



# Induced representations, Mackey Imprimitivity and Homogeneous operators

---

Gadadhar Misra

2025 March 05

Gadadhar Misra

Indian Statistical Institute Bangalore and Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar

# Homogeneous vector bundles

- Let  $G$  be a group and  $H \subset G$  be a subgroup. Then  $G$  acts transitively on  $X = G/H$ , the left coset space ( $H$  can be identified with the stabilizer group  $G_0$  of any  $x_0 \in X$ ). Suppose that  $\rho : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$  is a representation. Then from this data, we construct a (homogeneous) vector bundle as follows:

$$E^\rho = G \times_H V = (G \times V) / \sim,$$

where  $\sim$  is defined by  $(g, v) \sim (gh^{-1}, \rho(h)v)$  for all  $h \in H$ .



# Homogeneous vector bundles

- Let  $G$  be a group and  $H \subset G$  be a subgroup. Then  $G$  acts transitively on  $X = G/H$ , the left coset space ( $H$  can be identified with the stabilizer group  $G_0$  of any  $x_0 \in X$ ). Suppose that  $\rho : H \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  is a representation. Then from this data, we construct a (homogeneous) vector bundle as follows:

$$E^\rho = G \times_H V = (G \times V) / \sim,$$

where  $\sim$  is defined by  $(g, v) \sim (gh^{-1}, \rho(h)v)$  for all  $h \in H$ .

- The projection map  $\pi : E^\rho \rightarrow G/H$  is given by  $\pi([g, v]) = gH$ .

For  $[g, v_1]$  and  $[g, v_2]$  in the same fiber, define

$$[g, v_1] + [g, v_2] = [g, v_1 + v_2] \text{ and } c[g, v] = [g, cv].$$

This is well-defined:

$$\begin{aligned} [gh^{-1}, \rho(h)v_1] + [gh^{-1}, \rho(h)v_2] &= [gh^{-1}, \rho(h)v_1 + \rho(h)v_2] \\ &= [gh^{-1}, \rho(h)(v_1 + v_2)] = [g, v_1 + v_2], \end{aligned}$$

$$c[gh^{-1}, \rho(h)v] = [gh^{-1}, c\rho(h)v] = [gh^{-1}, \rho(h)(cv)] = [g, cv].$$



## The fiber is isomorphic to $V$

- We verify that each of the fibers over a point  $gH \in G/H$  is isomorphic to  $V$ .

Since  $gh^{-1} \in gH$ , it follows that both  $gh_1^{-1}, gh_2^{-1}$  are in the same coset  $gH$ .

Let  $\phi : V \rightarrow E_{gH}^p$  be the map  $\phi(v) = [g, v]$ . Check that  $\phi$  is linear and bijective:

$$\phi(v_1 + v_2) = [g, v_1 + v_2] = [g, v_1] + [g, v_2] = \phi(v_1) + \phi(v_2)$$

$$\phi(cv) = [g, cv] = c[g, v] = c\phi(v).$$



# The fiber is isomorphic to $V$

- We verify that each of the fibers over a point  $gH \in G/H$  is isomorphic to  $V$ .

Since  $gh^{-1} \in gH$ , it follows that both  $gh_1^{-1}, gh_2^{-1}$  are in the same coset  $gH$ .

Let  $\phi : V \rightarrow E_{gH}^p$  be the map  $\phi(v) = [g, v]$ . Check that  $\phi$  is linear and bijective:

$$\phi(v_1 + v_2) = [g, v_1 + v_2] = [g, v_1] + [g, v_2] = \phi(v_1) + \phi(v_2)$$

$$\phi(cv) = [g, cv] = c[g, v] = c\phi(v).$$

- The map  $\phi$  is bijective: If  $[g, v_1] = [g, v_2]$ , then  $(g, v_1) \sim (g, v_2)$ , that is,  $v_1 = \rho(e)v_2$  ensuring  $v_1 = v_2$ .



# The fiber is isomorphic to $V$

- We verify that each of the fibers over a point  $gH \in G/H$  is isomorphic to  $V$ .

Since  $gh^{-1} \in gH$ , it follows that both  $gh_1^{-1}, gh_2^{-1}$  are in the same coset  $gH$ .

Let  $\phi : V \rightarrow E_{gH}^\rho$  be the map  $\phi(v) = [g, v]$ . Check that  $\phi$  is linear and bijective:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(v_1 + v_2) &= [g, v_1 + v_2] = [g, v_1] + [g, v_2] = \phi(v_1) + \phi(v_2) \\ \phi(cv) &= [g, cv] = c[g, v] = c\phi(v).\end{aligned}$$

- The map  $\phi$  is bijective: If  $[g, v_1] = [g, v_2]$ , then  $(g, v_1) \sim (g, v_2)$ , that is,  $v_1 = \rho(e)v_2$  ensuring  $v_1 = v_2$ .
- Moreover,  $\phi$  is independent of the representative: Choosing  $gh^{-1}$  instead of  $g$ , the map  $\phi$  takes the form  $\hat{\phi}(w) = [gh^{-1}, w]$  which is related to  $\phi$  via the linear transformation  $\rho$ , namely,  $w = \rho(h)v$ .



## cocycles and homogeneous bundles

- The group  $G$  acts on  $G \times_H V$  by left multiplication on the first factor:

$$g \cdot [g', v] = [gg', v].$$



# cocycles and homogeneous bundles

- The group  $G$  acts on  $G \times_H V$  by left multiplication on the first factor:

$$g \cdot [g', v] = [gg', v].$$

- Let us find the action of  $G$  in the local trivialization via a cross-section:

To trivialize the bundle, choose a cross-section  $s : G/H \rightarrow G$  as follows. As before, given  $x \in X$  we have  $g = g_x h$  for some  $h \in H$ , and set  $s(x) = g_x$ . This allows us to identify:

$$G \times_H V \simeq G/H \times V \quad \text{via} \quad [s(x), v] \leftrightarrow (x, v).$$

The group  $G$  moves  $x$  to  $g \cdot x$  and simultaneously maps the fiber over  $x$  to the fiber over  $g \cdot x$ . For this, we need to express  $g \cdot s(x) \in G$  in terms of the section  $s(g \cdot x)$ , as before!



## Decomposing $g \cdot s(x)$

- Decompose  $g \cdot s(x)$ : Using the section  $s$ , write:

$$g \cdot s(x) = s(g \cdot x) \cdot h(g, x),$$

where  $h(g, x) \in H$ . This uniquely defines  $h(g, x)$  as:

$$h(g, x) = s(g \cdot x)^{-1} \cdot g \cdot s(x).$$

Indeed, we had verified earlier that  $s(g \cdot x)^{-1} \cdot g \cdot s(x)$  is in  $H$ . Thus, the cocycle  $c(g, x)$  is defined using the representation  $\rho$  as follows:

$$c(g, x) = \rho(h(g, x)) \in \text{GL}(V).$$

Now,  $g$  action on the equivalence class  $[s(x), v]$  is easy to compute:

$$g \cdot [s(x), v] = [g \cdot s(x), v] = [s(g \cdot x) \cdot h(g, x), v].$$



- By the equivalence relation in  $G \times_H V$ :

$$[s(g \cdot x) \cdot h(g, x), v] \sim [s(g \cdot x), \rho(h(g, x))v].$$

Under the trivialization  $[s(g \cdot x), \rho(h(g, x))v] \leftrightarrow (g \cdot x, \rho(h(g, x))v)$ , this becomes:

$$g \cdot (x, v) = (g \cdot x, c(g, x)v),$$

where  $c(g, x) = \rho(h(g, x))$ .

- Cocycle Condition: The definition ensures  $c(g_1g_2, x) = c(g_1, g_2x) \cdot c(g_2, x)$ , as required for consistency under group multiplication:

$$\rho(h(g_1g_2, x)) = \rho(h(g_1, g_2x) \cdot h(g_2, x)) = \rho(h(g_1, g_2x)) \cdot \rho(h(g_2, x)).$$

We let  $E^p$  denote the bundle obtained from the group  $G$ , a subgroup  $H \subset G$  and a representation  $\rho : H \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  as described above.



# Induced representations and homogeneous vector bundles

- Recall that the induced representation  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\rho)$  consists of functions  $f: G \rightarrow V$  satisfying:

$$f(gh) = \rho(h^{-1})f(g) \text{ for all } g \in G, h \in H.$$

The group  $G$  acts on these functions by left translation:

$$(g' \cdot f)(g) = f(g'^{-1}g).$$



# Induced representations and homogeneous vector bundles

- Recall that the induced representation  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\rho)$  consists of functions  $f: G \rightarrow V$  satisfying:

$$f(gh) = \rho(h^{-1})f(g) \text{ for all } g \in G, h \in H.$$

The group  $G$  acts on these functions by left translation:

$$(g' \cdot f)(g) = f(g'^{-1}g).$$

- A section  $\gamma$  of the bundle  $E^{\rho}$  is a map that has the property  $\pi \circ \gamma: G/H \rightarrow G/H$  is the identity map. Given a section  $\gamma$  of  $E^{\rho}$ , we can define a function  $f_{\gamma}: G \rightarrow V$  by setting

$$f_{\gamma}(g) = \text{proj}_V(g^{-1} \cdot \gamma(gH)),$$

where  $\text{proj}_V$  extracts the  $V$ -component in the local trivialization.



# Induced representations and homogeneous vector bundles

- Recall that the induced representation  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\rho)$  consists of functions  $f: G \rightarrow V$  satisfying:

$$f(gh) = \rho(h^{-1})f(g) \text{ for all } g \in G, h \in H.$$

The group  $G$  acts on these functions by left translation:

$$(g' \cdot f)(g) = f(g'^{-1}g).$$

- A section  $\gamma$  of the bundle  $E^\rho$  is a map that has the property  $\pi \circ \gamma: G/H \rightarrow G/H$  is the identity map. Given a section  $\gamma$  of  $E^\rho$ , we can define a function  $f_\gamma: G \rightarrow V$  by setting

$$f_\gamma(g) = \text{proj}_V(g^{-1} \cdot \gamma(gH)),$$

where  $\text{proj}_V$  extracts the  $V$ -component in the local trivialization.

- The other way round, from functions to sections: Given a function  $f \in \text{Ind}_H^G(\rho)$ , we can define a section  $\gamma_f$  of  $E^\rho$  by setting

$$\gamma_f(gH) = [g, f(g)].$$

If  $gH = g'H$ , then  $g' = gh$  for some  $h \in H$ , and the equivariance condition ensures that  $\gamma_f$  is well-defined.



# Isomorphism of Representations

- The two maps,  $f_\gamma(g) = \text{proj}_V(g^{-1} \cdot s(gH))$  and  $\gamma_f(gH) = [g, f(g)]$  are inverses of each other.



# Isomorphism of Representations

- The two maps,  $f_\gamma(g) = \text{proj}_V(g^{-1} \cdot s(gH))$  and  $\gamma_f(gH) = [g, f(g)]$  are inverses of each other.
- Let us define the map  $\tau : G \rightarrow \text{InvLin}(\Gamma(E^p))$  by setting

$$\tau_g(\gamma)(x) = g \cdot \gamma(g^{-1} \cdot x), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma(E^p).$$

Under the correspondence described between sections of the vector bundle  $E^p$  and functions on  $G$ , the representation  $\tau$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\rho)$ .

This correspondence respects the group action: For  $g' \in G$ ,

showing that  $\tau$  and  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\rho)$  are isomorphic.



# Isomorphism of Representations

- The two maps,  $f_\gamma(g) = \text{proj}_V(g^{-1} \cdot s(gH))$  and  $\gamma_f(gH) = [g, f(g)]$  are inverses of each other.
- Let us define the map  $\tau : G \rightarrow \text{InvLin}(\Gamma(E^p))$  by setting

$$\tau_g(\gamma)(x) = g \cdot \gamma(g^{-1} \cdot x), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma(E^p).$$

Under the correspondence described between sections of the vector bundle  $E^p$  and functions on  $G$ , the representation  $\tau$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\rho)$ .

This correspondence respects the group action: For  $g' \in G$ ,

$$- f_{g' \cdot \gamma}(g) = f_\gamma(g'^{-1}g) \text{ and}$$

showing that  $\tau$  and  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\rho)$  are isomorphic.



# Isomorphism of Representations

- The two maps,  $f_\gamma(g) = \text{proj}_V(g^{-1} \cdot s(gH))$  and  $\gamma_f(gH) = [g, f(g)]$  are inverses of each other.
- Let us define the map  $\tau : G \rightarrow \text{InvLin}(\Gamma(E^p))$  by setting

$$\tau_g(\gamma)(x) = g \cdot \gamma(g^{-1} \cdot x), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma(E^p).$$

Under the correspondence described between sections of the vector bundle  $E^p$  and functions on  $G$ , the representation  $\tau$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\rho)$ .

This correspondence respects the group action: For  $g' \in G$ ,

- $f_{g' \cdot \gamma}(g) = f_\gamma(g'^{-1}g)$  and
- $\gamma_{g' \cdot f}(gH) = g' \cdot \gamma_f(gH)$

showing that  $\tau$  and  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\rho)$  are isomorphic.



# Unitary representations

- We have seen that  $\text{Ind}_{G_0}^G(\rho)$  is a homomorphism from  $G$  into  $\text{InvLin}(\mathcal{F}(X, V))$ . We now ask when can we make this induced representation unitary on some subspace of  $\mathcal{F}(X, V)$  equipped with the structure of a Hilbert space? An obvious choice of a Hilbert subspace of  $\mathcal{F}(X, V)$  is the space  $L_V^2(X, \mu)$ .



# Unitary representations

- We have seen that  $\text{Ind}_{G_0}^G(\rho)$  is a homomorphism from  $G$  into  $\text{InvLin}(\mathcal{F}(X, V))$ . We now ask when can we make this induced representation unitary on some subspace of  $\mathcal{F}(X, V)$  equipped with the structure of a Hilbert space? An obvious choice of a Hilbert subspace of  $\mathcal{F}(X, V)$  is the space  $L_V^2(X, \mu)$ .
- In general, if  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $X$  is a measure space, then the push-forward of  $\phi_*\mu$  is defined on  $Y$  by setting

$$\phi_*\mu(A) = \mu(\phi^{-1}(A)), \quad A \subseteq Y.$$

Equivalently,

$$\int f \circ \phi d\mu = \int f d(\phi_*\mu).$$



# Unitary representations

- We have seen that  $\text{Ind}_{G_0}^G(\rho)$  is a homomorphism from  $G$  into  $\text{InvLin}(\mathcal{F}(X, V))$ . We now ask when can we make this induced representation unitary on some subspace of  $\mathcal{F}(X, V)$  equipped with the structure of a Hilbert space? An obvious choice of a Hilbert subspace of  $\mathcal{F}(X, V)$  is the space  $L_V^2(X, \mu)$ .
- In general, if  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $X$  is a measure space, then the push-forward of  $\phi_*\mu$  is defined on  $Y$  by setting

$$\phi_*\mu(A) = \mu(\phi^{-1}(A)), \quad A \subseteq Y.$$

Equivalently,

$$\int f \circ \phi d\mu = \int f d(\phi_*\mu).$$

- Now, if  $\mu$  and  $\phi_*\mu$  are mutually absolutely continuous, then

$$d(\phi_*\mu) = \frac{d(\phi_*\mu)}{d\mu} d\mu,$$

where  $\frac{d(\phi_*\mu)}{d\mu}$  is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\phi_*\mu$  wrt  $\mu$ .



## quasi-invariance gives multipliers

- The quasi-invariance of the measure  $\mu$  is equivalent to the condition:

$$d(g_*\mu) = \frac{d(g_*\mu)}{d\mu} d\mu$$

for all  $g \in G$ . Now, for  $X = Y$  and  $g \in G$ , we have

$$\int f d\mu = \int f \circ g^{-1} d(g_*\mu) = \int f \circ g^{-1} \frac{d(g_*\mu)}{d\mu} d\mu.$$



## quasi-invariance gives multipliers

- The quasi-invariance of the measure  $\mu$  is equivalent to the condition:

$$d(g_*\mu) = \frac{d(g_*\mu)}{d\mu} d\mu$$

for all  $g \in G$ . Now, for  $X = Y$  and  $g \in G$ , we have

$$\int f d\mu = \int f \circ g^{-1} d(g_*\mu) = \int f \circ g^{-1} \frac{d(g_*\mu)}{d\mu} d\mu.$$

- Define the operator

$$(T_g f)(x) = \left( \frac{d(g_*\mu)}{d\mu}(x) \right)^{1/2} f(g^{-1} \cdot x).$$

Then

$$\|T_g f\|^2 = \int |f(g^{-1} \cdot x)|^2 \frac{d(g_*\mu)}{d\mu}(x) d\mu(x) = \int |f(g^{-1} \cdot x)|^2 d(g_*\mu)(x) = \int |f|^2$$

The Radon-Nikodym derivative obeys the chain rule. So, it is a cocycle.



## quasi-invariance gives multipliers

- The quasi-invariance of the measure  $\mu$  is equivalent to the condition:

$$d(g_*\mu) = \frac{d(g_*\mu)}{d\mu} d\mu$$

for all  $g \in G$ . Now, for  $X = Y$  and  $g \in G$ , we have

$$\int f d\mu = \int f \circ g^{-1} d(g_*\mu) = \int f \circ g^{-1} \frac{d(g_*\mu)}{d\mu} d\mu.$$

- Define the operator

$$(T_g f)(x) = \left( \frac{d(g_*\mu)}{d\mu}(x) \right)^{1/2} f(g^{-1} \cdot x).$$

Then

$$\|T_g f\|^2 = \int |f(g^{-1} \cdot x)|^2 \frac{d(g_*\mu)}{d\mu}(x) d\mu(x) = \int |f(g^{-1} \cdot x)|^2 d(g_*\mu)(x) = \int |f|^2$$

The Radon-Nikodym derivative obeys the chain rule. So, it is a cocycle.

- Therefore, a multiplier representation that is unitary can be made up as soon as we have a quasi-invariant measure.



Thank You!

