A RESULT ON NIJENHUIS OPERATOR

Rashmirekha Patra

Dept. of Mathematics Sambalpur University,Odisha,India

17 December 2014

1 = 9 Q Q

Rashmirekha, Ph. D. Scholar, Sambalpur University Nijenhuis Operator

Some History

- In 1984 Magri and Morosi worked on Poisson Nijenhuis manifolds via deformation of Hamiltonian system, Which was again studied by Kosmann and Magri in the year 1990.
- In 1990 T.J. Courant introduced Dirac structures[2]and around at same time I. Dorfman, one student of Gelfand independently studied Dirac structure in a different set up[3].
- Dorfman studied Nijenhuis operator via deformation of Lie algebra[4]. Introduction of Dirac structures by her gave new interpretations to the already existing Nijenhuis set ups.
- In 2004 Gallardo and Nunes da Costa introduced Dirac Nijenhuis structures[1].
- Guang and Kang separately developed Dirac Nijenhuis manifolds in 2004 [11].
- In 2011 Kosmann-Schwarzbach studied Dirac Nijenhuis structures on Courant algebroid[8].

• To construct Nijenhuis operator on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ in the same sense Irene Dorfman has constructed Nijenhuis operator on $\Gamma(TM)$.

◎ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ■ ● ● ●

- To study the deformation of Dirac structures on $\Gamma(TM\oplus T^*M).$
- To define Nijenhuis relation on the new set up.

Some pre-requirements

- Let M be a differentiable manifold. TM be its Tangent bundle.
- $\Gamma(TM)$, the space of section of TM is endowed with a bilinear operation on it, i.e. $[,]:\Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$ defined by [X,Y] = XY YX, $X,Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, which is known as Lie bracket (Named in the honour of Sophus Lie).
- $(\Gamma(TM), [,])$ is a Lie algebra with the bracket operation on it.
- A vector space G with a bilinear operation on it $[,]: G \times G \rightarrow G$ is said to be a Lie algebra if the bracket satisfies two properties, i.e.
 - **()** [X, Y] is skew symmetric.
 - **2** [X, Y] satisfies Jacobi identity property, i.e.

[[X,Y],Z] + [[Y,Z],X] + [[Z,X],Y] = 0.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Dorfman has started her calculation choosing a deformed bracket on $\Gamma(TM)$ with a parameter μ , i.e. $[X,Y]_{\mu} = [X,Y] + \mu\omega(X,Y)$, where ω is a bilinear map on $\Gamma(TM)$, i.e.

$$\omega: \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \to \Gamma(TM).$$

The given Lie algebra has a deformation w.r.t. ω if $[X,Y]_\mu$ has a Lie bracket structures. To have a Lie bracket structure we must have

 $\bullet \text{ is skew symmtric, i.e. } \omega(X,Y) = -\omega(Y,X).$

2 ω must satisfy Jacobi identity, i.e.

$$\omega(\omega(X,Y),Z) + c.p. = 0.$$

▲□> < E> < E> E|E のQQ

Let $N: \Gamma(TM) \to \Gamma(TM)$ be an endomorphism on $\Gamma(TM)$.

For a fixed $N: \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$ the deformation of Lie algebra is said to be trivial if for $T_{\mu} = id + \mu N$ the following condition hold:

$$T_{\mu}[X,Y]_{\mu} = [T_{\mu}X,T_{\mu}Y].$$
 (1)

Now expanding L.H.S. and R.H.S. both and comparing them we get

$$\omega(X,Y) = [X,N(Y)] + [N(X),Y] - N[X,Y]$$
(2)

$$N\omega(X,Y) = [N(X), N(Y)]$$
(3)

同
ト
・
三
ト
・
三
ト
・
三
ト
・
三
ト
・
の
へ
の

From the above two equations (2), (3) we have

$$[NX, NY] - N[NX, Y] - N[X, NY] + (N)^{2}[X, Y] = 0.$$
 (4)

A linear operator N satisfying (4) is called a **Nijenhuis Operator**.

Theorem ([4])

Let $N : \Gamma(TM) \to \Gamma(TM)$ be a Nijenhuis Operator. Then a trivial deformation of $\Gamma(TM)$ can be obtained by putting $\omega(X, Y) = [Na, b] + [a, Nb] - N[a, b].$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三日 のへの

The space $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$

Let us consider $TM \oplus T^*M$ on M. $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$, be the space of sections of $TM \oplus T^*M$ defined by $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) =$ $\Gamma(TM) \oplus \Gamma(T^*M) = \{(X,\xi) | X \in \Gamma(TM), \xi \in \Gamma(T^*M)\}.$ $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ is naturally endowed with one symmetric and skew symmetric pairing:

$$\langle (X, \alpha), (Y, \beta) \rangle_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} i_Y \alpha \pm i_X \beta.$$

And this is a algebra with a bracket operation on it, which is known as Courant bracket.

Definition (Courant Bracket)

For differentiable manifold M the Courant bracket $[X, Y]_c$ on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ is a bilinear operation defined by $[X + \xi, Y + \eta]_c = ([X, Y], L_Y \xi - L_X \eta - d\langle X + \xi, Y + \eta \rangle_+)$, where $[X + \xi, Y + \eta] \in \Gamma(TM) \oplus T^*M)$ and L_X is the Lie derivative and $\langle X + \xi, Y + \eta \rangle_+$ is the symmetric pairing on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$.

Rashmirekha, Ph. D. Scholar, Sambalpur University

Nijenhuis Operator on $TM \oplus T^*M$

Let us assume a λ -parametrised family of brackets on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$, i.e. $[Y_1, Y_2]_{\lambda} = [Y_1, Y_2]_c + \lambda \varphi(Y_1, Y_2)$, where φ is a bilinear operator on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$, $Y_1, Y_2 \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ and $[Y_1, Y_2]_c$ is the Courant bracket on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$.Now we have to check the Courant bracket structure of $\varphi(Y_1, Y_2)$. And to have a Courant bracket structure $\varphi(Y_1, Y_2)$ must satisfy:

- Skew symmetric property.
- 2 Jacobi Anomaly.

Let $\mathfrak{N}: \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) \to \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ be a linear map and define $\mathfrak{T}_{\lambda} = id + \lambda \mathfrak{N}$ on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$. For \mathfrak{T}_{λ} this deformation is said to be trivial if $\mathfrak{T}_{\lambda}[X,Y]_{\lambda} = [\mathfrak{T}_{\lambda}X,\mathfrak{T}_{\lambda}Y]$.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三日 のへの

Comparing both sides of the above equation we have

$$\varphi(X,Y) = [X,\mathfrak{N}Y]_c + [\mathfrak{N}X,Y]_c - \mathfrak{N}[X,Y]_c$$
(5)
$$\mathfrak{N}\varphi(X,Y) = [\mathfrak{N}X,\mathfrak{N}Y]_c$$
(6)

▲母> ▲目> ▲目> 目目 のQQ

From the equation (6) we can conclude that

$$\mathfrak{N}\varphi(X,Y) - [\mathfrak{N}X,\mathfrak{N}Y]_c = 0$$

$$\mathfrak{N}([X,\mathfrak{N}Y]_c + [\mathfrak{N}X,Y]_c - \mathfrak{N}[X,Y]_c) - [\mathfrak{N}X,\mathfrak{N}Y]_c = 0$$
(7)

With the equation (7), \mathfrak{N} is called a Nijenhuis Operator on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$.

We know that \mathfrak{N} is a linear mapping from $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ to itself. And It is skew symmetric if $\mathfrak{N} = -(\mathfrak{N})^t$ because \mathfrak{N} can be written as

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} N & \pi \\ \omega & N^* \end{array}\right),$$

where $N: \Gamma(TM) \to \Gamma(TM), N^*: \Gamma(T^*M) \to \Gamma(T^*M), \pi:$ $\Gamma(T^*M) \to \Gamma(TM)$ and $\omega: \Gamma(TM) \to \Gamma(T^*M)$. Here $N^2 = -Id_M$. Kosmann-Schwarzbach in her article [8] has shown that $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ has a weak deformation with respect to a Nijenhuis Operator \mathfrak{N} only if \mathfrak{N} is equivalent to a almost complex structure, i.e. $\mathfrak{N}^2 = -Id_M$. \mathfrak{N} satisfies almost complex structure iff π, ω vanishes on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$.

▲母> ▲目> ▲目> 目目 のQQ

Dirac Structure

Here my aim is not to study the deformation of $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$. It is to study the deformation of Dirac structure on it.

- **()** Consider $TM \oplus T^*M$, a bundle on M.
- 2 $L \subset TM \oplus T^*M$, a subbundle of $TM \oplus T^*M$ is said to be a Dirac structure on M if
 - $L = L^{\perp}$.
 - $[\chi_1, \chi_2]_c = \chi_3$, where $\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3 \in \Gamma(L)$ (Integrability condition)
- It is also called a maximally isotropic subbundle of $TM \oplus T^*M$, as the symmetric pairing on $TM \oplus T^*M$ vanishes on L.
- On TM ⊕ T*M, Courant bracket does not satisfy Jacobi identity, it satisfies an anomaly known as Jacobi Anomaly,which gives rise to a non vanishing three tensor T(Z1, Z2, Z3) on TM ⊕ T*M.

▲母> ▲目> ▲目> 目目 のQQ

6
$$T(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) = \langle [Z_1, Z_2], Z_3 \rangle_+ + c.p..$$

Some authors like Gallardo, Nunes da costa[1], Longguang, Baokang[11] have studied independently on deformation of Dirac structure on 2004. I have tried to give a new approach following Dorfman's construction.

Theorem

Let $\mathfrak{N}: \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) \to \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ be a Nijenhuis Operator, then the deformation of $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ is not a weak deformation if and only if both \mathfrak{N} and $\varphi(X,Y)$ are restricted to Dirac structures, otherwise the deformation is weak deformation on the whole space.

🗇 🕨 🖉 🕨 🖉 🖉 🖉

Proof.

We have $\varphi(X,Y) = [X, \mathfrak{N}Y]_c + [\mathfrak{N}X,Y]_c - \mathfrak{N}[X,Y]_c$. φ is skew symmetric as Courant bracket is skew symmetric. $\delta\varphi(X,Y,Z) = [X,\varphi(Y,Z)] + \varphi([X,Y],Z) + c.p. \neq 0$ as Courant bracket does not satisfy Jacobi identity property. Therefore on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ deformation is not trivial. Suppose both $\mathfrak{N}, \varphi(X, Y)$ are restricted to the section of Dirac structure L on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$. That means $\mathfrak{N}: \Gamma(L) \to \Gamma(L)$ and $\varphi: \Gamma(L) \times \Gamma(L) \to \Gamma(L).$ As we know that Dirac Structure L is a maximally isotropic subbundle of $(TM \oplus T^*M)$, the natural symmetric pairing on it vanishes. Courant bracket is restricted to the Dirac structures satisfies Jacobi Identity. Therefore on L, $\delta \varphi = 0$ as $\delta \varphi$ is the

representation of Jacobi identity on the given space.

The weak deformation of $\Gamma(TM\oplus T^*M)$ has been studied by Kosmann-Schwarzbach in [8].

◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> ●目目 のへの

Nijenhuis Relation on $\Gamma(TM)$:

- Let $A \subset \Gamma(TM) \oplus \Gamma(TM)$ and $A^* \subset \Gamma(T^*M) \oplus \Gamma(T^*M)$.
- Let us take $a_1 \oplus a_2 \in \Gamma(TM) \oplus \Gamma(TM)$ and $\zeta_1 \oplus \zeta_2 \in \Gamma(T^*M) \oplus \Gamma(T^*M)$.
- Choose $\zeta_1 \oplus \zeta_2 \in \Gamma(T^*M) \oplus \Gamma(T^*M)$ such that $(\zeta_1, a_2) = (\zeta_2, a_1)$ for arbitrary $a_1 \oplus a_2 \in A$.
- A relation $A \subset \Gamma(TM) \oplus \Gamma(TM)$ is said to be a Nijenhuis relation for arbitrary $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3 \in \Gamma(T^*M)$ satisfying $a_1 \oplus a_2, b_1 \oplus b_2 \in A$ and $\zeta_1 \oplus \zeta_2, \zeta_2 \oplus \zeta_3 \in A_*$ if the following holds:

 $(\zeta_1, [a_2, b_2]) - (\zeta_2, [a_2, b_1] + [a_1, b_2]) + (\zeta_3, [a_1, b_1]) = 0.$

• Proposition:(Dorfman) The graph of a Nijenhuis Operator $N: \Gamma(TM) \to \Gamma(TM)$ is a Nijenhuis relation. Conversely if a graph of some operator $N: \Gamma(TM) \to \Gamma(TM)$ is a Nijenhuis relation, then N is a Nijenhuis Operator.

• Two Dirac structures $L, M \subset (TM \oplus T^*M)$ are said to be a pair of Dirac structures, if the set

$$A_{L,M} = \{a_1 \oplus a_2 : \exists \zeta \in \Gamma(T^*M), a_1 \oplus \zeta \in M, a_2 \oplus \zeta \in L\}$$

= 200

is a Nijenhuis relation.

Nijenhuis relation on $TM \oplus T^*M$:

- $\mathfrak{A} \subset \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) \oplus \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ and $A^* \subset \Gamma(T^*M) \oplus \Gamma(T^*M).$
- Let us take $\alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2 \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) \oplus \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ and $\eta_1 \oplus \eta_2 \in \Gamma(T^*M) \oplus \Gamma(T^*M)$
- As per the above calculation here the pairing is defined as

$$(\eta_1, \alpha_2) = (\eta_2, \alpha_1) \Rightarrow (\eta_1, X_2 + \xi_2) = (\eta_2, X_1 + \xi_1) \Rightarrow i_{X_2} \eta_1 + \eta_1 \land \xi_2 = i_{X_1} \eta_2 + \eta_2 \land \xi_1.$$

A relation A ⊂ Γ(TM ⊕ T*M) ⊕ Γ(TM ⊕ T*M) is said to be a Nijenhuis relation for arbitrary
α₁, α₂, β₁, β₂ ∈ Γ(TM ⊕ T*M) and η₁, η₂, η₃ ∈ Γ(T*M)
satisfying α₁ ⊕ α₂, β₁ ⊕ β₂ ∈ A and η₁ ⊕ η₂, η₂ ⊕ η₃ ∈ A_{*} if the following holds:

$$(\eta_1, [\alpha_2, \beta_2]) - (\eta_2, [\alpha_2, \beta_1] + [\alpha_1, \beta_2]) + (\eta_3, [\alpha_1, \beta_1]) = 0.$$

Future work

- I am now trying to associate a pair of some geometric structures like Dirac structures with this above defined Nijenhuis relation.
- Deformation of Kahler manifold with respect to Nijenhuis Operator may be seen.
- Hamiltonian pairs and Symplectic pairs can be associated to the Nijenhuis Operator on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$.

= 200

• One can study the deformation of the space $\Gamma(\Lambda(TM)\oplus\Lambda(T^*M)) \text{ through Nijenhuis Operators.}$

Appendix

Reference I

- Clemente-Gallardo, J., Nunes Da Costa, J. M.,:Dirac-Nijenhuis Structures, J. Phy. A 37, 7267-7296, (2004).
- Courant, T.J.,: Dirac Manifold, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 319, no. 2, 631-661, (1990).
- Dorfmann, I.,:Dirac Structures of Integrable evolution equation, Physics Letters A, 125, 5, 240-246, (1987).
- Dorfmann, I.,:Dirac Structures and Integrability of non-linear evolution equations, Non linear Science: Theory and Application, John Wiley Sons, Ltd, Chichester, (1993).
- Grabowski, J.,:Courant Nijenhuis tensors and generalised geometries, Monografías de la Real Academia de Ciencias de Zaragoza 29,101-112,(2006).

◆母 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ★ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ● � � �

Appendix

Reference II

- Janyka, J.,:Remarks on Nijenhuis tensors and generalised geometries, Archivum Mathematcum, 26(4),229-239,(1990).
- Kobayashi, E.T.,:A remark on Nijenhuis tensor,Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 12(3),963-977,(1962).
- Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Y.,:Nijenhuis structures on Courant algebroids,Bull Braz Math Society, New series 42(4),625-649, (2011).
- Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Y.,:Nijenhuis tensor in generalised geometry, International conference in the honour of Franco Margi, University of Milan Bicocca, 27th sept-01st October, 2011.
- Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Y.,:Dirac Pairs, J. Geom. Mechanics, 4(2),165-180, (2012).

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □臣

= 200

Reference III

- Longguang, H.,Baokang, L.,: Dirac Nijenhuis Manifolds, Reports in Mathematical Physics, 53, 1, 123-142 (2004).
- Nunes Da Costa, J. M.,:Nijenhuis and compatible tensors on Courant algebroids, XXI Intrnal Fall Workshop on Geometry and Physics, Burgos, Sept 1, (2012).

= 200

Thank You

Rashmirekha, Ph. D. Scholar, Sambalpur University Nijenhuis Operator