

CP-Semigroups and Subproduct Systems, Dilations and Superproduct Systems

Michael Skeide

Dipartimento di Economia
Università degli Studi del Molise

Bengaluru, December 21, 2016

Joint work with Orr Shalit (since 2009 😞😄)

Remember from Orr's talk:

- ▶ \mathcal{S} a monoid (with neutral element 0, but not necessarily abelian).
- ▶ \mathcal{B} a unital C^* -algebra (vN-algebra \implies maps normal).

- ▶ \mathbb{S} a monoid (with neutral element 0, but not necessarily abelian).
- ▶ \mathcal{B} a unital C^* -algebra (vN-algebra \implies maps normal).

(Contractive) CP-semigroup $T = (T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}} \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ \mathbb{S} a monoid (with neutral element 0, but not necessarily abelian).
- ▶ \mathcal{B} a unital C^* -algebra (vN-algebra \implies maps normal).

(Contractive) CP-semigroup $T = (T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}} \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ a subproduct system \mathcal{E}^\oplus (roughly, $\mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t \supset \mathcal{E}_{st}$) and

- ▶ \mathbb{S} a monoid (with neutral element 0, but not necessarily abelian).
- ▶ \mathcal{B} a unital C^* -algebra (vN-algebra \implies maps normal).

(Contractive) CP-semigroup $T = (T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}} \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ a subproduct system \mathcal{E}^\ominus (roughly, $\mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t \supset \mathcal{E}_{st}$) and
- ▶ a unit ξ^\ominus (roughly, $\xi_s \odot \xi_t = \xi_{st}$).

- ▶ \mathbb{S} a monoid (with neutral element 0, but not necessarily abelian).
- ▶ \mathcal{B} a unital C^* -algebra (vN-algebra \implies maps normal).

(Contractive) CP-semigroup $T = (T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}} \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ a subproduct system \mathcal{E}^\ominus (roughly, $\mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t \supset \mathcal{E}_{st}$) and
- ▶ a unit ξ^\ominus (roughly, $\xi_s \odot \xi_t = \xi_{st}$).

Dilation (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) of $T \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ \mathcal{S} a monoid (with neutral element 0, but not necessarily abelian).
- ▶ \mathcal{B} a unital C^* -algebra (vN-algebra \implies maps normal).

(Contractive) CP-semigroup $T = (T_t)_{t \in \mathcal{S}} \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ a subproduct system \mathcal{E}^\ominus (roughly, $\mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t \supset \mathcal{E}_{st}$) and
- ▶ a unit ξ^\ominus (roughly, $\xi_s \odot \xi_t = \xi_{st}$).

Dilation (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) of $T \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ a superproduct system E^\ominus (roughly, $E_s \odot E_t \subset E_{st}$)

- ▶ \mathbb{S} a monoid (with neutral element 0, but not necessarily abelian).
- ▶ \mathcal{B} a unital C^* -algebra (vN-algebra \implies maps normal).

(Contractive) CP-semigroup $T = (T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}} \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ a subproduct system \mathcal{E}^\odot (roughly, $\mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t \supset \mathcal{E}_{st}$) and
- ▶ a unit ξ^\odot (roughly, $\xi_s \odot \xi_t = \xi_{st}$).

Dilation (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) of $T \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ a superproduct system E^\odot (roughly, $E_s \odot E_t \supset E_{st}$)
- ▶ containing $\mathcal{E}^\odot \ni \xi^\odot$ (meaning $E_t \supset \mathcal{E}_t \ni \xi_t$).

- ▶ \mathbb{S} a monoid (with neutral element 0, but not necessarily abelian).
- ▶ \mathcal{B} a unital C^* -algebra (vN-algebra \implies maps normal).

(Contractive) CP-semigroup $T = (T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}} \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ a subproduct system \mathcal{E}^\ominus (roughly, $\mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t \supset \mathcal{E}_{st}$) and
- ▶ a unit ξ^\ominus (roughly, $\xi_s \odot \xi_t = \xi_{st}$).

Dilation $(\mathcal{A}, \theta, \rho)$ of $T \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ a superproduct system E^\ominus (roughly, $E_s \odot E_t \supset E_{st}$)
- ▶ containing $\mathcal{E}^\ominus \ni \xi^\ominus$ (meaning $E_t \supset \mathcal{E}_t \ni \xi_t$).

Product system E^\ominus + unital unit $\xi^\ominus \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ \mathbb{S} a monoid (with neutral element 0, but not necessarily abelian).
- ▶ \mathcal{B} a unital C^* -algebra (vN-algebra \implies maps normal).

(Contractive) CP-semigroup $T = (T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}} \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ a subproduct system \mathcal{E}^\ominus (roughly, $\mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t \supset \mathcal{E}_{st}$) and
- ▶ a unit ξ^\ominus (roughly, $\xi_s \odot \xi_t = \xi_{st}$).

Dilation (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) of $T \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ a superproduct system E^\ominus (roughly, $E_s \odot E_t \supset E_{st}$)
- ▶ containing $\mathcal{E}^\ominus \ni \xi^\ominus$ (meaning $E_t \supset \mathcal{E}_t \ni \xi_t$).

Product system E^\ominus +unital unit $\xi^\ominus \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ a module dilation (E, ϑ, ξ) (meaning $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}^a(E)$ and $p = \xi \xi^*$).

- ▶ \mathbb{S} a monoid (with neutral element 0, but not necessarily abelian).
- ▶ \mathcal{B} a unital C^* -algebra (vN-algebra \implies maps normal).

(Contractive) CP-semigroup $T = (T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}} \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ a subproduct system \mathcal{E}^\odot (roughly, $\mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t \supset \mathcal{E}_{st}$) and
- ▶ a unit ξ^\odot (roughly, $\xi_s \odot \xi_t = \xi_{st}$).

Dilation (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) of $T \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ a superproduct system E^\odot (roughly, $E_s \odot E_t \supset E_{st}$)
- ▶ containing $\mathcal{E}^\odot \ni \xi^\odot$ (meaning $E_t \supset \mathcal{E}_t \ni \xi_t$).

Product system E^\odot +unital unit $\xi^\odot \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ a module dilation (E, ϑ, ξ) (meaning $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}^a(E)$ and $p = \xi\xi^*$).

\implies several implication for (non-)existence of dilations in terms of (non-)embeddability of subproduct systems into (super)product systems.

- ▶ \mathbb{S} a monoid (with neutral element 0, but not necessarily abelian).
- ▶ \mathcal{B} a unital C^* -algebra (vN-algebra \implies maps normal).

(Contractive) CP-semigroup $T = (T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}^{op}} \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ a subproduct system \mathcal{E}^\odot (roughly, $\mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t \supset \mathcal{E}_{st}$) and
- ▶ a unit ξ^\odot (roughly, $\xi_s \odot \xi_t = \xi_{st}$).

Dilation $(\mathcal{A}, \theta, \rho)$ of $T \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ a superproduct system E^\odot (roughly, $E_s \odot E_t \supset E_{st}$)
- ▶ containing $\mathcal{E}^\odot \ni \xi^\odot$ (meaning $E_t \supset \mathcal{E}_t \ni \xi_t$).

Product system E^\odot + unital unit $\xi^\odot \rightsquigarrow$

- ▶ a module dilation (E, ϑ, ξ) (meaning $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}^a(E)$ and $\rho = \xi \xi^*$).

\implies several implication for (non-)existence of dilations in terms of (non-)embeddability of subproduct systems into (super)product systems.

CAREFUL! Monoids of semigroups and (sub)(super)PS are opposite!

► Implications

- Sub not into super \Rightarrow no dilation. (Example!)
- $B^a(E) = \mathcal{A}$ has to do with one of the (stronger and not necessarily achievable) minimality conditions: $E = \mathcal{A}p \rightsquigarrow B^a(E) = \overline{\text{span}}^s \mathcal{A}p\mathcal{A}$. ($\xi = p \in pA = E$)
- We will try to embed subPS into PS. ([BS00] in the one-parameter case)
- We choose \mathbb{S}^{op} for the semigroups because:
 - convenient as we work more in PS;
 - Ore $S^{-1}S = G$, necessary for certain constructive results. To make p increasing, the semigroup indexing T should be anti-Ore.
 - In the end, no problems in the abelian case, like $\mathbb{R}_+^d, \mathbb{N}_0^d$.
 - Also: Arveson-Stinespring (Daniel's talk) are contravariant with the subPS and, thus, anti-Ore.
- In the sequel:
 - Explain (repetition) the preceding slide.
 - Go into the construction of product systems (for CP-semigroups and not) in the multi-parameter cases.
 - Use this to solve discrete 2-parameter and produce a 1000 c.-ex.s.

CP-semigroup \rightsquigarrow GNS- $(\mathcal{E}^{\ominus}, \xi^{\ominus})$

CP-semigroup \leadsto GNS- $(\mathcal{E}^\ominus, \xi^\ominus)$

Paschke [Pas73]: CP-map $T_t: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \leadsto \mathcal{E}_t \ni \xi_t$ such that

$$T_t = \langle \xi_t, \bullet \xi_t \rangle, \quad \mathcal{E}_t = \overline{\text{span}} \mathcal{B} \xi_t \mathcal{B}.$$

CP-semigroup \leadsto GNS- $(\mathcal{E}^\ominus, \xi^\ominus)$

Paschke [Pas73]: CP-map $T_t: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \leadsto \mathcal{E}_t \ni \xi_t$ such that

$$T_t = \langle \xi_t, \bullet \xi_t \rangle, \quad \mathcal{E}_t = \overline{\text{span}} \mathcal{B} \xi_t \mathcal{B}.$$

We compute $T_t \circ T_s = \langle \xi_t, \langle \xi_s, \bullet \xi_s \rangle \xi_t \rangle = \langle \xi_s \odot \xi_t, \bullet \xi_s \odot \xi_t \rangle$.

CP-semigroup \leadsto GNS- $(\mathcal{E}^\otimes, \xi^\odot)$

Paschke [Pas73]: CP-map $T_t: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \leadsto \mathcal{E}_t \ni \xi_t$ such that

$$T_t = \langle \xi_t, \bullet \xi_t \rangle, \quad \mathcal{E}_t = \overline{\text{span}} \mathcal{B} \xi_t \mathcal{B}.$$

We compute $T_t \circ T_s = \langle \xi_t, \langle \xi_s, \bullet \xi_s \rangle \xi_t \rangle = \langle \xi_s \odot \xi_t, \bullet \xi_s \odot \xi_t \rangle$.

If (T_t) semigroup over $\mathbb{S}^{op}(!)$, then $T_t \circ T_s = T_{st}$, so

$$\xi_{st} \longmapsto \xi_s \odot \xi_t$$

defines \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B} -linear isometry $w_{s,t}: \mathcal{E}_{st} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t$.

CP-semigroup \leadsto GNS- $(\mathcal{E}^\otimes, \xi^\odot)$

Paschke [Pas73]: CP-map $T_t: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \leadsto \mathcal{E}_t \ni \xi_t$ such that

$$T_t = \langle \xi_t, \bullet \xi_t \rangle, \quad \mathcal{E}_t = \overline{\text{span}} \mathcal{B} \xi_t \mathcal{B}.$$

We compute $T_t \circ T_s = \langle \xi_t, \langle \xi_s, \bullet \xi_s \rangle \xi_t \rangle = \langle \xi_s \odot \xi_t, \bullet \xi_s \odot \xi_t \rangle$.

If (T_t) semigroup over $\mathbb{S}^{op}(!)$, then $T_t \circ T_s = T_{st}$, so

$$\xi_{st} \longmapsto \xi_s \odot \xi_t$$

defines \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B} -linear isometry $w_{s,t}: \mathcal{E}_{st} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t$.

So,

- ▶ $\mathcal{E}^\otimes = (\mathcal{E}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}}$ is a subproduct system (over $\mathbb{S} = (\mathbb{S}^{op})^{op}$) and

CP-semigroup \leadsto GNS- $(\mathcal{E}^\ominus, \xi^\ominus)$

Paschke [Pas73]: CP-map $T_t: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \leadsto \mathcal{E}_t \ni \xi_t$ such that

$$T_t = \langle \xi_t, \bullet \xi_t \rangle, \quad \mathcal{E}_t = \overline{\text{span}} \mathcal{B} \xi_t \mathcal{B}.$$

We compute $T_t \circ T_s = \langle \xi_t, \langle \xi_s, \bullet \xi_s \rangle \xi_t \rangle = \langle \xi_s \odot \xi_t, \bullet \xi_s \odot \xi_t \rangle$.

If (T_t) semigroup over $\mathbb{S}^{op}(!)$, then $T_t \circ T_s = T_{st}$, so

$$\xi_{st} \longmapsto \xi_s \odot \xi_t$$

defines \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B} -linear isometry $w_{s,t}: \mathcal{E}_{st} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t$.

So,

- ▶ $\mathcal{E}^\ominus = (\mathcal{E}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}}$ is a subproduct system (over $\mathbb{S} = (\mathbb{S}^{op})^{op}$) and
- ▶ $\xi^\ominus = (\xi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}}$ is a unit for \mathcal{E}^\ominus , generating it as subPS.

CP-semigroup \rightsquigarrow GNS- $(\mathcal{E}^\ominus, \xi^\ominus)$

Paschke [Pas73]: CP-map $T_t: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{E}_t \ni \xi_t$ such that

$$T_t = \langle \xi_t, \bullet \xi_t \rangle, \quad \mathcal{E}_t = \overline{\text{span}} \mathcal{B} \xi_t \mathcal{B}.$$

We compute $T_t \circ T_s = \langle \xi_t, \langle \xi_s, \bullet \xi_s \rangle \xi_t \rangle = \langle \xi_s \odot \xi_t, \bullet \xi_s \odot \xi_t \rangle$.
If (T_t) semigroup over $\mathbb{S}^{op}(!)$, then $T_t \circ T_s = T_{st}$, so

$$\xi_{st} \longmapsto \xi_s \odot \xi_t$$

defines \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B} -linear isometry $w_{s,t}: \mathcal{E}_{st} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t$.

So,

- ▶ $\mathcal{E}^\ominus = (\mathcal{E}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}}$ is a subproduct system (over $\mathbb{S} = (\mathbb{S}^{op})^{op}$) and
- ▶ $\xi^\ominus = (\xi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}}$ is a unit for \mathcal{E}^\ominus , generating it as subPS.

Example (Shalit-ms)

The exist \mathcal{B} and a semigroup (c_n) in \mathcal{B} over \mathbb{N}_0 such that the GNS-subPS of $T_t = c_t^* \bullet c_t$ does have non-adjointable $w_{s,t}$.

What type of dilation?

We look at triples $(\mathcal{A}, \theta, \rho)$ consisting of

What type of dilation?

We look at triples $(\mathcal{A}, \theta, \rho)$ consisting of

- ▶ a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} ,

What type of dilation?

We look at triples $(\mathcal{A}, \theta, \rho)$ consisting of

- ▶ a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} ,
- ▶ an endomorphism semigroup $\theta = (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}^{op}}$ over \mathbb{S}^{op} ,

What type of dilation?

We look at triples (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) consisting of

- ▶ a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} ,
- ▶ an endomorphism semigroup $\theta = (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}^{op}}$ over \mathbb{S}^{op} ,
- ▶ a projection $p \in \mathcal{A}$.

What type of dilation?

We look at triples (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) consisting of

- ▶ a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} ,
- ▶ an endomorphism semigroup $\theta = (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}^{op}}$ over \mathbb{S}^{op} ,
- ▶ a projection $p \in \mathcal{A}$.

Typical $(\mathcal{B}^a(E), \vartheta, \xi\xi^*)$, denoted as (E, ϑ, ξ) .

What type of dilation?

We look at triples (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) consisting of

- ▶ a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} ,
- ▶ an endomorphism semigroup $\theta = (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}^{op}}$ over \mathbb{S}^{op} ,
- ▶ a projection $p \in \mathcal{A}$.

Typical $(\mathcal{B}^a(E), \vartheta, \xi\xi^*)$, denoted as (E, ϑ, ξ) .

(\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is a **weak dilation** if $pap \mapsto p\theta_t(pap)p$ form a semigroup (over \mathbb{S}^{op}) of maps on $p\mathcal{A}p$.

What type of dilation?

We look at triples (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) consisting of

- ▶ a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} ,
- ▶ an endomorphism semigroup $\theta = (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}^{op}}$ over \mathbb{S}^{op} ,
- ▶ a projection $p \in \mathcal{A}$.

Typical $(\mathcal{B}^a(E), \vartheta, \xi\xi^*)$, denoted as (E, ϑ, ξ) .

(\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is a **weak dilation** if $pap \mapsto p\theta_t(pap)p$ form a semigroup (over \mathbb{S}^{op}) of maps on $p\mathcal{A}p$.

(\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is **strong** (or **regular**) if $p\theta_t(pap)p = p\theta_t(a)p$.

What type of dilation?

We look at triples (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) consisting of

- ▶ a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} ,
- ▶ an endomorphism semigroup $\theta = (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}^{op}}$ over \mathbb{S}^{op} ,
- ▶ a projection $p \in \mathcal{A}$.

Typical $(\mathcal{B}^a(E), \vartheta, \xi \xi^*)$, denoted as (E, ϑ, ξ) .

(\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is a **weak dilation** if $pap \mapsto p\theta_t(pap)p$ form a semigroup (over \mathbb{S}^{op}) of maps on $p\mathcal{A}p$.

(\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is **strong** (or **regular**) if $p\theta_t(pap)p = p\theta_t(a)p$.

Dilation of T on $\mathcal{B} = p\mathcal{A}p$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{T_t} & \mathcal{B} \\ i \downarrow & & \uparrow p \bullet p \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\theta_t} & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{T_t} & \mathcal{B} \\ p \bullet p \uparrow & & \uparrow p \bullet p \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\theta_t} & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

What type of dilation?

We look at triples (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) consisting of

- ▶ a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} ,
- ▶ an endomorphism semigroup $\theta = (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}^{op}}$ over \mathbb{S}^{op} ,
- ▶ a projection $p \in \mathcal{A}$.

Typical $(\mathcal{B}^a(E), \vartheta, \xi \xi^*)$, denoted as (E, ϑ, ξ) .

(\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is a **weak dilation** if $pap \mapsto p\theta_t(pap)p$ form a semigroup (over \mathbb{S}^{op}) of maps on $p\mathcal{A}p$.

(\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is **strong** (or **regular**) if $p\theta_t(pap)p = p\theta_t(a)p$.

Dilation of T on $\mathcal{B} = p\mathcal{A}p$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{T_t} & \mathcal{B} \\ i \downarrow & & \uparrow p \bullet p \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\theta_t} & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{T_t} & \mathcal{B} \\ p \bullet p \uparrow & & \uparrow p \bullet p \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\theta_t} & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

- ▶ There are weak dilations that are not strong.

What type of dilation?

We look at triples (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) consisting of

- ▶ a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} ,
- ▶ an endomorphism semigroup $\theta = (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}^{op}}$ over \mathbb{S}^{op} ,
- ▶ a projection $p \in \mathcal{A}$.

Typical $(\mathcal{B}^a(E), \vartheta, \xi\xi^*)$, denoted as (E, ϑ, ξ) .

(\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is a **weak dilation** if $pap \mapsto p\theta_t(pap)p$ form a semigroup (over \mathbb{S}^{op}) of maps on $p\mathcal{A}p$.

(\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is **strong** (or **regular**) if $p\theta_t(pap)p = p\theta_t(a)p$.

Dilation of T on $\mathcal{B} = p\mathcal{A}p$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{T_t} & \mathcal{B} \\
 i \downarrow & & \uparrow p \bullet p \\
 \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\theta_t} & \mathcal{A}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{T_t} & \mathcal{B} \\
 p \bullet p \uparrow & & \uparrow p \bullet p \\
 \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\theta_t} & \mathcal{A}
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ There are weak dilations that are not strong.
- ▶ We don't know if there is a CP-semigroup that admits a weak dilation but no strong.

Markov semigroups and unitalization

Markov semigroups and unitalization

A CP-semigroup T is **Markov** if $T_t(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$, that is, iff $\langle \xi_t, \xi_t \rangle = \mathbf{1}$.

Markov semigroups and unitalization

A CP-semigroup T is **Markov** if $T_t(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$, that is, iff $\langle \xi_t, \xi_t \rangle = \mathbf{1}$.
 (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is a dilation of a Markov semigroup iff p is **increasing**
 $(\theta_t(p) \geq p)$, and therefore strong.

Markov semigroups and unitalization

A CP-semigroup T is **Markov** if $T_t(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$, that is, iff $\langle \xi_t, \xi_t \rangle = \mathbf{1}$.
 (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is a dilation of a Markov semigroup iff p is **increasing**
 $(\theta_t(p) \geq p)$, and therefore strong.

Many constructions are performed for Markov semigroups/unital units and, then, generalized via the following unitalization.

Markov semigroups and unitalization

A CP-semigroup T is **Markov** if $T_t(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$, that is, iff $\langle \xi_t, \xi_t \rangle = \mathbf{1}$.
 (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is a dilation of a Markov semigroup iff p is **increasing**
($\theta_t(p) \geq p$), and therefore strong.

Many construction are performed for Markov semigroups/unital units and, then, generalized via the following unitalization.

Recall $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A} + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C} \\ \mathcal{A} \end{pmatrix}$ with the central projection
 $q := \widetilde{\mathbf{1}} - \mathbf{1}$.

Markov semigroups and unitalization

A CP-semigroup T is **Markov** if $T_t(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$, that is, iff $\langle \xi_t, \xi_t \rangle = \mathbf{1}$.
 (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is a dilation of a Markov semigroup iff p is **increasing**
($\theta_t(p) \geq p$), and therefore strong.

Many construction are performed for Markov semigroups/unital units and, then, generalized via the following unitalization.

Recall $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A} + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C} \\ \mathcal{A} \end{pmatrix}$ with the central projection $q := \widetilde{\mathbf{1}} - \mathbf{1}$.

For $R: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ define \widetilde{R} on $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ by $\widetilde{R}(a + \lambda\mathbf{1}) = R(a) + \lambda\mathbf{1}$.

Markov semigroups and unitalization

A CP-semigroup T is **Markov** if $T_t(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$, that is, iff $\langle \xi_t, \xi_t \rangle = \mathbf{1}$.
 (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is a dilation of a Markov semigroup iff p is **increasing**
($\theta_t(p) \geq p$), and therefore strong.

Many construction are performed for Markov semigroups/unital units and, then, generalized via the following unitalization.

Recall $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A} + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C} \\ \mathcal{A} \end{pmatrix}$ with the central projection $q := \widetilde{\mathbf{1}} - \mathbf{1}$.

For $R: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ define \widetilde{R} on $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ by $\widetilde{R}(a + \lambda\mathbf{1}) = R(a) + \lambda\mathbf{1}$.

For $p \in \mathcal{A}$ put $\widetilde{p} := p + q$.

Markov semigroups and unitalization

A CP-semigroup T is **Markov** if $T_t(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$, that is, iff $\langle \xi_t, \xi_t \rangle = \mathbf{1}$.
 (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is a dilation of a Markov semigroup iff p is **increasing**
($\theta_t(p) \geq p$), and therefore strong.

Many construction are performed for Markov semigroups/unital units and, then, generalized via the following unitalization.

Recall $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A} + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C} \\ \mathcal{A} \end{pmatrix}$ with the central projection $q := \widetilde{\mathbf{1}} - \mathbf{1}$.

For $R: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ define \widetilde{R} on $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ by $\widetilde{R}(a + \lambda\mathbf{1}) = R(a) + \lambda\mathbf{1}$.

For $p \in \mathcal{A}$ put $\widetilde{p} := p + q$. Note that $\widetilde{p}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}\widetilde{p} = \widetilde{p\mathcal{A}p}$.

Markov semigroups and unitalization

A CP-semigroup T is **Markov** if $T_t(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$, that is, iff $\langle \xi_t, \xi_t \rangle = \mathbf{1}$.
 (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is a dilation of a Markov semigroup iff p is **increasing**
($\theta_t(p) \geq p$), and therefore strong.

Many construction are performed for Markov semigroups/unital units and, then, generalized via the following unitalization.

Recall $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A} + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C} \\ \mathcal{A} \end{pmatrix}$ with the central projection $q := \widetilde{\mathbf{1}} - \mathbf{1}$.

For $R: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ define \widetilde{R} on $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ by $\widetilde{R}(a + \lambda\mathbf{1}) = R(a) + \lambda\mathbf{1}$.

For $p \in \mathcal{A}$ put $\widetilde{p} := p + q$. Note that $\widetilde{p}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}\widetilde{p} = \widetilde{p\mathcal{A}p}$.

Theorem (Shalit-ms)

(\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is a strong dilation (of T) iff
 $(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{\theta}, \widetilde{p})$ is a (strong) dilation (of \widetilde{T}).

Markov semigroups and unitalization

A CP-semigroup T is **Markov** if $T_t(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$, that is, iff $\langle \xi_t, \xi_t \rangle = \mathbf{1}$.
 (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is a dilation of a Markov semigroup iff p is **increasing**
($\theta_t(p) \geq p$), and therefore strong.

Many construction are performed for Markov semigroups/unital units and, then, generalized via the following unitalization.

Recall $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A} + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C} \\ \mathcal{A} \end{pmatrix}$ with the central projection
 $q := \widetilde{\mathbf{1}} - \mathbf{1}$.

For $R: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ define \widetilde{R} on $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ by $\widetilde{R}(a + \lambda\mathbf{1}) = R(a) + \lambda\mathbf{1}$.

For $p \in \mathcal{A}$ put $\widetilde{p} := p + q$. Note that $\widetilde{p}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}\widetilde{p} = \widetilde{p\mathcal{A}p}$.

Theorem (Shalit-ms)

(\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is a strong dilation (of T) iff
 $(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{\theta}, \widetilde{p})$ is a (strong) dilation (of \widetilde{T}).

Henceforth, we restrict our attention to strong dilation and mainly to the unital case. (Exception: Next slide.)

The superPS of $(\mathcal{A}, \theta, \rho)$

The superPS of (\mathcal{A}, θ, p)

Let $\mathcal{B} := p\mathcal{A}p$.

The superPS of (\mathcal{A}, θ, p)

Let $\mathcal{B} := p\mathcal{A}p$.

- ▶ $E := \mathcal{A}p$ is Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module with $\langle x, y \rangle = x^*y$ and with unit vector $\xi := p$.

The superPS of (\mathcal{A}, θ, p)

Let $\mathcal{B} := p\mathcal{A}p$.

- ▶ $E := \mathcal{A}p$ is Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module with $\langle x, y \rangle = x^*y$ and with unit vector $\xi := p$.
- ▶ Put $E_t := \theta_t(p)E = \theta_t(p)\mathcal{A}p$.

The superPS of (\mathcal{A}, θ, p)

Let $\mathcal{B} := p\mathcal{A}p$.

- ▶ $E := \mathcal{A}p$ is Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module with $\langle x, y \rangle = x^*y$ and with unit vector $\xi := p$.
- ▶ Put $E_t := \theta_t(p)E = \theta_t(p)\mathcal{A}p$.
- ▶ Turn it into \mathcal{B} -correspondence by defining $b.x_t := \theta_t(b)x_t$.

The superPS of (\mathcal{A}, θ, p)

Let $\mathcal{B} := p\mathcal{A}p$.

- ▶ $E := \mathcal{A}p$ is Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module with $\langle x, y \rangle = x^*y$ and with unit vector $\xi := p$.
- ▶ Put $E_t := \theta_t(p)E = \theta_t(p)\mathcal{A}p$.
- ▶ Turn it into \mathcal{B} -correspondence by defining $b.x_t := \theta_t(b)x_t$.
- ▶ $v_{s,t}: x_s \odot y_t \mapsto \theta_t(x_s)y_t$ defines superproduct system structure.

The superPS of (\mathcal{A}, θ, p)

Let $\mathcal{B} := p\mathcal{A}p$.

- ▶ $E := \mathcal{A}p$ is Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module with $\langle x, y \rangle = x^*y$ and with unit vector $\xi := p$.
- ▶ Put $E_t := \theta_t(p)E = \theta_t(p)\mathcal{A}p$.
- ▶ Turn it into \mathcal{B} -correspondence by defining $b.x_t := \theta_t(b)x_t$.
- ▶ $v_{s,t}: x_s \odot y_t \mapsto \theta_t(x_s)y_t$ defines superproduct system structure.

Note: $\xi_t := \theta_t(p)p \in E_t$ fulfills $\langle \xi_t, b\xi_t \rangle = p\theta_t(b)p =: T_t(b)$,

The superPS of (\mathcal{A}, θ, p)

Let $\mathcal{B} := p\mathcal{A}p$.

- ▶ $E := \mathcal{A}p$ is Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module with $\langle x, y \rangle = x^*y$ and with unit vector $\xi := p$.
- ▶ Put $E_t := \theta_t(p)E = \theta_t(p)\mathcal{A}p$.
- ▶ Turn it into \mathcal{B} -correspondence by defining $b.x_t := \theta_t(b)x_t$.
- ▶ $v_{s,t}: x_s \odot y_t \mapsto \theta_t(x_s)y_t$ defines superproduct system structure.

Note: $\xi_t := \theta_t(p)p \in E_t$ fulfills $\langle \xi_t, b\xi_t \rangle = p\theta_t(b)p =: T_t(b)$, so $(E_t, \xi_t) \supset (\mathcal{E}_t, \xi_t) = \text{GNS-}T_t$.

The superPS of $(\mathcal{A}, \theta, \rho)$

Let $\mathcal{B} := \rho\mathcal{A}\rho$.

- ▶ $E := \mathcal{A}\rho$ is Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module with $\langle x, y \rangle = x^*y$ and with unit vector $\xi := \rho$.
- ▶ Put $E_t := \theta_t(\rho)E = \theta_t(\rho)\mathcal{A}\rho$.
- ▶ Turn it into \mathcal{B} -correspondence by defining $b.x_t := \theta_t(b)x_t$.
- ▶ $v_{s,t}: x_s \odot y_t \mapsto \theta_t(x_s)y_t$ defines superproduct system structure.

Note: $\xi_t := \theta_t(\rho)\rho \in E_t$ fulfills $\langle \xi_t, b\xi_t \rangle = \rho\theta_t(b)\rho =: T_t(b)$, so $(E_t, \xi_t) \supset (\mathcal{E}_t, \xi_t) = \text{GNS-}T_t$.

But it is unclear if the ξ_t form a unit nor if $v_{s,t}(\mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t) \supset \mathcal{E}_{st}$.

The superPS of $(\mathcal{A}, \theta, \rho)$

Let $\mathcal{B} := \rho\mathcal{A}\rho$.

- ▶ $E := \mathcal{A}\rho$ is Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module with $\langle x, y \rangle = x^*y$ and with unit vector $\xi := \rho$.
- ▶ Put $E_t := \theta_t(\rho)E = \theta_t(\rho)\mathcal{A}\rho$.
- ▶ Turn it into \mathcal{B} -correspondence by defining $b.x_t := \theta_t(b)x_t$.
- ▶ $v_{s,t}: x_s \odot y_t \mapsto \theta_t(x_s)y_t$ defines superproduct system structure.

Note: $\xi_t := \theta_t(\rho)\rho \in E_t$ fulfills $\langle \xi_t, b\xi_t \rangle = \rho\theta_t(b)\rho =: T_t(b)$, so $(E_t, \xi_t) \supset (\mathcal{E}_t, \xi_t) = \text{GNS-}T_t$.

But it is unclear if the ξ_t form a unit nor if $v_{s,t}(\mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t) \supset \mathcal{E}_{st}$.

However:

Theorem (Shalit-ms)

If $(\mathcal{A}, \theta, \rho)$ is a strong dilation, the ξ_t form a unit (so that the superPS E^\odot contains \mathcal{E}^\odot as a subPsubS).

The superPS of $(\mathcal{A}, \theta, \rho)$

Let $\mathcal{B} := \rho\mathcal{A}\rho$.

- ▶ $E := \mathcal{A}\rho$ is Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module with $\langle x, y \rangle = x^*y$ and with unit vector $\xi := \rho$.
- ▶ Put $E_t := \theta_t(\rho)E = \theta_t(\rho)\mathcal{A}\rho$.
- ▶ Turn it into \mathcal{B} -correspondence by defining $b.x_t := \theta_t(b)x_t$.
- ▶ $v_{s,t}: x_s \odot y_t \mapsto \theta_t(x_s)y_t$ defines superproduct system structure.

Note: $\xi_t := \theta_t(\rho)\rho \in E_t$ fulfills $\langle \xi_t, b\xi_t \rangle = \rho\theta_t(b)\rho =: T_t(b)$, so $(E_t, \xi_t) \supset (\mathcal{E}_t, \xi_t) = \text{GNS-}T_t$.

But it is unclear if the ξ_t form a unit nor if $v_{s,t}(\mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t) \supset \mathcal{E}_{st}$.

However:

Theorem (Shalit-ms)

If $(\mathcal{A}, \theta, \rho)$ is a strong dilation, the ξ_t form a unit (so that the superPS E^\odot contains \mathcal{E}^\odot as a subPsubS).

Poof: Exercise: Strong iff $\theta_t(\rho)\rho = \theta_t(\mathbf{1})\rho$.

The superPS of (\mathcal{A}, θ, p)

Let $\mathcal{B} := p\mathcal{A}p$.

- ▶ $E := \mathcal{A}p$ is Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module with $\langle x, y \rangle = x^*y$ and with unit vector $\xi := p$.
- ▶ Put $E_t := \theta_t(p)E = \theta_t(p)\mathcal{A}p$.
- ▶ Turn it into \mathcal{B} -correspondence by defining $b.x_t := \theta_t(b)x_t$.
- ▶ $v_{s,t}: x_s \odot y_t \mapsto \theta_t(x_s)y_t$ defines superproduct system structure.

Note: $\xi_t := \theta_t(p)p \in E_t$ fulfills $\langle \xi_t, b\xi_t \rangle = p\theta_t(b)p =: T_t(b)$, so $(E_t, \xi_t) \supset (\mathcal{E}_t, \xi_t) = \text{GNS-}T_t$.

But it is unclear if the ξ_t form a unit nor if $v_{s,t}(\mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t) \supset \mathcal{E}_{st}$.

However:

Theorem (Shalit-ms)

If (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is a strong dilation, the ξ_t form a unit (so that the superPS E^\odot contains \mathcal{E}^\odot as a subPsubS).

Proof: Exercise: Strong iff $\theta_t(p)p = \theta_t(\mathbf{1})p$.

So, $v_{s,t}(\theta_s(p)p \odot \theta_t(p)p) = \theta_t(\theta_s(p))\theta_t(p)p$

The superPS of (\mathcal{A}, θ, p)

Let $\mathcal{B} := p\mathcal{A}p$.

- ▶ $E := \mathcal{A}p$ is Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module with $\langle x, y \rangle = x^*y$ and with unit vector $\xi := p$.
- ▶ Put $E_t := \theta_t(p)E = \theta_t(p)\mathcal{A}p$.
- ▶ Turn it into \mathcal{B} -correspondence by defining $b.x_t := \theta_t(b)x_t$.
- ▶ $v_{s,t}: x_s \odot y_t \mapsto \theta_t(x_s)y_t$ defines superproduct system structure.

Note: $\xi_t := \theta_t(p)p \in E_t$ fulfills $\langle \xi_t, b\xi_t \rangle = p\theta_t(b)p =: T_t(b)$, so $(E_t, \xi_t) \supset (\mathcal{E}_t, \xi_t) = \text{GNS-}T_t$.

But it is unclear if the ξ_t form a unit nor if $v_{s,t}(\mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t) \supset \mathcal{E}_{st}$.

However:

Theorem (Shalit-ms)

If (\mathcal{A}, θ, p) is a strong dilation, the ξ_t form a unit (so that the superPS E^\otimes contains \mathcal{E}^\otimes as a subPsubS).

Proof: Exercise: Strong iff $\theta_t(p)p = \theta_t(\mathbf{1})p$.

So, $v_{s,t}(\theta_s(p)p \odot \theta_t(p)p) = \theta_t(\theta_s(p))\theta_t(p)p$
 $= \theta_t(\theta_s(p))p = \theta_{st}(p)p$.

Some constructions

Some constructions

For x_s, y_t in (super)PS write $x_s y_t := v_{s,t}(x_s \odot y_t)$.

Some constructions

For x_s, y_t in (super)PS write $x_s y_t := v_{s,t}(x_s \odot y_t)$.

- ▶ Suppose \mathbb{S} is Ore-cancellative $\forall r, s \exists r', s' : r'r = s's$.

Some constructions

For x_s, y_t in (super)PS write $x_s y_t := v_{s,t}(x_s \odot y_t)$.

- ▶ Suppose \mathbb{S} is Ore-cancellative $+\forall r, s \exists r', s' : r'r = s's$.
- ▶ PS E^\odot + unit unital $\xi^\odot \rightsquigarrow$ inductive system $E_t \rightarrow \xi_s E_t$.

Some constructions

For x_s, y_t in (super)PS write $x_s y_t := v_{s,t}(x_s \odot y_t)$.

- ▶ Suppose \mathbb{S} is Ore=cancellative $+\forall r, s \exists r', s' : r'r = s's$.
- ▶ PS E^\odot + unit unital $\xi^\odot \rightsquigarrow$ inductive system $E_t \rightarrow \xi_s E_t$.
- ▶ \rightsquigarrow $\text{indlim } E$ and $v_t : E \odot E_t \rightarrow E$

Some constructions

For x_s, y_t in (super)PS write $x_s y_t := v_{s,t}(x_s \odot y_t)$.

- ▶ Suppose \mathbb{S} is Ore=cancellative $+\forall r, s \exists r', s' : r'r = s's$.
- ▶ PS E^\odot +unit unital $\xi^\odot \rightsquigarrow$ inductive system $E_t \rightarrow \xi_s E_t$.
- ▶ \rightsquigarrow indlim E and $v_t : E \odot E_t \rightarrow E$ such that $\vartheta_t := v_t(\bullet \odot \text{id}_t)v_t^*$ defines an E_0 -semigroup.

Some constructions

For x_s, y_t in (super)PS write $x_s y_t := v_{s,t}(x_s \odot y_t)$.

- ▶ Suppose \mathbb{S} is Ore=cancelative $+\forall r, s \exists r', s' : r'r = s's$.
- ▶ PS E^\odot +unit unital $\xi^\odot \rightsquigarrow$ inductive system $E_t \rightarrow \xi_s E_t$.
- ▶ \rightsquigarrow $\text{indlim } E$ and $v_t : E \odot E_t \rightarrow E$ such that $\vartheta_t := v_t(\bullet \odot \text{id}_t)v_t^*$ defines an E_0 -semigroup.
- ▶ $\xi := \xi_t \in E_t \subset E$ is unit vector and $p := \xi\xi^*$ a projection.

Some constructions

For x_s, y_t in (super)PS write $x_s y_t := v_{s,t}(x_s \odot y_t)$.

- ▶ Suppose \mathbb{S} is Ore=cancelative+ $\forall r, s \exists r', s' : r'r = s's$.
- ▶ PS E^\odot +unit unital $\xi^\odot \rightsquigarrow$ inductive system $E_t \rightarrow \xi_s E_t$.
- ▶ \rightsquigarrow indlim E and $v_t : E \odot E_t \rightarrow E$ such that $\vartheta_t := v_t(\bullet \odot \text{id}_t)v_t^*$ defines an E_0 -semigroup.
- ▶ $\xi := \xi_t \in E_t \subset E$ is unit vector and $p := \xi \xi^*$ a projection.

Theorem (Shalit-ms)

(E, ϑ, ξ) dilates $T_t = \langle \xi_t, \bullet \xi_t \rangle$.

Some constructions

For x_s, y_t in (super)PS write $x_s y_t := v_{s,t}(x_s \odot y_t)$.

- ▶ Suppose \mathbb{S} is Ore=cancelative+ $\forall r, s \exists r', s' : r'r = s's$.
- ▶ PS E^\odot +unit unital $\xi^\odot \rightsquigarrow$ inductive system $E_t \rightarrow \xi_s E_t$.
- ▶ \rightsquigarrow indlim E and $v_t : E \odot E_t \rightarrow E$ such that $\vartheta_t := v_t(\bullet \odot \text{id}_t)v_t^*$ defines an E_0 -semigroup.
- ▶ $\xi := \xi_t \in E_t \subset E$ is unit vector and $p := \xi\xi^*$ a projection.

Theorem (Shalit-ms)

(E, ϑ, ξ) dilates $T_t = \langle \xi_t, \bullet \xi_t \rangle$.

Suppose \mathbb{S} is such that $\mathbb{J}_t := \{t = (t_n, \dots, t_1) : n \in \mathbb{N}, t_n \dots t_1 = t\}$ is directed by refinement.

Some constructions

For x_s, y_t in (super)PS write $x_s y_t := v_{s,t}(x_s \odot y_t)$.

- ▶ Suppose \mathbb{S} is Ore=cancelative+ $\forall r, s \exists r', s' : r'r = s's$.
- ▶ PS E^\odot +unit unital $\xi^\odot \rightsquigarrow$ inductive system $E_t \rightarrow \xi_s E_t$.
- ▶ \rightsquigarrow $\text{indlim } E$ and $v_t : E \odot E_t \rightarrow E$ such that $\vartheta_t := v_t(\bullet \odot \text{id}_t)v_t^*$ defines an E_0 -semigroup.
- ▶ $\xi := \xi_t \in E_t \subset E$ is unit vector and $p := \xi \xi^*$ a projection.

Theorem (Shalit-ms)

(E, ϑ, ξ) dilates $T_t = \langle \xi_t, \bullet \xi_t \rangle$.

Suppose \mathbb{S} is such that $\mathbb{J}_t := \{t = (t_n, \dots, t_1) : n \in \mathbb{N}, t_n \dots t_1 = t\}$ is directed by refinement.

Theorem (Shalit-ms)

If \mathcal{E}^\odot is a subPS with unit ξ^\odot , then the $E_t = \lim \text{ind}_{t \in \mathbb{J}_t} E_{t_n} \odot \dots \odot E_{t_1}$ form a product system containing \mathcal{E}^\odot as a subPsubS (and the unit).

PS over \mathbb{N}_0^d and over \mathbb{R}_+^d

PS over \mathbb{N}_0^d and over \mathbb{R}_+^d

Suppose we have PS $E^{i^\circ} = (E_{t_i}^i)$ over $\mathbb{S}^i, i = 1, \dots, d$.

PS over \mathbb{N}_0^d and over \mathbb{R}_+^d

Suppose we have PS $E^{i\odot} = (E_{t^i}^i)$ over \mathbb{S}^i , $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Can we turn $(E_{(t^1, \dots, t^d)} := E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d)$ into a PS over $\mathbb{S} := \mathbb{S}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^d$ by defining a product

$$(E_{s^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{s^d}^d) \odot (E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d)$$

$$\longrightarrow E_{s^1 t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{s^d t^d}^d?$$

PS over \mathbb{N}_0^d and over \mathbb{R}_+^d

Suppose we have PS $E^{i\odot} = (E_{t^i}^i)$ over \mathbb{S}^i , $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Can we turn $(E_{(t^1, \dots, t^d)} := E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d)$ into a PS over $\mathbb{S} := \mathbb{S}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^d$ by defining a product

$$\begin{aligned} (E_{s^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{s^d}^d) \odot (E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d) \\ \longrightarrow (E_{s^1}^1 \odot E_{t^1}^1) \odot \dots \odot (E_{s^d}^d \odot E_{t^d}^d) \\ \longrightarrow E_{s^1 t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{s^d t^d}^d? \end{aligned}$$

PS over \mathbb{N}_0^d and over \mathbb{R}_+^d

Suppose we have PS $E^{i\odot} = (E_{t^i}^i)$ over $\mathbb{S}^i, i = 1, \dots, d$.

Can we turn $(E_{(t^1, \dots, t^d)} := E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d)$ into a PS over $\mathbb{S} := \mathbb{S}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^d$ by defining a product

$$\begin{aligned} (E_{s^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{s^d}^d) \odot (E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d) \\ \longrightarrow (E_{s^1}^1 \odot E_{t^1}^1) \odot \dots \odot (E_{s^d}^d \odot E_{t^d}^d) \\ \longrightarrow E_{s^1 t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{s^d t^d}^d? \end{aligned}$$

For Hilbert spaces: Yes, with the flip.

PS over \mathbb{N}_0^d and over \mathbb{R}_+^d

Suppose we have PS $E^{i\circ} = (E_{t^i}^i)$ over \mathbb{S}^i , $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Can we turn $(E_{(t^1, \dots, t^d)} := E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d)$ into a PS over $\mathbb{S} := \mathbb{S}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^d$ by defining a product

$$\begin{aligned}(E_{s^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{s^d}^d) \odot (E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d) \\ \longrightarrow (E_{s^1}^1 \odot E_{t^1}^1) \odot \dots \odot (E_{s^d}^d \odot E_{t^d}^d) \\ \longrightarrow E_{s^1 t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{s^d t^d}^d?\end{aligned}$$

For Hilbert spaces: Yes, with the flip.

For modules? In general, no. ($E \odot F$ and $F \odot E$ need not even be isomorphic.)

PS over \mathbb{N}_0^d and over \mathbb{R}_+^d

Suppose we have PS $E^{i\odot} = (E_{t^i}^i)$ over \mathbb{S}^i , $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Can we turn $(E_{(t^1, \dots, t^d)} := E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d)$ into a PS over $\mathbb{S} := \mathbb{S}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^d$ by defining a product

$$\begin{aligned}(E_{s^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{s^d}^d) \odot (E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d) \\ \longrightarrow (E_{s^1}^1 \odot E_{t^1}^1) \odot \dots \odot (E_{s^d}^d \odot E_{t^d}^d) \\ \longrightarrow E_{s^1 t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{s^d t^d}^d?\end{aligned}$$

For Hilbert spaces: Yes, with the flip.

For modules? In general, no. ($E \odot F$ and $F \odot E$ need not even be isomorphic.)

However, if we have E^\odot over \mathbb{S} , then:

PS over \mathbb{N}_0^d and over \mathbb{R}_+^d

Suppose we have PS $E^{i\odot} = (E_{t^i}^i)$ over \mathbb{S}^i , $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Can we turn $(E_{(t^1, \dots, t^d)} := E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d)$ into a PS over $\mathbb{S} := \mathbb{S}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^d$ by defining a product

$$\begin{aligned}(E_{s^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{s^d}^d) \odot (E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d) \\ \longrightarrow (E_{s^1}^1 \odot E_{t^1}^1) \odot \dots \odot (E_{s^d}^d \odot E_{t^d}^d) \\ \longrightarrow E_{s^1 t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{s^d t^d}^d?\end{aligned}$$

For Hilbert spaces: Yes, with the flip.

For modules? In general, no. ($E \odot F$ and $F \odot E$ need not even be isomorphic.)

However, if we have E^\odot over \mathbb{S} , then:

- ▶ For each i , the $E_{t^i}^i := E_{(0, \dots, 0, t^i, 0, \dots, 0)}$ form a PS over \mathbb{S}^i , the **marginal** PSs, and, indeed, $E_{(t^1, \dots, t^d)} \cong E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d$.

PS over \mathbb{N}_0^d and over \mathbb{R}_+^d

Suppose we have PS $E^{i\odot} = (E_{t^i}^i)$ over \mathbb{S}^i , $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Can we turn $(E_{(t^1, \dots, t^d)} := E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d)$ into a PS over $\mathbb{S} := \mathbb{S}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^d$ by defining a product

$$\begin{aligned} (E_{s^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{s^d}^d) \odot (E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d) \\ \longrightarrow (E_{s^1}^1 \odot E_{t^1}^1) \odot \dots \odot (E_{s^d}^d \odot E_{t^d}^d) \\ \longrightarrow E_{s^1 t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{s^d t^d}^d? \end{aligned}$$

For Hilbert spaces: Yes, with the flip.

For modules? In general, no. ($E \odot F$ and $F \odot E$ need not even be isomorphic.)

However, if we have E^\odot over \mathbb{S} , then:

- ▶ For each i , the $E_{t^i}^i := E_{(0, \dots, 0, t^i, 0, \dots, 0)}$ form a PS over \mathbb{S}^i , the **marginal** PSs, and, indeed, $E_{(t^1, \dots, t^d)} \cong E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d$.
- ▶ All these can be reconstructed from the “flips”

$$v_{t^j, s^i} v_{s^i, t^j}^* : E_{s^i}^i \odot E_{t^j}^j \rightarrow E_{t^j}^j \odot E_{s^i}^i$$

PS over \mathbb{N}_0^d and over \mathbb{R}_+^d

Suppose we have PS $E^{i\odot} = (E_{t^i}^i)$ over \mathbb{S}^i , $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Can we turn $(E_{(t^1, \dots, t^d)} := E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d)$ into a PS over $\mathbb{S} := \mathbb{S}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^d$ by defining a product

$$\begin{aligned} (E_{s^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{s^d}^d) \odot (E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d) \\ \longrightarrow (E_{s^1}^1 \odot E_{t^1}^1) \odot \dots \odot (E_{s^d}^d \odot E_{t^d}^d) \\ \longrightarrow E_{s^1 t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{s^d t^d}^d? \end{aligned}$$

For Hilbert spaces: Yes, with the flip.

For modules? In general, no. ($E \odot F$ and $F \odot E$ need not even be isomorphic.)

However, if we have E^\odot over \mathbb{S} , then:

- ▶ For each i , the $E_{t^i}^i := E_{(0, \dots, 0, t^i, 0, \dots, 0)}$ form a PS over \mathbb{S}^i , the **marginal** PSs, and, indeed, $E_{(t^1, \dots, t^d)} \cong E_{t^1}^1 \odot \dots \odot E_{t^d}^d$.
- ▶ All these can be reconstructed from the “flips” ($j < i$, only)
 $v_{t^j, s^i} v_{s^i, t^j}^* : E_{s^i}^i \odot E_{t^j}^j \rightarrow E_{t^j}^j \odot E_{s^i}^i$

The case \mathbb{N}_0^d

The case \mathbb{N}_0^d

$$(\text{PS } E^\odot \text{ over } \mathbb{N}_0) \cong (E^{\odot n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$$

The case \mathbb{N}_0^d

(PS E^\odot over \mathbb{N}_0) $\cong (E^{\odot n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \rightsquigarrow$ try to define PS on

$$E_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} := E_1^{\odot n_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot n_d}$$

by self-inverse isomorphisms $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}: E_i \odot E_j \rightarrow E_j \odot E_i$ ($j < i$).

The case \mathbb{N}_0^d

$(\text{PS } E^\odot \text{ over } \mathbb{N}_0) \cong (E^{\odot n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \rightsquigarrow$ try to define PS on

$$E_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} := E_1^{\odot n_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot n_d}$$

by self-inverse isomorphisms $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}: E_i \odot E_j \rightarrow E_j \odot E_i$ ($j < i$).

Theorem (Shalit-ms)

The following conditions are equivalent:

The case \mathbb{N}_0^d

(PS E^\odot over \mathbb{N}_0) $\cong (E^{\odot n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \rightsquigarrow$ try to define PS on

$$E_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} := E_1^{\odot n_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot n_d}$$

by self-inverse isomorphisms $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}: E_i \odot E_j \rightarrow E_j \odot E_i$ ($j < i$).

Theorem (Shalit-ms)

The following conditions are equivalent:

1. *The above family admits a PS structure fulfilling $v_{e_j, e_i} v_{e_i, e_j}^* = \mathcal{F}_{j,i}$ for $j < i$.*

The case \mathbb{N}_0^d

(PS E^\odot over \mathbb{N}_0) $\cong (E^{\odot n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \rightsquigarrow$ try to define PS on

$$E_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} := E_1^{\odot n_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot n_d}$$

by self-inverse isomorphisms $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}: E_i \odot E_j \rightarrow E_j \odot E_i$ ($j < i$).

Theorem (Shalit-ms)

The following conditions are equivalent:

1. *The above family admits a PS structure fulfilling*
 $v_{e_j, e_i} v_{e_i, e_j}^* = \mathcal{F}_{j,i}$ *for* $j < i$.
2. *The $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}$ fulfill*

$$(\text{id}_k \odot \mathcal{F}_{j,i})(\mathcal{F}_{k,i} \odot \text{id}_j)(\text{id}_i \odot \mathcal{F}_{k,j}) = (\mathcal{F}_{k,j} \odot \text{id}_i)(\text{id}_j \odot \mathcal{F}_{k,i})(\mathcal{F}_{j,i} \odot \text{id}_k)$$

for $k < j < i$.

The case \mathbb{N}_0^d

(PS E^\odot over \mathbb{N}_0) $\cong (E^{\odot n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \rightsquigarrow$ try to define PS on

$$E_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} := E_1^{\odot n_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot n_d}$$

by self-inverse isomorphisms $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}: E_i \odot E_j \rightarrow E_j \odot E_i$ ($j < i$).

Theorem (Shalit-ms)

The following conditions are equivalent:

1. *The above family admits a PS structure fulfilling*

$$v_{e_j, e_i} v_{e_i, e_j}^* = \mathcal{F}_{j,i} \text{ for } j < i.$$

2. *The $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}$ fulfill*

$$(\text{id}_k \odot \mathcal{F}_{j,i})(\mathcal{F}_{k,i} \odot \text{id}_j)(\text{id}_i \odot \mathcal{F}_{k,j}) = (\mathcal{F}_{k,j} \odot \text{id}_i)(\text{id}_j \odot \mathcal{F}_{k,i})(\mathcal{F}_{j,i} \odot \text{id}_k)$$

for $k < j < i$.

Unique;

The case \mathbb{N}_0^d

(PS E^\odot over \mathbb{N}_0) $\cong (E^{\odot n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \rightsquigarrow$ try to define PS on

$$E_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} := E_1^{\odot n_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot n_d}$$

by self-inverse isomorphisms $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}: E_i \odot E_j \rightarrow E_j \odot E_i$ ($j < i$).

Theorem (Shalit-ms)

The following conditions are equivalent:

1. *The above family admits a PS structure fulfilling*
 $v_{e_j, e_i} v_{e_i, e_j}^* = \mathcal{F}_{j,i}$ for $j < i$.
2. *The $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}$ fulfill*

$$(\text{id}_k \odot \mathcal{F}_{j,i})(\mathcal{F}_{k,i} \odot \text{id}_j)(\text{id}_i \odot \mathcal{F}_{k,j}) = (\mathcal{F}_{k,j} \odot \text{id}_i)(\text{id}_j \odot \mathcal{F}_{k,i})(\mathcal{F}_{j,i} \odot \text{id}_k)$$

for $k < j < i$.

Unique; every PS over \mathbb{N}_0^d arises that way.

The case \mathbb{N}_0^d

(PS E^\odot over \mathbb{N}_0) $\cong (E^{\odot n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \rightsquigarrow$ try to define PS on

$$E_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} := E_1^{\odot n_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot n_d}$$

by self-inverse isomorphisms $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}: E_i \odot E_j \rightarrow E_j \odot E_i$ ($j < i$).

Theorem (Shalit-ms)

The following conditions are equivalent:

1. *The above family admits a PS structure fulfilling*
 $v_{e_j, e_i} v_{e_i, e_j}^* = \mathcal{F}_{j,i}$ *for* $j < i$.
2. *The $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}$ fulfill*

$$(\text{id}_k \odot \mathcal{F}_{j,i})(\mathcal{F}_{k,i} \odot \text{id}_j)(\text{id}_i \odot \mathcal{F}_{k,j}) = (\mathcal{F}_{k,j} \odot \text{id}_i)(\text{id}_j \odot \mathcal{F}_{k,i})(\mathcal{F}_{j,i} \odot \text{id}_k)$$

for $k < j < i$.

Unique; every PS over \mathbb{N}_0^d arises that way.

What is remarkable?

The case \mathbb{N}_0^d

(PS E^\odot over \mathbb{N}_0) $\cong (E^{\odot n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \rightsquigarrow$ try to define PS on

$$E_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} := E_1^{\odot n_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot n_d}$$

by self-inverse isomorphisms $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}: E_i \odot E_j \rightarrow E_j \odot E_i$ ($j < i$).

Theorem (Shalit-ms)

The following conditions are equivalent:

1. *The above family admits a PS structure fulfilling*

$$v_{e_j, e_i} v_{e_i, e_j}^* = \mathcal{F}_{j,i} \text{ for } j < i.$$

2. *The $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}$ fulfill*

$$(\text{id}_k \odot \mathcal{F}_{j,i})(\mathcal{F}_{k,i} \odot \text{id}_j)(\text{id}_i \odot \mathcal{F}_{k,j}) = (\mathcal{F}_{k,j} \odot \text{id}_i)(\text{id}_j \odot \mathcal{F}_{k,i})(\mathcal{F}_{j,i} \odot \text{id}_k)$$

for $k < j < i$.

Unique; every PS over \mathbb{N}_0^d arises that way.

What is remarkable?

Conditions **empty** for $d = 2!$

About the proof

To show:

About the proof

To show:

- ▶ Well-definedness of

$$\begin{aligned} (E_1^{\odot m_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot m_d}) \odot (E_1^{\odot n_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot n_d}) \\ \longrightarrow E_1^{\odot(m_1+n_1)} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot(m_d+n_d)} \end{aligned}$$

by means of next-neighbour-transpositions.

About the proof

To show:

- ▶ Well-definedness of

$$(E_1^{\odot m_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot m_d}) \odot (E_1^{\odot n_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot n_d}) \\ \longrightarrow E_1^{\odot(m_1+n_1)} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot(m_d+n_d)}$$

by means of next-neighbour-transpositions.

- ▶ Associativity of

$$(E_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot \ell_d}) \odot (E_1^{\odot m_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot m_d}) \odot (E_1^{\odot n_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot n_d}) \\ \longrightarrow E_1^{\odot(\ell_1+m_1+n_1)} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot(\ell_d+m_d+n_d)}.$$

About the proof

To show:

- ▶ Well-definedness of

$$(E_1^{\odot m_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot m_d}) \odot (E_1^{\odot n_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot n_d}) \\ \longrightarrow E_1^{\odot(m_1+n_1)} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot(m_d+n_d)}$$

by means of next-neighbour-transpositions.

- ▶ Associativity of

$$(E_1^{\odot \ell_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot \ell_d}) \odot (E_1^{\odot m_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot m_d}) \odot (E_1^{\odot n_1} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot n_d}) \\ \longrightarrow E_1^{\odot(\ell_1+m_1+n_1)} \odot \dots \odot E_d^{\odot(\ell_d+m_d+n_d)}.$$

Both is established by using the $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}$ to define a representation of S_n on $(E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_d)^{\odot n}$ containing all the preceding tensor products with n factors E_i as subspaces and by the observation that the permutation doing the right thing to these subspaces is **unique** and it does not matter in which order we obtain it from transpositions.

Dilations of CP-semigroups over \mathbb{N}_0^2

Corollary

Suppose we have $\xi_j \in E_j$ fulfilling $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}(\xi_i \odot \xi_j) = \xi_j \odot \xi_i$. Then the elements $\xi_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} := \xi_1^{\odot n_1} \odot \dots \odot \xi_d^{\odot n_d}$ form a unit.

Dilations of CP-semigroups over \mathbb{N}_0^2

Corollary

Suppose we have $\xi_j \in E_j$ fulfilling $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}(\xi_i \odot \xi_j) = \xi_j \odot \xi_i$. Then the elements $\xi_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} := \xi_1^{\odot n_1} \odot \dots \odot \xi_d^{\odot n_d}$ form a unit.

Theorem (Schalit-ms)

Every CP-semigroup over \mathbb{N}_0^2 in a **vN-algebra** allows a strong dilation. If it is Markov then it can be chosen E_0 .

Dilations of CP-semigroups over \mathbb{N}_0^2

Corollary

Suppose we have $\xi_j \in E_j$ fulfilling $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}(\xi_i \odot \xi_j) = \xi_j \odot \xi_i$. Then the elements $\xi_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} := \xi_1^{\odot n_1} \odot \dots \odot \xi_d^{\odot n_d}$ form a unit.

Theorem (Schalit-ms)

Every CP-semigroup over \mathbb{N}_0^2 in a **vn-algebra** allows a strong dilation. If it is Markov then it can be chosen E_0 .

Proof: If non-Markov, first unitalize.

Dilations of CP-semigroups over \mathbb{N}_0^2

Corollary

Suppose we have $\xi_j \in E_j$ fulfilling $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}(\xi_i \odot \xi_j) = \xi_j \odot \xi_i$. Then the elements $\xi_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} := \xi_1^{\odot n_1} \odot \dots \odot \xi_d^{\odot n_d}$ form a unit.

Theorem (Schalit-ms)

Every CP-semigroup over \mathbb{N}_0^2 in a **vN-algebra** allows a strong dilation. If it is Markov then it can be chosen E_0 .

Proof: If non-Markov, first unitalize.

We manage to define $E \ni \xi_1, \xi_2$ and $\mathcal{F}: E \odot E \rightarrow E \odot E$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\xi_2 \odot \xi_1) = \xi_1 \odot \xi_2$. □

Dilations of CP-semigroups over \mathbb{N}_0^2

Corollary

Suppose we have $\xi_j \in E_j$ fulfilling $\mathcal{F}_{j,i}(\xi_i \odot \xi_j) = \xi_j \odot \xi_i$. Then the elements $\xi_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} := \xi_1^{\odot n_1} \odot \dots \odot \xi_d^{\odot n_d}$ form a unit.

Theorem (Schalit-ms)

Every CP-semigroup over \mathbb{N}_0^2 in a **vn-algebra** allows a strong dilation. If it is Markov then it can be chosen E_0 .

Proof: If non-Markov, first unitalize.

We manage to define $E \ni \xi_1, \xi_2$ and $\mathcal{F}: E \odot E \rightarrow E \odot E$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\xi_2 \odot \xi_1) = \xi_1 \odot \xi_2$. □

An THIS leads to a whole bunch of

Counter examples

Counter examples

- ▶ The superPS $\check{\mathcal{E}}^{\otimes}$ generated by the GNS-subPS \mathcal{E}^{\otimes} is not a product system.

Counter examples

- ▶ The superPS $\check{\xi}^{\otimes}$ generated by the GNS-subPS ξ^{\otimes} is not a product system.
 - ▶ The dilation is not **spatially minimal** in that \mathcal{B} and shifts $\vartheta_t(\mathcal{B})$ do not generate E out of ξ .

Counter examples

- ▶ The superPS $\check{\xi}^{\otimes}$ generated by the GNS-subPS ξ^{\otimes} is not a product system.
 - ▶ The dilation is not **spatially minimal** in that \mathcal{B} and shifts $\vartheta_t(\mathcal{B})$ do not generate E out of ξ .
- ▶ If \mathcal{B} is a factor, then the PS generated by ξ^{\otimes} is E^{\odot} : There is no proper PsubS of E^{\odot} containing ξ^{\otimes} .

Counter examples

- ▶ The superPS $\check{\xi}^{\ominus}$ generated by the GNS-subPS ξ^{\ominus} is not a product system.
 - ▶ The dilation is not **spatially minimal** in that \mathcal{B} and shifts $\vartheta_t(\mathcal{B})$ do not generate E out of ξ .
- ▶ If \mathcal{B} is a factor, then the PS generated by ξ^{\ominus} is E^{\ominus} : There is no proper PsubS of E^{\ominus} containing ξ^{\ominus} .
 - ▶ The dilation is incompressible.

Counter examples

- ▶ The superPS $\check{\xi}^\ominus$ generated by the GNS-subPS ξ^\ominus is not a product system.
 - ▶ The dilation is not **spatially minimal** in that \mathcal{B} and shifts $\vartheta_t(\mathcal{B})$ do not generate E out of ξ .
- ▶ If \mathcal{B} is a factor, then the PS generated by ξ^\ominus is E^\ominus : There is no proper PsubS of E^\ominus containing ξ^\ominus .
 - ▶ The dilation is incompressible.
 - ▶ This puts an end to our hope we might for all $\check{\xi}^\ominus \supset \xi^\ominus \ni \xi^\ominus$ (that's what we get if there exists any strong dilation) a PS in between.

Counter examples

- ▶ The superPS $\check{\xi}^\ominus$ generated by the GNS-subPS ξ^\ominus is not a product system.
 - ▶ The dilation is not **spatially minimal** in that \mathcal{B} and shifts $\vartheta_t(\mathcal{B})$ do not generate E out of ξ .
- ▶ If \mathcal{B} is a factor, then the PS generated by ξ^\ominus is E^\ominus : There is no proper PsubS of E^\ominus containing ξ^\ominus .
 - ▶ The dilation is incompressible.
 - ▶ This puts an end to our hope we might for all $\check{\xi}^\ominus \supset \xi^\ominus \ni \xi^\ominus$ (that's what we get if there exists any strong dilation) a PS in between.
(We may however hope to make $\check{\xi}^\ominus$ bigger.)

Counter examples

- ▶ The superPS $\check{\xi}^{\otimes}$ generated by the GNS-subPS ξ^{\otimes} is not a product system.
 - ▶ The dilation is not **spatially minimal** in that \mathcal{B} and shifts $\vartheta_t(\mathcal{B})$ do not generate E out of ξ .
- ▶ If \mathcal{B} is a factor, then the PS generated by ξ^{\otimes} is E^{\odot} : There is no proper PsubS of E^{\odot} containing ξ^{\otimes} .
 - ▶ The dilation is incompressible.
 - ▶ This puts an end to our hope we might for all $\check{\xi}^{\otimes} \supset \xi^{\otimes} \ni \xi^{\odot}$ (that's what we get if there exists any strong dilation) a PS in between.
(We may however hope to make $\check{\xi}^{\otimes}$ bigger.)
- ▶ The dilation is not **algebraically minimal**:
 $\mathcal{B}^a(E) \neq W^*(\bigcup \vartheta_{\mathbb{N}_0^2}(\mathcal{B})) =: \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$.

Counter examples

- ▶ The superPS $\check{\xi}^{\otimes}$ generated by the GNS-subPS ξ^{\otimes} is not a product system.
 - ▶ The dilation is not **spatially minimal** in that \mathcal{B} and shifts $\vartheta_t(\mathcal{B})$ do not generate E out of ξ .
- ▶ If \mathcal{B} is a factor, then the PS generated by ξ^{\otimes} is E^{\odot} : There is no proper PsubS of E^{\odot} containing ξ^{\otimes} .
 - ▶ The dilation is incompressible.
 - ▶ This puts an end to our hope we might for all $\check{\xi}^{\otimes} \supset \xi^{\otimes} \ni \xi^{\odot}$ (that's what we get if there exists any strong dilation) a PS in between.
(We may however hope to make $\check{\xi}^{\otimes}$ bigger.)
- ▶ The dilation is not **algebraically minimal**:
 $\mathcal{B}^a(E) \neq W^*(\bigcup \vartheta_{\mathbb{N}_0^2}(\mathcal{B})) =: \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$.
 - ▶ The minimalized version on \mathcal{A}_{∞} is not of the form (E', ϑ', ξ') .
That is, it is not **Arveson minimal**.

About the case \mathbb{R}_+^d : Exponentiating PS over \mathbb{N}_0^d

(Apart from our general results about products of d .)

- ▶ The basic example of a PS over \mathbb{R}_+ is the **time ordered system**:

$$\mathbb{I}_t(F) := \omega\mathcal{B} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Delta_n L^2([0, t]^n, F^{\odot n})$$

where Δ_n is the indicator function of $\{t_n > \dots > t_1 > 0\}$.

Theorem (Shalit-ms)

Let F^\odot be PS \mathbb{N}_0^d with marginals $(F_i^{\odot n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. Then

$$E_{(t_1, \dots, t_d)} := \mathbb{I}_{t_1}(F_1) \odot \dots \odot \mathbb{I}_{t_d}(F_d)$$

inherits the structure of a PS over \mathbb{R}_+^d . Moreover, units lift to (exponential) units.

Thank you!

Bibliography I



W.L. Paschke, *Inner product modules over B^* -algebras*,
Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **182** (1973), 443–468.