

l -Class groups of cyclic extensions of prime degree l

Manisha Kulkarni¹, Dipramit Majumdar² and
Balasubramanian Sury³

¹*Department of Mathematics, International Institute of Information Technology, 26/C,
Electronics City, Hosur Road, Bangalore 560 100, India
e-mail: manisha.shreesh@gmail.com*

²*Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Dr. Homi Bhaba Road, Pashan,
Pune 411 008, India
e-mail: dipramit@gmail.com*

³*Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 8th Mile Mysore Road,
Bangalore 560 059, India
e-mail: surybang@gmail.com*

Communicated by: Sujatha

Received: June 21, 2015

Abstract. Let K/F be a cyclic extension of odd prime degree l over a number field F . If F has class number coprime to l , we study the structure of the l -Sylow subgroup of the class group of K . In particular, when F contains the l -th roots of unity, we obtain bounds for the \mathbb{F}_l -rank of the l -Sylow subgroup of K using genus theory. We obtain some results valid for general l . Following that, we obtain more complete, explicit results for $l = 5$ and $F = \mathbb{Q}(e^{\frac{2i\pi}{5}})$. The rank of the 5-class group of K is expressed in terms of power residue symbols. We compare our results with tables obtained using SAGE (the latter is under GRH). We obtain explicit results in several cases. These results have a number of potential applications. For instance, some of them like Theorem 5.16 could be useful in the arithmetic of elliptic curves over towers of the form $\mathbb{Q}(e^{\frac{2i\pi}{5^n}}, x^{1/5})$. Using the results on the class groups of the fields of the form $\mathbb{Q}(e^{\frac{2i\pi}{5}}, x^{1/5})$, and using Kummer duality theory, we deduce results on the 5-class numbers of fields of the form $\mathbb{Q}(x^{1/5})$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11R29, 13C20.

1. Introduction

We study the l -class group of K , where K is a cyclic extension of degree l over a number field F which contains the l -th roots of unity and has trivial

l -class group, where l is an odd prime. Denote by τ a generator of $\text{Gal}(K/F)$. The l -class group S_K is a $\mathbb{Z}_l[\zeta_l]$ -module since

$$\mathbb{Z}_l[\zeta_l] \cong \mathbb{Z}_l[\text{Gal}(K/F)]/(1 + \tau + \dots + \tau^{l-1})$$

where ζ corresponds to τ . As a module over the discrete valuation ring $\mathbb{Z}_l[\zeta]$ whose maximal ideal is generated by $\lambda = 1 - \zeta$, the l -class group S_K of K decomposes as

$$S_K \cong \mathbb{Z}_l[\zeta_l]/(\lambda^{e_1}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_l[\zeta_l]/(\lambda^{e_2}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_l[\zeta_l]/(\lambda^{e_t})$$

for some $1 \leq e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \dots \leq e_t$. Our goal is to compute the rank of S_K which is the dimension of the \mathbb{F}_l -vector space $S_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{F}_l$. To find the e_i 's, one looks at

$$s_i = |\{e_j : e_j = i\}|.$$

Then, the rank of the $\mathbb{Z}_l[\zeta]$ -module $\lambda^{i-1}S_K/\lambda^iS_K$ is $t - s_1 - \dots - s_{i-1}$ - this is also called the λ^i -rank of S_K . To compute these numbers, we consider the decreasing filtration

$$S_K \supset \lambda S_K \supset \lambda^2 S_K \supset \dots$$

and construct ideal classes generating the pieces $\lambda^{i-1}S_K/\lambda^iS_K$ and construct genus fields corresponding to them. This is difficult to carry out explicitly in general. However, the general analysis does lead to expressions and bounds for the rank of S_K such as the following proposition:

S_K is isomorphic to the direct product of an elementary abelian ℓ -group of rank s_1 and an abelian ℓ group of rank

$$(\ell - 1)(t - s_1) - (\ell - 3)s_2 - (\ell - 4)s_3 - \dots - s_{\ell-2}.$$

In particular,

$$\text{rank} S_K = (\ell - 1)t - (\ell - 2)s_1 - (\ell - 3)s_2 - \dots - s_{\ell-2}$$

satisfies the bounds

$$2t - s_1 \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq (l - 1)t - (l - 2)s_1$$

both of which are attainable.

This is proved in section 3; the expression for the rank is almost immediate but some components of proof of the proposition are used while constructing the genus fields explicitly later.

In section 4, we assume that F contains the l -th roots of unity and construct genus fields corresponding to the pieces of the class group as above. These fields are of the form $K(x_1^{1/l}, x_2^{1/l}, \dots, x_t^{1/l})$. For a basis $\{P_j\}$ of ideal classes for a piece, using Kummer theory to map the Galois group of the

corresponding genus field to \mathbb{F}_l^t , one writes down a matrix with entries in \mathbb{F}_l from that part of the class group. This allows us to express the rank of that piece of the class group in terms of the rank of a matrix of Artin symbols of the form $\left(\frac{K(x_i^{1/l})/K}{P_j}\right)$ (see theorems 4.1, 4.2).

In section 5, we specialize to $l = 5$ and $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ which allows us to precisely work out the previous results. The major part of the paper is contained in sections 5 and 6. In section 5, we use ideles to rewrite the earlier computations of the s_i 's in terms of Artin symbols in a more explicit form in terms of local Hilbert symbols. One of the results in section 5 is:

Let $K = F(x^{\frac{1}{5}})$, $x = u\lambda^{e_\lambda}\pi_1^{e_1} \cdots \pi_g^{e_g}$ and $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ where each π_i is a prime element congruent to a rational integer modulo $5\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ and u is a unit in F . Let $M_1 = K(x_1^{\frac{1}{5}}, \dots, x_t^{\frac{1}{5}})$ denote the genus field of K/F , where $[M_1 : K] = 5^t$, $x_i \in F$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$, and $x_i \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{\lambda^5}$. For $1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq g$, let v_{ij} denote the degree 5 Hilbert symbol $\left(\frac{x_i, x}{\pi_j}\right)$ in the local field K_{π_j} . Further, suppose

$$v_{i,g+1} = \left(\frac{x_i, \lambda}{\lambda}\right) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t, \text{ if the ideal } (\lambda) \text{ of } F \text{ ramifies in } K.$$

If $\gamma_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_\ell$ are defined by the power symbol $\zeta^{\gamma_{ij}} = (x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})^{v_{ij}-1}$, and C_1 is the matrix $(\gamma_{ij}), 1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq u = g \text{ or } g + 1$, we have

$$s_1 = \text{rank} C_1.$$

The above result is under the assumption that ambiguous ideals are strongly ambiguous; in the contrary case, we have a very similar statement with a slightly bigger matrix (see Theorem 5.9).

A similar result is proved for computing s_i 's for $i > 1$ (see Theorem 5.10). Thus, we have some results on the λ^i -rank of the 5-class group for general i and the results on λ^2 -rank are easily computable in many situations.

We give tables of class groups obtained by using the SAGE program and compare our results in its light. Interestingly, after a close inspection of the tables, we were able to guess the following general results which we prove (Theorems 5.12, 5.13, 5.14, 5.15, 5.16, 5.18).

Theorem. Let p be a prime number congruent to $-1 \pmod{5}$. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and $K = F(p^{\frac{1}{5}})$. Assuming that each ambiguous ideal class is strongly ambiguous, we have that 25 divides the class number of K . More precisely, the λ^2 -rank (to be defined below) of the 5-class group S_K is 1 and, $2 \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 4$.

The following theorem may be useful in studying the arithmetic of elliptic curves over towers of the form $\mathbb{Q}(e^{\frac{2i\pi}{5^n}}, x^{1/5})$. It is motivated by a comment of

John Coates that Iwasawa theory implies the triviality of 5-class group of the above fields for all n in the cases of x considered in the theorem.

Theorem. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5, x^{\frac{1}{5}})$ where x is a positive integer which is not divisible by the 5th power of any prime in F . Suppose that the prime $\lambda = 1 - \zeta_5$ over 5 in F , ramifies in K . Then $S_K = \{1\}$ if, and only if, $x = p^a$, where p is a prime number such that $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$, but $p \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ and $1 \leq a \leq 4$. Further, for all x as above, the prime 5 ramifies totally in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{25}, x^{1/5})$.

If we remove the assumption that λ ramifies in K/F , then the 5-class group is trivial if, and only if, $x = p^a$ with $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ or $x = p^a q^b$, where $p, q \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $p, q \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ and $x \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$. All these results are exemplified in Table 1.

Theorem. Let p be a prime number congruent to $\pm 7 \pmod{25}$ and q be a prime number congruent to $-1 \pmod{5}$. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and $K = F((pq)^{\frac{1}{5}})$. [Assuming that each ambiguous ideal class is strongly ambiguous,] we have that 125 divides the class number of K . More precisely, λ^2 -rank of S_K is 1 and we have, $3 \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 5$.

Theorem. Let $p_i \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ be primes and $r \geq 2$. Let $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$, where $1 \leq a_i \leq 4$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and $K = F(n^{\frac{1}{5}})$.

- (i) If all ambiguous ideal classes of K/F are strongly ambiguous, then the λ^2 -rank of S_K is $r - 1$ and $2r - 2 \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 4r - 4$.
- (ii) If there are ambiguous ideal classes which are not strongly ambiguous, then $s_1 \leq 2$, λ^2 -rank of S_K is greater than or equal to $r - 3$ and $\max(2r - 4, r - 1) \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 4r - 4$.

Theorem. Let $p_i \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ be primes and let q_j be primes such that $q_j \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $q_j \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$. Let $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r} q_1^{b_1} \cdots q_s^{b_s}$, where $1 \leq a_i, b_j \leq 4$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$. Let $n \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and $K = F(n^{\frac{1}{5}})$.

- (i) If all ambiguous ideal classes of K/F are strongly ambiguous, then the λ^2 -rank of S_K is $r + s - 1$ and $2r + 2s - 2 \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 4r + 4s - 4$.
- (ii) If there are ambiguous ideal classes which are not strongly ambiguous, then $s_1 \leq 1$, λ^2 -rank of S_K is greater than or equal to $r + s - 2$ and $\max(2r + 2s - 3, r + s - 1) \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 4r + 4s - 4$.

Theorem. Let $p_i \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ be primes and let q_j be primes such that $q_j \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $q_j \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$ with $s \geq 2$. Let $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r} q_1^{b_1} \cdots q_s^{b_s}$, where $1 \leq a_i, b_j \leq 4$ for

$1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$. Let $n \equiv \pm 1$ or $\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and $K = F(n^{\frac{1}{5}})$.

- (i) If all ambiguous ideal classes of K/F are strongly ambiguous, then the λ^2 -rank of S_K is $r + s - 2$ and $2r + 2s - 4 \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 4r + 4s - 8$.
- (ii) If there are ambiguous ideal classes which are not strongly ambiguous, then $s_1 \leq 1$, λ^2 -rank of S_K is greater than or equal to $r + s - 3$ and $\max(2r + 2s - 5, r + s - 2) \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 4r + 4s - 8$.

From the last three theorems, one may deduce information about certain 5-class groups of purely quintic extensions of \mathbb{Q} such as Corollary 6.7:

Corollary. *Let N be a positive integer of one of the following forms. Then, the 5-class group of $L = \mathbb{Q}(N^{\frac{1}{5}})$ is either trivial or cyclic:*

- Let $N = p^a$, where $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ is a prime, $1 \leq a \leq 4$.
- Let $N = q_1^{a_1} q_2^{a_2}$ where $q_i \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $q_i \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $1 \leq a_i \leq 4$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that $N \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$.
- Let $N = p^a$, where $p \equiv -1 \pmod{5}$ is a prime, $1 \leq a \leq 4$.
- Let $N = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2}$ where $p_i \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $1 \leq a_i \leq 4$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that $N \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$.
- $N = p^a q^b$ where $p \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $q \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $q \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ and $1 \leq a, b \leq 4$ such that $N \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$.
- $N = q_1^{a_1} q_2^{a_2}$ where $q_i \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $q_i \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $1 \leq a_i \leq 4$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that $N \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$.
- $N = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} q^b$ where $p_i \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $q \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $q \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $1 \leq a_i, b \leq 4$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that $N \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$.
- $N = p^a q_1^{a_1} q_2^{a_2}$ where $p \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $q_i \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $q_i \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $1 \leq a, a_i \leq 4$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that $N \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$.
- $N = q_1^{a_1} q_2^{a_2} q_3^{a_3}$ where $q_i \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $q_i \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $1 \leq a_i \leq 4$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that $N \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$.
- Let $N = p^a q^b$, where $p \equiv -1 \pmod{5}$ and $q \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ are primes, $1 \leq a, b \leq 4$.

The above corollary is proved in section 6 where we consider quintic fields $L = \mathbb{Q}(n^{1/5})$. If $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ as before, then $K = L(\zeta_5) = \mathbb{Q}(n^{1/5}, \zeta_5)$ has Galois group over L to be cyclic of order 4, generated by $\sigma : \zeta \mapsto \zeta^3$. If $\tau : n^{1/5} \mapsto \zeta n^{1/5}$ in $\text{Gal}(K/F)$, then $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is the affine group on \mathbb{F}_5 ; viz., $\langle \sigma \rangle \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$ where $\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} = \tau^3$. The group S_K is a $\mathbb{Z}_5[G]$ -module where $G = \text{Gal}(K/L)$. Denoting by $\omega : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_5^*$ the character sending σ to 3 modulo 5, we have for any $\mathbb{Z}_5[G]$ -module C , one has a decomposition $C = \bigoplus_{i=0}^3 C(\omega^i)$ where $C(\omega^i) = \{a \in C : \sigma a = \omega(\sigma)^i a\}$. Using this module structure and Kummer theory, we prove in section 6 the following theorem whose corollary is stated above.

Theorem. *If $L = \mathbb{Q}(n^{1/5})$ where n is 5-th power free, then*

$$\text{rank} S_L \leq \min(t, t - s_1 + \text{rank}(S_K/(1 - \zeta)S_K)(\omega^0)).$$

Further, if $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$ where the primes $p_i \equiv \pm 2$ or $\equiv -1$ modulo 5, then $\text{rank}(S_K/(1 - \zeta)S_K)(\omega^0)$.

Our results along with computation using SAGE, sometimes allows us to deduce the existence of ambiguous ideal classes which are not strongly ambiguous. For example, let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5, \sqrt[5]{301})$, $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[5]{301})$. By Theorem 5.12, if all ambiguous ideal classes are strongly ambiguous, then, $2 \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 4$. The same theorem tells us that if there are ambiguous ideal classes which are not strongly ambiguous, then $1 \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 4$. But, SAGE shows $S_K = C_5$. Thus, it is likely that we have ambiguous ideal classes which are not strongly ambiguous in this case and that $t = s_1 = 1$. By Corollary 6.4 and Theorem 6.6, we see that $S_L = \{1\}$, which is confirmed by SAGE.

Historically, when $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ is a quadratic field of discriminant D , Gauss's genus theory of quadratic forms determines the rank of the 2-Sylow subgroup of the ideal class group of K . C. S. Herz ([12]) proved that this rank is $d - 1$ or $d - 2$ where $d = \omega(D)$, the number of distinct prime divisors of D . In a series of papers (see [3], [4], [5]), Frank Gerth III proved several results on pure cubic extensions of \mathbb{Q} and on cyclic cubic extensions of \mathbb{Q} and also obtained a generalization of Herz's result for the 3-Sylow subgroup of the ideal class group of a cyclic extension of $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)$ where ω is a primitive 3-rd root of unity. In two papers, G. Gras ([6], [7]) introduced and studied an increasing filtration to obtain results on the narrow ideal class group. Our results are proved using a decreasing filtration and generalize some of Gerth's results to the case of an odd prime l ; they are more complete and explicit when $l = 5$.

In the 1930's, Rédei and Reichardt proved certain results on class groups of some abelian extensions of \mathbb{Q} ([18]). Curiously, the series of papers by Gerth do not refer to the old work of Rédei and Reichardt. Conversely, the newer papers which refer to Rédei-Reichardt while addressing similar questions (see, for instance, Greither-Kučera's paper on the lifted root number conjecture [9]), do not seem to be aware of Gerth's work.

Rédei matrices are square matrices which appeared classically (see [17]) and have been studied by others (see [21],[13],[15]) since then. In our discussion, we construct similar matrices which are rectangular in general.

Our results have some potential applications. One possible application of our results on the l -class group of certain number fields is towards the existence of p -descent for certain elliptic curves.

Indeed, assuming that the \mathbb{F}_2 -rank of the 4-class group of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2n})$ - where $n = p_0 p_1 \cdots p_k$ is a product of distinct odd primes with $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ - is 0 if $n \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ and 1 otherwise, Ye Tian showed ([20]) that the elliptic curves $E^{(m)}/\mathbb{Q}$ defined by $my^2 = x^3 - x$, where

$m = n$ or $2n$ such that $m \equiv 5, 6,$ or 7 modulo 8 , have first 2-descent and deduced the BSD conjecture holds for these elliptic curves.

From our results on 5-class numbers of fields of the form $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5, n^{1/5})$, we use duality theory to deduce results on the 5-class number of the fields $\mathbb{Q}(n^{1/5})$ for some n . These have potential applications to the following work of Calegari-Emerton on modular forms. Calegari and Emerton showed ([1]) that if the class group of $\mathbb{Q}(N^{1/5})$ is cyclic for a prime N , certain local extensions of \mathbb{Q}_5 coming from normalized cuspidal Hecke eigenforms are trivial. More precisely:

Let f be a normalized cuspidal Hecke eigenform of level N . Let K_f denote the extension of \mathbb{Q}_5 generated by the q -expansion coefficients $a_n(f)$ of f . It is known that K_f is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_5 . When N is a prime and $5 \parallel (N - 1)$, it is known due to Mazur that there exists a unique (upto conjugation) weight 2 normalized cuspidal Hecke eigenform defined over \mathbb{Q}_5 , satisfying the congruence

$$a_l(f) \equiv 1 + l \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

where \mathfrak{p} is the maximal ideal of the ring of integer of K_f . It is known that K_f is a totally ramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_5 . Calegari and Emerton showed that if the class group of $\mathbb{Q}(N^{1/5})$ is cyclic, then $K_f = \mathbb{Q}_5$.

2. Notations

Let ℓ be an odd prime number. Let F be a number field and K/F be a cyclic extension of degree ℓ over F . Let C_K and C_F denote the ideal class groups of K and F respectively. Let S_K and S_F denote their respective Sylow l -subgroups which we sometimes refer to as the l -class groups. The rank of S_K is defined to be the \mathbb{F}_ℓ -dimension of $S_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{F}_\ell$.

We have a natural action of $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ on C_K and on S_K .

We assume throughout that S_F is trivial. It is convenient to use additive notation. Denote by τ a generator of $\text{Gal}(K/F)$. Let ζ_l be a fixed primitive l -th root of unity. The l -class group S_K is a $\mathbb{Z}_l[\zeta_l]$ -module since

$$\mathbb{Z}_l[\zeta_l] \cong \mathbb{Z}_l[\text{Gal}(K/F)]/(1 + \tau + \dots + \tau^{l-1})$$

as the norm $1 + \tau + \dots + \tau^{l-1}$ acts trivially on S_K . Denote the discrete valuation ring $\mathbb{Z}_l[\zeta]$ by R ; its maximal ideal is generated by $\lambda = 1 - \zeta$. As an R -module, the l -class group S_K of K decomposes as

$$S_K \cong \mathbb{Z}_l[\zeta_l]/(\lambda^{e_1}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_l[\zeta_l]/(\lambda^{e_2}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_l[\zeta_l]/(\lambda^{e_t})$$

for some $1 \leq e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \dots \leq e_t$. Let

$$s_i := |\{e_j : e_j = i\}|$$

so that $t = s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_{l-2}$ and $s_k = 0$ for $k > l - 2$ since $(\lambda^{l-1}) = (l)$. We have a decreasing filtration

$$S_K \supset \lambda S_K \supset \lambda^2 S_K \supset \dots$$

Denote by $S_K[\lambda]$, the kernel of multiplication by λ on S_K ; note that $t = \text{rank} S_K[\lambda]$. Similarly, it is easy to see that

$$s_i = \text{rank}((S_K[\lambda] \cap \lambda^{i-1} S_K) + \lambda^i S_K) / \lambda^i S_K.$$

Also, the rank of the $\mathbb{Z}_l[\zeta_l]$ -module $\lambda^{i-1} S_K / \lambda^i S_K$ is $t - s_1 - \dots - s_{i-1}$ which is called the λ^i -rank of S_K .

By class field theory, the maximal abelian unramified extension M_0 of K satisfies $C_K \cong \text{Gal}(M_0/K)$. The genus field of K/F is the maximal abelian extension M of F which is contained in M_0 ; then $\text{Gal}(M/F)$ is the abelianization of $\text{Gal}(M_0/F)$. Moreover, $C_K / \lambda C_K \cong \text{Gal}(M/K)$ and is called the group of genera.

An ideal class c in C_K is said to be *ambiguous* if $\tau c = c$; that is, if $c \in C_K[\lambda]$. Thus, the subgroup $S_K[\lambda]$ of ambiguous ideal l -classes is an elementary abelian l -group whose rank is that of $S_K / \lambda S_K$ (which we have denoted by t above). The rank t is computed using Hasse’s famous formula ([10] and [14]):

$$t = d + q^* - (r + 1 + o)$$

where

d = number of ramified primes in K/F ,

r = rank of the free abelian part of the group of units E_F of F ,

$o = 1$ or 0 according as to whether F contains primitive ℓ th root of unity or not,

q^* is defined by $[N_{K/F}(K^*) \cap E_F : N_{K/F}(E_F)] = \ell^{q^*}$.

More generally, let us define for each $i \leq \ell$, S_K^i to be the subgroup of ambiguous ideal classes in $\lambda^{i-1} S_K$. Thus, $\text{rank } S_K^i = \text{rank } \lambda^{i-1} S_K / \lambda^i S_K$ which is the λ^i -rank of S_K (which we observed above to be $t - s_1 - \dots - s_{i-1}$).

There is a subtler notion of *strongly ambiguous* ideals. An ambiguous ideal I is said to be strongly ambiguous if the principal ideal $(1 - \tau)I$ is actually (1) . There is also a related notion for ideal classes. An ideal class $a \in C_K$ is said to be a *strongly ambiguous ideal class* if there exist a representative $\mathfrak{a} \in I_K$ for a such that $(1 - \tau)\mathfrak{a} = (1)$.

The subgroup $S_{K,s}$ of strongly ambiguous ideal classes in S_K has rank given by a similar formula as above:

$$\text{rank} S_{K,s} = d + q - (r + 1 + o)$$

where q is given by $[N_{K/F}(E_K) \cap E_F : N_{K/F}(E_F)] = \ell^q$.

3. Cyclic extensions of degree ℓ

In this section we give a formula for the rank of S_K , where K/F is a Galois extension of odd prime degree ℓ .

Throughout, we assume $S_F = \{1\}$.

The proof is an easy generalization of Theorems 3.1 and 4.1 of [3].

Proposition 3.1. *Let K be a cyclic extension of degree ℓ of a number field F for which the l -class group $S_F = \{1\}$. Let us denote by t the rank of the group of ambiguous ideal classes $S_K[\lambda]$ in S_K , and by s_i , the rank of $(\lambda^{i-1}S_K[\lambda] + \lambda^i S_K)/\lambda^i S$. Then*

$$\text{rank} S_K = (\ell - 1)t - (\ell - 2)s_1 - (\ell - 3)s_2 - \cdots - s_{\ell-2}.$$

Further, S_K is isomorphic to the direct product of an elementary abelian ℓ -groups of rank s_1 and an abelian ℓ group of rank

$$(\ell - 1)(t - s_1) - (\ell - 3)s_2 - (\ell - 4)s_3 - \cdots - s_{\ell-2}.$$

Proof. For $R = \mathbb{Z}_l[\zeta]$, the R -module decomposition

$$S_K = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t R/\lambda^{e_i} R = \bigoplus_i (R/\lambda^i R)^{s_i}$$

where $1 \leq e_1 \leq \cdots \leq e_t$ and

$$s_i = |\{j : e_j = i\}|,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank} S_K &= \sum_i |\{j : e_j \geq i\}| \\ &= t + (t - s_1) + (t - s_1 - s_2) + \cdots + (t - s_1 - s_2 - \cdots - s_{\ell-2}) \\ &= (\ell - 1)t - (\ell - 2)s_1 - (\ell - 3)s_2 \cdots - s_{\ell-2}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to get the direct sum decomposition, we consider the filtration

$$S_K \supset \lambda S_K \supset \cdots \supset \lambda^{\ell-1} S_K = \ell S_K$$

and the homomorphism

$$\lambda_i^* : \lambda^{i-1} S_K / \lambda^i S_K \twoheadrightarrow \lambda^i S_K / \lambda^{i+1} S_K$$

induced by multiplication by λ .

As $\lambda^{i-1} S_K / \lambda^i S_K$ are elementary abelian ℓ -groups, they can be viewed as vector spaces over \mathbb{F}_ℓ . Then λ_i^* is a surjective, vector space homomorphism. Hence there exists groups R_i, W_i such that

$$\lambda^i S_K \subset R_i, W_i \subset \lambda^{i-1} S_K$$

and so that λ_i^* gives an isomorphism between $R_i/\lambda^i S_K$ and $\lambda^i S_K/\lambda^{i+1} S_K$ and

$$\ker \lambda_i^* = W_i/\lambda^i S_K.$$

Therefore,

$$R_i + W_i = \lambda^{i-1} S_K \quad \text{and} \quad R_i \cap W_i = \lambda^i S_K.$$

Clearly $W_i = (\lambda^{i-1} S_K)[\lambda] + \lambda^i S_K$ from the definition of λ_i^* .

So, there exists a subgroup $H_i \subset (\lambda^{i-1} S_K)[\lambda]$, such that

$$W_i = H_i \oplus \lambda^i S_K,$$

with $H_i \cong (\lambda^{i-1} S_K[\lambda] + \lambda^i S_K)/\lambda^i S_K$. Then

$$\lambda^{i-1} S_K = R_i + W_i = R_i + (H_i \oplus \lambda^i S_K) \cong R_i \oplus H_i$$

since $R_i \cap W_i = \lambda^i S_K$. In particular, for $i = 1$, we get,

$$S_K \cong R_1 \oplus H_1.$$

Recall that $s_i = \text{rank}(\lambda^{i-1} S_K[\lambda] + \lambda^i S_K)/\lambda^i S_K$; thus

$$s_i = \text{rank} H_i = \text{rank} W_i/\lambda^i S_K.$$

Thus, the proposition will follow if we can prove:

$$\text{rank} R_1 = (\ell - 1)(t - s_1) - (\ell - 3)s_2 - (\ell - 4)s_3 - \cdots - s_{\ell-2}.$$

Since $\ell H_i = \{1\}$ and $\ell S_K = \lambda^{\ell-1} S_K$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank} R_1 &= \text{rank} R_1/\ell R_1 = \text{rank} R_1/\ell S_K = \text{rank} R_1/\lambda^{\ell-1} S_K \\ &= \text{rank} R_1/\lambda S_K + \text{rank} \lambda S_K/\lambda^2 S_K + \cdots + \text{rank} \lambda^{\ell-2} S_K/\lambda^{\ell-1} S_K \\ &= 2 \cdot \text{rank} R_1/\lambda S_K + \text{rank} R_2/\lambda^2 S_K + \cdots + \text{rank} R_{\ell-2}/\lambda^{\ell-2} S_K, \end{aligned}$$

since $R_i/\lambda^i S_K \cong \lambda^i S_K/\lambda^{i+1} S_K$.

Now,

$$\text{rank} R_1/\lambda S_K = \text{rank} S_K/\lambda S_K - \text{rank} W_1/\lambda S_K = t - s_1.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank} R_i/\lambda^i S_K &= \text{rank} \lambda^{i-1} S_K/\lambda^i S_K - \text{rank} W_i/\lambda^i S_K \\ &= \text{rank} R_{i-1}/\lambda^{i-1} S_K - s_i = t - s_1 - s_2 - \cdots - s_i. \end{aligned}$$

Putting all of these together, we get

$$\text{rank} R_1 = (\ell - 1)(t - s_1) - (\ell - 3)s_2 - (\ell - 4)s_3 - \cdots - s_{\ell-2}$$

and

$$\text{rank} S_K = (\ell - 1)t - (\ell - 2)s_1 - (\ell - 3)s_2 - \cdots - s_{\ell-2}.$$

□

Remark. We saw in the beginning of the proof above that from the decomposition $S_K \cong R/\lambda^{e_1}R \times \cdots \times R/\lambda^{e_t}R$, where $R = \mathbb{Z}_l[\zeta_l]$ and $\lambda = 1 - \zeta_l$, one can easily find the formula of the rank by simple counting. We have given the above proof as some ingredients of the proof like the subgroups R_i and W_i will be used later in the construction of genus fields.

We point out the following special cases of interest; the first corollary below is immediate:

Corollary 3.2. *If $t = s_1$, then S_K is an elementary abelian ℓ group of rank t .*

Corollary 3.3. *For $i \geq 1$, we have*

$$\text{rank} \lambda^i S_K / \lambda^{i+1} S_K = t - s_1 - \cdots - s_i.$$

In particular, $t - s_1 - \cdots - s_i \geq 0$ for all i and so, we observe

$$0 \leq s_i \leq t - s_1 - \cdots - s_{i-1}.$$

Proof. The proof of this corollary is contained in the proof of the Proposition 3.1. □

Corollary 3.4. *For some $1 \leq i \leq (\ell - 2)$, if we have $\lambda^i S_K = lS_K$, then $s_j = 0$ for $j \geq i + 1$ and $t = s_1 + \cdots + s_i$.*

Proof. Since $\lambda^i S_K^{\Delta^i} = lS_K$, we see that

$$\lambda^i S_K = \lambda^{i+1} S_K = \cdots = \lambda^{\ell-1} S_K = lS_K.$$

So, the quotients $\lambda^j S_K / \lambda^{j+1} S_K$ are trivial for all $j \geq i$. The previous corollary implies the assertion now. □

Corollary 3.5. *The rank of S_K satisfies the bounds*

$$2t - s_1 \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq (\ell - 1)t - (\ell - 2)s_1.$$

Moreover, if $s_2 = t - s_1$, then the lower bound is achieved; that is, rank of S_K equals $2t - s_1$. Further, if $s_2 = s_3 = \cdots = s_{\ell-2} = 0$, then the upper bound is achieved, that is, rank of S_K is $(\ell - 1)t - (\ell - 2)s_1$.

Proof. The upper bound of rank S_K is immediate from the proposition because $\text{rank} S_K = (\ell - 1)t - (\ell - 2)s_1 - \cdots - s_{\ell-2}$. The lower bound follows since $\text{rank} S_K = t + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-2} (t - s_1 - \cdots - s_i) \geq 2t - s_1$ (since $t - s_1 - \cdots - s_i \geq 0$). Combining these two facts we obtain the bound for rank of S_K .

Since $t - s_1 - s_2 = 0$, we see that $s_3 = s_4 = \cdots = s_{\ell-2} = 0$ (follows from Corollary 3.3). Substituting these values of s_i in the formula for the rank of S_K in Theorem 3.1, we obtain that, $\text{rank} S_K = 2t - s_1$. □

Remark. The above bounds constitute an improvement of the bounds obtained in Gerth’s paper [3][Corollary 2.5]; he obtains $t \leq \text{rank}S_K \leq (\ell - 1)t$.

4. When F contains ζ_ℓ and has class number coprime to ℓ

We recall the earlier notations:

K is a cyclic extension of degree l (an odd prime) over a number field F with trivial l -class group which, we now assume, contains a primitive l -th roots of unity ζ . By class field theory, the maximal abelian unramified extension M_0 of K satisfies $C_K \cong \text{Gal}(M_0/K)$. The genus field of K/F is the maximal abelian extension M of F which is contained in M_0 ; then $\text{Gal}(M/F)$ is the abelianization of $\text{Gal}(M_0/F)$ (see [8]). Moreover, $C_K/\lambda C_K \cong \text{Gal}(M/K)$ and is called the group of genera. By Kummer theory, $K = F(x^{1/l})$ for some $x \in F^* - (F^*)^l$. Being the l -Sylow subgroup of the group $C_K/\lambda C_K$, the group $S_K/\lambda S_K$ is a direct summand of it. Thus, there is a unique subfield M_1 of M which contains K and satisfies $S_K/\lambda S_K \cong \text{Gal}(M_1/K)$; thus, note that $\text{Gal}(M_1/K)$ is elementary abelian of rank t .

Recall also from the proof of 3.1 that for $i \geq 1$, there is a subgroup $H_i \subset (\lambda^{i-1}S_K)[\lambda]$ such that $H_i \cap \lambda^i S_K = (0)$ and $H_i \cong ((\lambda^{i-1}S_K)[\lambda] + \lambda^i S_K)/\lambda^i S_K$. Note that $s_i = \text{rank}H_i$ for $i \geq 1$.

The first theorem below computes the rank s_1 of H_1 in terms of the rank of a certain matrix with entries in \mathbb{F}_ℓ .

Firstly, by Kummer theory, there exist $x_1, \dots, x_t \in K^* - (K^*)^l$ such that $M_1 = K(x_1^{1/l}, \dots, x_t^{1/l})$. In the following theorem, we obtain a $t \times t$ matrix over \mathbb{F}_ℓ whose rank equals s_1 . The entries of this matrix involve the Artin symbols of the generators x_i ’s.

Note that the Artin symbols $(\frac{K(x_i^{1/l})/K}{I})$ are defined for any ideal I of K since the conductor of the field M_1 is trivial.

Theorem 4.1. *Let F, K, M, M_1 be as above. Fix representative ideals $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_t$ whose ideal classes form a basis for the group $S_K[\lambda]$. Denote by μ_{ij} , the Artin symbol $(\frac{K(x_i^{1/l})/K}{\mathfrak{a}_j})$. Write $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_\ell$ for which $\zeta^{\alpha_{ij}}$ is the power residue symbol $(x_i^{1/l})^{\mu_{ij}-1}$. If A_1 is the matrix $(\alpha_{ij}) \in M_t(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, then*

$$\text{rank}A_1 = \text{rank}H_1 = s_1.$$

Proof. As noted above, since M is an unramified extension of K and $K \subset M_1 \subset M$, the conductor of M_1/K is trivial and hence, the Artin symbol $(\frac{M_1/K}{\mathfrak{a}}) \in \text{Gal}(M_1/K)$ is well defined for all ideals \mathfrak{a} of K . We define a map

$$\psi_1 : S_K[\lambda] \rightarrow S_K \rightarrow S_K/\lambda S_K \cong \text{Gal}(M_1/K)$$

which is the composite of the natural inclusion, the natural surjection and the canonical isomorphism. If $cl(\mathfrak{a})$ denotes the ideal class of an ideal \mathfrak{a} , and if $cl(\mathfrak{a}) \in S_K[\lambda]$, then we see by Artin reciprocity that $\psi_1(cl(\mathfrak{a})) = \left(\frac{M_1/K}{\mathfrak{a}}\right)$ and that the kernel of ψ_1 is $S_K[\lambda] \cap \lambda S_K$.

Now $M_1 = K(x_1^{\frac{1}{\ell}}, \dots, x_t^{\frac{1}{\ell}})$ and $[M_1 : K] = \ell^t$ imply that there exists an isomorphism

$$\delta_1 : \text{Gal}(M_1/K) \cong \text{Gal}(K(x_1^{\frac{1}{\ell}})/K) \times \dots \times \text{Gal}(K(x_t^{\frac{1}{\ell}})/K).$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, t$, Kummer theory provides an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i : \text{Gal}(K(x_i^{\frac{1}{\ell}})/K) &\rightarrow \mathbb{F}_\ell \\ \mu &\mapsto a_\mu \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta^{a_\mu} = (x_i^{\frac{1}{\ell}})^{\mu-1}$.

Define

$$\phi_1 := \left(\prod_{i=1}^t \theta_i \right) \circ \delta_1 \circ \psi_1 : S_K[\lambda] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_\ell^t.$$

Now, $S_K[\lambda]$ is a vector space over \mathbb{F}_ℓ (as it is an elementary abelian ℓ -group) and ϕ_1 is a vector space homomorphism; also $\ker \phi_1 = \ker \psi_1 = S_K[\lambda] \cap \lambda S_K$.

Now A_1 is precisely the matrix of ϕ_1 with respect to basis $\{cl(\mathfrak{a}_1), \dots, cl(\mathfrak{a}_t)\}$ of $S_K[\lambda]$. Then

$$\text{rank}(S_K[\lambda] \cap \lambda S_K) = \text{rank}(\ker(\phi_1)) = t - \text{rank} A_1.$$

Equivalently, $\text{rank} A_1 = t - \text{rank}(S_K[\lambda] \cap \lambda S_K)$. Since $S_K[\lambda]$ is an elementary abelian ℓ -group of rank t and

$$H_1 \cong (S_K[\lambda] + \lambda S_K)/\lambda S_K \cong S_K[\lambda]/(S_K[\lambda] \cap \lambda S_K),$$

then $s_1 = \text{rank} H_1 = t - \text{rank}(S_K[\lambda] \cap \lambda S_K) = \text{rank} A_1$. □

The above result for the rank s_1 of H_1 can be generalized to a general s_i in the following manner.

Recall from the proof of Proposition 3.1 that there exists a subgroup R_i satisfying $\lambda^i \subset R_i \subset \lambda^{i-1} S_K$ and

$$R_i/\lambda^i S_K \cong \lambda^{i-1} S_K/W_i \cong \lambda^i S_K/\lambda^{i+1} S_K$$

where the last isomorphism is induced by the multiplication-by- λ map.

Now, for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 3$, if we have chosen a genus field $M_i \subset M$ with $\text{Gal}(M_i/K) \cong \lambda^{i-1}S_K/\lambda^iS_K$, there exists - corresponding to the direct summand $R_i/\lambda^iS_K^{\Delta^i}$ - a unique field M_{i+1} such that

$$K \subset M_{i+1} \subset M_i \subset M_1 \subset M \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Gal}(M_{i+1}/K) \cong R_i/\lambda^iS_K \cong \lambda^iS_K/\lambda^{i+1}S_K.$$

From the above isomorphism, we see that $\text{Gal}(M_{i+1}/K)$ is an elementary abelian ℓ -group. We have

$$t - s_1 - \dots - s_i = \text{rank Gal}(M_{i+1}/K) = \text{rank } \lambda^iS_K/\lambda^{i+1}S_K.$$

Once again, Kummer theory assures us elements $y_1, \dots, y_{t-s_1-\dots-s_i} \in K^* - (K^*)^\ell$ such that

$$M_{i+1} = K \left(y_1^{\frac{1}{\ell}}, \dots, y_{t-s_1-\dots-s_i}^{\frac{1}{\ell}} \right).$$

Fix representative ideals $\mathfrak{b}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{b}_{t-s_1-\dots-s_i}$ whose ideal classes form a basis for the group $(\lambda^iS_K)[\lambda]$. With these notations, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.2. *Let μ_{jk} denote the Artin symbol $\left(\frac{K(y_j^{\frac{1}{\ell}})/K}{\mathfrak{b}_k}\right)$, and let $\beta_{jk} \in \mathbb{F}_\ell$ for which $\zeta^{\beta_{jk}}$ is the power residue symbol $(y_j^{\frac{1}{\ell}})^{\mu_{jk}-1}$. For $1 \leq i \leq l - 3$, if A_{i+1} is the matrix (β_{jk}) , $1 \leq j, k \leq t - s_1 - \dots - s_i$ with entries in \mathbb{F}_ℓ , then*

$$s_{i+1} = \text{rank } A_{i+1}.$$

Proof. Since M is an unramified extension of K and $K \subset M_{i+1} \subset M$, the conductor of M_{i+1}/K is (1) and hence the Artin symbol $\left(\frac{M_{i+1}/K}{\mathfrak{a}}\right) \in \text{Gal}(M_{i+1}/K)$ is well defined for all ideals \mathfrak{a} of K . We define a map

$$\psi_{i+1} : (\lambda^iS_K)[\lambda] \rightarrow \lambda^iS_K \rightarrow \lambda^iS_K/\lambda^{i+1}S_K \cong R_i/\lambda^iS_K \cong \text{Gal}(M_{i+1}/K)$$

which is the composite of the natural inclusion, the natural surjection and the canonical isomorphisms. If $cl(\mathfrak{a}) \in (\lambda^iS_K)[\lambda]$, then by Artin reciprocity, we see that $\psi_{i+1}(cl(\mathfrak{a})) = \left(\frac{M_{i+1}/K}{\mathfrak{a}}\right)$ and that the kernel of ψ_{i+1} is $(\lambda^iS_K)[\lambda] \cap \lambda^{i+1}S_K$.

Now $M_{i+1} = K(y_1^{\frac{1}{\ell}}, \dots, y_{t-s_1-\dots-s_i}^{\frac{1}{\ell}})$ and $[M_{i+1} : K] = \ell^{t-s_1-\dots-s_i}$ imply that there exists an isomorphism

$$\delta_{i+1} : \text{Gal}(M_{i+1}/K) \cong \text{Gal}(K(y_1^{\frac{1}{\ell}})/K) \times \dots \times \text{Gal}(K(y_{t-s_1-\dots-s_i}^{\frac{1}{\ell}})/K).$$

Once again, Kummer theory provides for each $j \leq t - s_1 - \dots - s_i$, an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_j &: \text{Gal}\left(K(y_j^{\frac{1}{\ell}})/K\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_\ell \\ \mu &\mapsto \alpha_\mu \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta^{\alpha_\mu} = (y_j^{\frac{1}{\ell}})^{\mu-1}$.

Define

$$\phi_{i+1} := \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t-s_1-\dots-s_i} \theta_j \right) \circ \delta_{i+1} \circ \psi_{i+1} : (\lambda^i S_K)[\lambda] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_\ell^{t-s_1-\dots-s_i}.$$

Since $(\lambda^i S_K)[\lambda]$ is an elementary abelian ℓ -group, it may be viewed as a vector space over \mathbb{F}_ℓ , and ϕ_{i+1} is a vector space homomorphism. Since

$$\ker \phi_{i+1} = \ker \psi_{i+1} = (\lambda^i S_K)[\lambda] \cap \lambda^{i+1} S_K$$

and since A_{i+1} is precisely the matrix of ϕ_{i+1} with respect to the basis $\{cl(\mathbf{b}_1), \dots, cl(\mathbf{b}_{t-s_1-\dots-s_i})\}$, we have

$$\text{rank}((\lambda^i S_K)[\lambda] \cap \lambda^{i+1} S_K) = \text{rank}(\ker(\phi_{i+1})) = (t-s_1-\dots-s_i) - \text{rank} A_{i+1}$$

Equivalently, $\text{rank} A_{i+1} = (t-s_1-\dots-s_i) - \text{rank}((\lambda^i S_K)[\lambda] \cap \lambda^{i+1} S_K)$.

Since $(\lambda^i S_K)[\lambda]$ is an elementary abelian ℓ -group of rank $(t-s_1-\dots-s_i)$ and

$$((\lambda^i S_K)[\lambda] + \lambda^{i+1} S_K) / \lambda^{i+1} S_K \cong (\lambda^i S_K)[\lambda] / (\lambda^i S_K)[\lambda] \cap \lambda^{i+1} S_K \cong H_{i+1},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} s_{i+1} &= \text{rank} H_{i+1} = (t-s_1-\dots-s_i) - \text{rank}((\lambda^i S_K)[\lambda] \cap \lambda^{i+1} S_K) \\ &= \text{rank} A_{i+1}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

5. $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and $K = F(x^{1/5})$

In the last section, we showed that the rank of S_K can be expressed in terms of the ranks of certain matrices over \mathbb{F}_ℓ . The explicit determination of these matrices seems very difficult in general. Gerth had carried this out in the case of $\ell = 3$. In this section, we look at the case of $\ell = 5$. We assume in this section that F is the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ generated by the 5-th roots of unity; note that F has class number 1. We analyze what the earlier theorems give for several examples and compare them with computations obtained by the program SAGE (the latter uses GRH) - a detailed table is given at the end of the paper. After that, we exploit the theorems of the previous section to

prove a number of general results which were guessed at by a close inspection of the tables.

Consider any cyclic extension $K = F(x^{\frac{1}{5}})$ of degree 5 over F . We may assume that x is an integer in F which is not divisible by the 5^{th} power of any prime element of F .

The ring of integer $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ of F is a principal ideal domain. Consider those nonzero elements x which can be written as

$$x = u\lambda^{e_\lambda}\pi_1^{e_1} \cdots \pi_g^{e_g},$$

where u is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$, $\lambda = 1 - \zeta$ is the unique prime over 5 (so, $\lambda^4 \parallel 5$), and π_1, \dots, π_g are prime elements in F not associated to λ , where $e_i \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq g$, and $e_\lambda \in \{0, 1, \dots, 4\}$.

5.1 Unwinding Hasse's formula for $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta, x^{1/5})$

Let us see how the rank t of the group of ambiguous ideal classes in the 5-class group S_K is computed using Hasse's famous formula ([10]) in our case:

$$t = d + q^* - (r + 1 + o).$$

For our fields F and K , we have

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \frac{\ell - 3}{2} = 1, \\ o &= 1, \\ d &= \begin{cases} g & \text{if } (\lambda) \text{ does not ramify in } K/F, \\ g + 1 & \text{if } (\lambda) \text{ ramifies in } K/F, \end{cases} \\ q^* &\leq \frac{\ell - 1}{2} = 2, \text{ (since the order of } [E_F : E_F^\ell] = \ell^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}} = 5^2 \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

In F , the group of units is generated by ζ and $1 + \zeta$ where ζ is a primitive 5-th root of unity. We see from the definition of q^* that

$$q^* = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \zeta, 1 + \zeta \in N_{K/F}(K^*), \\ 1 & \text{if some, but not all } \zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j \in N_{K/F}(K^*), \\ 0 & \text{if } \zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j \notin N_{K/F}(K^*), \text{ for } 0 \leq i, j \leq 4, i + j \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

We have $t = d - 3 + q^*$ with d, q^* determined as above.

Since q^* depends on whether $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j$ is a norm from K or not, its value can be determined in terms of the local Hilbert symbols in completions of F as in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ and let $K = F(x^{1/5})$ where $x = u\lambda^{e_\lambda}\pi_1^{e_1} \cdots \pi_g^{e_g}$, with u a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$, $\lambda = 1 - \zeta$ is the unique prime over ℓ (so, $\lambda^4 \mid 5$), and π_1, \dots, π_g prime elements in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. Then*

- (a) $\zeta \in N_{K/F}(K^*) \iff N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}((\pi_i)) \equiv 1 \pmod{25}$ for all i ;
- (b) if $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j \in N_{K/F}(K^*)$, if and only if, every $\pi_k \mid x$ above has the property that $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j$ is a 5-th power modulo (π_k) in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ for all i, j ;
- (c) (λ) ramifies in $K/F \iff x \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{\lambda^5}$.

Proof. Now $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j \in N_{K/F}(K^*) \iff \left(\frac{x, \zeta^i(1+\zeta)^j}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = 1$ for all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of F .

Since $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j$ is a unit, $\left(\frac{x, \zeta^i(1+\zeta)^j}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = 1$ if \mathfrak{p} does not ramify in K/F . We will now look at the prime ideals (λ) and the (π_k) 's.

Firstly, look at $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi_k)$, where $\pi_k \mid x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{x, \zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j}{(\pi_k)}\right) &= \left(\frac{u\lambda^{e_\lambda}\pi_1^{e_1} \cdots \pi_g^{e_g}, \zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j}{(\pi_k)}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{u\lambda^{e_\lambda}, \zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j}{(\pi_k)}\right) \left(\frac{\pi_1^{e_1}, \zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j}{(\pi_k)}\right) \cdots \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\pi_g^{e_g}, \zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j}{(\pi_k)}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\pi_k, \zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j}{(\pi_k)}\right)^{e_k} = 1 \\ &\iff \left(\frac{\pi_k, \zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j}{(\pi_k)}\right) = 1 \\ &\iff \left(\frac{\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j, \pi_k}{(\pi_k)}\right) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is equivalent to the conditions

$$\pi_k \text{ splits completely in } F((\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j)^{\frac{1}{5}})/F.$$

Since the last condition holds if and only if $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j \equiv a^5 \pmod{(\pi_k)}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ ([11][Theorem 118]), the necessity assertion in (b) for $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j$ to be a norm follows.

The converse assertion follows by the product law since $\left(\frac{x, \zeta^i(1+\zeta)^j}{(\pi_k)}\right) = 1$ for all $\pi_k \mid x$ implies $\left(\frac{x, \zeta^i(1+\zeta)^j}{(\lambda)}\right) = 1$ and hence, $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j \in N_{K/F}(K^*)$.

To deduce (a) from (b), we note that, in particular, $\zeta \in N_{K/F}(K^*)$ if and only if, all π_i splits completely in $F(\zeta^{\frac{1}{5}})/F$, which is equivalent to the condition, $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}((\pi_i)) \equiv 1 \pmod{25}$, for all i .

Finally (c) follows from ([11][Theorem 119]) which shows that (λ) ramifies in K/F iff $x \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{\lambda^5}$. \square

From the above lemma, we may immediately formulate the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ and $K = F(x^{\frac{1}{5}})$ of degree 5 as above. Write $x = u\lambda^{e_\lambda}\pi_1^{e_1}\cdots\pi_g^{e_g}$, $\lambda = 1 - \zeta$, each $\pi_i \in F$ is a prime element, $e_i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq g$, $e_\lambda \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ as before. Let d denote the number of primes that ramify in K/F . Then the rank t of the group of ambiguous ideal classes in S_K is given by:*

$t = d - 1, d - 2$ or $d - 3$ respectively as to the following three situations I,II,III:

- I: each $\pi_k|x$ has the property that both ζ and $1 + \zeta$ are 5-th powers modulo (π_k) in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$;*
- II: each $\pi_k|x$ has the property that some, but not all $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j$ is a 5-th power modulo (π_k) in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$;*
- III: some $\pi_k|x$ has the property that none of the $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j$ is a 5-th power modulo (π_k) in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$.*

These are further simplified to the expressions $t = g, g - 1, g - 2$ or $g - 3$ according as to the respective conditions A,B,C,D:

- A: $x \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{\lambda^5}$ and each $\pi_k|x$ has the property that both ζ and $1 + \zeta$ are 5-th powers modulo (π_k) in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$;*
- B: $x \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{\lambda^5}$ and each $\pi_k|x$ has the property that both ζ and $1 + \zeta$ are 5-th powers modulo (π_k) in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$;*
OR
 $x \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{\lambda^5}$ and each $\pi_k|x$ has the property that some, but not all $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j$ are 5-th powers modulo (π_k) in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$;
- C: $x \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{\lambda^5}$ and some $\pi_k|x$ has the property that none of the $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j$ is a 5-th power modulo (π_k) in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$;*
OR
 $x \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{\lambda^5}$ and each $\pi_k|x$ has the property that some, but not all $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j$ are 5-th powers modulo (π_k) in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$;
- D: $x \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{\lambda^5}$ and some $\pi_k|x$ has the property that none of the $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j$ is a 5-th power modulo (π_k) in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$.*

5.2 Examples

We demonstrate the above results by means of some examples. In each case, the conclusion is confirmed by a SAGE program (which is known to be valid under GRH); see table 1 compiled in section 5.5.

Example 5.3. We consider $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta, 7^{\frac{1}{5}})$. We observe that $\zeta \in N_{K/F}(K^*)$, and $(1 + \zeta) \equiv (2 + 4\zeta^3)^5 \pmod{7}$. Hence $q^* = 2$, and $t = g - 1 = 0$. Thus, S_K must be trivial by our result.

Example 5.4. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta, 18^{\frac{1}{5}})$. Notice that in this case $\zeta \notin N_{K/F}(K^*)$. We observe that,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^2(1 + \zeta) &\equiv (1 + \zeta^2)^5 \pmod{2}, \\ \zeta^2(1 + \zeta) &\equiv (-1 - \zeta)^5 \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $q^* = 1$ and $t = g - 2 = 0$. Thus, $S_K = \{1\}$ from our result.

Example 5.5. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta, 11^{\frac{1}{5}})$. Note that in $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$, $11 = \pi_1\pi_2\pi_3\pi_4$, with $\pi_1 = (\zeta^3 + 2\zeta^2 + \zeta + 2) = (\zeta + 2)$, $\pi_2 = (-\zeta^2 + \zeta + 1)$, $\pi_3 = (\zeta^3 - \zeta + 1)$, $\pi_4 = (-2\zeta^3 - \zeta^2 - \zeta) = (2\zeta^2 + \zeta + 1)$. Thus in this case, $g = 4$.

Next, we note that $\zeta \equiv -2 \pmod{(\zeta + 2)}$ is not a 5^{th} power in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$, because if it is a 5^{th} power modulo $(\zeta + 2)$, then $11|(n^5 + 2)$, for some integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is not true.

We also notice that $\zeta \not\equiv x^5 \pmod{(-\zeta^2 + \zeta + 1)}$, because if so, then we have modulo $-\zeta^2 + \zeta + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &\equiv (a + b\zeta)^5 \equiv (a^5 + b^5 + 10a^3b^2 + 10a^2b^3 + 10ab^4) \\ &\quad + 5ab(a^3 + 2a^2b + 4ab^2 + 3b^3)\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Next we note that, for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, we have,

$$(1 + \zeta)^i \equiv \zeta^{2i} \pmod{(-\zeta^2 + \zeta + 1)}.$$

Hence $(1 + \zeta)^i$ is not a 5^{th} power modulo $(-\zeta^2 + \zeta + 1)$.

Next for $1 \leq i \leq 4, 0 \leq j \leq 4$, we see that,

$$\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j \equiv (-1)^j \zeta^i \pmod{(\zeta + 2)}.$$

Hence, $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j$ is not a 5^{th} power modulo $(\zeta + 2)$.

So in this case we have $q^* = 0$ and $t = g - 2 = 2$. So $\text{rank } S_K \geq 2$ by our result.

Example 5.6. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta, 19^{\frac{1}{5}})$. Note that in $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$, $19 = \pi_1\pi_2$, with $\pi_1 = (3 + 4\zeta^2 + 4\zeta^3)$, $\pi_2 = (-1 - 4\zeta^2 - 4\zeta^3)$. Notice that in this case, $\zeta \notin N_{K/F}(K^*)$. We observe that,

$$\begin{aligned} -\zeta^2(1 + \zeta) &\equiv 3^5 \pmod{\pi_1}, \\ -\zeta^2(1 + \zeta) &\equiv 6^5 \pmod{\pi_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $q^* = 1$ and $t = g - 1 = 1$. So $1 \leq \text{rank } S_K \leq 4$ by our result.

Example 5.7. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta, 42^{\frac{1}{5}})$. Notice that in this case $\zeta \notin N_{K/F}(K^*)$. From Examples 5.2 and 5.3, we see that $\zeta^2(1 + \zeta) \in N_{K/F}(K^*)$.

Hence $q^* = 1$ and $t = g - 1 = 2$. Thus, $2 \leq \text{rank}S_K \leq 8$ from our result.

5.3 Constructing genus fields

We want to find elements $x_1, \dots, x_t \in K$ such that the genus field $M_1 = K(x_1^{\frac{1}{5}}, \dots, x_t^{\frac{1}{5}})$.

In the following proposition, we restrict our attention only to those elements x for which each π that divides x is of the form, $\pi \equiv a \pmod{5\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]}$ for some $a \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Proposition 5.8. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$, and let $K = F(x^{\frac{1}{5}})$ be cyclic of degree 5 as above. Writing

$$x = u\lambda^{e_\lambda}\pi_1^{e_1} \cdots \pi_f^{e_f} \pi_{f+1}^{e_{f+1}} \cdots \pi_g^{e_g},$$

where each $\pi_i \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{\lambda^5}$, for $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $\pi_j \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{\lambda^5}$, for $f + 1 \leq j \leq g$.

Then, we have:

- (i) there exist $h_i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that $\pi_{f+1}\pi_i^{h_i} \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{\lambda^5}$, for $f + 2 \leq i \leq g$;
- (ii) if (λ) ramifies in K/F and each $\pi_k|x$ has the property that some, but not all $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j$ are 5-th powers modulo (π_k) in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$, then the genus field M_1 is given as

$$M_1 = K(\pi_1^{\frac{1}{5}}, \dots, \pi_f^{\frac{1}{5}}, (\pi_{f+1}\pi_{f+2}^{h_{f+2}})^{\frac{1}{5}}, \dots, (\pi_{f+1}\pi_g^{h_g})^{\frac{1}{5}}) \tag{1}$$

where $h_i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ is chosen as in (i);

- (iii) in the other cases, the the genus field M_1 is given similarly by deleting an appropriate number of 5th roots from the right-hand side of the equation (1).

Proof. The proof of (i) is straightforward and we proceed to prove (ii).

Suppose first that (λ) ramifies in K/F and that for each $\pi_k|x$, some (but not all) $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j$ are 5-th powers modulo (π_k) in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. Let M'_1 denote the field given on the right-hand side of equation (1); we shall prove that M'_1 is the genus field M_1 of degree 5^t over K which corresponds to $S_K/\lambda S_K$. Note that, by the previous proposition, the number of 5th roots in this expression is t . Next, we note that only π_i ramifies in $F(\pi_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/F$ for $1 \leq i \leq f$, and that

only the primes π_i and π_{f+1} ramify in $F((\pi_{f+1}\pi_i^{h_i})^{\frac{1}{5}})/F$ for $f+2 \leq i \leq g$. Hence, each of the fields

$$F(\pi_1^{\frac{1}{5}}), \dots, F(\pi_f^{\frac{1}{5}}), F((\pi_{f+1}\pi_{f+2}^{h_{f+2}})^{\frac{1}{5}}), \dots, F((\pi_{f+1}\pi_g^{h_g})^{\frac{1}{5}}), F(x^{\frac{1}{5}})$$

is linearly disjoint from the composite of the other fields. Thus,

$$[F(\pi_1^{\frac{1}{5}}, \dots, \pi_f^{\frac{1}{5}}, (\pi_{f+1}\pi_{f+2}^{h_{f+2}})^{\frac{1}{5}}, \dots, (\pi_{f+1}\pi_g^{h_g})^{\frac{1}{5}}, x^{\frac{1}{5}}) : F] = 5^{t+1}.$$

This implies $[M'_1 : K] = 5^t$. As $[M_1 : K] = 5^t$, we will have $M'_1 = M_1$ if we can show that $M'_1 \subset M_1$. Now, by definition, M_1 is the maximal abelian extension of F contained in the Hilbert class field of K . Since M'_1 is a composite of linearly disjoint abelian extensions, it is an abelian extension of F . Therefore, to show $M'_1 = M_1$, it suffices to show that M'_1 is unramified over K . But, this is true because each (π_i) is 5^{th} power of an ideal in K , $\pi_i \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{\lambda^5}$ for $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $\pi_{f+1}\pi_i^{h_i} \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{\lambda^5}$ for $f+2 \leq i \leq g$.

Thus, we have proved (ii).

The remaining case (iii) is handled completely similarly; we just need to delete an appropriate number of any 5^{th} roots from the right-hand side of the equation (1). □

Corollary 5.9. *Let F, K, x be as in the proposition. Further, suppose the genus field M_1 of K/F is described as in the proposition. Then, for $i = 1, 2$, the genus fields M_{i+1} are obtained recursively by deleting s_i generators of the field M_i .*

Proof. We have $[M_i : K] = 5^{t-s_1-\dots-s_{i-1}}$ and $[M_{i+1} : K] = 5^{t-s_1-\dots-s_i}$. Since each of the fields $F(\pi_1^{\frac{1}{5}}), \dots, F(\pi_f^{\frac{1}{5}}), F((\pi_{f+1}\pi_{f+2}^{h_{f+2}})^{\frac{1}{5}}), \dots, F((\pi_{f+1}\pi_g^{h_g})^{\frac{1}{5}}), F(x^{\frac{1}{5}})$ is linearly disjoint from the composite of the other fields, the result follows. □

Now, we look for representative ideals $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_t$ whose classes form a basis of the ambiguous ideal class group $S_K[\lambda]$. Similarly, we also look for representative ideals $\mathfrak{b}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{b}_{t-s_1-\dots-s_i}$ whose classes form a basis of $(\lambda^i S_K)[\lambda]$ for $i = 1, 2$. For this purpose, we find ideals whose classes generate $S_K^{(\tau),i}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

We observe that the ambiguous ideal class group $S_K[\lambda]$ may be identified with the group $S_{K,s}$ of strongly ambiguous ideal classes, excepting the case when at least one of $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j \in N_{K/F}(K^*)$, and $\zeta \notin N_{K/F}(E_K)$, where E_K is the group of units of K .

We note that a necessary condition for the exceptional case to occur is that for any $\pi_k|x$, one has $\zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j \equiv a^5 \pmod{(\pi_k)}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ and

some i, j . There are two possible situations when the exceptional case occurs. Namely, if both $\zeta, 1 + \zeta$ are norms of elements from K^* , but neither of them is a norm from E_K , then $S_K[\lambda]$ is the direct product of $S_{K,s}$ and two cyclic groups of order 5. In other exceptional cases, $S_K[\lambda]$ is the direct product of $S_{K,s}$ and a cyclic group of order 5.

5.4 Using ideles to express in terms of Hilbert symbols

We saw in section 4 how to obtain matrices with entries in \mathbb{F}_l whose ranks are equal to the s_i 's. In this section, where $l = 5$ and the genus fields are chosen as above, we explain what these matrices simplify to.

Using the notation of Proposition 5.8, we choose prime ideals \mathfrak{B}_i in K such that $\mathfrak{B}_i^5 = (\pi_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq g$. If (λ) ramifies in K/F , we let \mathfrak{J} denote the prime ideal in K such that $5\mathfrak{J} = (\lambda)$. If there exists ambiguous ideal classes of K/F which are not strongly-ambiguous, we let \mathfrak{B} be a prime ideal which is contained in one such class and is relatively prime to x_1, \dots, x_t , where $M_1 = K(x_1^{\frac{1}{5}}, \dots, x_t^{\frac{1}{5}})$ and $x_1, \dots, x_t \in F$. If $q^* = 2$ and $q = 0$, we choose \mathfrak{B}' to be a prime ideal contained in another class (from \mathfrak{B}) of ideal which is ambiguous but not strongly-ambiguous, and is relatively prime to $\mathfrak{B}, x_1, \dots, x_t$.

Let $I_K^{(\tau)}$ denote the free abelian group generated by these prime ideals. In other words, $I_K^{(\tau)}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{B}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{B}_g$, and \mathfrak{J} (in case (λ) ramifies in K/F), and \mathfrak{B} (in the case when there exist ambiguous ideal classes which are not strong-ambiguous), and also \mathfrak{B}' (in case $q^* = 2$ and $q = 0$).

Let $D_K^{(\tau)} = I_K^{(\tau)} / 5I_K^{(\tau)}$. Viewed as a vector space over \mathbb{F}_5 , let $D_K^{(\tau)}$ have dimension u . Then $u = g, g + 1, g + 2$ or $g + 3$ in the four possibilities mentioned above respectively. Now, the map $I_K^{(\tau)} \rightarrow S_K[\lambda]$ sending each ideal to its ideal class induces surjective homomorphisms

$$\omega_1 : D_K^{(\tau)} = I_K^{(\tau)} / 5I_K^{(\tau)} \rightarrow S_K[\lambda].$$

Recall the map $\phi_1 : S_K[\lambda] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_5^t$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Define $\eta_1 := \phi_1 \circ \omega_1 : D_K^{(\tau)} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_5^t$.

For $1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq g$, let μ_{ij} denote the Artin symbol $\left(\frac{K(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/K}{\mathfrak{B}_j^{e_j}} \right)$.

Further, suppose

$$\mu_{i(g+1)} = \left(\frac{K(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/K}{\mathfrak{J}} \right) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t, \text{ if } (\lambda) \text{ ramifies in } K/F,$$

and

$$\mu_{i(g+2)} = \left(\frac{K(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/K}{\mathfrak{B}} \right) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t, \text{ if } S_K[\lambda] \setminus S_{K,s} \neq \emptyset,$$

and

$$\mu_{iu} = \left(\frac{K(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/K}{\mathfrak{B}'} \right) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t, \text{ if } |S_K[\lambda]/S_{K,s}| > 5.$$

If $\gamma_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_\ell$ are defined by the power symbol $\zeta^{\gamma_{ij}} = (x_i^{\frac{1}{\ell}})^{\mu_{ij}-1}$, let C_1 be the matrix (γ_{ij}) , $1 \leq i \leq t$, $1 \leq j \leq u$. It is clear that C_1 is the matrix of η_1 with respect to the ordered basis $\{\mathfrak{B}_1^{e_1}, \dots, \mathfrak{B}_g^{e_g}, \mathfrak{J} \text{ (if included)}, \mathfrak{B} \text{ (if included)}, \text{ and } \mathfrak{B}' \text{ (if included)}\}$. Since ω_1 is surjective, $\text{rank} C_1 = \text{rank} A_1 = s_1$ (see Theorem 4.1).

We next construct ideles $a_{\mathfrak{B}_1}, \dots, a_{\mathfrak{B}_g}, a_{\mathfrak{J}}, a_{\mathfrak{B}}, a_{\mathfrak{B}'} \in J_K$, the idele group of K , such that

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{\mathfrak{B}_j}, K(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/K) &= \left(\frac{K(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/K}{\mathfrak{B}_j^{e_j}} \right) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq g, \\ (a_{\mathfrak{J}}, K(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/K) &= \left(\frac{K(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/K}{\mathfrak{J}} \right) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t, (a_{\mathfrak{B}}, K(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/K) \\ &= \left(\frac{K(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/K}{\mathfrak{B}} \right) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t, \\ (a_{\mathfrak{B}'}, K(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/K) &= \left(\frac{K(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/K}{\mathfrak{B}'} \right) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t. \end{aligned}$$

This is done as follows. Let

$$a_{\mathfrak{B}_j} = (\dots, 1, x^{\frac{1}{5}}, 1, \dots) \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq g,$$

the idele which is 1 at all places except at the place corresponding to \mathfrak{B}_j , where it is $x^{\frac{1}{5}}$. Let

$$a_{\mathfrak{J}} = (\dots, 1, x_{\mathfrak{J}}, 1, \dots),$$

the idele which is 1 at all places except at the place corresponding to \mathfrak{J} , where we insert an element $x_{\mathfrak{J}} \in K$, such that $\mathfrak{J}|x_{\mathfrak{J}}$, but $\mathfrak{J}^2 \nmid x_{\mathfrak{J}}$. Let

$$a_{\mathfrak{B}} = (\dots, 1, x_{\mathfrak{B}}, 1, \dots),$$

the idele which is 1 at all places except at the place corresponding to \mathfrak{B} , where we insert an element $x_{\mathfrak{B}} \in K$, such that $\mathfrak{B} | x_{\mathfrak{B}}$, but $\mathfrak{B}^2 \nmid x_{\mathfrak{B}}$. Let

$$a_{\mathfrak{B}'} = (\dots, 1, x_{\mathfrak{B}'}, 1, \dots),$$

the idele which is 1 at all places except at the place corresponding to \mathfrak{B}' , where we insert an element $x_{\mathfrak{B}'} \in K$, such that $\mathfrak{B}' | x_{\mathfrak{B}'}$, but $\mathfrak{B}'^2 \nmid x_{\mathfrak{B}'}$.

Now

$$(a_{\mathfrak{B}_i}, K(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/K) | F(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}}) = (N_{K/F}(a_{\mathfrak{B}_i}), F(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/F),$$

where $N_{K/F}(a_{\mathfrak{B}_i})$ is the idele $(\dots, 1, x, 1, \dots)$ of F which is 1 at all places except at the place corresponding to (π_j) , where it is x . We denote $N_{K/F}(a_{\mathfrak{B}_i})$ by a_{π_j} . Similarly,

$$(a_{\mathfrak{A}}, K(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/K) | F(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}}) = (a_{\lambda}, F(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/F),$$

where $a_{\lambda} = N_{K/F}(a_{\mathfrak{A}}) = (\dots, 1, x_{\lambda}, 1, \dots)$ with $x_{\lambda} = N_{K/F}(x_{\mathfrak{A}})$. Also,

$$(a_{\mathfrak{B}}, K(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/K) | F(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}}) = (a_{\pi}, F(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/F),$$

where $a_{\pi} = N_{K/F}(a_{\mathfrak{B}}) = (\dots, 1, x_{\pi}, 1, \dots)$, where $\pi = N_{K/F}(\mathfrak{B})$, with $x_{\pi} = N_{K/F}(x_{\mathfrak{B}})$. And finally,

$$(a_{\mathfrak{B}'}, K(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/K) | F(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}}) = (a_{\pi'}, F(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/F),$$

where $a_{\pi'} = N_{K/F}(a_{\mathfrak{B}'}) = (\dots, 1, x_{\pi'}, 1, \dots)$, where $\pi' = N_{K/F}(\mathfrak{B}')$, with $x_{\pi'} = N_{K/F}(x_{\mathfrak{B}'})$.

We now consider $\zeta^{\gamma_{ij}} = (x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})^{\mu_{ij}-1}$. From our calculation we can replace

$$\mu_{ij} \text{ by } \nu_{ij} = (a_{\pi_j}, F(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/F) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq g,$$

$$\mu_{i(g+1)} \text{ by } \nu_{i(g+1)} = (a_{\lambda}, F(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/F) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t,$$

$$\mu_{i(g+2)} \text{ by } \nu_{i(g+2)} = (a_{\pi}, F(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/F) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t,$$

$$\mu_{i(g+3)} \text{ by } \nu_{i(g+3)} = (a_{\pi'}, F(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/F) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t.$$

So we have,

$$\zeta^{\gamma_{ij}} = (x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})^{\nu_{ij}-1} \text{ for all } i, j.$$

Since the ideles $a_{\pi_j} (1 \leq j \leq g), a_{\lambda}, a_{\pi}, a_{\pi'}$ are local ideles, we may identify the expressions $(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})^{\nu_{ij}-1}$ with the degree 5 Hilbert symbols

$\left(\frac{x_i, x}{(\pi_j)}\right), \left(\frac{x_i, x_\lambda}{(\lambda)}\right), \left(\frac{x_i, x_\pi}{(\pi)}\right), \left(\frac{x_i, x_{\pi'}}{(\pi')}\right)$ for the local fields $F_{\pi_j}(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/F_{\pi_j}, F_\lambda(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/F_\lambda, F_\pi(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/F_\pi, F_{\pi'}(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})/F_{\pi'}$ respectively.

Finally we want to simplify, $\left(\frac{x_i, x_\lambda}{(\lambda)}\right), \left(\frac{x_i, x_\pi}{(\pi)}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{x_i, x_{\pi'}}{(\pi')}\right)$.

We may write $x_\lambda = \lambda y_\lambda z_\lambda^{-1}$, where y_λ, z_λ are integers in F , congruent to $\pm 1, \pm 2 \pmod{\lambda}$. Since $x_i \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{\lambda^5}$, let $\alpha^5 = x_i$, and write $\alpha = \pm 1 + \lambda y$ or $\alpha = \pm 2 + \lambda y$ (respectively). Since y is a root of a polynomial $f(Y) \in \mathcal{O}_{F_\lambda}[Y]$, such that $f(Y) \equiv Y^5 - Y - c \pmod{\lambda}$, we have $f'(y) \equiv -1 \neq 0 \pmod{\lambda}$. Thus $F_\lambda(y) = F_\lambda(x_i^{\frac{1}{5}})$ is unramified over F_λ . Thus we have, $\left(\frac{x_i, y_\lambda}{(\lambda)}\right) = \left(\frac{x_i, z_\lambda}{(\lambda)}\right) = 1$ (See, [19][page 209, Exercise 5]). So,

$$\left(\frac{x_i, x_\lambda}{(\lambda)}\right) = \left(\frac{x_i, \lambda}{(\lambda)}\right) \left(\frac{x_i, y_\lambda}{(\lambda)}\right) \left(\frac{x_i, z_\lambda}{(\lambda)}\right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{x_i, \lambda}{(\lambda)}\right), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t.$$

Now, we write $x_\pi = \pi y_\pi$, where y_π is relatively prime to π . Since \mathfrak{B} was chosen relatively prime to x_1, \dots, x_t , then π is relatively prime to x_i for all i . Hence

$$\left(\frac{x_i, x_\pi}{(\pi)}\right) = \left(\frac{x_i, \pi}{(\pi)}\right) \left(\frac{x_i, y_\pi}{(\pi)}\right) = \left(\frac{x_i, \pi}{(\pi)}\right), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t.$$

Finally, let us write $x_{\pi'} = \pi' y_{\pi'}$, where $y_{\pi'}$ is relatively prime to π' . Since \mathfrak{B}' was chosen relatively prime to x_1, \dots, x_t , then π' is relatively prime to x_i for all i . Hence

$$\left(\frac{x_i, x_{\pi'}}{(\pi')}\right) = \left(\frac{x_i, \pi'}{(\pi')}\right) \left(\frac{x_i, y_{\pi'}}{(\pi')}\right) = \left(\frac{x_i, \pi'}{(\pi')}\right), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t.$$

With these notations, we may describe the matrix whose entries are power residue symbols and, whose rank gives us the rank of the piece H_1 (see 3.1) of the l -class group.

Theorem 5.10. *Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta), K = F(x^{\frac{1}{5}}), x = u\lambda^{e_\lambda}\pi_1^{e_1} \dots \pi_g^{e_g}$ as above. Let $M_1 = K(x_1^{\frac{1}{5}}, \dots, x_t^{\frac{1}{5}})$ denote the genus field of K/F , where $[M_1 : K] = 5^t, x_i \in F$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$, and $x_i \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{\lambda^5}$. Let $\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}'$ be ideals as above defined respectively when there exist ambiguous ideal classes which are not strongly-ambiguous, and when $q^* = 2, q = 0$. Let $(\pi) = N_{K/F}(\mathfrak{B})$ and $(\pi') = N_{K/F}(\mathfrak{B}')$, where $N_{K/F}$ is the norm map from K to F . For $1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq g$, let v_{ij} denote the degree 5 Hilbert symbol $\left(\frac{x_i, x}{(\pi_j)}\right)$. Further, suppose*

$$v_{i(g+1)} = \left(\frac{x_i, \lambda}{(\lambda)}\right) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t, \text{ if } (\lambda) \text{ ramifies in } K/F,$$

and

$$v_{i(g+2)} = \left(\frac{x_i, \pi}{(\pi)} \right) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t, \text{ if } S_K^{(\tau)} \setminus S_{K,s}^{(\tau)} \neq \emptyset,$$

and

$$v_{iu} = \left(\frac{x_i, \pi'}{(\pi')} \right) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t, \text{ if } |S_K^{(\tau)} / S_{K,s}^{(\tau)}| > 5.$$

If $\gamma_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_\ell$ are defined by the power symbol $\zeta^{\gamma_{ij}} = (x_i^{\frac{1}{\ell}})^{v_{ij}-1}$, and C_1 is the matrix $(\gamma_{ij}), 1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq u$, we have

$$s_1 = \text{rank} H_1 = \text{rank} C_1.$$

Finally, we discuss how the above theorem can be generalized to determine the ranks s_i 's for $i > 1$. Observe that

$$S_K[\lambda] \supset (\lambda S_K)[\lambda] \supset (\lambda^2 S_K)[\lambda].$$

Since $cl(\mathfrak{B}_1), \dots, cl(\mathfrak{B}_g), cl(\mathfrak{J})$ (if included), $cl(\mathfrak{B})$ (if included), and \mathfrak{B}' (if included) generate $S_K[\lambda]$, there exists a basis of $(\lambda^{i-1} S_K)[\lambda]$, $\{cl(\Gamma_{i,1}), \dots, cl(\Gamma_{i,t-s_1-\dots-s_{i-1}})\}$ consisting of elements which are \mathbb{F}_5 -linear combinations of $cl(\mathfrak{B}_1), \dots, cl(\mathfrak{B}_g), cl(\mathfrak{J}), cl(\mathfrak{B}), cl(\mathfrak{B}')$, for $i = 2, 3$.

Let $\Gamma_{i,1}, \dots, \Gamma_{i,t-s_1-\dots-s_{i-1}}$ be some representative ideals for the respective classes. With these choices, we have the following theorem expressing the ranks s_i in terms of matrices over \mathbb{F}_ℓ :

Theorem 5.11. *Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta), K = F(x^{\frac{1}{5}})$, where $x = u\lambda^{e_\lambda}\pi_1^{e_1} \dots \pi_g^{e_g}$ as above. Let M_1 be the genus field of K/F and, for $i = 1, 2$, let $M_{i+1} = K(y_1^{\frac{1}{5}}, \dots, y_{t-s_1-\dots-s_i}^{\frac{1}{5}})$, as in Theorem 4.2. Let $\Gamma_{i+1,1}, \dots, \Gamma_{i+1,t-s_1-\dots-s_i}$ be as in the previous paragraph. Denote*

$$\mu_{jk} = \left(\frac{K(y_j^{\frac{1}{5}})/K}{\Gamma_{i+1,k}} \right) \text{ for } 1 \leq j, k \leq t - s_1 - \dots - s_i.$$

If γ_{jk} are defined by $\zeta^{\gamma_{jk}} = (y_j^{\frac{1}{5}})^{\mu_{jk}-1}$, and $C_{i+1} = (\gamma_{jk}), 1 \leq j, k \leq t - s_1 - \dots - s_i$, then

$$s_{i+1} = \text{rank} H_{i+1} = \text{rank} C_{i+1}.$$

Proof. We have the map

$$\phi_{i+1} : (\lambda^i S_K)[\lambda] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_5^{t-s_1-\dots-s_i}$$

constructed in the proof of Theorem 4.2. Clearly, C_{i+1} is the matrix of ϕ_{i+1} with respect to the ordered basis

$$\{\Gamma_{i+1,1}, \dots, \Gamma_{i+1,t-s_1-\dots-s_i}\}.$$

Thus, from Theorem 4.2,

$$s_{i+1} = \text{rank}C_{i+1}. \quad \square$$

Remarks. In conclusion, the above theorems show in principle how to compute t, s_1, s_2, s_3 . We can use them to find the rank of S_K using the formula obtained from Proposition 3.1; namely,

$$\text{rank}S_K = 4t - 3s_1 - 2s_2 - s_3.$$

However, concrete determination of s_2, s_3 seems to be difficult. In particular, it would be useful to find explicit generators for the groups $(\lambda^i S_K)[\lambda]$ for $i \geq 1$. We also obtain a bound for the rank of S_K in terms of t and s_1 as follows,

$$2t - s_1 \leq \text{rank}S_K \leq 4t - 3s_1.$$

5.5 Applications – explicit results

We apply our result in various situations to give sharp bounds for the rank of the 5-class group.

Theorem 5.12. *Let $p_i \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ be primes and $r \geq 2$. Let $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$, where $1 \leq a_i \leq 4$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and $K = F(n^{\frac{1}{5}})$. Assume that all ambiguous ideal classes of K/F are strongly ambiguous. Then, the λ^2 -rank of S_K is $r - 1$ and $2r - 2 \leq \text{rank}S_K \leq 4r - 4$.*

If there are ambiguous ideal classes which are not strongly ambiguous, then $s_1 \leq 2$, and the λ^2 -rank of S_K is greater than or equal to $r - 3$ and $\max(2r - 4, r - 1) \leq \text{rank}S_K \leq 4r - 4$.

Proof. Firstly we notice that $n \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$. So λ does not ramify in K/F . Looking at the fields $K_i = F(p_i^{\frac{1}{5}})$, one can easily see that ζ and $1 + \zeta$ are fifth powers modulo p_i for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. Thus $q^* = q = 2$ and $t = d - 3 + q^* = r - 1$.

To compute s_1 , let $x_i = p_i$ where $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$. Using [19][Chapter 14, Section 3] one can easily check that $\left(\frac{x_i n}{p_j}\right) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$. That is the $(r - 1) \times r$ matrix C_1 is the zero matrix. So, $s_1 = 0$.

Thus, we get λ^2 -rank of S_K is $t - s_1 = r - 1$. Since $2t - s_1 \leq \text{rank}S_K \leq 4t - 3s_1$, we obtain that $2r - 2 \leq \text{rank}S_K \leq 4r - 4$.

The second part of the statement follows from the fact that $0 \leq q \leq 1$, and then the matrix C_1 is of size $(r - 1) \times (r + q^* - q)$, which can have rank at most 2. □

Theorem 5.13. *Let $p_i \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ be primes and let q_j be primes such that $q_j \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $q_j \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$. Let $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r} q_1^{b_1} \cdots q_s^{b_s}$, where $1 \leq a_i, b_j \leq 4$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$. Let $n \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and $K = F(n^{\frac{1}{5}})$. Assume that all ambiguous ideal classes of K/F are strongly ambiguous. Then, the λ^2 -rank of S_K is $r + s - 1$ and $2r + 2s - 2 \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 4r + 4s - 4$.*

If there are ambiguous ideal classes which are not strongly ambiguous, then $s_1 \leq 1$, and the λ^2 -rank of S_K is greater than or equal to $r + s - 2$ and $\max(2r + 2s - 3, r + s - 1) \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 4r + 4s - 4$.

Proof. Firstly we notice that λ ramifies in K/F . Since $N(q) \not\equiv 1 \pmod{25}$, $\zeta \notin N_{K/F}(K^*)$. Looking at the fields $K_i = F(p_i^{\frac{1}{5}})$ and $L_1 = F(q_1^{\frac{1}{5}})$, $L_j = F((q_1 q_j^{h_j})^{\frac{1}{5}})$, where $1 \leq h_j \leq 4$ are chosen such that $q_1 q_j^{h_j} \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $j \neq 1$, one can easily see that some $\zeta^i (1 + \zeta)^j$ is fifth power modulo p_i for all $i = 1, \dots, r$ and q_j for $1 \leq j \leq s$. Thus $q^* = q = 1$ and $t = d - 3 + q^* = r + s - 1$.

To compute s_1 , let $x_i = p_i$ where $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $y_{j-1} = q_1 q_j^{h_j}$ where $2 \leq j \leq s$. Using [19][Chapter 14, Section 3] one can easily check that $\left(\frac{x_i, n}{p_j}\right) = 1$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, $\left(\frac{x_i, n}{q_j}\right) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s$, $\left(\frac{y_i, n}{p_j}\right) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq s - 1, 1 \leq j \leq r$ and $\left(\frac{y_i, n}{q_j}\right) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq s - 1, 1 \leq j \leq s$. That is, the $(r + s - 1) \times r + s$ sub matrix of C_1 is zero matrix. Since $x_i, y_i \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, using [2][Exercise 2.12, pg. 353–354] one can easily check that $\left(\frac{x_i, \lambda}{\lambda}\right) \left(\frac{y_i, \lambda}{\lambda}\right) = 1$. Therefore, $s_1 = 0$.

So, we see that the λ^2 -rank of S_K is $t - s_1 = r + s - 1$. Since $2t - s_1 \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 4t - 3s_1$, we obtain that $2r + 2s - 2 \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 4r + 4s - 4$.

The second part of the statement follows from the fact that $q = 0$, as, then the matrix C_1 is of size $(r + s - 1) \times (r + s + 2)$, which can have rank at most 1. □

Theorem 5.14. *Let $p_i \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ be primes and let q_j be primes such that $q_j \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $q_j \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$ with $s \geq 2$. Let $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r} q_1^{b_1} \cdots q_s^{b_s}$, where $1 \leq a_i, b_j \leq 4$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$. Let $n \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and $K = F(n^{\frac{1}{5}})$. Assume that all ambiguous ideal classes of K/F are strongly ambiguous. Then, the λ^2 -rank of S_K is $r + s - 2$ and $2r + 2s - 4 \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 4r + 4s - 8$.*

If there are ambiguous ideal classes which are not strongly ambiguous, then $s_1 \leq 1$, λ^2 -rank of S_K is greater than or equal to $r + s - 3$ and $\max(2r + 2s - 5, r + s - 2) \leq \text{rank}S_K \leq 4r + 4s - 8$.

Proof. Firstly, we notice that λ does not ramify in K/F . Since $N(q) \not\equiv 1 \pmod{25}$, $\zeta \notin N_{K/F}(K^*)$. Looking at the fields $K_i = F(p_i^{\frac{1}{5}})$ and $L_1 = F(q_1^{\frac{1}{5}})$, $L_j = F((q_1 q_j^{h_j})^{\frac{1}{5}})$, where $1 \leq h_j \leq 4$ are chosen such that $q_1 q_j^{h_j} \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $j \neq 1$, one can easily see that some $\zeta^u (1 + \zeta)^v$ is a fifth power modulo p_i for all $i = 1, \dots, r$ and a fifth power modulo q_j for $1 \leq j \leq s$. Thus $q^* = q = 1$ and $t = d - 3 + q^* = r + s - 2$.

To compute s_1 , let $x_i = p_i$ where $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $y_{j-1} = q_1 q_j^{h_j}$ where $2 \leq j \leq s - 1$. Using [19][Chapter 14, Section 3] one can easily check that $(\frac{x_i, n}{p_j}) = 1$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, $(\frac{x_i, n}{q_j}) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s$, $(\frac{y_i, n}{p_j}) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq s - 2, 1 \leq j \leq r$ and $(\frac{y_i, n}{q_j}) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq s - 2, 1 \leq j \leq s$. That is, the $(r + s - 2) \times (r + s)$ matrix C_1 is the zero matrix. So $s_1 = 0$.

We obtain that the λ^2 -rank of S_K is $t - s_1 = r + s - 2$. Since $2t - s_1 \leq \text{rank}S_K \leq 4t - 3s_1$, we get $2r + 2s - 4 \leq \text{rank}S_K \leq 4r + 4s - 8$.

The second part of the statement follows from the fact that $q = 0$, and then

Table 1. Number fields and their class groups.

<i>n</i>	<i>n</i> (<i>F</i>)	<i>S</i> _{<i>K</i>}
2,3,4,7,8,9,16,17,23,27	1	1
43,47,49,53,73,81,97	1	1
13,37,67,83	1	1
18, 24, 26,51,68,74	2	1
6,12,14,21,28,36,39,48,52	2	<i>C</i> ₅
54,56,69,72,91,92,94,98	2	<i>C</i> ₅
34,46,63,86	2	<i>C</i> ₅
301	2	<i>C</i> ₅
19,29,59,79,89	2	<i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅
57,76	3	<i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅
38,58,87,133	3	<i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅
42,78,84	3	<i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅
11, 41,61,71	4	<i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅
31	4	<i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅
82,93,99	5	<i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅
22,44,62,77	5	<i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅
33,88	5	<i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅
66	6	<i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅
5,25	1	1
10,15,20,45,75,80	2	1
40,50,65,85	2	1
35	2	<i>C</i> ₅
30,60,70,90	3	<i>C</i> ₅
55,95	3	<i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅

Table 2. Structure of 5 class group of K .

p	Structure of S_K as R module
19	$R/(\lambda^2)$
29	$R/(\lambda^2)$
59	$R/(\lambda^2)$
79	$R/(\lambda^2)$
89	$R/(\lambda^2)$
109	$R/(\lambda^2)$
139	$R/(\lambda^3)$
149	$R/(\lambda^2)$
179	$R/(\lambda^2)$
199	$R/(\lambda^2)$
229	$R/(\lambda^2)$
239	$R/(\lambda^2)$
269	$R/(\lambda^2)$
349	$R/(\lambda^2)$

the matrix C_1 is of size $(r + s - 2) \times (r + s + 1)$, which can have rank at most 1. □

The following table provided by SAGE gives the computation of various class groups. We have $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$, $K = F(n^{\frac{1}{5}})$. We denote by $n(F)$ the number of distinct prime divisors of n in F and S_K the 5-class group of K respectively.

We observe from Table 1 that, if $p \equiv -1 \pmod{5}$, then rank of class group is at least 2. That motivated us to prove the following result (see also Table 2 below). The table is obtained using SAGE with $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)(p^{\frac{1}{5}})$, where $p \equiv -1 \pmod{5}$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_5]$. The second column describes the R -module structure of the 5-class group S_K .

Theorem 5.15. *Let p be a prime congruent to $-1 \pmod{5}$. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and $K = F(p^{\frac{1}{5}})$. Assume that all ambiguous ideal classes are strongly ambiguous. Then 25 divides the class number of K . More precisely, the λ^2 -rank of S_K is 1 and we have, $2 \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 4$.*

Proof. It is known that any prime of the form $p \equiv -1 \pmod{5}$ can be written as

$$p = a^2 + ab - b^2$$

with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, non-zero with $(a, b) = 1$. Note that this implies that $(a, p) = (b, p) = 1$.

Let $c = a - b$, define

$$\pi_1 = a\zeta^3 + a\zeta^2 + b \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2 = a\zeta^3 + a\zeta^2 + c.$$

Now we observe the following two identities:

$$a^2 + bc = a^2 + b(a - b) = p \quad \text{and} \quad a^2 - ab - ac = a^2 - a(b + c) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1\pi_2 &= (a\zeta^3 + a\zeta^2 + b)(a\zeta^3 + a\zeta^2 + c) \\ &= (2a^2 + bc) + a^2(\zeta + \zeta^4) + (ab + ac)(\zeta^2 + \zeta^3) \\ &= (a^2 + bc) + (a^2 - ab - ac)(1 + \zeta + \zeta^4) \\ &= p. \end{aligned}$$

This gives us prime decomposition of *p* in *F*. Now to compute the λ^2 -rank of S_K , we compute *t* and s_1 .

If $p \equiv -1 \pmod{25}$, then $N(\pi_i) = p^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{25}$ for $i = 1, 2$. So $\zeta \in N_{K/F}(K^*)$.

If $p \not\equiv -1 \pmod{25}$, then $N(\pi_i) = p^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{25}$ for $i = 1, 2$. So $\zeta \notin N_{K/F}(K^*)$.

In both cases,

$$-\zeta^2(1 + \zeta) \equiv \frac{b}{a} \pmod{\pi_1} \quad \text{and} \quad -\zeta^2(1 + \zeta) \equiv \frac{c}{a} \pmod{\pi_2}.$$

Note that $\frac{b}{a}$ and $\frac{c}{a}$ are in \mathbb{F}_p^* . Since $5 \nmid p - 1$, $x \mapsto x^5$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{F}_p^* . Hence $\frac{b}{a}$ and $\frac{c}{a}$ are fifth power modulo π_1 and π_2 respectively. Thus in both cases we see that, $-\zeta^2(1 + \zeta) \in N_{K/F}(K^*)$.

Combining these facts, we see that in both cases, $t = g - 1 = 1$.

In the case, $p \not\equiv -1 \pmod{25}$, we have $q^* = q = 1$. In the case $p \equiv -1 \pmod{25}$, we have $q^* = q = 2$ and λ does not ramify in K/F .

Let M_1 denote the genus field of K/F . It is of the form $M_1 = K(x_1^{\frac{1}{5}})$. Since M_1 is unramified over K , only the primes that ramify in K can divide x_1 .

Suppose $p \equiv -1 \pmod{25}$. Then, only π_1 and π_2 ramify in K . So x_1 is of the form $x_1 = \pi_1^{\alpha_1} \pi_2^{\alpha_2}$. To compute s_1 , we need to compute $(\frac{x_1, p}{\pi_1})$, $(\frac{x_1, p}{\pi_2})$. Let $c_1 = (-1)^{\alpha_1} \frac{x_1}{p^{\alpha_1}} = (-1)^{\alpha_1} \pi_2^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}$. Since $\bar{\pi}_2 = c - b \pmod{\pi_1}$, with $c - b \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, we see on using [19][Chapter 14, Section 3] that, $(\frac{x_1, p}{\pi_1}) = (\bar{c}_1)^{(p^2-1)/5} = 1$. This was because the residue field is \mathbb{F}_{p^2} and $(p^2 - 1)/5$ is a multiple of $p - 1$. Similarly we find that, $(\frac{x_1, p}{\pi_2}) = 1$. So in this case the (1×2) matrix C_1 is the zero matrix. Hence $s_1 = 0$.

Now suppose the $p \not\equiv -1 \pmod{25}$, then λ also ramifies in K . So in this case, x_1 is of the form, $x_1 = \lambda^a \pi_1^{\alpha_1} \pi_2^{\alpha_2}$. To compute s_1 , we need to compute $(\frac{x_1, p}{\pi_1})$, $(\frac{x_1, p}{\pi_2})$ and $(\frac{x_1, \lambda}{\lambda})$. Let $c_1 = (-1)^{\alpha_1} \frac{x_1}{p^{\alpha_1}} = (-1)^{\alpha_1} \pi_2^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} \lambda^a$. Since $\bar{\pi}_2 = c - b \pmod{\pi_1}$, with $c - b \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ and $(\bar{\lambda})^4 = 5 \pmod{\pi_1}$, we see that

$(\bar{c}_1)^{4(p-1)} = 1$. That is $\left(\frac{x_1, \rho}{\pi_1}\right) = (\bar{c}_1)^{(p^2-1)/5} = \pm 1$. Since it is a fifth root of unity in $\mathbb{F}_p[\zeta]^*$, it can not be -1 . Thus, $\left(\frac{x_1, \rho}{\pi_1}\right) = 1$. Similarly we see that, $\left(\frac{x_1, \rho}{\pi_2}\right) = 1$. To compute $\left(\frac{x_1, \lambda}{(\lambda)}\right)$, we compute $\left(\frac{x_1, \lambda}{(\pi_1)}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{x_1, \lambda}{(\pi_2)}\right)$ and use the product formula. We compute $\left(\frac{x_1, \lambda}{(\pi_i)}\right)$ similarly to get, $\left(\frac{x_1, \lambda}{(\pi_i)}\right) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus $\left(\frac{x_1, \lambda}{(\lambda)}\right) = 1$. So in this case the 1×3 matrix C_1 is the zero matrix. Hence $s_1 = 0$.

Thus $s_1 = 0$ in either case which means that the λ^2 -rank of S_K is $t - s_1 = 1$. Lastly, we observe that,

$$2 = 2t - s_1 \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 4t - 3s_1 = 4,$$

that is 25 divides the class number of K . □

The following theorem may be useful in studying elliptic curves over towers of the form $K_n := \mathbb{Q}(e^{2i\pi/5^n}, x^{1/5})$. It is motivated by a comment of John Coates that Iwasawa theory implies the triviality of S_{K_n} for all n for all x considered in the theorem.

Theorem 5.16. *Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5, x^{1/5})$ where x is a positive integer which is not divisible by the 5th power of any prime in F . Suppose that the prime $\lambda = 1 - \zeta_5$ ramifies in K . Then $S_K = \{1\}$ if, and only if, $x = p^a$, where p is a prime number such that $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$, but $p \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ and $1 \leq a \leq 4$. Further, 5 is totally ramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{25}, x^{1/5})$ for x as above.*

Proof. Suppose that $x = p^a$, where p is as described above. Then, clearly λ ramifies in K/F from Lemma 5.1(c), since $x \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$. Furthermore, ζ is not in $N_{K/F}(K^*)$ once again by Lemma 5.1, since $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(p) \not\equiv 1 \pmod{25}$; so $q^* \leq 1$. Thus $t = d - 3 + q^* = q^* - 1$. It follows that $q^* = 1$ and $t = 0$. Since, $t = 0$, we see that $S_K = \{1\}$.

Conversely, suppose that λ ramifies in K/F and S_K is $\{1\}$. Then we must have $t = 0$ (since, $\text{rank } S_K \geq t$). We also note that, in this situation $x \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$. Let g be the number of distinct primes of F , which divides x . Then $d = g + 1$ (since λ ramifies in K/F). Thus $0 = t = d - 3 + q^* = g + q^* - 2$, or in other words, $g + q^* = 2$.

If $g = 2$, there are three possible cases.

- (i) $x \equiv -1 \pmod{5}$ is a prime. Then as in Theorem 5.16, we see that, $t = 1$.
- (ii) $x = p^a q^b$, where $p \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ and $q \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $q \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$. By Theorem 5.13 with $r = s = 1$, we see that $t = 1$.
- (iii) $x = p^a q^b$, where $p, q \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $p, q \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ and $x \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$. By Theorem 5.13 with $r = 0$ and $s = 2$, we see that $t = 1$.

Table 3. Structure of 5-class group of *K*.

$n = p \times q$	Structure of S_K as R module
7×19	$R/(\lambda) \times R/(\lambda^2)$
7×29	$R/(\lambda) \times R/(\lambda^3)$
7×59	$R/(\lambda) \times R/(\lambda^2)$
7×79	$R/(\lambda) \times R/(\lambda^3)$
7×89	$R/(\lambda) \times R/(\lambda^2)$
7×149	$R/(\lambda) \times R/(\lambda^2)$
43×149	$R/(\lambda) \times R/(\lambda^3)$
107×149	$R/(\lambda) \times R/(\lambda^2)$
7×199	$R/(\lambda) \times R/(\lambda^2)$
43×199	$R/(\lambda) \times R/(\lambda^2)$
107×199	$R/(\lambda) \times R/(\lambda^2)$

Thus, we must have $g = 1$; that is, $x = p^a$ with $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$. If $p \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, then $x \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, contradicting the assumption that λ ramifies in K/F . So we obtain that, $x = p^a$, where p is a rational prime such that $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$, but $p \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ and $1 \leq a \leq 4$. This completes the proof excepting the last assertion which is checked easily using a finite computation. \square

Remark 5.17. If we remove the assumption that λ ramifies in K/F , then S_K is trivial if and only if $x = p^a$ with $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ or $x = p^a q^b$, where $p, q \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $p, q \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ and $x \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$. By Theorem 5.12, with $r = 1$, it follows that, if $g = 1$, then $x = p^a$, with $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ has $t = 0$. On the other hand, in case $g = 2$, we see from Theorem 5.14 (with $r = 0$ and $s = 2$) that in the case mentioned, $t = 0$. Compare with Table 1.

The following theorem is similar in flavor to that of Theorem 5.15 (see also Table 3 below). The table is obtained using SAGE with $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)(n^{\frac{1}{5}})$, where $n = pq$ with $p \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $q \equiv -1 \pmod{5}$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_5]$. The second column describes the R -module structure of the 5-class group S_K .

Theorem 5.18. *Let p be a prime congruent to $\pm 7 \pmod{25}$ and q be a prime congruent to $-1 \pmod{5}$. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and $K = F((pq)^{\frac{1}{5}})$. Assume that all ambiguous ideal classes are strongly ambiguous. Then, 125 divides the class number of K . More precisely, the λ^2 -rank of S_K is 1, and $3 \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 5$.*

Proof. Suppose firstly that $q \equiv -1 \pmod{25}$. Then, q factors as $\pi_1 \pi_2$ in F as in Theorem 5.15. Since $p \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, p is prime in F . We have $N(p) = p^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{25}$ and $N(\pi_i) = q^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{25}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus $\zeta \in N_{K/F}(K^*)$.

As in Theorem 5.15, we see that $1 + \zeta$ is a fifth power modulo π_1 and modulo π_2 . Considering the intermediate field $K_1 = F(p^{\frac{1}{5}})$, we see that the Hasse formula from section 5.1 for this situation gives $t_1 = d_1 - 3 + q_1^* = q_1^* - 2$. Thus $q_1^* = 2$, that is, $1 + \zeta$ is a fifth power modulo p . Thus $1 + \zeta \in N_{K/F}(K^*)$. We note that in this case λ does not ramify.

If $q \not\equiv -1 \pmod{25}$, then $N(\pi_i) = q^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{25}$ for $i = 1, 2$. But in this situation, $-\zeta^2(1+\zeta) \in N_{K/F}(K^*)$. So $q^* = 1$ and λ ramifies. Combining these facts, we immediately see that, in both cases, $t = g - 1 = 3 - 1 = 2$.

Next we want to compute s_1 . Let $x_1 = p$ and $x_2 = \pi_1^{\alpha_1} \pi_2^{\alpha_2}$ as in the proof of Theorem 5.15. When $q \equiv -1 \pmod{25}$, to compute the matrix C_1 , we need to compute the Hilbert symbols, $(\frac{x_1, pq}{p})$, $(\frac{x_1, pq}{\pi_1})$, $(\frac{x_1, pq}{\pi_2})$, $(\frac{x_2, pq}{p})$, $(\frac{x_2, pq}{\pi_1})$, $(\frac{x_2, pq}{\pi_2})$. Using the formula given in [19][Chapter 14, Section 3], we can see as in Theorem 5.15, that

$$\left(\frac{x_1, pq}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{x_1, pq}{\pi_1}\right) = \left(\frac{x_1, pq}{\pi_2}\right) = \left(\frac{x_2, pq}{\pi_1}\right) = \left(\frac{x_2, pq}{\pi_2}\right) = 1,$$

and $(\frac{x_2, pq}{p}) \neq 1$. Thus, the 2×3 matrix C_1 has only one nonzero entry. So $s_1 = 1$.

When $q \not\equiv -1 \pmod{25}$, C_1 has one more column consisting of $(\frac{x_1, \lambda}{(\lambda)})$ and $(\frac{x_2, \lambda}{(\lambda)})$. We see as in 5.15, $(\frac{x_2, \lambda}{(\lambda)}) = 1$ and since $x_1 = \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $(\frac{x_1, \lambda}{(\lambda)}) = 1$ as well. Then, the 2×4 matrix C_1 in this case also has only one nonzero entry. So $s_1 = 1$.

Thus we see that in both cases, the λ^2 -rank of S_K is $t - s_1 = 1$. Lastly, we observe that,

$$3 = 2t - s_1 \leq \text{rank} S_K \leq 4t - 3s_1 = 5. \quad \square$$

Remarks. If there are ambiguous ideal classes which are not strongly ambiguous, then in Theorem 5.15, s_1 can possibly be equal to 1; in that case, the rank of S_K would be 1. Similarly, in Theorem 5.18, s_1 can possibly be 2; in that case, the rank of S_K would be 2. But, we have not been able to find any example for either of these situations; perhaps, under the hypotheses of Theorem 5.16 or of Theorem 5.17, all ambiguous ideal classes are strongly ambiguous.

6. 5-class group of pure quintic fields

In this final section, we apply the results of the last section (especially Theorems 5.12, 5.13 and 5.14) to deduce results on some quintic extensions of \mathbb{Q} . Let L be a degree 5 extension of \mathbb{Q} such that $[L(\zeta_5) : L] = 4$ and $\text{Gal}(L(\zeta_5)/L) \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} = G$. Let $K = L(\zeta_5)$. Write $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$. Let ω be the character $G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_5^*$ which maps σ to 3 modulo 5. Note that $\omega^2(\sigma) = -1$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let C be a $\mathbb{Z}_5[G]$ module. Let $C(\omega^i) = \{a \in C : \sigma a = \omega^i(\sigma a)\}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Then $C \cong C^+ \oplus C(\omega) \oplus C(\omega^2) \oplus C(\omega^3)$ where we have written C^+ for $C(\omega^0) = \{a \in C | \sigma a = a\}$.*

Proof. We omit the easy proof. □

Lemma 6.2. *Let S_K and S_L denotes the 5-class group of K and L respectively. Then, $S_L \cong S_K^+$ and $S_L/5S_L \cong (S_K/5S_K)^+$.*

Proof. We have a natural inclusion $S_L \hookrightarrow S_K$ as 5 is relatively prime to $[K : L] = 4$. Moreover, $S_L \hookrightarrow S_K^+$ as $\sigma a = a$ for all $a \in S_L$. Let $a \in S_K^+$, then $a = 4(\frac{1}{4}a) = (1 + \sigma + \sigma^2 + \sigma^3)(\frac{1}{4}a) = N(\frac{1}{4}a)$. Thus, $a \in S_L$. So $S_L \cong S_K^+$. Now, $S_L/5S_L \cong S_K^+/5(S_K^+) \cong (S_K/5S_K)^+$. □

6.1 Decomposing S_K under the affine group of \mathbb{F}_5

Now let L is a pure quintic field, that is $L = \mathbb{Q}(n^{\frac{1}{5}})$, where n is a positive integer which does not contain any 5^{th} power. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and $K = F(n^{\frac{1}{5}}) = L(\zeta_5)$. Then K is a cyclic extension of degree 5 over F and we can use the theory developed in the previous sections to determine S_K . Let σ be a generator of $G = \text{Gal}(K/L)$ and τ be a generator of $\text{Gal}(K/F)$. We observe that, K/\mathbb{Q} is Galois. We fix the generators σ, τ in $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ satisfying the relations

$$\sigma^4 = \tau^5 = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau^3\sigma.$$

Let $\lambda = 1 - \tau$.

Note that $S_K, 5S_K$ are $\mathbb{Z}_5[G]$ -modules. Consider the filtration

$$S_K \supset \lambda S_K \supset \lambda^2 S_K \supset \lambda^3 S_K \supset 5S_K = \lambda^4 S_K.$$

Using the relations $\sigma\tau = \tau^3\sigma$ and $\tau = 1 - \lambda$, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma\lambda a &= \lambda((\lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 3)\sigma a) \equiv 3\lambda\sigma a \pmod{\lambda^2}, \\ \sigma\lambda^2 a &= 5\lambda\sigma a - 6\lambda^2\sigma a + 2\lambda^3\sigma a \equiv -\lambda^2\sigma a \pmod{\lambda^3}, \\ \sigma\lambda^3 a &= 10\lambda\sigma a - 15\lambda^2\sigma a + 7\lambda^3\sigma a \equiv 2\lambda^3\sigma a \pmod{\lambda^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\lambda^i S_K$ for $0 \leq i \leq 4$ are $\mathbb{Z}_5[G]$ -modules. Using Lemma 6.1, we get for $0 \leq i \leq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^i S_K/5S_K &\cong (\lambda^i S_K/5S_K)^+ \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 (\lambda^i S_K/5S_K)(\omega^j), \\ \lambda^i S_K/\lambda^{i+1} S_K &\cong (\lambda^i S_K/\lambda^{i+1} S_K)^+ \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 (\lambda^i S_K/\lambda^{i+1} S_K)(\omega^j). \end{aligned}$$

The natural projection $\lambda^i S_K/5S_K \rightarrow \lambda^i S_K/\lambda^{i+1}S_K$ is surjective with kernel $\lambda^{i+1}S_K/5S_K$. Restricting to the + part, we get the surjective map $(\lambda^i S_K/5S_K)^+ \rightarrow (\lambda^i S_K/\lambda^{i+1}S_K)^+$ with kernel $(\lambda^{i+1}S_K/5S_K)^+$. Since $(S_K/5S_K)^+$, $(\lambda^i S_K/\lambda^{i+1}S_K)^+$ are of exponent 5, we have,

$$\text{rank}S_L = \text{rank}(S_K/5S_K)^+ = \sum_{i=0}^3 \text{rank}(\lambda^i S_K/\lambda^{i+1}S_K)^+.$$

The rank of $(S_K/\lambda S_K)^+$ can be read off from the generators of the genus field, which we will describe at the end. We first determine the rank of $(\lambda^i S_K/\lambda^{i+1}S_K)^+$ for $i \geq 1$.

As before, consider the map induced by multiplication by λ :

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i^* : \lambda^i S_K/\lambda^{i+1}S_K &\rightarrow \lambda^{i+1}S_K/\lambda^{i+2}S_K \\ a \pmod{\lambda^{i+1}S_K} &\mapsto \lambda a \pmod{\lambda^{i+2}S_K}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma \lambda a = 3\lambda \sigma a \pmod{\lambda^2}$, we see that λ_0^* induces surjective maps

$$\theta_i : (S_K/\lambda S_K)(\omega^i) \rightarrow (\lambda S_K/\lambda^2 S_K)(\omega^{i+1}) \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

We note that $\sum_{i=0}^3 \text{rank Ker}\theta_i = s_1$.

We have,

$$\text{rank}(\lambda S_K/\lambda^2 S_K)^+ = \text{rank}(S_K/\lambda S_K)(\omega^3) - \text{rank Ker}\theta_3.$$

Similarly, since $\sigma \lambda^2 a = -\lambda^2 \sigma a \pmod{\lambda^3}$, we see that λ_1^* induces surjective maps

$$\alpha_i : (\lambda S_K/\lambda^2 S_K)(\omega^i) \rightarrow (\lambda^2 S_K/\lambda^3 S_K)(\omega^{i+2}) \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

We note that $\sum_{i=0}^3 \text{rank Ker}\alpha_i = s_2$.

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(\lambda^2 S_K/\lambda^3 S_K)^+ &= \text{rank}(\lambda S_K/\lambda^2 S_K)(\omega^2) - \text{rank Ker}\alpha_2 \\ &= \text{rank}(S_K/\lambda S_K)(\omega) - \text{rank Ker}\theta_1 - \text{rank Ker}\alpha_2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $\sigma \lambda^3 a = 2\lambda^3 \sigma a \pmod{\lambda^4}$, we see that λ_2^* induces surjective maps

$$\beta_i : (\lambda^2 S_K/\lambda^3 S_K)(\omega^i) \rightarrow (\lambda^3 S_K/\lambda^4 S_K)(\omega^{i+3}) \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

We note that $\sum_{i=0}^3 \text{rank Ker}\beta_i = s_3$.

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(\lambda^3 S_K / \lambda^4 S_K)^+ &= \text{rank}(\lambda^2 S_K / \lambda^3 S_K)(\omega) - \text{rank Ker} \beta_1 \\ &= \text{rank}(\lambda S_K / \lambda^2 S_K)(\omega^3) - \text{rank Ker} \alpha_3 - \text{rank Ker} \beta_1 \\ &= \text{rank}(S_K / \lambda S_K)(\omega^2) - \text{rank Ker} \theta_2 \\ &\quad - \text{rank Ker} \alpha_3 - \text{rank Ker} \beta_1 \end{aligned}$$

Putting these together, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank} S_L &= \text{rank}(S_K / \lambda S_K) - (\text{rank Ker} \theta_1 + \text{rank Ker} \theta_2 + \text{rank Ker} \theta_3 \\ &\quad + \text{rank Ker} \alpha_2 + \text{rank Ker} \alpha_3 + \text{rank Ker} \beta_1). \end{aligned}$$

Observing that $\text{rank}(S_K / \lambda S_K) = t$, we see that $\text{rank} S_L \leq t$. Also, noting that $\sum_{i=0}^3 \text{rank Ker} \theta_i = s_1$, we obtain another upper bound for the rank of S_L as

$$\text{rank} S_L \leq t - s_1 + \text{rank Ker} \theta_0 \leq \text{rank}(S_K / \lambda S_K)^+ + (t - s_1).$$

On the other hand, using $\sum_{i=0}^3 \text{rank Ker} \alpha_i = s_2$ and $\sum_{i=0}^3 \text{rank Ker} \beta_i = s_3$, we see that,

$$\text{rank} S_L \geq t - s_1 - s_2 - s_3.$$

Thus, we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $L = \mathbb{Q}(n^{\frac{1}{5}})$, where n is an integer which does not contain any fifth power. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and $K = F(n^{\frac{1}{5}}) = L(\zeta_5)$. Then,*

$$t - s_1 - s_2 - s_3 \leq \text{rank} S_L \leq \min(t, (t - s_1) + \text{rank}(S_K / \lambda S_K)^+).$$

Corollary 6.4. *When $t = s_1$, $\text{rank} S_L = \text{rank}(S_K / \lambda S_K)^+$.*

Proof. Since $t = s_1$, $\lambda S_K = 5S_K$ and $s_2 = s_3 = 0$. Thus $\text{Ker} \alpha_i = \text{Ker} \beta_j = 0$ for all i, j . Moreover, $\lambda S_K / \lambda^2 S_K = 0$. So,

$$\text{rank} S_L = \text{rank}(S_K / \lambda S_K)^+ + t - s_1 = \text{rank}(S_K / \lambda S_K)^+. \quad \square$$

6.2 Kummer duality to bound rank of $(S_K / \lambda S_K)^+$

Finally we describe how one can determine $\text{rank}(S_K / \lambda S_K)^+$ or give an upper bound for this rank. Let M be the maximal abelian unramified extension of K with exponent 5. By class field theory, we have, $S_K / 5S_K \cong \text{Gal}(M/K)$. By Kummer theory there exists a subgroup A of K^* ,

$$(K^*)^5 \subset A \subset K^*,$$

such that $M = K(\sqrt[5]{A})$. We have a bilinear pairing

$$A/(K^*)^5 \times \text{Gal}(M/K) \rightarrow \{5^{\text{th}} \text{ roots of unity}\}$$

$$(x, \mu) \mapsto [x, \mu] = (x^{\frac{1}{5}})^{\mu-1}.$$

By Kummer theory $A/(K^*)^5$ and $\text{Gal}(M/K)$ are dual groups with respect to this pairing. Thus identifying $S_K/5S_K$ with $\text{Gal}(M/K)$ we see that $A/(K^*)^5$ and $S_K/5S_K$ are dual groups in the bilinear pairing. Let M_1 be a field $K \subset M_1 \subset M$ and M_1/K is Galois. By Kummer theory, there is a subgroup B of A such that

$$(K^*)^5 \subset B \subset A \subset K^*$$

and $M_1 = K(\sqrt[5]{B})$. Moreover, there is a group T , satisfying $5S_K \subset T \subset S_K$, such that S_K/T is dual of $B/(K^*)^5$ and $S_K/T \cong \text{Gal}(M_1/K)$. One can easily check that $[x^\sigma, \mu^\sigma] = [x, \mu]^\sigma$, where σ is the generator of $\text{Gal}(K/L)$, $x \in B/(K^*)^5$ and $\mu \in S_K/T$, $\mu^\sigma = z_\sigma^{-1} \mu z_\sigma$ where $z_\sigma \in \text{Gal}(M_1/L)$ is a element which maps to σ under the natural projection.

Writing

$$(B/(K^*)^5)^+ = \{b \in B/(K^*)^5 \mid b^\sigma = b\},$$

$$(B/(K^*)^5)(\omega) = \{b \in B/(K^*)^5 \mid b^\sigma = b^3\},$$

$$(B/(K^*)^5)(\omega^2) = \{b \in B/(K^*)^5 \mid b^\sigma = b^{-1}\},$$

$$(B/(K^*)^5)(\omega^3) = \{b \in B/(K^*)^5 \mid b^\sigma = b^2\},$$

we have the following lemma:

Lemma 6.5. *Let*

$$B/(K^*)^5 \times S_K/T \rightarrow \{5^{\text{th}} \text{ roots of unity in } K\}$$

$$(x, \mu) \mapsto [x, \mu]$$

be the bilinear pairing described above. Then $(B/(K^)^5)^+$ is dual to $(S_K/T)(\omega)$ under the pairing. Similarly, $(B/(K^*)^5)(\omega^2)$ is dual to $(S_K/T)(\omega^3)$, $(B/(K^*)^5)(\omega)$ is dual to $(S_K/T)^+$ and $(B/(K^*)^5)(\omega^3)$ is dual to $(S_K/T)(\omega^2)$ under this pairing.*

Proof. Let $x \in (B/(K^*)^5)^+$ and $\mu \in (S_K/T)^+$. Then $[x, \mu] = [x^\sigma, \mu^\sigma] = [x, \mu]^\sigma = [x, \mu]^3$, since $\zeta^\sigma = \zeta^3$. Thus $[x, \mu]^2 = 1$, hence $[x, \mu] = 1$. Thus $(B/(K^*)^5)^+$ and $(S_K/T)^+$ are orthogonal in this pairing.

Now, let $x \in (B/(K^*)^5)^+$ and $\mu \in (S_K/T)(\omega^2)$. Then $[x, \mu] = [x^\sigma, (\mu^{-1})^\sigma] = [x, \mu^{-1}]^\sigma = ([x, \mu]^{-1})^\sigma = [x, \mu]^2$, since $\zeta^\sigma = \zeta^3$. Thus $[x, \mu] = 1$. We see that, $(B/(K^*)^5)^+$ and $(S_K/T)(\omega^2)$ are orthogonal in this pairing.

Finally, let $x \in (B/(K^*)^5)^+$ and $\mu \in (S_K/T)(\omega^3)$. Then $[x, \mu] = [x^\sigma, (\mu^3)^\sigma] = [x, \mu^3]^\sigma = [x, \mu]^{-1}$, since $\zeta^\sigma = \zeta^3$. Thus $[x, \mu]^2 = 1$, hence $[x, \mu] = 1$. Thus $(B/(K^*)^5)^+$ and $(S_K/T)(\omega^3)$ are orthogonal in this pairing.

The other cases are similar and the duality claimed easily follows. \square

Let $M_1 = K(x_1^{\frac{1}{5}}, \dots, x_r^{\frac{1}{5}})$ be the genus field of K/F , that is $S_K/\lambda S_K \cong \text{Gal}(M_1/K)$. Suppose x_1, \dots, x_w are the rational numbers among the x_i 's. Then, $\text{rank}(B/(K^*)^5)^+ = w$. Suppose x_{w+1}, \dots, x_r are the x_i 's whose factors only contain rational numbers and primes of the form $a\zeta^2 + a\zeta^3 + b$. Noticing that for an element $\pi = a\zeta^2 + a\zeta^3 + b$, we get $\pi^{\sigma^2} = \pi \neq \pi^\sigma$, we have

$$\text{rank}(B/(K^*)^5)(\omega^2) = r - w$$

and

$$\text{rank}(B/(K^*)^5)(\omega) \oplus (B/(K^*)^5)(\omega^3) = t - r.$$

Hence

$$\text{rank}(S_K/\lambda S_K)^+ \leq t - r.$$

In particular, we obtain the theorem:

Theorem 6.6. *Let $L = \mathbb{Q}(n^{\frac{1}{5}})$, where $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_m^{a_m} q_1^{b_1} \cdots q_u^{b_u}$ where $p_i \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$, $q_j \equiv -1 \pmod{5}$ and $1 \leq a_i, b_j \leq 4$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and for $j \in \{1, \dots, u\}$. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and $K = F(n^{\frac{1}{5}}) = L(\zeta_5)$. Then $\text{rank}(S_K/S_K^\Delta)^+ = 0$ and*

$$t - s_1 - s_2 = \lambda^3 - \text{rank of } S_K \leq \text{rank } S_L \leq \lambda^2 - \text{rank of } S_K = t - s_1.$$

Proof. As proved in proposition 5.8 and Theorem 5.15, all the generators of the genus field M_1 are either rational integers with prime factors p_i or contains factors of q_j in F . But, as we proved in Theorem 5.15, factors of q_j 's are of the form $a\zeta^2 + a\zeta^3 + b$. Hence, with r as defined before the theorem, we have $r = t$. Notice that both $(S_K/\lambda S_K)^+$ and $(S_K/\lambda S_K)(\omega^2)$ are 0 in this case. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t - s_1 &\geq \text{rank } S_L = t - s_1 - \text{rank Ker } \alpha_2 \\ &= (t - s_1 - s_2) + \text{rank Ker } \alpha_0 \geq t - s_1 - s_2. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Remarks. (i) We would have better results if we can get more information about $\text{rank}(S_K/\lambda S_K)^+$.

(ii) Under the assumption that all ambiguous ideal classes are strongly ambiguous, we computed the λ^2 -rank of S_K in Theorems 5.12, 5.13, 5.14, 5.15 and 5.18. If there are ambiguous ideal classes which are not strongly ambiguous, the maximum value of s_1 is given.

Corollary 6.7. *Let $L = \mathbb{Q}(N^{\frac{1}{5}})$. In the following cases S_L is trivial or cyclic.*

- *Let $N = p^a$, where $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ is a prime, $1 \leq a \leq 4$.*
- *Let $N = q_1^{a_1} q_2^{a_2}$ where $q_i \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $q_i \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $1 \leq a_i \leq 4$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that $N \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$.*
- *Let $N = p^a$, where $p \equiv -1 \pmod{5}$ is a prime, $1 \leq a \leq 4$.*
- *Let $N = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2}$ where $p_i \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $1 \leq a_i \leq 4$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that $N \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$.*
- *$N = p^a q^b$ where $p \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $q \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $q \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ and $1 \leq a, b \leq 4$ such that $N \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$.*
- *$N = q_1^{a_1} q_2^{a_2}$ where $q_i \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $q_i \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $1 \leq a_i \leq 4$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that $N \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$.*
- *$N = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} q^b$ where $p_i \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $q \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $q \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $1 \leq a_i, b \leq 4$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that $N \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$.*
- *$N = p^a q_1^{a_1} q_2^{a_2}$ where $p \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $q_i \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $q_i \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $1 \leq a, a_i \leq 4$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that $N \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$.*
- *$N = q_1^{a_1} q_2^{a_2} q_3^{a_3}$ where $q_i \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ but $q_i \not\equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$, $1 \leq a_i \leq 4$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that $N \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7 \pmod{25}$.*
- *Let $N = p^a q^b$, where $p \equiv -1 \pmod{5}$ and $q \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{25}$ are primes, $1 \leq a, b \leq 4$.*

Proof. In all these situations, $\text{rank}(S_K/\lambda S_K)^+ = 0$. From Theorem 5.12, 5.13, 5.14, 5.15 follows that for each of these cases except the last case $t = 0$ or 1. In the last case, we see from Theorem 5.18 that $t = 2$ and $s_1 \geq 1$. Thus $\text{rank} S_L \leq 1$. The result follows. Note that, in first two cases the class groups are trivial. □

Remark. Let f be a normalized cuspidal Hecke eigenform of weight k and level N . Let K_f denote the extension of \mathbb{Q}_5 generated by the q -expansion coefficients $a_n(f)$ of f . It is known that K_f is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_5 . In the case N is prime and $5 \mid N - 1$, it is known [16] that there exists unique (up to conjugation) weight 2 normalized cuspidal Hecke eigenform defined over \mathbb{Q}_5 , satisfying the congruence

$$a_l(f) \equiv 1 + l \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

where \mathfrak{p} is the maximal ideal of the ring of integer of K_f , and $l \neq N$ are primes. In this situation it is also known that K_f is a totally ramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_5 and let $[K_f : \mathbb{Q}_5] = e_5$. Calegari and Emerton [1] showed that $e_5 = 1$ if the class group of $\mathbb{Q}(N^{\frac{1}{5}})$ is cyclic. They also showed that if $N \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, and the 5-class group of $\mathbb{Q}(N^{\frac{1}{5}})$ is cyclic, then $\prod_{l=1}^{(N-1)/2} l^l$ is not a 5^{th} power modulo N . This corollary gives us information when 5-class group of $\mathbb{Q}(N^{\frac{1}{5}})$ is cyclic for various N .

Table 4. Structure of 5 class group of *L*.

<i>n</i>	<i>S_L</i>
2 × 7	1
3 × 7	1
7 × 43	1
2 × 3 × 7	<i>C</i> ₅
2 × 13 × 7	<i>C</i> ₅
7 × 107	<i>C</i> ₅
3 × 13 × 7	<i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅
19, 29, 59, 79, 89, 109, 139, 149, 179, 199, 229, 239, 269, 349	<i>C</i> ₅
7 × 19, 7 × 29, 7 × 59, 7 × 79, 7 × 89, 7 × 149, 7 × 199	<i>C</i> ₅
43 × 19, 43 × 29, 43 × 59, 43 × 79, 43 × 89, 43 × 149, 43 × 199	<i>C</i> ₅
11, 41, 61, 71, 101, 151, 191, 241, 251, 271	<i>C</i> ₅
31, 131, 181	<i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅
211, 281	<i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅ × <i>C</i> ₅

Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank Dipendra Prasad for clarifications regarding genus theory. We are also indebted to Suprio Bhar for help with some computer codes in SAGE. We would like to acknowledge our gratitude to Georges Gras who drew our attention to his work which we were initially ignorant of. We also thank Mahesh Kakde who suggested that some results may be of interest to Iwasawa theorists and suggested us to send them to John Coates. We are grateful to Coates for his subsequent suggestion to highlight Theorem 5.16 which may be useful in studying elliptic curves over towers of the form $\mathbb{Q}(e^{\frac{2i\pi}{5^n}}, x^{1/5})$. Finally, we are extremely grateful to the referee who not only first brought our attention to the work of Rédei, Greither, Kučera *et al.* but made several constructive suggestions which led us to use the right language and to prove more results than we had earlier. In particular, thanks to him, the section 6 is now simpler and more general than what we had.

References

- [1] F. Calegari and M. Emerton, On the ramification of Hecke algebras at Eisenstein primes, *Invent. Math.*, **160** (2005) 97–144.
- [2] J. W. S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, Algebraic number theory, London, Academic Press Inc., (1967).
- [3] F. Gerth III, On 3-class groups of cyclic cubic extensions of certain number fields, *J. Number Theory*, vol. 8 (1976) pp. 84–98.
- [4] F. Gerth III, On 3-class groups of cubic fields, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **278/279** (1975) 52–62.
- [5] F. Gerth III, On 3-class groups of certain pure cubic fields, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **72** (2005) no. 3, 471–476.
- [6] G. Gras, Sur les *l*-classes d'idéaux dans les extensions cycliques relatives degré premier *l*, *Annales de l'institut Fourier*, Tome 23, No. 3 (1973) 1–48.

- [7] G. Gras, Sur les 1-classes d'idéaux dans les extensions cycliques relatives degré premier l, *Annales de l'institut Fourier*, Tome 23 No. 4 (1973) 1–44.
- [8] G. Gras, Class Field Theory: From Theory to Practice, *Translated from the French manuscript by Henri Cohen*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2003).
- [9] C. Greither and R. Kučera, The lifted root number conjecture for fields of prime degree over the rationals: An approach via trees and Euler systems, *Annales de l'institut Fourier*, vol. 52 (2002) 735–777.
- [10] H. Hasse, Bericht über neuere Untersuchungen und Probleme aus der Theorie der algebraischen Zahlkörper, Teil Klassenkörpertheorie, Teil Ia: Beweise zu TeilII, Zweite, durchgesehene Auflage, Physica-Verlag, (1965).
- [11] E. Hecke, Algebraic Number Theory, *GTM 77*, Springer-Verlag (1981).
- [12] C. S. Herz, Construction of class fields, Seminar on complex multiplication, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1966).
- [13] M. Kolster, The 2-part of the narrow class group of a quadratic number field, *Ann. Sci. Math. Québec*, **29(1)** (2005) 73–96.
- [14] F. Lemmermeyer, The ambiguous class number formula revisited, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, **28** (2013) no. 4, 415–421.
- [15] Q. Lu, 8-rank of the class group and isotropy index, *Science China Mathematics*, (2014) 1–12.
- [16] B. Mazur, Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal, *Publ. Math. IHES*, **47** (1977) 33–186.
- [17] L. Rédei, Ein neues zahlentheoretisches Symbol mit Anwendungen auf die Theorie der quadratischen Zahlkörper, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **180** (1938) 1–43.
- [18] L. Rédei and H. Reichardt, Die Anzahl der durch 4 teilbaren Invarianten der Klassengruppe eines beliebigen quadratischen Zahlkörpers, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **170** (1934) 69–74.
- [19] J-P Serre, Local fields, Graduate Texts in Mathematics vol. 67, Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, (1979).
- [20] Y. Tian; Congruent numbers and Heegner points, *Cambridge Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 2(1) (2014) 117–161.
- [21] W. C. Waterhouse, Pieces of eight in class groups of quadratic fields, *J. Number Theory*, **5** (1973) 95–97.