

q-deformed Araki-Woods von Neumann algebra

Panchugopal Bikram
NISER, Bhubaneswar, India
bikram@niser.ac.in

OTOA- ISI Bangalore, 17th Dec, 2018

von Neumann Algebra

- Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space. A unital $*$ -subalgebra $M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is von Neumann algebra (shortly vNa) if M is WOT closed (\Leftrightarrow SOT closed $\Leftrightarrow M'' = M$).

von Neumann Algebra

- Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space. A unital $*$ -subalgebra $M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is von Neumann algebra (shortly vNa) if M is WOT closed (\Leftrightarrow SOT closed $\Leftrightarrow M'' = M$).
- **Tomita-Takesaki modular Theory:** Let ϕ be a f.n state on M and $L^2(M, \phi)$ be the GNS the Hilbert space.
- $S_\phi : L^2(M, \phi) \mapsto L^2(M, \phi)$ defined by $S_\phi(\widehat{x}) = \widehat{x}^*$ will be a densely defined closed operator.

- Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space. A unital $*$ -subalgebra $M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is von Neumann algebra (shortly vNa) if M is WOT closed (\Leftrightarrow SOT closed $\Leftrightarrow M'' = M$).
- **Tomita-Takesaki modular Theory:** Let ϕ be a f.n state on M and $L^2(M, \phi)$ be the GNS the Hilbert space.
- $S_\phi : L^2(M, \phi) \mapsto L^2(M, \phi)$ defined by $S_\phi(\widehat{x}) = \widehat{x}^*$ will be a densely defined closed operator.
- $S_\phi = J_\phi \Delta_\phi$ (the polar decomposition of S_ϕ) and $\sigma_t^\phi(\cdot) = \Delta_\phi^{it}(\cdot)\Delta_\phi^{-it}$.
 - ① J_ϕ and Δ_ϕ are called modular conjugation and modular operator resp.
 - ② $J_\phi M J_\phi = M'$ and $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma_t^\phi(M) = M$.
 - ③ $\{\sigma_t^\phi\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is called modular automorphism group

- Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space. A unital $*$ -subalgebra $M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is von Neumann algebra (shortly vNa) if M is WOT closed (\Leftrightarrow SOT closed $\Leftrightarrow M'' = M$).
- **Tomita-Takesaki modular Theory:** Let ϕ be a f.n state on M and $L^2(M, \phi)$ be the GNS the Hilbert space.
- $S_\phi : L^2(M, \phi) \mapsto L^2(M, \phi)$ defined by $S_\phi(\widehat{x}) = \widehat{x}^*$ will be a densely defined closed operator.
- $S_\phi = J_\phi \Delta_\phi$ (the polar decomposition of S_ϕ) and $\sigma_t^\phi(\cdot) = \Delta_\phi^{it}(\cdot)\Delta_\phi^{-it}$.
 - ① J_ϕ and Δ_ϕ are called modular conjugation and modular operator resp.
 - ② $J_\phi M J_\phi = M'$ and $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma_t^\phi(M) = M$.
 - ③ $\{\sigma_t^\phi\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is called modular automorphism group
- A vNa algebra $M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called factor if $M \cap M' = \mathbb{C}1$.

- From Spectral theorem it is known that M is generated by projections and depending on Murray-von Neumann equivalent of projections, we say a factor M is of:

- From Spectral theorem it is known that M is generated by projections and depending on Murray-von Neumann equivalent of projections, we say a factor M is of:
 - ① type I (i.e., of type I_n for some $1 \leq n \leq \infty$) if M contains a minimal projection.
 - ② type II (i.e., of type II_1, II_∞) if M contains non-zero finite projections but no minimal projections.
 - ③ type III contains no non-zero finite projections.

- From Spectral theorem it is known that M is generated by projections and depending on Murray-von Neumann equivalent of projections, we say a factor M is of:
 - ① type I (i.e., of type I_n for some $1 \leq n \leq \infty$) if M contains a minimal projection.
 - ② type II (i.e., of type II_1, II_∞) if M contains non-zero finite projections but no minimal projections.
 - ③ type III contains no non-zero finite projections.
- Further, let $S(M) = \cap \{\text{Spec}(\Delta_\phi) : \text{faithful normal semifinite weight } \phi\}$, then any factor of type III belongs to one of the following three classes:

- From Spectral theorem it is known that M is generated by projections and depending on Murray-von Neumann equivalent of projections, we say a factor M is of:
 - ① type I (i.e., of type I_n for some $1 \leq n \leq \infty$) if M contains a minimal projection.
 - ② type II (i.e., of type II_1, II_∞) if M contains non-zero finite projections but no minimal projections.
 - ③ type III contains no non-zero finite projections.
- Further, let $S(M) = \cap \{\text{Spec}(\Delta_\phi) : \text{faithful normal semifinite weight } \phi\}$, then any factor of type III belongs to one of the following three classes:
 - ① $III_\lambda, \lambda \in (0, 1)$, if $S(M) = \{0\} \cup \{\lambda^n; n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
 - ② III_0 , if $S(M) = \{0, 1\}$
 - ③ III_1 , if $S(M) = [0, \infty)$

Construction of the q -Fock space

- Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real Hilbert space and let $t \mapsto U_t$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a strongly continuous orthogonal representation of \mathbb{R} on a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Construction of the q-Fock space

- Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real Hilbert space and let $t \mapsto U_t$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a strongly continuous orthogonal representation of \mathbb{R} on a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ be the complexification of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Write $U_t = A^{it}$, the analytic generator.

Construction of the q-Fock space

- Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real Hilbert space and let $t \mapsto U_t$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a strongly continuous orthogonal representation of \mathbb{R} on a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ be the complexification of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Write $U_t = A^{it}$, the analytic generator.
- Define

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_U = \left\langle \frac{2}{1 + A^{-1}} \xi, \eta \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}}, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}}^{\|\cdot\|}$ and $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, \|\cdot\|) \ni \xi \xrightarrow{i} \xi \in (\mathcal{H}, \|\cdot\|_U)$, is an isometric embedding.

Construction of the q -Fock space

- Let $-1 < q < 1$. Then q -Fock space $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$ is the completion of $\mathbb{C}\Omega \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ with respect to the inner product;

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n, \zeta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \zeta_m \rangle_q \\ = \delta_{m,n} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} q^{i(\pi)} \langle \xi_1, \zeta_{\pi(1)} \rangle_U \cdots \langle \xi_n, \zeta_{\pi(n)} \rangle_U, \end{aligned}$$

Construction of the q -Fock space

- Let $-1 < q < 1$. Then q -Fock space $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$ is the completion of $\mathbb{C}\Omega \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ with respect to the inner product;

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n, \zeta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \zeta_m \rangle_q \\ = \delta_{m,n} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} q^{i(\pi)} \langle \xi_1, \zeta_{\pi(1)} \rangle_U \cdots \langle \xi_n, \zeta_{\pi(n)} \rangle_U, \end{aligned}$$

- In particular, $\mathcal{F}_0(\mathcal{H})$ is the usual Fock space $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$

q -deformed Araki-Woods von Neumann algebras

- For every $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, the q -creation and q -annihilation operators on $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$ are respectively defined by:

q -deformed Araki-Woods von Neumann algebras

- For every $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, the q -creation and q -annihilation operators on $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$ are respectively defined by:

-

$$c_q(\xi)\Omega = \xi, \quad c_q(\xi)(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n) = \xi \otimes \xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n,$$

q -deformed Araki-Woods von Neumann algebras

- For every $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, the q -creation and q -annihilation operators on $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$ are respectively defined by:

-

$$c_q(\xi)\Omega = \xi, \quad c_q(\xi)(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n) = \xi \otimes \xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n,$$

-

$$c_q(\xi)^*\Omega = 0,$$

$$c_q(\xi)^*(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n q^{i-1} \langle \xi, \xi_i \rangle \xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_{i-1} \otimes \xi_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n,$$

q-deformed Araki-Woods von Neumann algebras

- For every $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, the q -creation and q -annihilation operators on $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$ are respectively defined by:

-

$$c_q(\xi)\Omega = \xi, \quad c_q(\xi)(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n) = \xi \otimes \xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n,$$

-

$$c_q(\xi)^*\Omega = 0,$$

$$c_q(\xi)^*(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n q^{i-1} \langle \xi, \xi_i \rangle \xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_{i-1} \otimes \xi_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_n,$$

- **q-commutation relation**

$$c_q(\xi)^* c_q(\eta) - q c_q(\eta) c_q(\xi)^* = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

- Write $s_q(\xi) = c_q(\xi) + c_q(\xi)^*$.

- Write $s_q(\xi) = c_q(\xi) + c_q(\xi)^*$.
- $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t) =: C^*\{s_q(\xi) : \xi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}\}$

- Write $s_q(\xi) = c_q(\xi) + c_q(\xi)^*$.
- $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t) =: C^*\{s_q(\xi) : \xi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}\}$
- $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'' = vNa\{s_q(\xi) : \xi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}\}$

- Write $s_q(\xi) = c_q(\xi) + c_q(\xi)^*$.
- $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t) =: C^*\{s_q(\xi) : \xi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}\}$
- $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'' = vNa\{s_q(\xi) : \xi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}\}$
- $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$ is known as the q -deformed Araki-Woods von Neumann algebra constructed by Hiai and $\varphi := \langle \Omega, \cdot \Omega \rangle_q$ called the q -quasi free state, is a f.n state of $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$ and $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$ is the GNS Hilbert space of $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$ associated to φ .

- why does the program of q-deformed Araki-Woods von Neumann algebras $\Gamma_q(H_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$ study is so exciting?

q-deformed Araki-Woods von Neumann algebras

- why does the program of q-deformed Araki-Woods von Neumann algebras $\Gamma_q(H_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$ study is so exciting?
- $\Gamma_q(H_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$ are one of the interesting deformation of Voiculescu's free Gaussian functor, i.e, when $q = 0$ and $U_t = id$, $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, id_t)''$ is the Voiculescu free Gaussian functor and it is isomorphic to $L(\mathbb{F}_{\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})})$. It got three deformation.

q-deformed Araki-Woods von Neumann algebras

- why does the program of q-deformed Araki-Woods von Neumann algebras $\Gamma_q(H_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$ study is so exciting?
- $\Gamma_q(H_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$ are one of the interesting deformation of Voiculescu's free Gaussian functor, i.e, when $q = 0$ and $U_t = id$, $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, id_t)''$ is the Voiculescu free Gaussian functor and it is isomorphic to $L(\mathbb{F}_{\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})})$. It got three deformation.
- Case 1: $q \neq 0$ and $U_t = id$.
It is called Bozoko and Speicher von Neumann algebras. For $\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}) \geq 2$, the q-Gaussian von Neumann algebras $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$ are non-injective, solid, strongly solid, non Γ factors with w^* -completely contractive approximation property. Further, $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_{\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})})$ for values of q sufficiently close to zero.

- Case 2: $q = 0$ and $U_t \neq \text{id}$.

This is the Shlyakhtenko functor. These von Neumann algebras are type III counterparts of the free group factors. In short, they satisfy the complete metric approximation property, lack Cartan subalgebras, are strongly solid, and, they satisfy Connes' bicentralizer problem when they are type III₁.

- Case 2: $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{U}_t \neq \mathbf{id}$.

This is the Shlyakhtenko functor. These von Neumann algebras are type III counterparts of the free group factors. In short, they satisfy the complete metric approximation property, lack Cartan subalgebras, are strongly solid, and, they satisfy Connes' bicentralizer problem when they are type III₁.

- Case 3: $\mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{U}_t \neq \mathbf{id}$.

This is the q -deformed functor due to Hiai for $-1 < q < 1$. Hiai's functor is the main topic of this paper. It is a combination of Bożejko-Speicher's functor and Shlyakhtenko's functor.

- **Centralizer:**

$$(\Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t))''^{\varphi} = \{x \in \Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'' : \sigma_t^{\varphi}(x) = x, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

- **Centralizer:**

$$(\Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t))''^{\varphi} = \{x \in \Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'' : \sigma_t^{\varphi}(x) = x, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

- **Centralizer:**

$$(\Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'')^{\varphi} = \{x \in \Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'' : \sigma_t^{\varphi}(x) = x, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

- **Bicentralizer:**

$$B_{\psi} = \{y \in M : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\rho([y, x_n])| = 0, \forall \rho \in M_*, \\ \forall (x_n) \text{ with } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(x_n \psi - \psi x_n)\| = 0\}.$$

- Let $M_\xi = \text{vNa}(s_q(\xi))$ for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}$. Write $M_{q,U} = \Gamma(H_\mathbb{R}, U_t)''$.

- Let $M_\xi = \text{vNa}(s_q(\xi))$ for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}$. Write $M_{q,U} = \Gamma(H_\mathbb{R}, U_t)''$.

Theorem (with K. Mukherjee)

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}$ with $\|\xi\|_U = 1$. Then;

- 1 $\exists!$ φ -preserving f.n conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}_\xi : M_{q,U} \rightarrow M_\xi$ if and only if $s_q(\xi) \in M_{q,U}^\varphi$, equivalently $U_t \xi = \xi$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

- Let $M_\xi = \text{vNa}(s_q(\xi))$ for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}$. Write $M_{q,U} = \Gamma(H_\mathbb{R}, U_t)''$.

Theorem (with K. Mukherjee)

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}$ with $\|\xi\|_U = 1$. Then;

- 1 $\exists!$ φ -preserving f.n conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}_\xi : M_{q,U} \rightarrow M_\xi$ if and only if $s_q(\xi) \in M_{q,U}^\varphi$, equivalently $U_t\xi = \xi$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- 2 If $U_t\xi = \xi$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\dim(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}) \geq 2$. Then M_ξ is a φ -strongly mixing diffuse masa in $M_{q,U}$ whose distribution obeys semicircular law and left-right measure is Lebesgue absolutely continuous. In particular, M_ξ is singular masa in $M_{q,U}$.

Centralizer and factoriality

- Let $t \mapsto U_t$ be a strongly orthogonal representation of \mathbb{R} on the real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ as before. Then one can decompose as;

-

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t) = \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{N_1} (\mathbb{R}, \text{id}) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{N_2} (\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}(k), U_t(k)) \right) \oplus (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \tilde{U}_t),$$

- where

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}(k) = \mathbb{R}^2, \quad U_t(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(t \log \lambda_k) & -\sin(t \log \lambda_k) \\ \sin(t \log \lambda_k) & \cos(t \log \lambda_k) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_k > 1,$$

- and $(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \tilde{U}_t)$ corresponds to the weakly mixing component of the orthogonal representation, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is either 0 or infinite dimensional.

The factoriality and classification problem of $M_{q,U}$ was open since 2003 for finite dimensional real orthogonal representation.

Theorem (with K. Mukherjee)

Let $t \mapsto U_t$ be a strongly continuous orthogonal representation of \mathbb{R} on a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ($\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}) \geq 2$). Then we have;

- 1 If weakly mixing part of (U_t) is non-trivial, then $M_{q,U}$ is a factor and it is III_1 factor.
- 2 In-addition if $\exists \xi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ s.t $U_t \xi = \xi, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ and dimension of almost periodic part is at-least 2, then it has trivial bicentralizer.

The factoriality and classification problem of $M_{q,U}$ was open since 2003 for finite dimensional real orthogonal representation.

Theorem (with K. Mukherjee)

Let $t \mapsto U_t$ be a strongly continuous orthogonal representation of \mathbb{R} on a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ($\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}) \geq 2$). Then we have;

- 1 If weakly mixing part of (U_t) is non-trivial, then $M_{q,U}$ is a factor and it is III_1 factor.
- 2 In-addition if $\exists \xi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ s.t $U_t \xi = \xi, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ and dimension of almost periodic part is at-least 2, then it has trivial bicentralizer.
- 3 Suppose $\exists \xi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ s.t $U_t \xi = \xi, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $M_{q,U}$ is a factor.

Theorem (with K. Mukherjee)

Let (U_t) be real orthogonal representation on the real Hilbert space such (U_t) is almost periodic and $\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}) \geq 2$ with an invariant real vector. Let G be the closed subgroup of \mathbb{R}_+^{\times} generated by the spectrum of A ($U_t = A^{it}$). Then

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'' \text{ is } = \begin{cases} \text{type III}_1 & \text{if } G = \mathbb{R}_+ \\ \text{type III}_\lambda & \text{if } G = \lambda^{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1 \\ \text{type II}_1 & \text{if } G = \{1\} \end{cases}$$

Of course, the type II_1 case corresponds to trivial (U_t) and that case we get Bozejko-Speicher II_1 factor. Notice that $S(\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'')$ is the spectrum of the modular operator since the centralizer of the free quasi-free state is a factor

Reference:

P. Bikram and K. Mukherjee, "Generator masas in q-deformed Araki-Woods von Neumann algebras and factoriality", J. Funct. Anal. 273, 14431478.

THANK YOU