The uniqueness of the generalized octagon of order (2, 4) containing a suboctagon of order (2, 1)

Bart De Bruyn

Groups and Geometries

Bangalore, December 21st 2012

Bart De Bruyn GO(2,4) containing a subGO(2,1)

Let \mathcal{S} be a point-line geometry with point set $\mathcal{P} \neq \emptyset$, line set \mathcal{L} and incidence relation $I \subseteq \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{L}$.

S is called a generalized k-gon with $k \ge 3$ if:

(GP1) Every two distinct points are incident with at most one line.
(GP2) S has no subgeometries that are ordinary *I*-gons with 3 ≤ *I* < *k*.

- (GP3) S has sufficiently many subgeometries that are ordinary *k*-gons: any two elements of S are contained in one such a subgeometry.
 - The generalized 3-gons are precisely the projective planes.

イロン 不得 とくほ とくほ とうほ

A generalized polygon S is said to have order (s, t) if every line is incident with precisely s + 1 points and if every point is incident with precisely t + 1 lines. If s = t, then S is also said to have order s.

S is called thick if every point is incident with at least three lines and if every line is incident with at least three points. If S is thick, then S also has an order. **Problem.** Classify all finite generalized k-gons of order (s, t) for certain specific values of k, s and t.

Every non-thick generalized polygon is either an ordinary polygon or can be constructed from another smaller generalized polygon.

So, we may assume that the generalized *k*-gon is thick, i.e. that $s, t \ge 2$.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > … 回

Bruck-Ryser Theorem (1949): If there exists a projective plane whose order n is 1 or 2 mod 4, then n should be the sum of two squares.

Feit-Higman theorem (1964): Finite thick generalized *k*-gons only exist for $k \in \{3, 4, 6, 8\}$ + some other conditions.

Higman's inequality (1975): If there exists a thick generalized quadrangle or octagon of order (s, t), then $\sqrt{s} \le t \le s^2$.

Inequality of Haemers-Roos (1981): If there exists a thick generalized hexagon of order (s, t), then $\sqrt[3]{s} \le t \le s^3$.

・ロ・ ・ 同・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

• Complete classification of all projective planes of order at most 10.

• Complete classification of all finite GQ's of order (2, *t*), (3, *t*) and (4, 4). (Dixmier - Zara, 1975; Cameron; Payne 1977)

• Complete classification of all finite GH's of order (2, *t*). (Cohen - Tits, 1985)

• Unique GH of order 3, assuming there is at least one subhexagon of order (3, 1). (De Medts - Van Maldeghem, 2009)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Till very recently nothing was known, not even for the smallest possible case (s, t) = (2, 4). A GO(2,4) contains 1755 points and 2925 lines.

Theorem

There exists, up to isomorphism, a unique generalized octagon of order (2, 4) that contains at least one suboctagon of order (2,1).

This generalized octagon belongs to an infinite family of generalized octagons constructed by Tits (1961), using the Ree groups of type ${}^{2}F_{4}$.

Open Problem. Does there exist other finite thick generalized octagons besides these Ree-Tits octagons and their point-line duals?

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

Theorem (Cohen, O'Brien and Shpectorov)

Suppose S is a generalized octagon of order (2, 4), and G is a group of automorphisms of S stabilizing all lines through a distinguished point x and acting transitively on the set of points opposite to x. Then S is isomorphic to the Ree-Tits generalized octagon of order (2, 4).

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ……

• Any GO(2,4) contains 1755 points and 2925 lines, while a GO(2,1) contains 45 points and 30 lines. There is up to isomorphism a unique GO(2,1).

• We have a known configuration of 45 points and 30 lines. We prove that there is at most one way in which this configuration can be extended to a GO(2, 4).

• We use techniques/tricks which are modifications of techniques/tricks from the theory of near polygons that were developed by P. Vandecasteele and myself (around 2003) for the purpose of classifying dense near polygons.

• We also make use of a computer (GAP) to do several computations.

イロン 不良 とくほう 不良 とうほ

Let S be a generalized 2*d*-gon, $d \ge 2$, with point set \mathcal{P} . A map $f : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{N}$ is called a valuation if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- There is a point with value 0.
- ② Every line *L* contains a unique point x_L such that $f(x) = f(x_L) + 1$, $\forall x \in L \setminus \{x_L\}$.
- If x is a point with non-maximal value, then there is at most one line through x containing a point with value f(x) 1.

The set of points with non-maximal value is a hyperplane H_f of S.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

A valuation f can be completely reconstructed from its associated hyperplane H_f .

Theorem

Let f be a valuation of a generalized 2d-gon $S = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, I)$. Let M denote the maximal distance from a point of S to $\mathcal{P} \setminus H_f$. Then $f(x) = M - d(x, \mathcal{P} \setminus H_f)$ for every point x of S.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日

Let S be a generalized 2*d*-gon, $d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

Let *x* be a fixed point and put f(y) := d(x, y) for every point *y*. Then *f* is a so-called classical valuation.

Let *O* be an ovoid. Put f(x) = 0 if $x \in O$ and f(x) = 1 if $x \notin O$. Then *f* is a so-called ovoidal valuation.

Suppose $x \in \mathcal{P}$ and $O \subseteq \Gamma_d(x)$ such that every line of S at distance d - 1 from x has a unique point in common with O.

- f(y) := d(x, y) if $d(x, y) \le d 1$,
- f(y) := d 2 if d(x, y) = d and $y \in O$,
- f(y) := d 1 if d(x, y) = d and $y \notin O$.

Then f is a so-called semi-classical valuation of S.

▲圖 > ▲ ヨ > ▲ ヨ > …

A distance-*j*-ovoid of S is a set X of points satisfying the following properties:

- |X| ≥ 2 and the minimal distance between two distinct points of X is equal to j;
- for every point *a* of S, there exists a point $x \in X$ such that $d(a, x) \leq \frac{j}{2}$;
- for every line *L* of *S*, there exists a point $x \in X$ such that $d(x, L) \leq \frac{j-1}{2}$.

Distance-2-ovoids = ovoids.

通 とくほ とくほ とう

Let X be a *distance-j-ovoid* with *j* even. The map $\mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{N}$; $x \mapsto d(x, X)$ is a so-called distance-*j*-ovoidal valuation of S.

The distance-2-ovoidal valuations are precisely the ovoidal valuations.

(雪) (ヨ) (ヨ)

Let *f* be a valuation of a generalized 2d-gon S.

- \mathcal{O}_f : the set of points with *f*-value 0.
- A_f : the set of all points x of S that are not collinear with a point having *f*-value f(x) 1.
- *M_f*: the maximal value attained by *f*.

Clearly, $\mathcal{O}_f \subseteq \mathcal{A}_f$ and $M_f \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$.

(過) (ヨ) (ヨ)

Theorem

Suppose f is a valuation of S. Then:

- $M_f = 1$ if and only if f is ovoidal;
- $M_f = d 1$ if and only if f is semi-classical;
- $M_f = d$ if and only if f is classical;
- *O_f* = *A_f* if and only if *f* is either classical or distance-*j*-ovoidal for some even *j*.

Theorem

Let S be embedded as a full sub-2d-gon in a larger generalized 2d-gon S'. Let y be a point of S' at distance m from S. For every point x of S, we define

$$f_y(x) := d(x, y) - m.$$

Then f_y is a valuation of S.

We call f_y the valuation of S induced by y.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > .

- There exists an easy way to determine all hyperplanes of a given point-line geometry with three points per line.
- GO(2, 1) has 92 hyperplanes.
- 12 of these hyperplanes are associated with valuations.

There are 3429 valuations which fall into 12 isomorphism classes: A, B1, B2, C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6, C7, D1, D2.

通 とくほ とくほ とう

The valuations of GO(2, 1)

Туре	#	M_{f}	$ \mathcal{O}_f $	$ \mathcal{A}_f $	$ H_f $	Туре
A	45	4	1	1	29	classical
B1	90	3	1	9	21	semi-classical
B2	90	3	1	9	21	semi-classical
C1	720	2	1	13	17	_
C2	720	2	2	11	19	_
C3	720	2	2	11	19	_
C4	360	2	3	9	21	_
C5	180	2	1	13	17	_
C6	180	2	1	13	17	_
C7	36	2	5	5	25	distance-4-ovoidal
D1	144	1	15	15	15	ovoidal
D2	144	1	15	15	15	ovoidal

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ のへで

Let S be a generalized 2*d*-gon.

Let f_i , $i \in I$, be a collection of mutually distinct valuations of S.

The set $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$ is called an *L*-set of valuations if for every point *x* of *S*, there exists a (necessarily unique) $i \in I$ such that $f_j(x) - M_{f_j} = f_i(x) - M_{f_i} + 1$ for every $j \in I \setminus \{i\}$.

個人 くほん くほん 一日

The set $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$ is called an admissible set of valuations if the following holds for all $i_1, i_2 \in I$ with $i_1 \neq i_2$, for every $x \in A_{f_{i_1}}$ and every $y \in A_{f_{i_2}}$.

• If
$$M_{f_{i_1}} = M_{f_{i_2}} = d$$
, then $d(x, y) = 1$.

• If
$$x = y$$
, then $(f_{i_1}(x) - M_{f_{i_1}}) - (f_{i_2}(x) - M_{f_{i_2}}) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}.$

• If $x \neq y$ and at least one of $M_{f_{i_1}}$, $M_{f_{i_2}}$ is distinct from d, then $d(x, y) + f_{i_1}(x) + f_{i_2}(y) - M_{f_{i_1}} - M_{f_{i_2}} \geq -1$.

▲冊 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

Theorem

Let S be embedded as a full sub-2d-gon in a larger generalized 2d-gon S'. For every point y of S', let f_y be the valuation of S induced by y.

- If y_1 and y_2 are two distinct collinear points of S, then $f_{y_1} \neq f_{y_2}$.
- For every line L of S, the set {f_y | y ∈ L} is an admissible L-set of valuations of S.

GO(2, 1) has 45966 admissible *L*-sets which fall into 58 isomorphism classes. According to the types of the valuations they contain, we can distinguish 52 types of admissible *L*-sets.

[A,A,A], [A,B1,B1], [A,B2,B2], [B1,C1,C4], [B1,C2,C2], [B1,C3,C3], [B1,C4,C4], [B1,C5,C5], [B1,C5,C7], [B1,C6,C6], [B2,C1,C3], [B2,C2,C2], [B2,C4,C5], [B2,C6,C6], [C1,C1,C1], [C1,C1,C2], [C1,C1,C3], [C1,C1,C4], [C1,C1,C5], [C1,C1,C6], [C1,C1,D1], [C1,C1,D2], [C1,C2,C3], [C1,C2,C5], [C1,C2,C6], [C1,C2,D1], [C1,C2,D2], [C1,C3,C6], [C1,C3,D1], [C1,C4,D2], [C1,C5,C6], [C1,C5,D1], [C1,D1,D2], [C2,C2,C2], [C2,C2,C6], [C2,C2,D2], [C2,C3,D1], [C2,C4,D2], [C2,C5,D1], [C2,D1,D2], [C3,C3,C5], [C3,C5,D2], [C3,C6,D1], [C3,D2,D2], [C4,C6,D2], [C4,D1,D1], [C5,C5,C5], [C5,D2,D2], [C6,C6,C6], [C6,D1,D2], [C7,D2,D2], [D1,D1,D2]

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ● 臣 ■ ∽ � � �

Let $\ensuremath{\mathcal{V}}$ denote the following point-line geometry.

- The points of \mathcal{V} are the valuations of GO(2, 1).
- The lines of V are the admissible L-sets of valuations of GO(2,1).

The partial linear space \mathcal{V} is called the valuation geometry of GO(2, 1).

(過) (ヨ) (ヨ)

In the sequel, S denotes an arbitrary generalized octagon of order (2, 4) that contains a suboctagon GO(2, 1) of order (2, 1).

Theorem

Let θ be the map which associates with each point x of S the associated valuation f_x of GO(2, 1). Then θ is a map between the point sets of S and V mapping lines of S to lines of V.

For every line *L* of S, we call $\theta(L)$ the line of \mathcal{V} induced by *L*.

A point x of S is said to be of Type T if the valuation $\theta(x)$ has Type T.

A line *L* of *S* is said to be of Type $[T_1, T_2, T_3]$ if the admissible *L*-set $\theta(L)$ is of Type $[T_1, T_2, T_3]$.

The points of ${\cal S}$

Type T	S	\mathcal{V}	Ν
А	45	45	1
B1	270	90	3
C2	720	720	1
C5	180	180	1
C6	360	180	2
C7	36	36	1
D1	144	144	1
Total	1755	-	-

N = The number of times a valuation of Type T is induced by a point of S.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

The lines of ${\mathcal S}$

Type $[T_1, T_2, T_3]$	S	\mathcal{V}	Ν
[A,A,A]	30	30	1
[A,B1,B1]	135	45	3
[B1,C2,C2]	720	720	1
[B1,C5,C7]	180	180	1
[B1,C6,C6]	180	90	2
[C2,C2,C6]	720	720	1
[C2,C5,D1]	720	720	1
[C6,C6,C6]	240	240	1
Total	2925	—	—

N = the number of times an admissible *L*-set of Type $[T_1, T_2, T_3]$ is induced by a line of *S*.

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 理 ▶ ▲ 理 ▶ …

The subgraph of the collinearity graph of S induced on the points of Type C2, C5, C7 and D1 is isomorphic to the subgraph of the collinearity graph of V induced on the points of Type C2, C5, C7 and D1.

The fact combined with the nonexistence of subgeometries that ordinary *l*-gons with l < 8 can be used to show that the whole of S is uniquely determined.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > -

Theorem (De Medts and Van Maldeghem, 2009)

There exists up to isomorphism a unique generalized hexagon of order 3 containing a subhexagon of order (3, 1), namely the split Cayley hexagon H(3).

Question: Can we also use the above techniques to prove that result?

Answer: Yes.

First of all, we need to construct the valuation geometry.

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

The valuation geometry \mathcal{V} of GH(3, 1)

There are 8 types of points in \mathcal{V} : A, B1, B2, C1, C2, C3, C4 and C5.

Туре	Max. value	Number
A	3	52
B1	2	312
B2	2	1872
C1	1	144
C2	1	432
C3	1	468
C4	1	936
C5	1	1872
Total	_	6088

There are 309700 lines in \mathcal{V} of 44 distinct types:

[A,A,A,A], [A,B1,B1,B1], [A,B1,B2,B2], [B1,B1,B1,B1], [B1,B2,B2,C5], [B1,B2,C3,C5], [B1,B2,C5,C5], [B1,C1,C1,C1], [B1,C1,C5,C5], [B1,C2,C2,C2], [B1,C2,C4,C4], [B1,C3,C3,C3], [B1,C3,C4,C5], [B1,C4,C5,C5], [B1,C5,C5,C5], [B2,B2,B2,C3], [B2,B2,C2,C3], [B2,B2,C3,C5], [B2,B2,C5,C5], [B2,C1,C3,C4], [B2,C1,C3,C5], [B2,C2,C3,C4], [B2,C2,C4,C5], [B2,C2,C5,C5], [B2,C3,C3,C3], [B2,C3,C4,C4], [B2,C3,C4,C5], [B2,C3,C5,C5], [B2,C4,C4,C5], [B2,C4,C5,C5], [B2,C5,C5,C5], [C1,C2,C2,C2], [C1,C3,C4,C4], [C1,C5,C5,C5], [C2,C2,C4,C5], [C2,C2,C5,C5], [C2,C3,C5,C5], [C2,C4,C5,C5], [C3,C4,C4,C4], [C3,C4,C5,C5], [C3,C5,C5,C5], [C4,C4,C5,C5], [C4,C5,C5,C5], [C5,C5,C5,C5].

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへ(?)

The valuation geometry can be used to study generalized hexagons of order (3, t) containing a subhexagon of order (3, 1).

A generalized hexagon of order (3,3) containing a subhexagon of order (3,1) can only contain points of Type A and B.

Let V_1 be the subgeometry of V consisting of all points of Type A and B, and all lines containing only points of Type A and B.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

There are three types of points in V_1 : A, B1 and B2.

There are four types of lines in V_1 : [A,A,A,A], [A,B1,B1,B1], [A,B1,B2,B2], [B1,B1,B1,B1].

A generalized hexagon of order (3,3) containing a subhexagon of order (3,1) cannot contain points of Type B2 (such a point can only be incident with one line).

Let V_2 be the subgeometry of V consisting of all points of Type A and B1, and all lines containing only points of Type A and B1.

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

The geometry V_2 contains 364 points, the same number of points of a GH(3,3), and the set of B1 points is connected.

From this it can be derived that any GH(3,3) containing a subhexagon of order (3,1) must be isomorphic to \mathcal{V}_2 (and hence to H(3)).

通 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

A generalized polygon of order (s, t) is called semi-finite if s is finite and t is infinite.

The question whether thick semi-finite generalized polygons exist is one of the most famous open problems in the theory of the generalized polygons.

A number of results have been obtained for generalized quadrangles (Cameron, Brouwer, Kantor, Cherlin).

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > -

Theorem (BDB and Vanhove, 2013)

Let $s \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Then there are no semi-finite generalized hexagons that contain a subhexagon of order (s, s^3) .

Every GH(2,2) is isomorphic to either H(2) or its dual $H^{D}(2)$. Using valuations, the following can be proved:

Theorem (BDB)

There are no semi-finite GH(2, t) which contain a subhexagon of order (2, 2).

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

A partial linear space is called a near polygon if for every point x and every line L there exists a unique point on L nearest to x. A near polygon is called dense if every line is incident with at least three points and if every two points at distance 2 have at least two common neighbours.

If x and y are two points of a dense near polygon at distance δ from each other, then x and y are contained in a unique convex subspace of diameter δ (Shult and Yanushka; Brouwer and Wilbrink).

The point-line geometry induced on every convex subspace is again a near polygon.

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

Let S = (P, L, I) be a dense near polygon. A valuation of S is a map $f : P \to \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following properties:

- (V1) There exists at least one point *x* with f(x) = 0.
- (V2) Every line *L* contains a unique point x_L such that $f(x) = f(x_L) + 1$, $\forall x \in L \setminus \{x_L\}$.
- (V3) Every point x of S is contained in a convex subspace F_x that satisfies the following properties:
 - $f(y) \leq f(x)$ for every point y of F_x ;
 - every point z of S which is collinear with a point y of F_x and which satisfies f(z) = f(y) 1 also belongs to F_x .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Theorem (BDB and Vandecasteele, 2005)

Suppose *S* is a dense near polygon which is fully and isometrically embedded as a subgeometry in another dense near polygon *S'*. For every point *x* of *S'* and every point *y* of *S*, we put $f_x(y) = d(x, y) - m$ where m := d(x, S). Then f_x is a valuation of *S*.

So, the valuations of S provide some information on how S can be fully and isometrically embedded as a subgeometry in another dense near polygon.

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほ とうほ

Theorem (BDB and Vandecasteele, 2007)

Up to isomorphism, there are 26 dense near octagons with three points per line.

Theorem (BDB, 2011; BDB and Vanhove, 201?)

There are 28 known dense near octagons with four points per line. Every other such near octagon must be the direct product of an unknown dense near hexagon with a line of size 4.

Other applications: Constructions of hyperplanes; classification of hyperplanes; study of isometric embeddings.

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・