

On analytic Chevalley-Shephard-Todd Theorem

Gargi Ghosh

(Joint work with Shibnanda Biswas, Swarnendu Datta and Subrata Shyam Roy)

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Kolkata

OTOA 2018

December 13, 2018

- Let \mathfrak{S}_n be the symmetric group on n symbols. Let us define the action of \mathfrak{S}_n on \mathbb{C}^n by $\sigma \cdot \mathbf{z} = (z_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$ for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- Consider a polynomial $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let \mathfrak{S}_n act on f by $\sigma(f)(\mathbf{z}) = f(\sigma^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{z})$ for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]^{\mathfrak{S}_n} := \{f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n] : \sigma(f) = f \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n\}$.
- Note that

$$s_i(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_i \leq n} z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_i},$$

is the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree i in n variables.

- Let \mathfrak{S}_n be the symmetric group on n symbols. Let us define the action of \mathfrak{S}_n on \mathbb{C}^n by $\sigma \cdot \mathbf{z} = (z_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$ for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- Consider a polynomial $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let \mathfrak{S}_n act on f by $\sigma(f)(\mathbf{z}) = f(\sigma^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{z})$ for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]^{\mathfrak{S}_n} := \{f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n] : \sigma(f) = f \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n\}$.
- Note that

$$s_i(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_i \leq n} z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_i},$$

is the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree i in n variables.

Motivation

- Let \mathfrak{S}_n be the symmetric group on n symbols. Let us define the action of \mathfrak{S}_n on \mathbb{C}^n by $\sigma \cdot \mathbf{z} = (z_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$ for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- Consider a polynomial $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let \mathfrak{S}_n act on f by $\sigma(f)(\mathbf{z}) = f(\sigma^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{z})$ for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]^{\mathfrak{S}_n} := \{f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n] : \sigma(f) = f \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n\}$.
- Note that

$$s_i(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_i \leq n} z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_i},$$

is the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree i in n variables.

- Let \mathfrak{S}_n be the symmetric group on n symbols. Let us define the action of \mathfrak{S}_n on \mathbb{C}^n by $\sigma \cdot \mathbf{z} = (z_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$ for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- Consider a polynomial $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let \mathfrak{S}_n act on f by $\sigma(f)(\mathbf{z}) = f(\sigma^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{z})$ for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]^{\mathfrak{S}_n} := \{f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n] : \sigma(f) = f \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n\}$.
- Note that

$$s_i(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_i \leq n} z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_i},$$

is the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree i in n variables.

- Let \mathfrak{S}_n be the symmetric group on n symbols. Let us define the action of \mathfrak{S}_n on \mathbb{C}^n by $\sigma \cdot \mathbf{z} = (z_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$ for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- Consider a polynomial $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let \mathfrak{S}_n act on f by $\sigma(f)(\mathbf{z}) = f(\sigma^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{z})$ for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]^{\mathfrak{S}_n} := \{f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n] : \sigma(f) = f \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n\}$.
- Note that

$$s_i(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_i \leq n} z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_i},$$

is the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree i in n variables.

Proposition

$$\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]^{\mathfrak{S}_n} = \mathbb{C}[s_1, \dots, s_n].$$

Proposition

$\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ is a free module over the ring of symmetric polynomials, $\mathbb{C}[s_1, \dots, s_n]$ of rank $n!$.

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial map. Note that polynomial f can be decomposed as follows:

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{f(z_1, z_2) + f(z_2, z_1)}{2} + \frac{f(z_1, z_2) - f(z_2, z_1)}{2}. \quad (0.1)$$

Let $g(z_1, z_2) = \frac{f(z_1, z_2) - f(z_2, z_1)}{2}$. Clearly, $g(z_1, z_2) = -g(z_2, z_1)$, that is, g is an anti-symmetric function.

Thus there exists a symmetric polynomial h such that $g(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 - z_2)h(z_1, z_2)$.

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial map. Note that polynomial f can be decomposed as follows:

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{f(z_1, z_2) + f(z_2, z_1)}{2} + \frac{f(z_1, z_2) - f(z_2, z_1)}{2}. \quad (0.1)$$

Let $g(z_1, z_2) = \frac{f(z_1, z_2) - f(z_2, z_1)}{2}$. Clearly, $g(z_1, z_2) = -g(z_2, z_1)$, that is, g is an anti-symmetric function.

Thus there exists a symmetric polynomial h such that $g(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 - z_2)h(z_1, z_2)$.

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial map. Note that polynomial f can be decomposed as follows:

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{f(z_1, z_2) + f(z_2, z_1)}{2} + \frac{f(z_1, z_2) - f(z_2, z_1)}{2}. \quad (0.1)$$

Let $g(z_1, z_2) = \frac{f(z_1, z_2) - f(z_2, z_1)}{2}$. Clearly, $g(z_1, z_2) = -g(z_2, z_1)$, that is, g is an anti-symmetric function.

Thus there exists a symmetric polynomial h such that $g(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 - z_2)h(z_1, z_2)$.

Equality (0.1) can be re-written as

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{f(z_1, z_2) + f(z_2, z_1)}{2} + (z_1 - z_2)h(z_1, z_2).$$

Thus any element of $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$ can be expressed as a linear sum of 1 and $z_1 - z_2$ over the ring of symmetric polynomials $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]^{\mathfrak{S}_2}$.

In other words, $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$ is a free module of rank 2 over $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]^{\mathfrak{S}_2}$ with $\{1, z_1 - z_2\}$ as one choice of basis.

Equality (0.1) can be re-written as

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{f(z_1, z_2) + f(z_2, z_1)}{2} + (z_1 - z_2)h(z_1, z_2).$$

Thus any element of $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$ can be expressed as a linear sum of 1 and $z_1 - z_2$ over the ring of symmetric polynomials $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]^{\mathfrak{S}_2}$.

In other words, $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$ is a free module of rank 2 over $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]^{\mathfrak{S}_2}$ with $\{1, z_1 - z_2\}$ as one choice of basis.

Questions:

- For which kind of groups, we can expect a similar result?
- How the rank of the module is related to the order of the group?
- What happens if we replace polynomials by holomorphic functions?

Definition

A pseudo-reflection on \mathbb{C}^n is a linear endomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that the rank of $1 - \rho$ is 1. Equivalently, ρ is not the identity map and it fixes a hyperplane pointwise.

Let G be a finite group generated by pseudo-reflections. Then G also acts on the set of functions on \mathbb{C}^n by $\rho(f)(z) = f(\rho^{-1} \cdot z)$.

Let $A = \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ be the ring of polynomial functions on \mathbb{C}^n and let $B = A^G$ be the ring of G -invariant elements of A .

Definition

A pseudo-reflection on \mathbb{C}^n is a linear endomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that the rank of $1 - \rho$ is 1. Equivalently, ρ is not the identity map and it fixes a hyperplane pointwise.

Let G be a finite group generated by pseudo-reflections. Then G also acts on the set of functions on \mathbb{C}^n by $\rho(f)(z) = f(\rho^{-1} \cdot z)$.

Let $A = \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ be the ring of polynomial functions on \mathbb{C}^n and let $B = A^G$ be the ring of G -invariant elements of A .

Definition

A pseudo-reflection on \mathbb{C}^n is a linear endomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that the rank of $1 - \rho$ is 1. Equivalently, ρ is not the identity map and it fixes a hyperplane pointwise.

Let G be a finite group generated by pseudo-reflections. Then G also acts on the set of functions on \mathbb{C}^n by $\rho(f)(z) = f(\rho^{-1} \cdot z)$.

Let $A = \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ be the ring of polynomial functions on \mathbb{C}^n and let $B = A^G$ be the ring of G -invariant elements of A .

Chevalley-Shephard-Todd Theorem

Theorem

$B = \mathbb{C}[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n]$, where θ_i are algebraically independent homogeneous polynomials, that is, B is itself a polynomial algebra in n variables.

Theorem

A is a free B module of rank d , where d is the order of G . Further, one can choose a basis of A consisting of homogeneous polynomials.

Chevalley-Shephard-Todd Theorem

Theorem

$B = \mathbb{C}[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n]$, where θ_i are algebraically independent homogeneous polynomials, that is, B is itself a polynomial algebra in n variables.

Theorem

A is a free B module of rank d , where d is the order of G . Further, one can choose a basis of A consisting of homogeneous polynomials.

Next we want to generalize Chevalley-Shephard-Todd theorem to the setting of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^n .

Let $\theta : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be the function defined by

$$\theta(z) = (\theta_1(z), \dots, \theta_n(z)), z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Next we want to generalize Chevalley-Shephard-Todd theorem to the setting of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^n .

Let $\theta : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be the function defined by

$$\theta(\mathbf{z}) = (\theta_1(\mathbf{z}), \dots, \theta_n(\mathbf{z})), \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Theorem

For a G -invariant holomorphic function f on \mathbb{C}^n , there exists a unique holomorphic function g on \mathbb{C}^n such that $f = g \circ \theta$.

Let q_1, \dots, q_d (where $d = |G|$) be a basis of A as a B module.

Theorem

Let f be a holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^n . Then there exist unique G -invariant holomorphic functions f_1, \dots, f_d such that $f = f_1 q_1 + \dots + f_d q_d$.

Theorem

For a G -invariant holomorphic function f on \mathbb{C}^n , there exists a unique holomorphic function g on \mathbb{C}^n such that $f = g \circ \theta$.

Let q_1, \dots, q_d (where $d = |G|$) be a basis of A as a B module.

Theorem

Let f be a holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^n . Then there exist unique G -invariant holomorphic functions f_1, \dots, f_d such that $f = f_1 q_1 + \dots + f_d q_d$.

Theorem

For a G -invariant holomorphic function f on \mathbb{C}^n , there exists a unique holomorphic function g on \mathbb{C}^n such that $f = g \circ \theta$.

Let q_1, \dots, q_d (where $d = |G|$) be a basis of A as a B module.

Theorem

Let f be a holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^n . Then there exist unique G -invariant holomorphic functions f_1, \dots, f_d such that $f = f_1 q_1 + \dots + f_d q_d$.

Some discussion

- Let the polynomials q_1, q_2, \dots, q_d form a basis of A as a B module.
- Let us take a holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then the previous theorem ensures that there exist G -invariant holomorphic functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d such that

$$f = f_1 q_1 + \dots + f_d q_d.$$

- Let $G = \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_d\}$.
Applying ρ_i to the above equation gives

$$\rho_i(f) = f_1 \rho_i(q_1) + \dots + f_d \rho_i(q_d), 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

Some discussion

- Let the polynomials q_1, q_2, \dots, q_d form a basis of A as a B module.
- Let us take a holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then the previous theorem ensures that there exist G -invariant holomorphic functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d such that

$$f = f_1 q_1 + \dots + f_d q_d.$$

- Let $G = \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_d\}$.
Applying ρ_i to the above equation gives

$$\rho_i(f) = f_1 \rho_i(q_1) + \dots + f_d \rho_i(q_d), 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

Some discussion

- Let the polynomials q_1, q_2, \dots, q_d form a basis of A as a B module.
- Let us take a holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then the previous theorem ensures that there exist G -invariant holomorphic functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d such that

$$f = f_1 q_1 + \dots + f_d q_d.$$

- Let $G = \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_d\}$.
Applying ρ_i to the above equation gives

$$\rho_i(f) = f_1 \rho_i(q_1) + \dots + f_d \rho_i(q_d), 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

Some discussion

- Let the polynomials q_1, q_2, \dots, q_d form a basis of A as a B module.
- Let us take a holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then the previous theorem ensures that there exist G -invariant holomorphic functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d such that

$$f = f_1 q_1 + \dots + f_d q_d.$$

- Let $G = \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_d\}$.
Applying ρ_i to the above equation gives

$$\rho_i(f) = f_1 \rho_i(q_1) + \dots + f_d \rho_i(q_d), 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

Some discussion

- Let \mathbf{y} be the column vector $(f_1, \dots, f_d)^t$ and let $\mathbf{x} = (\rho_1(f), \dots, \rho_d(f))^t$.
- Note that $M\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}$ and hence

$$(\det M)\mathbf{y} = (\text{adj } M)\mathbf{x},$$

where

$$M = (\rho_i(q_j))_{i,j=1}^d.$$

- Next lemma ensures unique solution of the above system of equations.

Lemma

$\det M$ is not identically zero.

Some discussion

- Let \mathbf{y} be the column vector $(f_1, \dots, f_d)^t$ and let $\mathbf{x} = (\rho_1(f), \dots, \rho_d(f))^t$.
- Note that $M\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}$ and hence

$$(\det M)\mathbf{y} = (\text{adj } M)\mathbf{x},$$

where

$$M = \left(\rho_i(q_j) \right)_{i,j=1}^d.$$

- Next lemma ensures unique solution of the above system of equations.

Lemma

$\det M$ is not identically zero.

Some discussion

- Let \mathbf{y} be the column vector $(f_1, \dots, f_d)^t$ and let $\mathbf{x} = (\rho_1(f), \dots, \rho_d(f))^t$.
- Note that $M\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}$ and hence

$$(\det M)\mathbf{y} = (\text{adj } M)\mathbf{x},$$

where

$$M = \left(\rho_i(q_j) \right)_{i,j=1}^d.$$

- Next lemma ensures unique solution of the above system of equations.

Lemma

$\det M$ is not identically zero.

Some discussion

- Let \mathbf{y} be the column vector $(f_1, \dots, f_d)^t$ and let $\mathbf{x} = (\rho_1(f), \dots, \rho_d(f))^t$.
- Note that $M\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}$ and hence

$$(\det M)\mathbf{y} = (\text{adj } M)\mathbf{x},$$

where

$$M = \left(\rho_i(q_j) \right)_{i,j=1}^d.$$

- Next lemma ensures unique solution of the above system of equations.

Lemma

$\det M$ is not identically zero.

Determinantal formula for M

- We call a hyperplane H of \mathbb{C}^n *reflecting* if there exists a pseudo-reflection in G fixes H pointwise.
- Let H_1, \dots, H_t be the distinct reflecting hyperplanes associated to G .
- Let K_i be the subgroup generated by the pseudo-reflections of G those fix H_i pointwise.
- Let m_1, \dots, m_t be the orders of the corresponding subgroups K_1, \dots, K_t .
- Let L_i be the nonzero linear function on \mathbb{C}^n defining H_i , that is, $H_i = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : L_i(z) = 0\}$.

Determinantal formula for M

- We call a hyperplane H of \mathbb{C}^n *reflecting* if there exists a pseudo-reflection in G fixes H pointwise.
- Let H_1, \dots, H_t be the distinct reflecting hyperplanes associated to G .
- Let K_i be the subgroup generated by the pseudo-reflections of G those fix H_i pointwise.
- Let m_1, \dots, m_t be the orders of the corresponding subgroups K_1, \dots, K_t .
- Let L_i be the nonzero linear function on \mathbb{C}^n defining H_i , that is, $H_i = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : L_i(z) = 0\}$.

Determinantal formula for M

- We call a hyperplane H of \mathbb{C}^n *reflecting* if there exists a pseudo-reflection in G fixes H pointwise.
- Let H_1, \dots, H_t be the distinct reflecting hyperplanes associated to G .
- Let K_i be the subgroup generated by the pseudo-reflections of G those fix H_i pointwise.
- Let m_1, \dots, m_t be the orders of the corresponding subgroups K_1, \dots, K_t .
- Let L_i be the nonzero linear function on \mathbb{C}^n defining H_i , that is, $H_i = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : L_i(z) = 0\}$.

Determinantal formula for M

- We call a hyperplane H of \mathbb{C}^n *reflecting* if there exists a pseudo-reflection in G fixes H pointwise.
- Let H_1, \dots, H_t be the distinct reflecting hyperplanes associated to G .
- Let K_i be the subgroup generated by the pseudo-reflections of G those fix H_i pointwise.
- Let m_1, \dots, m_t be the orders of the corresponding subgroups K_1, \dots, K_t .
- Let L_i be the nonzero linear function on \mathbb{C}^n defining H_i , that is, $H_i = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : L_i(z) = 0\}$.

Determinantal formula for M

- We call a hyperplane H of \mathbb{C}^n *reflecting* if there exists a pseudo-reflection in G fixes H pointwise.
- Let H_1, \dots, H_t be the distinct reflecting hyperplanes associated to G .
- Let K_i be the subgroup generated by the pseudo-reflections of G those fix H_i pointwise.
- Let m_1, \dots, m_t be the orders of the corresponding subgroups K_1, \dots, K_t .
- Let L_i be the nonzero linear function on \mathbb{C}^n defining H_i , that is, $H_i = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : L_i(z) = 0\}$.

Proposition

$$\det(M) = c \prod_{i=1}^t L_i^{d(m_i-1)/2},$$

where c is a nonzero constant.

Theorem

Let G be a finite pseudo-reflection group. For a G -invariant holomorphic function f on a G -invariant domain Ω , there exists a unique holomorphic function g on $\theta(\Omega)$ such that $f = g \circ \theta$, where $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$.

Let q_1, \dots, q_d (recall that $d = |G|$) be a basis of A as a B module.

Theorem

Let f be a holomorphic function on a G -invariant domain Ω . Then there exist unique G -invariant holomorphic functions f_1, \dots, f_d such that $f = f_1 q_1 + \dots + f_d q_d$.

Thank You!