore, each coefficient $a_{i}$ of $P(X)$ is (up to sign) the $i$ th elementary symmetric sum of the roots.

At this point, we recall the classical Girard-Waring identities relating the coefficients $a_{i}$ of $P(X)$ with the sums $p_{r}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{r}$ for $r=1,2, \ldots, n$ (see [1] for instance):

$$
\frac{a_{i}}{a_{n}}=\sum \frac{ \pm 1}{t_{1}!\ldots t_{i}!}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{1}\right)^{t_{1}} \ldots\left(\frac{p_{i}}{i}\right)^{t_{i}}
$$

where the sum is over all $t_{j}$ with $t_{1}+2 t_{2}+\ldots+i t_{i}=i$.
This explicit expression is not really relevant but the conclusion that the coefficients are polynomial expressions (with rational coefficients) in the power sums $p_{r}$ of the roots, is what we need here.

Define $R(X)=a_{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X-\alpha_{i}^{k}\right)$. Its coefficients are polynomial functions (with rational coefficients) of the numbers $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{r k}$ for $r \leqslant n$. But $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{r k}$, for each $r$, is a polynomial expression (with rational coefficients) in the
elementary symmetric functions of the $\alpha_{i}$, and hence of the coefficients $\frac{a_{i}}{a_{n}}$ of $P(X)$. In other words, the coefficients of $R(X)$ are rational numbers as well.

Consider

$$
\frac{R\left(X^{k}\right)}{P(X)}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X^{k}-\alpha_{i}^{k}}{X-\alpha_{i}}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X^{k-1}+X^{k-2} \alpha_{i}+\ldots+\alpha_{i}^{k-1}\right)
$$

Call this polynomial $Q(X)$. Since $P(X) Q(X)=R\left(X^{k}\right)$ where $P(X), R\left(X^{k}\right)$ are both polynomials with rational coefficients, hence $Q(X)$ must also have rational coefficients by uniqueness of factorisation of polynomials. This finishes the proof.

## 3. General polynomial $f(X)$

The above second proof carries over to a general $f(X)$ in place of $X^{k}$. Thus, we can prove:

Lemma 2: Let $f(X)$ be an arbitrary non-constant polynomial with integer coefficients. Then, for each polynomial $P(X)$ with integer coefficients, there exist non-zero $Q(X), R(X)$ with integer coefficients such that $P(X) Q(X)=R(f(X))$. One has an analogous statement with the integers replaced by the rational numbers.

Proof: As before, we may consider the polynomials $P, f$ over the rational numbers. Write

$$
P(X)=c \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X-a_{i}\right)
$$

where the $a_{i}$ are the roots of $P(X)$. Then, the main observation is that the polynomial

$$
R(X)=c \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X-f\left(a_{i}\right)\right)
$$

has rational coefficients. This follows as before, because $f(X)$ is a sum of monomials $u X^{k}$ and, for each $X^{k}$, the elementary symmetric functions in the $\alpha_{i}^{k}$ are rational numbers as before. In other words, all the coefficients of $R(X)$ (they are elementary symmetric polynomials in the $f\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(a_{n}\right)$ ) are rational as well. As $\frac{f(X)-f\left(a_{i}\right)}{X-a_{i}}$ is a polynomial for each $i, Q(X)=\frac{R(f(X))}{P(X)}$ is a polynomial also. As $R(f(X)), P(X)$ have rational coefficients, $Q(X)$ must have rational coefficients by unique factorisation of polynomials. Finally, we observe that $R(f(X))$ is not the zero polynomial since $f(X)$ is not a constant; hence $Q(X)$ is not the zero polynomial.

## 4. A multi-variable generalisation

What we proved earlier is evidently valid over any field $K$ in place of the rational numbers. Now, we go over to an analogous problem for
polynomials in more variables. In other words, here is a natural question which can be thought of as a multi-variable version of the earlier problem:

Let $K$ be any field and let $P \in K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]$. Suppose $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r} \in K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]$ be arbitrary. Do there exist non-zero $Q, R \in K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]$ such that

$$
P\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right) Q\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)=R\left(f_{1}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right), \ldots f_{r}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)\right) ?
$$

However, it is easy to see that there must be some restrictions on the $f_{i}$ in order not to have trivial counter-examples.

Necessary restrictions: If the $f_{i}$ are constants and if $P$ is not (more generally, if $X_{1}$ does not occur in any of the $f_{i}$ and if $P$ is $X_{1}$ ), then evidently $P Q=R \circ\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ for some $Q, R$ only if $Q=0=R \circ\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$.

An example: For instance, if $r=2, P=X_{1}-X_{2}, f_{1}=X_{1} X_{2}, f_{2}=\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)^{2}$, then for any $R \in K\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right], R \circ\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ is of the form $c_{0}+c_{1} X_{1} X_{2}+\ldots+c_{k}\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)^{k}$. If this is of the form $P Q$ for some $Q \in K\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]$, then one can think of this equality $R \circ\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=P Q$ in $\bar{K}\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]$ where $\bar{K}$ is an algebraic closure of $K$. Hence, $R \circ\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ vanishes at all points $(x, x)$ as $x$ varies over $\bar{K}$. As these are infinitely many points, this clearly forces all $c_{i}$ to be zero; that is, $R \circ\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=0$. Note that, in fact, $R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=X_{1}^{2}-X_{2}^{2}$ is non-zero but $R\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=0$.

More generally, if $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ are algebraically dependent; that is, if there exists $F \neq 0$ in $K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]$ such that $F \circ\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ is the zero polynomial, then it can happen that $P Q \neq R \circ\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ for any nonzero $Q$ - indeed, it may even be possible that $R \neq 0$ but $R \circ\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)=0 \in K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]$. Thus, we may modify the question; we make the modified statement now:

Theorem: Let $K$ be a field and $P \in K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]$. Suppose $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r} \in K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]$ are arbitrary polynomials such that there is no non-zero $F \in K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]$ for which $F \circ\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ is the zero polynomial. Then, there exist non-zero $Q, R \in K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]$ such that

$$
P \cdot Q=R \circ\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)
$$

We recall a few basic facts for the sake of self-containment of the article. To prove the theorem, the basic notion required is that of transcendence bases and transcendence degree.

Let $K \subset L$ be fields; then a subset $S=\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\}$ of $L$ is said to be algebraically independent over $K$ if there is no non-zero polynomial $p$ in $k$ variables over $K$ such that $p\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right)=0$ in $L$. A basic fact of the theory is that any two maximal algebraically independent subsets of $K\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)$ have the same cardinality, which is called the transcendence degree of $L$ over $K$ (and any such set is called a transcendence base). Moreover, every
element of $L$ is algebraic over the subfield generated by $K$ and the transcendence base.

Over a field $K$, the quotient field $K\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)$ of the polynomial ring $K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]$ is the field of all rational functions $\frac{f\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)}{g\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)}$ for nonzero polynomials $g$. This field $K\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)$ has transcendence degree $r$ over $K$ and $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right\}$ is a transcendence base over $K$.

Proof of theorem: Consider $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r} \in K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]$ as in the statement. The hypothesis means precisely that $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right\}$ is algebraically independent over $K$ (and is, hence, a transcendence base of the field $K\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)$ over $\left.K\right)$. In particular, the field $K\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)$ is algebraic over the subfield $K\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$. If its degree is $d$, then the subset $\left\{1, P, P^{2}, \ldots, P^{d}\right\}$ of $K\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)$ is linearly dependent over $K\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$. In other words, there is a polynomial $p$ in one variable over $K\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$, say

$$
p(t)=c_{0}+c_{1} t+c_{2} t^{2}+\ldots+c_{d} t^{d}
$$

with $c_{i} \in K\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$, such that

$$
c_{0}+c_{1} P+c_{2} P^{2}+\ldots+c_{d} P^{d}=0 \in K\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right) .
$$

By clearing denominators, we may assume that

$$
c_{i} \in K\left[f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right] \subset K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]
$$

for each $i$.
Writing $Q\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)=c_{i}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right) \in K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]$ for $i>0$ and $Q=Q_{1}+Q_{2} P+\ldots+Q_{d} P^{d-1} \in K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]$, we get

$$
P Q=Q_{1} P+Q_{2} P^{2}+\ldots+Q_{d} P^{d}=-c_{0}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right) \in K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right] .
$$

Taking $R=-c_{0}$, we have the theorem.
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