Supporting Non-Print Figures

Title of the paper: Generation of Zonal Map from Point Data via Weighted Skeletonization by Influence Zone

H. M. Rajashekara

Central Computer Centre (CCC), Indian Statistical Institute-Bangalore Centre, 8th Mile, Mysore Road,

RV College PO, Bangalore-560059, India.

Pratap Vardhan

Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Maulana Azad National Institute of

Technology, Bhopal, 462051, India.

B. S. Daya Sagar

Systems Science and Informatics Unit (SSIU), Indian Statistical Institute-Bangalore Centre, 8th Mile, Mysore Road, RV College PO, Bangalore-560059, India.

IEEE GEOSCIENCE AND REMOTE SENSING LETTERS, Vol. 9, No. 3, Digital Object Identifier no. 10.1109/LGRS.2011.2169489.

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0
(a)	(b)	(c)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
(d)	(e)	(f)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
(g)	(h)	(i)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
(j)	(k)	(1)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 2
(m)	(n)	(0)

Fig. S1. (a) original map with three points (shown with 1s) for (A_1) , (A_2) , and (A_3) , (b) i^{th} point $(A_i) = (A_1)$, (c) union of j^{th} points, $\bigcup_{j \neq i}^{\forall j} A_j = (A_2) \bigcup (A_3)$, (d) first cycle of dilation of i^{th} point by *B* (Square in shape) with the propagation speed of $\lambda = 1$, denoted by $\delta_{j \neq i}^{\forall i}(A_1)$, (e) first cycle of dilation of j^{th} point (A_2) by *B* with the propagation speed of $\lambda = 3$, $\delta_{j \neq i}^{\forall i}(A_2)$, (f) first cycle of dilation of i^{th} point (A_3) by *B* with the propagation speed of $\lambda = 2$, $\delta_{j \neq i}^{\forall i}(A_3)$, (g) union of $\delta_{j \neq i}^{\forall i}(A_2)$ and $\delta_{j \neq i}^{\forall i}(A_3)$, (h) $\delta_{j \neq i}^{n=1}(A_1) \setminus \delta_{j \neq i}^{n=1}(A_3)$, (i) $\delta_{j \neq i}^{n=1}(A_1)$ (j) similarly for next iteration: $\delta_{j \neq i}^{n=2}(A_3)$, (k) $\delta_{j \neq i}^{n=2}(A_3) \cup \delta_{j \neq i}^{n=2}(A_3)$, (l) $Z(A_1) = \bigcup_n \left[\delta_{j \neq i}^{n}(A_1) \setminus \delta_{j \neq i}^{n=2}(A_3) \right]$, (m) similarly follow the steps from (b-1) by changing the i^{th} point from (A_1) to (A_2) , and by treating (A_1) and (A_3) as i^{th} points; the $Z(A_2)$ is obtained, (n) obtained $Z(A_3)$, and (o) three zones $Z(A_1)$, $Z(A_2)$, and $Z(A_3)$ are shown with 1s, 2s, and 3s.



Fig. S2. The propagation frontlines with region-dependent propagation speeds for rainfall values considered from 34 gauge stations. Corresponding 34 gauge-station location map and WSKIZ map can be seen in Fig. 4a and b.



Fig. S3. (a) Locations of 29 gauge stations, (b) WSKIZ map generated by considering only 29 gauge station data, and (b) propagation frontlines. It is obvious from S2 and S3c that there exist no much difference even after reducing the gauge stations. (d) kriged map generated for 29 gauge station data.